Predatory marketing of breast-milk substitutes continues to be highly prevalent worldwide. As documented in a recent multi-country study on the reach and influence of marketing on infant feeding conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), marketing of breast-milk substitutes diminishes the perceived value of breastfeeding and undermines women’s confidence in their ability to breastfeed. Marketing plays on expectations and anxieties around feeding and positions formula milk as a better alternative to breast milk.

This brief summarize data for the African region* based on the global Code status report 2022.** The report presents the national legal status of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes and subsequent relevant World Health Assembly (WHA) resolutions (“the Code”), including the extent to which its provisions have been incorporated in national legal measures.

Methodology

WHO, UNICEF and International Baby Foods Action Network (IBFAN) routinely collect information on legal measures adopted by countries to implement the Code. The legal measures are analysed on scope and content by using a standardized checklist of Code provisions. A scoring algorithm is then applied to classify countries’ legislation into categories. The algorithm assigns points values for each Code provision, with a maximum total of 100 points for measures that reflect all provisions in the Code. Countries with legal measures that scored 75 or greater are considered to be “substantially aligned with the Code”, those with scores of 50 – < 75 are considered to be “moderately aligned with the Code”, and those with scores < 50 are considered to have “some provisions of the Code included”. This algorithm facilitates a systematic and objective classification of countries and their legal measures.

* For this report, the African region includes all countries that are part of the WHO African Region, UNICEF Eastern and Southern Africa region, or UNICEF West and Central Africa region.
Findings: legal status of the Code

Over the past two years, protections against inappropriate marketing of breast-milk substitutes were strengthened in six African countries (Côte d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Kenya, Mauritania, Sao Tome and Principe, and Sierra Leone).

Of the 50 countries in the African region, 36 countries have adopted legal measures to implement at least some of the provisions in the Code. Of these, 14 countries have measures in place that are substantially aligned with the Code. Unfortunately, 14 countries have yet to enact legal measures on the Code.

Findings: characteristics of legal measures

Of the 36 countries with Code laws, only 12 have measures clearly covering the full breadth of breast-milk substitutes, which includes milk products targeted for use up to at least 36 months (see Figure 3).

While only some African countries (11) prohibit the distribution of informational or educational materials from manufacturers or distributors, many more have prohibitions on advertising (34) and the use of promotional devices at points of sale (32).
only 24 African countries\textsuperscript{12} have measures that clearly spell out who in government is responsible for monitoring compliance, and only 30\textsuperscript{14} define sanctions for violations.

**Conclusions**

While promotion of breast-milk substitutes using unethical marketing practices continues throughout the world, many countries are fighting back. A majority of African countries have legislation prohibiting at least some forms of promotion.

But significant gaps in national legislation remain. Provisions to prevent conflicts of interest are notably lacking and even the most obvious form of promotion, public advertisements, is not adequately covered. High-level political will, constraints on industry lobbying, accountability measures, monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, education on the Code, and investment in human and financial resources are desperately needed across Africa to accelerate progress in protecting the health of mothers and babies through breastfeeding.

**Recommendations**

1. Countries that have not revised their laws or regulations on the marketing of breast-milk substitutes in the past few years should use this report to identify gaps in coverage of all Code provisions and take action to update their legal measures. The WHO/EURO model law is a tool to help to strengthen national regulatory frameworks to protect infants and young children from the harmful effects of food marketing.

2. Countries that have not yet enacted legal measures on the Code should recognize their obligations, both under international human rights law and international agreements, to eliminate inappropriate marketing practices through regulatory action.

3. Countries should examine the new promotional techniques being used in digital media and explore how legal channels can be better utilized to stop this type of promotion. While many digital strategies are already covered in existing legal provisions and simply need stronger monitoring and enforcement, some online and social media promotional approaches will require adaptations to existing regulations.

4. Governments must allocate adequate budgets and human resources to ensure that national Code legislation is monitored and fully enforced, guaranteeing that deterrent sanctions are routinely applied in the case of violations.

5. Health professional bodies and health care workers should carry out their responsibilities under the Code and national legislation to avoid conflicts of interest and fully protect, promote and support optimal infant and young child feeding.

---

**Figure 3.** Number of countries in the African region with key code provisions enumerated in legal measures.
Endnotes

1. The countries with legal measures substantially aligned with the Code are Burundi, Cabo Verde, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, and Zimbabwe.

2. The countries with no legal measures on the Code are Angola, Central African Republic, Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Lesotho, Liberia, Mauritius, Namibia, Somalia, South Sudan, and Togo.

3. The countries with measures covering the full breadth of breast-milk substitutes are Botswana, Chad, Ethiopia, Gambia, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Sierra Leone, South Africa, United Republic of Tanzania, and Zimbabwe.

4. The countries that prohibit the distribution of informational or educational materials from manufacturers or distributors are Cabo Verde, Côte d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

5. The countries that have prohibitions on advertising are Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

6. The countries that prohibit promotional devices at points of sale are Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Chad, Comoros, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

7. The countries that prohibit the use of health care facilities for promotion are Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Chad, Comoros, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

8. The countries that prohibit gifts or incentives to health workers or health systems are Botswana, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Chad, Ethiopia, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Sao Tome and Principe, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, and Zimbabwe.

9. The countries that prohibit the distribution of free or low-cost supplies in the health care system are Benin, Botswana, Burundi, Côte d’Ivoire, Djibouti, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, and Zimbabwe.

10. The countries that have legal restrictions on industry sponsorship of meetings of health professionals or scientific groups are Cabo Verde, Ethiopia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, South Africa, and Uganda.

11. The countries that prohibit the inclusion of nutrition and health claims on labels are Burundi, Ethiopia, Mauritania, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, and South Africa.

12. The countries prohibiting idealizing imagery on labels are Algeria, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, Côte d’Ivoire, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.


14. The countries that define sanctions for violations are Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Chad, Comoros, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.