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Access to safe drinking-water is essential to health, a basic human right and a component of effective policy for health protection.

The primary goal of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality is to protect public health associated with drinking-water quality. The overall objectives of the Guidelines are to:

- provide an authoritative basis for the effective consideration of public health in setting national or regional drinking-water policies and actions;
- provide a comprehensive preventive risk management framework for health protection from catchment to consumer, covering policy formulation and standard setting, risk-based management approaches and surveillance;
- emphasize achievable practices and the formulation of sound regulations, applicable to low-income, middle-income and industrialized countries alike;
- summarize the health implications associated with contaminants in drinking-water, and the role of risk assessment and risk management in disease prevention and control;
- summarize effective options for drinking-water management; and
- provide guidance on hazard identification and risk assessment.

The objectives of the first addendum are to ensure that the fourth edition of the Guidelines is updated to reflect new evidence and to provide additional explanations to support better understanding of the document. In particular, efforts were made to:

- update or develop chemical threshold values (guideline and health-based values) where new evidence has emerged through high-quality reviews of the literature; for example, through evaluations from the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residue (JMPR) and the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA);
• respond to requests from Member States for specific guidance (e.g. guidance on pesticides in drinking-water); and
• clarify known misunderstandings about some concepts and terminology included in the fourth edition.

The key changes in the first addendum include:

• new risk assessments and guideline values or health-based values for dichlorvos, dicofol, and perchlorate;
• revised risk assessments and guideline values or health-based values for barium, bentazone, diquat, and MCPA;
• revised risk assessments for chlorine dioxide, chlorate and chlorite, and nitrate and nitrite;
• additional guidance on risk management considerations and monitoring of lead;
• additional guidance on microbial risk assessment, aggregating multiple barriers for overall water treatment performance and microbial detection methods; and
• inclusion of references to supporting documents published after the fourth edition of the Guidelines.

The Guidelines are addressed primarily to water and health regulators, policymakers and their advisors, to assist in the development of national policies and regulations. Together with associated documents, the Guidelines are also used by many others as a source of information on water quality and health, and on effective management approaches.
The preparation of the first addendum to the fourth edition of the *Guidelines for drinking-water quality* and supporting documentation covered a period of more than 5 years, and involved the participation of hundreds of experts from a wide range of developing and developed countries. The contributions of all who participated in the preparation and finalization of the fourth edition and this first addendum to that edition – including those individuals listed in “Changes to Annex 7” – are gratefully acknowledged.
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The Guideline Development Group oversaw finalization of this addendum: Dr D. Cunliffe (chair), Dr S. Hamid Abdelrahman, Dr R. Bevan, Mrs J. Brown, Mr E. Calderon, Dr I. Chorus, Dr L. D’Anglada, Dr A. M. de Roda Husman, Professor J. Fawell, Ms M. Giddings, Dr A. Hirose, Dr P. Hunter, Dr P. Labhasetwar, Professor K. Linden, Dr P. Marsden, Dr Y. Matsui, Professor C. N. Ong, Dr S. Ramasamy, Professor S. Snyder and Professor M. Sobsey (see Annex 8 for affiliations and Annex 9 for a summary of COI management).

The WHO Steering Group included the following: Mr H. Bakir, Mr R. Brown, Ms J. De France, Mr B. Gordon, Ms Payden, Dr M. Perez, Dr A. Pruss-Ustun, Mr O. Schmoll, Dr J. Simon, Dr P. Verger and Dr R. Yadav. The contributions from additional WHO staff are also acknowledged: Dr M. Bagayoko, Dr S. Boisson, Dr N. Hassan, Dr T. Monteiro, Dr A. Tritscher and Ms C. Vickers (see Annex 8 for affiliations).

The coordinator was Ms J. De France, WHO Headquarters, with support from Mr P. Callan, Australia. Strategic direction was provided by Mr B. Gordon, WHO Headquarters.
Ms P. Ward, Ms L. Robinson and Mr E. Johnson provided invaluable administrative support for the various WG meetings, and throughout the review and publication process. Ms M. Sheffer of Canada and Dr H. Cadman of Australia were responsible for the scientific editing of the document.

Many individuals from various countries contributed to the development of the Guidelines. The efforts of all who contributed to the preparation of this document – in particular those who provided peer or public domain review comment – are greatly appreciated.

The generous financial support of the following is gratefully acknowledged: the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, the Ministry of Environment and Water Resources of Singapore, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan; the United Kingdom Department for International Development and the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
### Abbreviations used in the first addendum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-T</td>
<td>2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI</td>
<td>acceptable daily intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AES</td>
<td>atomic emission spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>acquired immunodeficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPA</td>
<td>aminomethylphosphonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARfD</td>
<td>acute reference dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMDL&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>lower 95% confidence limit on the benchmark dose for an x% response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bw</td>
<td>body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Chemical Abstracts Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COI</td>
<td>conflict of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>disinfection by-product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD</td>
<td>N,N-diethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECD</td>
<td>electron capture detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>parental generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>first filial generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>high-performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IARC</td>
<td>International Agency for Research on Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>inductively coupled plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JECFA</td>
<td>Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMPR</td>
<td>Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOAEL</td>
<td>lowest-observed-adverse-effect level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRV</td>
<td>log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; reduction value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCPA</td>
<td>(2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)acetic acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MDL method detection limit
MS mass spectrometry
MS/MS tandem mass spectrometry
NOAEL no-observed-adverse-effect level
NTU nephelometric turbidity units
PCR polymerase chain reaction
PMTDI provisional maximum tolerable daily intake
QMRA quantitative microbial risk assessment
RNA ribonucleic acid
SARS severe acute respiratory syndrome
TDI tolerable daily intake
UN United Nations
USA United States of America
UV ultraviolet
WHO World Health Organization
WHOPES World Health Organization Pesticide Evaluation Scheme
WSP water safety plan
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Changes to “Contents”

Page iii
➢ Replace “Acronyms and abbreviations used in text” with the following:
   Abbreviations used in text

Page vi
➢ Replace “8.2 Derivation of chemical guideline values” with the following:
   8.2 Derivation of chemical guideline values and health-based values

Page x
➢ Replace “Chlorite and chlorate” with the following:
   Chlorine dioxide, chlorate and chlorite

Page xi
➢ Insert the following below Dichlorprop:
   Dichlorvos
   Dicofol

Page xii
➢ Insert the following below Pentachlorophenol:
   Perchlorate
Page xiii

➢ Change Annex 7 Contributors to the development of the fourth edition of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality to:

Annex 7 Contributors to the development of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition incorporating the first addendum
Changes to “Preface”

Page xv-xvii

➢ Replace with the following:

A ccess to safe drinking-water is essential to health, a basic human right and a component of effective policy for health protection.

The importance of water, sanitation and hygiene for health and development has been reflected in the outcomes of a series of international policy forums. This includes, most recently, the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals by countries, in 2015, which include a target and indicator on safe drinking-water. Further, the United Nations (UN) General Assembly declared in 2010 that safe and clean drinking-water and sanitation is a human right, essential to the full enjoyment of life and all other human rights. These commitments build on a long history of support including the UN General Assembly adopting the Millennium Development Goals in 2000 and declaring the period 2005–2015 as the International Decade for Action, “Water for Life”.

Access to safe drinking-water is important as a health and development issue at national, regional and local levels. In some regions, it has been shown that investments in water supply and sanitation can yield a net economic benefit, because the reductions in adverse health effects and health-care costs outweigh the costs of undertaking the interventions. This is true for investments ranging from major water supply infrastructure through to water treatment in the home. Experience has also shown that interventions in improving access to safe water favour the poor in particular, whether in rural or urban areas, and can be an effective part of poverty alleviation strategies.

The World Health Organization (WHO) published four editions of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality (in 1983–1984, 1993–1997, 2004 and 2011), as successors to the previous WHO International standards for drinking water, which were published in 1958, 1963 and 1971. Since 1995, the Guidelines have been kept up to date through a process of rolling revision, which leads to the regular publication of addenda that may add to or supersede information in previous volumes, as well as expert reviews on key issues in preparation for the revision of the Guidelines.
Leading the process of the development of the fourth edition was the Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Health Unit within WHO Headquarters. The Chemical Safety Unit and the Risk Assessment and Management Unit provided input on chemical hazards, and the Radiation Programme provided input on radiological hazards. All six WHO regional offices participated in the process, in consultation with Member States.

This version of the Guidelines integrates the fourth edition, which was published in 2011, with the first addendum to the fourth edition, published in 2016. It supersedes previous editions of the Guidelines and previous International Standards.

The primary goal of the Guidelines is to protect public health associated with drinking-water quality. The overall objectives of the Guidelines are to:

- provide an authoritative basis for the effective consideration of public health in setting national or regional drinking-water policies and actions;
- provide a comprehensive preventive risk management framework for health protection, from catchment to consumer, that covers policy formulation and standard setting, risk-based management approaches and surveillance;
- emphasize achievable practices and the formulation of sound regulations that are applicable to low-income, middle-income and industrialized countries alike;
- summarize the health implications associated with contaminants in drinking-water, and the role of risk assessment and risk management in disease prevention and control;
- summarize effective options for drinking-water management; and
- provide guidance on hazard identification and risk assessment.

This edition of the Guidelines, incorporating the first addendum, further develops concepts, approaches and information introduced in previous editions, including the comprehensive preventive risk management approach for ensuring drinking-water quality that was introduced in the third edition. This edition considers:

- drinking-water safety, including minimum procedures and specific guideline values, and how these are intended to be used;
- approaches used in deriving the Guidelines, including guideline values;
- microbial hazards, which continue to be the primary concern in both developing and developed countries. Experience has shown the value of a systematic approach to securing microbial safety. This edition builds on the preventive principles introduced in the third edition on ensuring the microbial safety of drinking-water through a multiple-barrier approach, highlighting the importance of source water protection;
- climate change, which results in changing water temperature and rainfall patterns, severe and prolonged drought or increased flooding, and its implications for water quality and water scarcity, recognizing the importance of managing these impacts as part of water management strategies;
chemical contaminants in drinking-water, including information on chemicals not considered previously (e.g. pesticides used for vector control in drinking-water); revisions of existing chemical fact sheets, taking into account new scientific information; and reduced coverage in the Guidelines in cases where new information suggests a lesser priority;

• key chemicals responsible for large-scale health effects through drinking-water exposure (e.g. arsenic, fluoride, lead, nitrate, selenium and uranium), with the Guidelines providing guidance on identifying local priorities and on management;

• the important roles of many different stakeholders in ensuring drinking-water safety; this edition furthers the discussion introduced in the third edition of the roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders in ensuring drinking-water safety; and

• guidance in situations other than traditional community supplies or managed utilities, such as rainwater harvesting and other non-piped supplies or dual-piped systems.

The Guidelines are accompanied by a series of supporting publications. These include internationally peer-reviewed risk assessments for specific chemicals (see list of chapter 12 background documents in Annex 2) and other publications explaining the scientific basis of the development of the Guidelines and providing guidance on good practice in their implementation (see Annex 1). The publication Guidelines for drinking-water quality Volume 3—Surveillance and control of community supplies (1997, revision forthcoming) provides guidance on good practice in surveillance, monitoring and assessment of drinking-water quality in community supplies.

The Guidelines are addressed primarily to water and health regulators, policymakers and their advisors, to assist in the development of national policies and regulations. The Guidelines and associated documents are also used by many others as a source of information on water quality and health, and on effective management approaches.

The Guidelines are recognized as representing the position of the UN system on issues of drinking-water quality and health by “UN-Water”, the body that coordinates among the 24 UN agencies and programmes concerned with water issues.
Changes to “Acknowledgements”

- Replace the first full paragraph with the following

The preparation of the fourth edition of the *Guidelines for drinking-water quality*, the first addendum to the fourth edition and supporting documentation covered a period of more than 10 years. It involved the participation of hundreds of experts from a wide range of developing and developed countries. The contributions of all who participated in the preparation and finalization of the fourth edition and the first addendum to the fourth edition, including those individuals listed in Annex 7, are gratefully acknowledged.

- Add the following information after the paragraph starting with: “Ms P. Ward”

With reference to the addendum, the following experts contributed in the Guideline Development Group or chemical, microbial or protection and control working groups, supporting the development and finalization of this addendum: Dr D. Cunliffe (Chair), Dr S.H. Abedelrahman, Dr R. Bevan, Mrs J. Brown, Mr E. Calderon, Dr I. Chorus, Dr J. Cotruvo, Dr. D’Anglada, Dr A.M. de Roda Husman, Dr A. Eckhardt, Professor J. Fawell, Ms M. Giddings, Dr A. Hirose, Dr P. Hunter, Dr P. Labhasetwar, Professor K. Linden, Dr P. Marsden, Dr Y. Matsui, Dr G. Medema, Dr M.E. Meek, Dr E. Ohanian, Professor C.N. Ong, Dr S. Ramasamy, Professor S. Snyder and Professor M. Sobsey.
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Ms P. Ward, Ms L. Robinson and Mr E. Johnson provided administrative support, and Ms M. Sheffer of Canada and Dr H. Cadman of Australia were responsible for the scientific editing of the document.

- Change “Australian Agency for International Development” to “Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade”
- Add “the United Kingdom Department for International Development” before “and the United States Environmental Agency”
Changes to “Acronyms and abbreviations used in text”

Page xx

- Replace “Acronyms and abbreviations used in text” with the following:

Abbreviations used in text

- Insert the following below BMDL:

\[ \text{BMDL}_x \] lower 95% confidence limit on the benchmark dose for an \( x \)% response

Page xxi

- Replace page header with the following:

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN TEXT

- Insert the following below the DNA entry:

\[ \text{DPD} \] \( N,N \)-diethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine sulfate

- Insert the following below the ETEC entry:

\( F_0 \) parental generation
\( F_1 \) first filial generation

Page xxii

- Insert the following below the MCPP entry:

\[ \text{MDL} \] method detection limit

- Insert the following below the MS entry:

\[ \text{MS/MS} \] tandem mass spectrometry
ABBREVIATIONS USED IN TEXT

Page xxxi
Page 13

- Replace the last sentence of the paragraph preceding section 1.2.5 with the following:

For further information, see the supporting documents Protecting groundwater for health and Protecting surface water for health (see Annex 1).

Page 18

- Replace the URL with http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/en/
Changes to “Chapter 4: Water safety plans”

Page 45
➢ In the last line, change ‘document’ to ‘documents’ and add the following after “Water safety plan manual”

and Water safety planning for small community water supplies

Page 46
➢ Remove italics from “3)”

Page 54
➢ Replace the last line preceding “Control measures” with the following:

manual and the supporting documents Protecting groundwater for health and Protecting surface water for health (Annex 1).

Page 55
➢ Replace the first sentence of the paragraph preceding section 4.1.4 with the following:

For examples of control measures for effective protection of source water and catchments and of water extraction and storage systems, see Module 4 in the supporting document Water safety plan manual and the supporting document Protecting surface water for health (Annex 1).
In the section Hazard identification, second paragraph, change ‘document’ to ‘documents’ and add the following after “Water safety plan manual”:

and Water safety planning for small community water supplies

In the section Control measures, second paragraph, change ‘document’ to ‘documents’ and add the following after “Water safety plan manual”:

and Water safety planning for small community water supplies

Replace the last line of the first bullet point under section 4.2.2 with the following:

(see the supporting documents Protecting groundwater for health and Protecting surface water for health; Annex 1).

Replace the first full bullet point with the following:

— Pressure measurement and turbidity are also useful operational monitoring parameters in piped distribution systems (see the supporting document Turbidity: information for regulators and operators of water supplies; Annex 1).

At the end of section 4.3.7, add the following after the last sentence

Further information can be found in the supporting document A practical guide to auditing water safety plans (Annex 1)
Changes to “Chapter 6: Application of the Guidelines in specific circumstances”

Page 93

➢ On line 10 of the first paragraph, correct the spelling of Guidelines

Page 101

➢ Insert the following sentence at the end of the bullet that starts “The need for disinfection”:

The information in Table 6.1 in section 6.11, on drinking-water disinfection methods that can be used by travellers, may be applied to temporary uses in emergency situations.
Changes to “Chapter 7: Microbial aspects”

Page 118

- Insert the following new paragraph after the first paragraph:

  Waterborne outbreaks have been associated with inadequate treatment of water supplies and unsatisfactory management of drinking-water distribution. For example, in distribution systems, such outbreaks have been linked to cross-connections, contamination during storage, low water pressure and intermittent supply. Waterborne outbreaks are preventable if an integrated risk management framework based on a multiple-barrier approach from catchment to consumer is applied. Implementing an integrated risk management framework to keep the water safe from contamination in distribution systems includes the protection of water sources, the proper selection and operation of drinking-water treatment processes, and the correct management of risks within the distribution systems (for further information, see the supporting document Water safety in distribution systems; Annex 1).

- In section 7.1.1, replace the sentence starting “Table 7.2 provides information” with the following:

  Table 7.2 provides information on organisms that have been suggested as possible causes of waterborne disease but where evidence is inconclusive or lacking.
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Page 119

- Replace Table 7.1 with the following table:

**Editorial note:**

- Type species/genus/group column added to Table 7.1
- Superscript b added after type species/genus/group, along with a corresponding note, and each of the subsequent superscripts letters amended
- *Escherichia coli* – Pathogenic changed to *Escherichia coli* – Diarrhoeagenic
- *Escherichia coli* – Enterohaemorrhagic changed to *E. coli* – Enterohaemorrhagic
- *E. coli* O157, *L. pneumophila*, *Mycobacterium avium* complex, *S. enterica*, *S. bongori*, *S. dysenteriae*, *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 and *A. culbertsoni* added to the type species/genus/group column
- *Leptospira* and *Schistosoma* moved to Table 7.2
- Adenoviridae added to the pathogen column and adenoviruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Astroviridae added to the pathogen column and astroviruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Caliciviridae added to the pathogen column, and noroviruses and sapoviruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Superscript i added after low in resistance to chlorine column for Caliciviridae, along with a corresponding note, and subsequent superscript amended
- Hepeviridae added to the pathogen column, and hepatitis E virus moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Picornaviridae added to the pathogen column, enteroviruses and hepatitis A virus moved to the type species/genus/group column, and parechoviruses added to the type species/genus/group column
- Reoviridae added to the pathogen column and rotoviruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Text relating to definitions of low, moderate and high for note relating to resistance to chlorine column amended
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Type species/genus/group</th>
<th>Health significance</th>
<th>Persistence in water supplies</th>
<th>Resistance to chlorine</th>
<th>Relative infectivity</th>
<th>Important animal source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkholderia</td>
<td><em>B. pseudomallei</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>May multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter</td>
<td><em>C. coli</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli — Enteroheamorhagic</td>
<td><em>E. coli O157</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francisella</td>
<td><em>F. tularensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionella</td>
<td><em>L. pneumophila</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>May multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacteria (non-tuberculous)</td>
<td><em>Mycobacterium avium</em></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>May multiply</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhi</td>
<td><em>S. enterica</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. bongori</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella</td>
<td><em>S. dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio</td>
<td><em>V. cholerae</em> O1 and O139</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Short to long</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viruses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoviridae</td>
<td>Adenoviruses</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astroviridae</td>
<td>Astroviruses</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caliciviridae</td>
<td>Noroviruses, Sapoviruses</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepeviridae</td>
<td>Hepatitis E virus</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Potentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enteroviruses, Parechoviruses, Hepatitis A virus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotavirusiida</td>
<td>Rotaviruses</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Pathogen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Type species/genus/group&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Health significance&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Persistence in water supplies&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Resistance to chlorine&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Relative infectivity&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Important animal source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protozoa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthamoeba</td>
<td><em>A. culbertsoni</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>May multiply</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidium</td>
<td><em>C. hominis/parvum</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclospora</td>
<td><em>C. cayetanensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entamoeba</td>
<td><em>E. histolytica</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giardia</td>
<td><em>G. intestinalis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naegleria</td>
<td><em>N. fowleri</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>May multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helminths</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dracunculus</td>
<td><em>D. medinensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> This table contains pathogens for which there is some evidence of health significance related to their occurrence in drinking-water supplies. More information on these and other pathogens is presented in Chapter 11.

<sup>b</sup> The type species listed (e.g. *L. pneumophila*) are those most commonly linked to waterborne transmission but other species may also cause disease.

<sup>c</sup> Health significance relates to the incidence and severity of disease, including association with outbreaks.

<sup>d</sup> Detection period for infective stage in water at 20 °C: short, up to 1 week; moderate, 1 week to 1 month; long, over 1 month.

<sup>e</sup> Within pathogen species and groups, there are likely to be variations in resistance, which could be further impacted by characteristics of the water supply and operating conditions. Resistance is based on 99% inactivation at 20 °C where, generally, low represents a Ct<sub>99</sub> of < 1 min.mg/L, moderate 1–30 min.mg/L and high > 30 min.mg/L (where C = the concentration of free chlorine in mg/L and t = contact time in minutes) under the following conditions: the infective stage is freely suspended in water treated at conventional doses and contact times, and the pH is between 7 and 8. It should be noted that organisms that survive and grow in biofilms, such as *Legionella* and mycobacteria, will be protected from chlorination.

<sup>f</sup> From experiments with human volunteers, from epidemiological evidence and from experimental animal studies. High means infective doses can be 1–10<sup>2</sup> organisms or particles, moderate 10<sup>2</sup>–10<sup>4</sup> and low > 10<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>g</sup> Includes enteropathogenic, enterotoxigenic, enteroinvasive, diffusely adherent and enteroaggregative.

<sup>h</sup> *Vibrio cholerae* may persist for long periods in association with copepods and other aquatic organisms.
Replace Table 7.2 with the following table:

**Editorial note:**
- Table 7.2 heading changed: 1) Organism changed to Microorganism
- Table 7.2 column headings changed: 1) Pathogen changed to Microorganism, 2) Type species/genus/group column added, 3) Level of evidence changed to Waterborne transmission evidence (or epidemiological features), 4) Presence in water supplies changed to Presence and behaviour in water supplies
- Superscript b added after type species/genus/group, along with a corresponding note, and each of the subsequent superscripts letters amended
- *A. calcoaceticus baumannii* complex, *A. hydrophila*, *K. pneumonia*, *T. paurometabola*, *F. hepatica* and *F. gigantica* added to the type species/genus/group column
- *Leptospira* and *Schistosoma* moved from Table 7.1 to Table 7.2 with corresponding information on waterborne transmission evidence, presence and behaviour in water supplies, and resistance to chlorine
- Orthomyxoviridae added to the microorganism column and influenza viruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Coronaviridae added to the microorganism column and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronaviruses moved to the type species/genus/group column
- Filoviridae (Ebola virus) and Picornaviridae/Kobuvirus (Aichivirus) added to the microorganism column, with corresponding information on type species/genus/group, waterborne transmission evidence, presence and behaviour in water supplies, and resistance to chlorine
- Text relating to definitions of low, moderate and high for note relating to resistance to chlorine column amended

### Table 7.2 Microorganisms for which transmission through drinking-water has been proposed but for which evidence is inconclusive or lacking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Type species/genus/group</th>
<th>Waterborne transmission evidence (or epidemiological features)</th>
<th>Presence and behaviour in water supplies</th>
<th>Resistance to chlorine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter</td>
<td><em>A. calcoaceticus baumannii complex</em></td>
<td>Possible issue in healthcare facilities (nongastrointestinal)</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeromonas</td>
<td><em>A. hydrophila</em></td>
<td>Clinical isolates do not match environmental isolates</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter</td>
<td><em>E. sakazakii</em></td>
<td>Infection associated with infant formula; no evidence of waterborne transmission</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microorganism</td>
<td>Type species/genus/group</td>
<td>Waterborne transmission evidence (or epidemiological features)</td>
<td>Presence and behaviour in water supplies</td>
<td>Resistance to chlorine&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicobacter</em> H. pylori</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suggested, but no direct evidence; familial transmission primary route</td>
<td>Detected, survives for limited time</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> K. pneumoniae</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible issue in healthcare facilities (non-gastrointestinal)</td>
<td>Can multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptospira</em> L. interrogans</td>
<td></td>
<td>No evidence of transmission through drinking-water ingestion. Primarily spread by contact with contaminated surface water; outbreaks associated with flooding</td>
<td>Can survive for months in water</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> P. aeruginosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible issue in healthcare facilities (non-gastrointestinal)</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em> S. aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td>No evidence of transmission through drinking-water; hands are the most important source</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tsukamurella</em> T. paurometabola</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possible issue in healthcare facilities (non-gastrointestinal)</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia</em> Y. enterocolitica</td>
<td></td>
<td>Species detected in water probably non-pathogenic; food is the primary source</td>
<td>Common and can multiply</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viruses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filoviridae</td>
<td>Ebola virus</td>
<td>No evidence of transmission through drinking-water</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthomyxoviridae</td>
<td>Influenza viruses</td>
<td>No evidence for waterborne transmission</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronaviridae</td>
<td>Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronaviruses</td>
<td>Some evidence for transmission via inhalation of droplets</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picornaviridae/Kobuvirus</td>
<td>Aichivirus</td>
<td>Present in fecal wastes, wastewater and sometimes contaminated drinking water</td>
<td>Likely present in faecally contaminated water</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microorganism</td>
<td>Type species/genus/group⁵</td>
<td>Waterborne transmission evidence (or epidemiological features)</td>
<td>Presence and behaviour in water supplies</td>
<td>Resistance to chlorine ⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protozoa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balantidium</td>
<td><em>B. coli</em></td>
<td>One outbreak reported in 1971</td>
<td>Detected</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blastocystis</td>
<td><em>B. hominis</em></td>
<td>Plausible, but limited evidence</td>
<td>Unknown, persistence⁴ likely</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isospora</td>
<td><em>I. belli</em></td>
<td>Plausible, but no evidence</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsporidia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Plausible, but limited evidence; infections predominantly in persons with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)</td>
<td>Detected, persistence likely</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma</td>
<td><em>T. gondii</em></td>
<td>One outbreak reported in 1995</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helminths</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciola</td>
<td><em>F. hepatica</em></td>
<td>Plausible, detected in water in hyperendemic regions</td>
<td>Detected</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>F. gigantica</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-living nematodes (other than <em>Dracunculus medinensis</em>)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plausible, but transmission primarily associated with food or soil</td>
<td>Detected and can multiply</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schistosoma</td>
<td><em>S. mansoni</em></td>
<td>No evidence of transmission through drinking-water ingestion. Primarily spread by contact with contaminated surface water in communities with inadequate access to safe drinking-water</td>
<td>Life cycle involves animal and snail hosts; can be released into water following reproduction in freshwater snails</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. japonicum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. mekongi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. intercalatum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ More information on these and other pathogens is presented in Chapter 11.
⁵ The type species listed (e.g. *H. pylori*) are those most commonly linked to waterborne transmission but other species may also cause disease.
⁶ Resistance is based on 99% inactivation at 20 °C where, generally, low represents a Ct₉₉ of < 1 min.mg/L, moderate 1–30 min.mg/L and high > 30 min.mg/L (where C = the concentration of free chlorine in mg/L and t = contact time in minutes) under the following conditions: the infective stage is freely suspended in water treated at conventional doses and contact times, and the pH is between 7 and 8. It should be noted that organisms that survive and grow in biofilms, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, will be protected from chlorination.
⁷ Persistence means survival for 1 month or more.
The following changes need to be made to Figure 7.1:

- Change *Campylobacter* spp. to *Campylobacter jejuni/coli*
- Change *E. coli* pathogenic to *E. coli* – Diarrhoeagenic
- Add *E. coli* – Enterohaemorrhagic below *E. coli* – Diarrhoeagenic
- Change *Salmonella* spp. including *S. Typhi* to *Salmonella enterica*, *S. bongori* and *S. Typhi*
- Change *Shigella* spp. to *Shigella dysenteriae*
- Add “O1 and O139” after *Vibrio cholerae*
- Add Parechoviruses below Enteroviruses (in two locations)
- Change *Mycobacteria* (non-tuberculous) to *Mycobacterium avium* complex (in two locations)
- Change *Acanthamoeba* spp. to *Acanthamoeba culbertsoni*
- Change *Leptospira* spp. to *Leptospira interrogans*

In section 7.2.3, add the following sentence at the end of the paragraph preceding “Problem formulation and hazard identification”

For more detailed information on QMRA in the context of drinking-water safety, see the supporting document *Quantitative microbial risk assessment: application for water safety management; Annex 1*.

Emergencies such as major storms and floods can lead to substantial deteriorations in source water quality, including large short-term increases in pathogen concentrations. These should not be included in calculations of arithmetic means. Inclusion will lead to higher levels of treatment being applied on a continuous basis, with substantial cost implications. It is more efficient to develop specific plans to deal with the events and emergencies (see section 4.4). Such plans can include enhanced treatment or (if possible) selection of alternative sources of water during an emergency.

Treatment efficacy for microbial reduction can also differ when aggregating different treatment processes. Applying multiple barriers in treatment, for example
in drinking-water treatment plants, may strengthen performance, as failure of one process does not result in failure of the entire treatment. However, both positive and negative interactions can occur between multiple treatment steps, and how these interactions affect the overall water quality and water treatment performance is not yet completely understood. In positive interactions, the inactivation of a contaminant is higher when two steps are occurring together than when each of the steps occurs separately – as happens, for example, when coagulation and sedimentation are operating under optimal conditions, and there is an increase in performance of rapid sand filters. In contrast, negative interactions can occur when failure in the first step of the treatment process could lead to a failure of the next process – for example, if coagulation fails to remove organic material, this could lead to a reduced efficacy of subsequent disinfection and a potential increase in DBPs. An overall assessment of the drinking-water treatment performance, as part of the implementation of the WSP, will assist in understanding the efficacy of the multiple treatment processes to ensure the safety of the drinking-water supply.
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- Make the following changes in Table 7.7:
  
  - In the Notes column next to Granular high-rate filtration, add the following at the end of the text:
    
    ; filtered water turbidity of ≤ 0.3 NTU in 95% of samples (and none to exceed 1 NTU) associated with 1–2 log reduction of viruses and 3 log reduction of Cryptosporidium
  
  - In the Notes column next to Slow sand filtration, add the following at the end of the text:
    
    ; filtered water turbidity of ≤ 1 NTU in 95% of samples (and none to exceed 5 NTU) associated with 1–2 log reduction of viruses and 2.5–3 log reduction of Cryptosporidium
  
  - Under “Membrane filtration:”, add a comma after nanofiltration
  
  - In the Notes column next to “Membrane filtration: microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, reverse osmosis”, add the following at the end of the text:
    
    ; maximum reductions associated with filtered water turbidity of < 0.1 NTU

Page 140

- Delete column headings “Minimum removal (LRV)” and “Maximum removal (LRV)” and replace with a single heading “Reduction” that is centred over the two columns (on this page of the table only)
Replace superscripts “a,b” on bold heading “Primary disinfection” with the following superscripted text:

b,c

In the Notes column opposite Chlorine, replace the first sentence with the following:

Free chlorine × contact time predicts efficacy; not effective against *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Turbidity and chlorine-demanding solutes inhibit this process; hence, turbidity should be kept below 1 NTU to support effective disinfection. Where this is not practical, turbidities should be kept below 5 NTU with higher chlorine doses or contact times.a

In the Notes column opposite UV, replace the current text with the following:

Effectiveness of disinfection depends on delivered fluence (dose), which varies with intensity, exposure time and UV wavelength. Excessive turbidity and certain dissolved species inhibit this process; hence, turbidity should be kept below 1 NTU to support effective disinfection. Where this is not practical, turbidities should be kept below 5 NTU with higher fluences

Insert the following new footnote “a” below the table:

See *Turbidity: information for regulators and operators of water supplies* (Annex 1).

Change footnotes “a” and “b” below the table to footnotes “b” and “c”, respectively
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In the Thermal (heat) technologies bullet, add the following at the end of the sentence starting “The recommended procedure for water treatment: …”

(see the supporting document *Boil water*; Annex 1)

Page 145

Make the following changes to Table 7.8:

- In the Notes column opposite Free chlorine disinfection, replace the current text with the following:

  Free chlorine x contact time predicts efficacy; not effective against *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Turbidity and chlorine-demanding solutes inhibit this process; hence, turbidity should be kept below 1 NTU to support effective disinfection. Where this is not practical, the aim should be to keep turbidities below 5 NTU, although
disinfection should still be practiced if 5 NTU cannot be achieved. At turbidities of more than 1 NTU, higher chlorine doses or contact times will be required.

- In the Notes column opposite Membrane filtration (microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, reverse osmosis), insert the following at the end of the text:

  ; maximum reductions associated with filtered water turbidity of < 0.1 NTU

**Page 146**

- Make the following changes to Table 7.8:

- In the Notes column opposite UV irradiation, replace the current text with the following:

  Effectiveness of disinfection depends on delivered fluence (dose), which varies with intensity, exposure time and UV wavelength. Excessive turbidity and certain dissolved species inhibit this process; hence, turbidity should be kept below 1 NTU to support effective disinfection. Where this is not practical, turbidities should be kept below 5 NTU with higher fluences.

- Insert new footnote below the definitions under the table:

  * See *Turbidity: information for regulators and operators of water supplies* (Annex 1).

**Page 147**

- In section 7.4, change “2.3.1” in the third dot point to “2.4.1”

- In section 7.4, replace the final bullet point with the following:

  **Editorial note:**

  □ Bullet point modified to reference the recently published QMRA guide

  - collecting data for QMRA (see also section 7.2.3 and the supporting document *Quantitative microbial risk assessment: application to water safety management*, Annex 1).

  - Replace the paragraph beginning “It is important to recognize that different methods” with the following:

    Different methods can be employed for the detection of bacteria, viruses, protozoan parasites and helminths in water. The use of some methods, such as microscopy, relies
on detection of the whole particle or organism. Other methods, such as molecular amplification using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), target the genomic material, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) or ribonucleic acid (RNA). Still other methods, such as immunological detection methods (e.g. enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA]), target proteins. Culture-based methods, such as broth cultures or agar-based bacterial media and cell cultures for viruses and phages, detect organisms by infection or growth.

Culture in broth or on solid media is largely applied to determine the number of viable bacteria in water. The best known examples are culture-based methods for indicators such as *E. coli*. Viruses can be detected by several methods. Using cell culture, the number of infectious viruses in water can be determined. Alternatively, viral genomes can be detected by use of PCR. Protozoan parasites are often detected by immunomagnetic separation in combination with immunofluorescence microscopy. PCR can also be applied. Helminths are generally detected using microscopy.

In source investigation associated with waterborne infectious disease outbreaks, microbial hazards are generally typed by use of PCR, which can be followed by sequencing analysis to improve the precision of identification. One innovative approach is metagenome analysis (i.e. sequencing nucleic acid obtained directly from environmental samples). This can detect a multitude of microbial hazards in a water sample.

It is important to recognize that the different methods measure different properties of microorganisms. Culture-based methods detect living organisms, whereas microscopy, detection of nucleic acid and immunological assays measure the physical presence of microorganisms or components of them, and do not necessarily determine if what is detected is alive or infectious. This creates greater uncertainty regarding the significance of the human health risk compared with detection by culture-based methods. When using non-culture methods that do not measure in units indicative of culturability or infectivity, assumptions are often made about the fraction of pathogens or components detected that represent viable and infectious organisms.
Changes to “Chapter 8: Chemical aspects”

Page 156
➢ Replace the URL with http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/guidelines/chemicals/en/

Page 158
➢ Replace “8.2 Derivation of chemical guideline values” with the following:

8.2 Derivation of chemical guideline values and health-based values

Pages 158–9

Editorial note:
- Clarified first sentence
- Addition of last paragraph

➢ Replace the first two paragraphs of section 8.2 with the following:

In order for a particular chemical constituent to be evaluated to determine whether a guideline value or health-based value should be derived, one of the following criteria must be satisfied:

- There is credible evidence of occurrence of the chemical in drinking-water, combined with evidence of actual or potential toxicity.
- The chemical is of significant international concern.
- The chemical is being considered for inclusion or is included in the WHO Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES), which coordinates the testing and evaluation of
pesticides for public health, including those applied directly to drinking-water for control of insect vectors of disease.

Guideline values are derived for many chemical constituents of drinking-water. A guideline value normally represents the concentration of a constituent that does not result in any significant risk to health over a lifetime of consumption. A number of provisional guideline values have been established at concentrations that are reasonably achievable through practical treatment approaches or in analytical laboratories; in these cases, the guideline value is above the concentration that would normally represent the calculated health-based value. Guideline values are also designated as provisional when there is a high degree of uncertainty in the toxicological and health data (see also section 8.2.5).

For some chemicals, no formal guideline value is proposed, on the grounds that occurrence is only at concentrations well below those that would be of concern for health. Establishing a formal guideline value for such substances could encourage some Member States to incorporate the value into their national standards when this is neither necessary nor appropriate. However, to provide guidance for Member States should the chemical be found in drinking-water or in source water in the hazard identification phase of developing a WSP, a health-based value has been determined.

In addition, health-based values for acute exposures are now being developed for a small number of substances that may be implicated in emergency situations as a result of a spill, usually to surface water sources. The derivation of these acute health-based values is explained in section 8.7.5.

**Pages 163–4**

> Replace the second and third paragraphs under the heading “Relative source allocation” with the following:

Wherever possible or in an ideal situation, derivation of guideline values uses data on the proportion of total daily intake normally ingested in drinking-water (based on mean levels in food, drinking-water, consumer products, soil and air), or data on intakes estimated on the basis of physical and chemical properties of the substances of concern. As the primary sources of exposure to chemicals are generally food (e.g. pesticide residues) and water, it is important to quantify, whenever possible, the exposures from both sources. To inform this process, it is desirable to collect as much high-quality data as possible on food intake in different parts of the world as possible.
The data collected can then be used to estimate the proportion of the intake that comes from food and the proportion that comes from drinking-water. However, for most contaminants, data from the various exposure sources, most notably food and drinking-water, are available only from developed countries.

In the absence of adequate exposure data or where documented evidence is available regarding widespread presence in one or more of the other media (i.e. air, food, soil or consumer products), the normal allocation of the total daily intake to drinking-water is 20% (floor value), which reflects a reasonable level of exposure based on broad experience, while still being protective (Krishnan & Carrier, 2013). This value reflects a change from the previous allocation of 10%, which was found to be excessively conservative. As chemicals are progressively reassessed, overall exposure will be reconsidered, and a change in the default allocation factor from 10% to 20% will be made, if appropriate. Therefore, not all older guideline values reflect this change. In some circumstances, there is clear evidence that water is the main (and possibly only) source of exposure, such as for some of the DBPs; the allocation in such cases may be as high as 80% (ceiling value), which still allows for some exposure from other sources (Krishnan & Carrier, 2013). Where chemical and context-specific allocation factors can be developed using exposure data or models, the allocation factor applied should still be bounded by the floor and ceiling values (i.e. 20–80%).

For pesticides, even when available food exposure data suggest that exposure via this route is minimal, the default allocation factor of 20% is used to account for the fact that available food exposure data do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher.

The calculated ADI or TDI is used to derive the guideline value, which is usually rounded to one significant figure. In calculating the guideline value, the unrounded ADI or TDI value should be used.
made and the uncertainty factors selected. In a few cases, rounding to two significant figures is appropriate because the practical impact of rounding depends on the units; for example, rounding from 1.5 to 2.0 μg/L has less influence on treatment requirements than rounding from 1.5 to 2.0 mg/L. These are considered on a case-by-case basis.

Page 166

- Replace the text in the first cell of the bottom row of Table 8.3, for designation D, with the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Calculated guideline value may be exceeded as a result of disinfection procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(Guideline value is set considering possible health effects and the need to maintain adequate disinfection. Adequate disinfection of drinking-water remains paramount)

Page 177

- Replace the text in the first column next to Manganese in Table 8.7 with the following:

| Manganese  | Not of health concern at levels normally causing acceptability problems in drinking-water. However, there are circumstances where manganese may remain in solution at higher concentrations in some acidic or anaerobic waters, particularly groundwater |

Page 178

- In Table 8.8, replace the “Barium” entry with the following:

| Barium      | 1300 1.3 |

Page 181

- On the last line, insert the following before the sentence “Fact sheets for each are included in chapter 12”:

However, health-based values and, in some cases, acute health-based values have been developed for a number of these pesticides in order to provide guidance to Member States when there is a reason for local concern such as an emergency or spill situation (for further information on guideline values and health-based values, see section 8.2).
GUIDELINES FOR DRINKING-WATER QUALITY: FIRST ADDENDUM TO THE FOURTH EDITION

Page 182

➢ In Table 8.12:

- For Bentazone, change the text in the second column to read as follows: “Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern”
- Below 1,3-Dichloropropene, insert “Dichlorvos” in the first column and “Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern” in the second column
- Below Dichlorvos, insert “Dicofol” in the first column and “Unlikely to occur in drinking-water or drinking-water sources” in the second column
- For Diquat, change the text in the second column to read as follows: “Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern”
- Below Malathion, insert “MCPA” in the first column and “Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern” in the second column
- Change superscript “a” on AMPA to superscript “b”
- Insert a new footnote “a” below the table, which reads as follows: Although dicofol does not fulfil one of the three criteria for evaluation in the Guidelines, a background document has been prepared, and a health-based value has been established, in response to a request from Member States for guidance.
- Change the existing footnote “a” to footnote “b”
- Insert a new footnote “c” below the table, which reads as follows: (2-Methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)acetic acid.

➢ Replace the paragraph below Table 8.12 with the following:

Editorial note:

- In the first paragraph, added “(see section 8.2)”
- Paragraphs 2–4 are new

Guideline values have been established for the chemicals listed in Table 8.13, which meet the criteria for inclusion (see section 8.2). Fact sheets for each of these chemicals are included in Chapter 12.

Guideline values and health-based values are protective against health effects resulting from lifetime exposure. Small exceedances for short periods would not normally constitute a health emergency. In the event of a spill, a higher allocation
of the ADI to drinking-water could be justified. Alternatively, in cases where acute health-based values have been derived, normally based on JMPR evaluations, these may provide useful guidance (for further information, see section 8.7.5).

Routine monitoring of pesticides is generally not considered necessary. Member States should consider local usage and potential situations such as spills in deciding whether and where to monitor. In the event that monitoring results show levels above the guideline value or health-based value on a regular basis, it is advisable that a plan be developed and implemented to address the situation.

As a general principle, efforts should be made to keep the concentration of pesticides in water as low as possible, and to not allow concentrations to increase up to the guideline value or health-based value.

Make the following changes to Table 8.13:

- In the Remarks column opposite “Nitrate”, replace the text with “Based on short-term effects, but protective for long-term effects”
- In the Remarks column opposite “Nitrite”, replace the text with “Based on short-term effects, but protective for long-term effects”
- Delete the row “MCPA\textsuperscript{d} / 2 / 0.002”

Make the following changes to Table 8.13:

- Change the superscript “e” after 2,4,5-T to superscript “d”
- Below the table, delete footnote “d”
- Below the table, change footnote “e” to footnote “d”

In Table 8.15, replace the text in the column opposite “Chlorine dioxide” with the following:

Reduced primarily to chlorite, chlorate and chloride in drinking-water, and to chlorite and chloride upon ingestion; the provisional guideline values for chlorite and chlorate are protective for potential toxicity from chlorine dioxide
Effective treatment of pharmaceuticals depends on the physicochemical properties of the specific compounds. Typically, conventional treatment processes are less effective than advanced treatment processes for the removal of many organic compounds, particularly those that are more water soluble.

At the end of section 8.5.5, add the following:

Further information is available in *Pharmaceuticals in drinking-water* (see Annex 1).

Replace the URL with http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/guidelines/chemicals/en/

The guideline value for nitrate is 50 mg/L, (as nitrate ion), to be protective of the health of the most sensitive subpopulation, bottle-fed infants. This guideline value is based on the absence of adverse health effects (methaemoglobinaemia and thyroid effects) at concentrations below 50 mg/L in epidemiological studies. Although the guideline value is based on short-term effects, it is protective for long-term effects and in other population groups, such as older children and adults. Methaemoglobinaemia is complicated by the presence of microbial contamination and subsequent gastrointestinal infection, which can increase the risk for this group significantly. Authorities should therefore be all the more vigilant that water to be used for bottle-fed infants is microbiologically safe when nitrate is present at concentrations near or above the guideline value. It is also particularly important to ensure that these infants are not currently exhibiting symptoms of gastrointestinal infection (diarrhoea). In addition, because excessive boiling of water to ensure microbiological safety can concentrate levels of nitrate in the water, care should be taken to ensure that water is heated only until the water reaches a rolling boil. In extreme situations, alternative sources of water (e.g. bottled water) can be used.
Guidelines for drinking-water quality: first addendum to the fourth edition

Pages 197

➢ Replace page 197 with the following:

---

**Editorial note:**

- Added last two sentences in second paragraph
- Added information on how to calculate the acute health-based value

---

Health-based values for use in emergencies

Health-based values for acute and short-term exposures (called acute and short-term health-based values) can be derived for any chemicals that are used in significant quantities and are involved in an emergency, such as a spill into surface water sources.

JMPR has provided guidance on the setting of acute reference doses (ARfDs) for pesticides (Solecki et al., 2005). These ARfDs can be used as a basis for deriving acute health-based values for pesticides in drinking-water, and the general guidance can also be applied to derive ARfDs for other chemicals. The JMPR ARfD is usually established to cover the whole population, and must be adequate to protect the embryo or fetus from possible in utero effects. An ARfD based on developmental (embryo/fetal) effects, which applies to women of childbearing age only, may be conservative and not relevant to other population subgroups.1

The ARfD can be defined as the amount of a chemical, normally expressed on a body weight basis, that can be ingested in a period of 24 hours or less without appreciable health risk to the consumer. Most of the scientific concepts applicable to the setting of ADIs or TDIs for chronic exposure apply equally to the setting of ARfDs. The toxicological end-points most relevant for a single or 1-day exposure should be selected. For ARfDs for pesticides, possible relevant end-points include haematotoxicity (including methaemoglobin formation), immunotoxicity, acute neurotoxicity, liver and kidney toxicity (observed in single-dose studies or early in repeated-dose studies), endocrine effects and developmental effects. The most relevant or adequate study in which these end-points have been determined (in the most sensitive species or most vulnerable subgroup) is selected, and NOAELs are established. The most relevant end-point providing the lowest NOAEL is then used in the derivation of the ARfD. Uncertainty factors are used to extrapolate from experimental animal data to the average human and to allow for variation in sensitivity within the human population. An ARfD derived in such a manner can then be used

---

1 ARfDs established for pesticides by JMPR may be found at http://apps.who.int/pesticide-residues-jmpr-database.
to establish an acute health-based value by allocating 100% of the ARfD to drinking-water, as follows:

\[
\text{Acute health-based value} = \frac{\text{ARfD} \times \text{bw} \times P}{C}
\]

where:
- \(\text{bw}\) = body weight (60 kg for adult, 10 kg for children, 5 kg for infants)
- \(P\) = fraction of the ARfD allocated to drinking-water (100%)
- \(C\) = daily drinking-water consumption (2 L for adults, 1 L for children, 0.75 L for bottle-fed infants)

However, available data sets do not allow the accurate evaluation of the acute toxicity for a number of compounds of interest. If appropriate single-dose or short-term data are lacking, an end-point from a repeated-dose toxicity study can be used. This is likely to be a more conservative approach, and this should be clearly stated in the health-based value derivation.

When a substance has been spilt into a drinking-water source, contamination may be present for a period longer than 24 hours, but is not usually present for longer than a few days. Under these circumstances, the use of data from repeated-dose toxicity studies is appropriate to derive a short-term health-based value (using the approach outlined in section 8.2.2). As the period of exposure used in these studies will often be much longer than a few days, this, too, is likely to be a conservative approach.

Where there is a need for a rapid response, and suitable data are not available to establish an ARfD but a guideline value or health-based value is available for the chemical of concern, a pragmatic approach would be to allocate a higher proportion of the ADI or TDI to drinking-water. As the ADI or TDI is intended to be protective of lifetime exposure, small exceedances of the ADI or TDI for short periods will not be of significant concern for health. In these circumstances, it would be reasonable to allow 100% of the ADI or TDI to come from drinking-water for a short period.
Changes to “Chapter 9: Radiological aspects”

Page 211

- Delete “for members of the public” from the Table 9.2 caption.

- Below Table 9.2, replace footnote “b” with the following:

  Guidance levels were rounded to the nearest order of magnitude by averaging the log scale values (to $10^n$ if the calculated value was below $3 \times 10^n$ and to $10^{n+1}$ if value was $3 \times 10^n$ or above). For example, if the calculated value was 2 Bq/L (i.e. $2 \times 10^0$), the guidance level was rounded to $10^0$ (i.e. = 1) whereas, if the calculated value was 3 Bq/L, (i.e. $3 \times 10^0$ or above) the guidance level was rounded to $10^1$ (i.e. = 10).

Page 215

- Below Table 9.4, delete “(some removal by aeration of water, not quantified)” from the last row.
Changes to “Chapter 10: Acceptability aspects: taste, odour and appearance”

Page 226
➢ Under “Manganese”:

• In the first line, replace “causes” with “may cause”.
• At the end of the paragraph, add the following:

However, under some conditions, manganese can be at concentrations above 0.1 mg/L and may remain in solution for a longer period compared with its usual solubility in most drinking-water.

Page 228
➢ Replace the text under “Turbidity” with the following

Editorial note:

Text revised so that it focused on aesthetic aspects of turbidity only

Turbidity, typically expressed as nephelometric turbidity units (NTU), describes the cloudiness of water caused by suspended particles (e.g. clay and silts), chemical precipitates (e.g. manganese and iron), organic particles (e.g. plant debris) and organisms. Turbidity can be caused by poor source water quality, poor treatment and, within distribution systems, disturbance of sediments and biofilms or the ingress of dirty water through main breaks and other faults. At high levels, turbidity can lead to staining of materials, fittings and clothes exposed during washing, in addition to interfering with the effectiveness of treatment processes (see Tables 7.7 and 7.8 in chapter 7).
Increasing turbidity reduces the clarity of water to transmitted light. Below 4 NTU, turbidity can be detected only using instruments, but at 4 NTU and above, a milky-white, muddy, red-brown or black suspension can be visible. Large municipal supplies should consistently produce water with no visible turbidity (and should be able to achieve 0.5 NTU before disinfection at all times and average 0.2 NTU or less). However, small supplies, particularly those where resources are limited, may not be able to achieve such levels.

Visible turbidity reduces the acceptability of drinking-water. Although most particles that contribute to turbidity have no health significance (even though they may indicate the presence of hazardous chemical and microbial contaminants), many consumers associate turbidity with safety and consider turbid water as being unsafe to drink. This response is exacerbated when consumers have been used to receiving high-quality filtered water. If consumers lose confidence in a drinking-water supply, they may drink less water or use lower turbidity alternatives that may not be safe. Any complaints about unexpected turbidity should always be investigated because they could reflect significant faults or breaches in distribution systems.

Further information is available in *Turbidity: information for regulators and operators of water supplies* (see Annex 1).
Changes to “Chapter 11: Microbial fact sheets”

Pages 231

- Delete the following from dot point 1:

  “, with the exception of *Schistosoma*, which is primarily spread by contact with contaminated surface water during bathing and washing;”

- Delete dot point 3.

Pages 235–6

- Delete the *Bacillus* fact sheet.

Page 293

- In Table 11.1, replace the row “*Cylindrospermum* spp.” with the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cylindrospermum spp.</th>
<th>Anatoxin-a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrospermopsis spp.</td>
<td>Cylindrospermopsins, saxitoxins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barium compounds are present in nature as ore deposits and in igneous and sedimentary rocks, and are used in a variety of industrial applications. Barium in water comes primarily from natural sources, although barium also enters the environment from industrial emissions and anthropogenic uses. Food is the primary source of intake for the non-occupationally exposed population. However, where barium concentrations in water are high, drinking-water may contribute significantly to total intake.

Guideline value 1.3 mg/l (1300 µg/l)

Occurrence Concentrations in drinking-water are generally below 100 µg/l, although concentrations above 1 mg/l have been measured in drinking-water derived from groundwater
There is no evidence that barium is carcinogenic or genotoxic. Acute hypertension has been observed in case reports, but the effects may be secondary to hypokalaemia. The critical study that had been identified previously for deriving the guideline value has several limitations (e.g. no effect observed at the single dose evaluated, limitations in the exposure methodology and design, no control for important risk factors for hypertension). Another human study that reported no effects on hypertension at 10 mg/L is limited by the small study size and short exposure duration. Barium has been shown to cause nephropathy in laboratory animals, and this was selected as the toxicological end-point of concern for the current guideline.

Pages 321–2

- Replace the fact sheet on “Bentazone” with the following:

**Editorial note:**
- Text revised to address new evidence
- New health-based value
**Bentazone**

Bentazone (CAS No. 25057-89-0) is a post-emergence herbicide used for selective control of broadleaf weeds and sedges occurring among a variety of crops. It is highly soluble in water and very resistant to hydrolysis; it is also very mobile in soil. However, photodegradation occurs in both soil and water. Bentazone may leach from soil into groundwater, particularly during heavy rainfall, and may contaminate surface water through effluents from production plants, drainage waters and actual use in the water (rice fields). Exposure from food is likely to be low.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not establishing a guideline value</th>
<th>Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health-based value*</td>
<td>0.5 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute health-based value**</td>
<td>Unnecessary, as no ARfD established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Concentrations up to 120 µg/l in groundwater and up to 14 µg/l in surface water have been measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI</td>
<td>0–0.09 mg/kg bw, based on a NOAEL of 9 mg/kg bw per day for prolonged blood coagulation and clinical chemistry changes indicative of effects on liver and kidney from a 2-year toxicity and carcinogenicity study in rats and application of a safety factor of 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARfD</td>
<td>Unnecessary, as no effects observed that could be due to a single dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td>0.1 µg/l by GC with ECD after liquid–liquid extraction; limit of quantification of 0.01 µg/l by LC-MS/MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment performance</td>
<td>Conventional treatment, including coagulation and filtration, not effective; activated carbon may be effective under certain circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health-based value derivation**

- allocation to water: 20% of upper bound of ADI
- weight: 60 kg adult
- consumption: 2 litres/day

**Additional comments**

The default allocation factor of 20% has been used to account for the fact that the available food exposure data, which suggest that exposure via this route is low, do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher.

Guidance on interpreting the health-based value and deciding when to monitor can be found in section 8.5.3.

**Assessment date**

2016

**Principal references**

WHO (2013). *Pesticide residues in food – 2012 evaluations*

WHO (2016). *Bentazone in drinking-water*

* When a formal guideline value is not established, a “health-based value” may be determined in order to provide guidance to Member States when there is reason for local concern. Establishing a formal guideline value for such substances may encourage Member States to incorporate a value into their national standards when this may be unnecessary.

** For more information on acute health-based values, see section 8.7.5.
Bentazone is not carcinogenic in rats or mice, and showed no evidence of genotoxicity in a range of in vitro and in vivo assays. Consistent observations in repeated-dose toxicity studies in mice, rats and dogs are effects on haematology and blood coagulation (e.g. prolongation of prothrombin time and partial thromboplastin time).

Page 326


Page 329


Page 330


Pages 335–6

➢ Replace the fact sheet on “Chlorite and chlorate” with the following:

**Editorial note:**
- Text revised to address new evidence
- No change to the guideline value

**Chlorine dioxide, chlorite and chlorate**
Chlorite and chlorate are DBPs resulting from the use of chlorine dioxide as a disinfectant and for odour and taste control in water. Sodium chlorite and sodium chlorate are both used in the production of chlorine dioxide as well as for other commercial purposes. Chlorite and chlorate are also formed during the decomposition of hypochlorite solutions that are stored for long periods, particularly at warm temperatures. Where hypochlorite or chlorine dioxide is used as a disinfectant, the major route of environmental exposure to chlorite and chlorate is expected to be through drinking-water.
Provisional guideline values

- **Chlorite**: 0.7 mg/l (700 µg/l)
- **Chlorate**: 0.7 mg/l (700 µg/l)

The guideline values for chlorite and chlorate are designated as provisional because use of aged hypochlorite or of chlorine dioxide as disinfectants may result in the chlorite and chlorate guideline values being exceeded, and difficulties in meeting the guideline values must never be a reason for compromising adequate disinfection.

Occurrence

When chlorine dioxide is used as the final disinfectant at typical doses, the resulting chlorite concentration would normally be less than 0.2 mg/l. Chlorate concentrations above 1 mg/l have been reported when hypochlorite was used, but such high concentrations would be unusual unless hypochlorite is stored under adverse conditions.

ADIs

- **Chlorite**: 0–0.03 mg/kg bw based on a NOAEL of 3 mg/kg bw per day for reduced liver weight of F₀ females and F₁ males and females in a two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats and using a safety factor of 100 (10 each for interspecies and intraspecies variability)
- **Chlorate**: 0–0.01 mg/kg bw based on a BMDL₁₀ of 1.1 mg/kg bw per day for non-neoplastic effects on the thyroid of male rats in a carcinogenicity study and using a safety factor of 100 (10 to allow for intraspecies variability and an additional factor of 10 to allow for the deficiencies in the database; a safety factor for interspecies variation was not considered necessary because humans are likely to be less sensitive than rats to these effects)

Limit of detection

MDLs as low as 0.45 µg/l for chlorite and 0.78 µg/l for chlorate (IC with conductivity detection) and 78 µg/l for chlorine dioxide (UV/visible spectrophotometric method)

Prevention and treatment

When using hypochlorite, the following control approach is recommended to minimize formation of chlorite and chlorate: purchase fresh solutions that are of an appropriate quality, store them in a cool place and out of direct sunlight, and use the hypochlorite as soon as possible after purchase (e.g. within a month, if possible). Further, new hypochlorite solutions should not be added to containers containing old hypochlorite solutions, as this will accelerate chlorate formation.

It is possible to reduce the concentration of chlorine dioxide and chlorite effectively to zero (<0.1 mg/l) by reduction; however, it is normal practice to supply water with a chlorine dioxide residual of a few tenths of a milligram per litre to provide some protection against microbial regrowth during distribution. With chlorine dioxide disinfection, the concentrations of chlorate and chlorite depend on process conditions (in both the chlorine dioxide generator and the water treatment plant) and applied dose of chlorine dioxide. As there is no low-cost option for reducing concentrations of chlorate once it is formed, control of chlorate concentration must rely on preventing its addition (from sodium hypochlorite) or formation (from chlorine dioxide). If chlorine dioxide is used as a pre-oxidant, the resulting chlorite concentration may need to be reduced using ferrous iron, sulfur reducing agents or activated carbon.
Guideline value derivation

- allocation to water 80% of ADI
- weight 60 kg adult
- consumption 2 litres/day

Additional comments
Concentrations should be maintained as low as reasonably practical, without compromising adequate disinfection. Although a health-based value of 0.3 mg/l could be derived from the ADI for chlorate, in some circumstances, it may not be possible to adequately disinfect potable water and maintain chlorate concentrations at or below the health-based value as chlorate is a byproduct of hypochlorite. Therefore, the previous provisional guideline value is retained. Moreover, even this provisional guideline value may be exceeded when aged hypochlorite is used and difficulties in meeting the guideline value must never be a reason for compromising adequate disinfection.

Assessment date 2016
Principal references
- IPCS (2000). Disinfectants and disinfectant by-products
- WHO (2016). Chlorine dioxide, chlorate and chlorite in drinking-water

Chlorine dioxide
Any chlorine dioxide remaining at the consumer’s tap will be reduced to chlorite and chloride upon ingestion. Consequently, a guideline value for chlorine dioxide has not been established. The provisional guideline values for chlorite and chlorate are adequately protective for potential toxicity from chlorine dioxide. The taste and odour threshold for chlorine dioxide is 0.2–0.4 mg/L.

Chlorite
IARC has concluded that chlorite is not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans. The primary and most consistent finding arising from exposure to chlorite in a number of species was oxidative stress resulting in changes in the red blood cells. This observation was supported by a number of biochemical studies conducted in vitro. Studies with human volunteers for up to 12 weeks did not identify any effect on blood parameters at the highest dose tested, 36 µg/kg bw per day.

Chlorate
Although chlorate has also been reported to have effects on red blood cells, the most sensitive effects observed in rats administered sodium chlorate in drinking-water for 21 or 90 days were changes in thyroid histology (e.g. colloid depletion, hypertrophy, incidence and severity of hyperplasia) and in thyroid hormones. As with chlorite, a chlorate dose of 36 µg/kg bw per day for 12 weeks did not result in any adverse effects in human volunteers.

Insert the following at the end of the “Prevention and treatment” row (before the closing period):

(see the supporting document Management of cyanobacteria in drinking-water supplies; Annex 1)


After the fact sheet on “Dichlorprop”, insert the following new fact sheets:

**Dichlorvos**

Dichlorvos (CAS No. 62-73-7) is a broad-spectrum organophosphorus insecticide used primarily for controlling household pests and for protecting stored products from insects. It is no longer approved for use in some jurisdictions because of concerns over its acute toxicity. Dichlorvos is expected to be very mobile in soils. It is rapidly degraded by microbial activity and hydrolysis in soil, and does not adsorb to sediments. Degradation in water occurs primarily through hydrolysis. There are relatively few studies on its occurrence in source waters. Exposure from food varies widely, depending on local circumstances and usage. Dichlorvos can be inhaled from its use as a domestic insecticide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not establishing a guideline value</th>
<th>Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health-based value*</td>
<td>0.02 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute health-based value**</td>
<td>3 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Concentrations in surface water in the range 10–50 ng/l, but sometimes as high as 1500 ng/l, have been measured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADI 0–0.004 mg/kg bw, based on a NOAEL of 0.04 mg/kg bw per day for the inhibition of erythrocyte acetylcholinesterase activity in a 21-day study in male volunteers and application of a safety factor of 10

ARfD 0.1 mg/kg bw, based on a NOAEL of 1 mg/kg bw for erythrocyte acetylcholinesterase inhibition in an acute oral study in male volunteers and application of a safety factor of 10

Limit of detection 0.01 µg/l (limit of quantification) based on solvent extraction and GC analysis; 0.1 µg/l (reporting limit) based on GC-MS

Treatment performance Conventional treatment, including coagulation, filtration and chlorination, not effective; removal by membranes depends on membrane type and operational conditions. Removal by nanofiltration membranes has variable effectiveness (removal rates from 4 to 60%). Reverse osmosis would be expected to be effective (removal rates > 85%) based on removal studies and predictions.

Health-based value derivation
- allocation to water 20% of upper bound of ADI
- weight 60 kg adult
- consumption 2 litres/day

Acute health-based value derivation
- allocation to water 100% of ARfD
- weight 60 kg adult
- consumption 2 litres/day

Additional comments
The default allocation factor of 20% has been used to account for the fact that the available food exposure data, which suggest that exposure via this route is low, do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher, and as potential exposure via inhalation from indoor air resulting from use of dichlorvos as a domestic insecticide is unknown.

Guidance on interpreting the health-based value and deciding when to monitor can be found in section 8.5.3.

Assessment date 2016

Principal references
WHO (2012). *Pesticide residues in food – 2011 evaluations*
WHO (2016). *Dichlorvos in drinking-water*

* When a formal guideline value is not established, a “health-based value” may be determined in order to provide guidance to Member States when there is reason for local concern. Establishing a formal guideline value for such substances may encourage Member States to incorporate a value into their national standards when this may be unnecessary.

** For more information on acute health-based values, see section 8.7.5.

As with other organophosphorus insecticides, the inhibition of cholinesterase activity, causing neurotoxicity, is the most sensitive toxicological end-point following acute or repeated exposures to dichlorvos. Dichlorvos is unlikely to be genotoxic in vivo or to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans. Some reproductive toxicity has been observed in rats, but dichlorvos was not found to cause developmental toxicity or to be teratogenic.
**Dicofol**

Dicofol (CAS No. 115-32-2) is an organochlorine acaricide that has been registered for broad-spectrum contact, non-systemic control of plant-eating mites in cotton, tea and a wide variety of fruit, vegetable and ornamental crops. Products containing dicofol, which is manufactured from DDT, are being phased out in the USA and are no longer approved for use in the European Union. Dicofol is unlikely to reach water, but may do so if bound to particulate matter subject to runoff. Dicofol is only slightly soluble in water and binds strongly to soil. There are few data on the occurrence of dicofol in water. Exposure from food varies widely, depending on local circumstances and usage. Dicofol has been proposed as a persistent organic pollutant under the Stockholm Convention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not establishing a guideline value</th>
<th>Unlikely to be found in drinking-water or drinking-water sources*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health-based value**</td>
<td>0.01 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute health-based value***</td>
<td>6 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Not detected in limited groundwater monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI</td>
<td>0–0.002 mg/kg bw, based on a NOAEL of 0.22 mg/kg bw per day for histopathological changes in the liver and adrenal gland in a 2-year toxicity and carcinogenicity study in rats and application of a safety factor of 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARfD</td>
<td>0.2 mg/kg bw, based on a NOAEL of 15 mg/kg bw for decreased body weight and decreased feed intake in an acute neurotoxicity study in rats and application of a safety factor of 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td>Solvent extraction followed by GC-ECD may be effective (limit of quantification 5 ng/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment performance</td>
<td>Should be removed by adsorption onto activated carbon, and any dicofol adsorbed onto particulate matter would likely be removed during coagulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health-based value derivation**
- allocation to water: 20% of the upper bound of the ADI
- weight: 60 kg adult
- consumption: 2 litres/day

**Acute health-based value derivation**
- allocation to water: 100% of the ARfD
- weight: 60 kg adult
- consumption: 2 litres/day
Additional comments

The default allocation factor of 20% has been used to account for the fact that the available food exposure data, which suggest that exposure via this route is low, do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher.

Guidance on interpreting the health-based value and deciding when to monitor can be found in section 8.5.3.

Assessment date

2016

Principal references

WHO (2016). Dicofol in drinking-water

* Although dicofol does not fulfil one of the three criteria for evaluation in the Guidelines, a background document has been prepared, and a health-based value has been established, in response to a request from Member States for guidance.

** When a formal guideline value is not established, a “health-based value” may be determined in order to provide guidance to Member States when there is reason for local concern. Establishing a formal guideline value for such substances may encourage Member States to incorporate a value into their national standards when this may be unnecessary.

*** For more information on acute health-based values, see section 8.7.5.

The primary effects of dicofol after short- or long-term exposure of experimental animals were body weight reduction associated with decreased feed intake, and increased liver weight accompanied by changes in liver enzyme activities. Dicofol caused liver tumours in male mice at doses associated with significant enzyme induction and liver hypertrophy. However, on the basis of the absence of genotoxicity in an adequate range of in vitro genotoxicity and in vivo chromosomal aberration tests, the absence of carcinogenic effects in rats and the expectation that the adenomas present in mice will exhibit a threshold, dicofol is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic risk to humans at anticipated dietary exposure levels. There is a margin of 20 000 between the upper bound of the ADI and the LOAEL for liver adenomas in the male mouse.

Page 363


Page 364

➢ Replace the fact sheet on “Diquat” with the following:

**Editorial note:**
- Text revised to address new evidence
- New health-based value
- New acute health-based value
**Diquat**

Diquat (CAS No. 85-00-7; CAS No. 2764-72-9 for diquat ion) is a non-selective, quick-acting contact herbicide that is used for weed control on several food crops, for residential weed control on lawns and ornamental plants, and as an aquatic herbicide for the control of free-floating and submerged aquatic weeds in ponds and irrigation ditches. It is highly soluble in water but is strongly adsorbed to soil and is resistant to degradation in the sorbed state. Photochemical degradation in soil and water occurs in the presence of sunlight. Exposure from food is likely to be low.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not establishing a guideline value</th>
<th>Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health-based value*</td>
<td>0.03 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute health-based value**</td>
<td>20 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Rarely detected in surface water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI</td>
<td>0–0.006 mg/kg bw (expressed as the diquat ion), based on a NOAEL of 0.58 mg/kg bw per day for cataracts in a 2-year toxicity and carcinogenicity study in rats and application of a safety factor of 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARfD</td>
<td>0.8 mg/kg bw (expressed as the diquat ion), based on a NOAEL of 75 mg/kg bw for clinical signs and decreased body weight gain in the 1st week and decreased feed consumption in a neurotoxicity study in rats and application of a safety factor of 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td>1 µg/l using HPLC with UV absorbance detection after solid sorbent cartridge extraction; practical quantification limit of 1 µg/l using LC-MS analysis after solid-phase extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment performance</td>
<td>Conventional treatment, including coagulation and filtration, not effective; activated carbon may be effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health-based value derivation**

- allocation to water
  - 20% of upper bound of unrounded ADI (0.0058 mg/kg bw)
- weight
  - 60 kg adult
- consumption
  - 2 litres/day

**Acute health-based value derivation**

- allocation to water
  - 100% of unrounded ARfD (0.75 mg/kg bw)
- weight
  - 60 kg adult
- consumption
  - 2 litres/day

**Additional comments**

The default allocation factor of 20% has been used to account for the fact that the available food exposure data, which suggest that exposure via this route is low, do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher.

Guidance on interpreting the health-based value and deciding when to monitor can be found in section 8.5.3.
The eye is the main target organ following short-term repeated exposure in rats and dogs. Effects on kidney, liver and haematological parameters are also observed. Diquat is not carcinogenic in mice or rats. In tests for genotoxicity, diquat gave equivocal or positive responses in the mammalian cell cytogenetic assay, but was negative in the in vivo mouse micronucleus assay and dominant lethal assay. No reproductive effects were observed in a two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, and diquat was not teratogenic in rats or rabbits.
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Lead is used principally in the production of lead-acid batteries, solder and alloys. The organolead compounds tetraethyl and tetramethyl lead have also been used extensively as antiknock and lubricating agents in petrol, although their use for these purposes in many countries has largely been phased out. Owing to the decreasing use of lead-containing additives in petrol and of lead-containing solder in the food processing industry, concentrations in air and food are declining; in most countries, lead levels in blood are also declining unless there are specific sources, such as dust from leaded paint or occupational/household recycling of lead-containing materials. Lead is rarely present in tap water as a result of its dissolution from natural sources; rather, its presence is primarily from corrosive water effects on household plumbing systems containing lead in pipes, solder or fittings (including alloy fittings with high lead content), or from the service connections to homes. The amount of lead dissolved from the plumbing system depends on several factors, including pH, temperature, alkalinity, scale in pipes and standing time of the water, with soft, acidic water being the most plumbosolvent. Free chlorine residuals in drinking-water tend to form more insoluble lead-containing deposits, whereas chloramine residuals may form more soluble deposits in lead pipe. Accordingly, significant changes in the water quality of
a supply, resulting from, for example, changes in treatment or changes of source, can result in changes in plumbosolvency or solubilization of lead deposits, or both.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provisional guideline value</th>
<th>0.01 mg/l (10 µg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The guideline value is designated as provisional on the basis of treatment performance and analytical achievability. As this is no longer a health-based guideline value, concentrations should be maintained as low as reasonably practical. New sources of lead, such as service connections and lead solder, should not be introduced into any system, and low lead alloy fittings should be used in repairs and new installations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Occurrence | Concentrations in drinking-water are generally below 5 µg/l, although much higher concentrations (above 100 µg/l) have been measured where lead service connections or fittings are present. The primary source of lead is from service connections and plumbing in buildings; therefore, lead should be measured at the tap. Lead concentrations can also vary according to the period in which the water has been in contact with the lead-containing materials. |

| Basis of guideline derivation | The guideline value was previously based on a JECFA PTWI, which has since been withdrawn, and no new PTWI has been established, on the basis that there does not appear to be a threshold for the key effects of lead. However, substantial efforts have been made to reduce lead exposure from a range of sources, including drinking-water. The guideline value is maintained at 10 µg/l but is designated as provisional on the basis of treatment performance and analytical achievability because it is extremely difficult to achieve a lower concentration than this by central conditioning, such as phosphate dosing. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>1 µg/l by AAS; practical quantification limit in the region of 1–10 µg/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment performance</td>
<td>Not a raw water contaminant; treatment not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Additional comments | Infants and children are considered to be the most sensitive subgroups of the population. |

Lead is exceptional compared with other chemical hazards, in that most lead in drinking-water arises from lead service connections and plumbing in buildings, and the remedy consists principally of removing service connections, plumbing and fittings containing lead. This requires much time and money, and it is recognized that not all water will meet the guideline value immediately. Meanwhile, all other practical measures to reduce total exposure to lead, including corrosion control, should be implemented. In new installations or repairs, lead-free service connections and solder and low-lead alloy fittings should be used to prevent the introduction of contamination.

The sampling protocol adopted – e.g. first draw, random daytime sampling or flushed – will depend on the objective of taking the samples. Where there is a need to verify that lead solder and/or high-lead fittings have not been installed in new or repaired systems, the approach used is to take a worst-case sample that reflects an extended period of stagnation, to maximize the chance of identifying the presence of lead.
Exposure to lead is associated with a wide range of effects, including various neurodevelopmental effects, mortality (mainly due to cardiovascular diseases), impaired renal function, hypertension, impaired fertility and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Impaired neurodevelopment in children is generally associated with lower blood lead concentrations than the other effects; the weight of evidence is greater for neurodevelopmental effects than for other health effects and the results across studies are more consistent than those for other effects. For adults, the adverse effect associated with the lowest blood lead concentrations for which the weight of evidence is greatest and most consistent is a lead-associated increase in systolic blood pressure. JECFA concluded that the effects on neurodevelopment and systolic blood pressure provided the appropriate bases for dose–response analyses.

Based on the dose–response analyses, JECFA estimated that the previously established PTWI of 25 µg/kg body weight is associated with a decrease of at least 3 intelligence quotient (IQ) points in children and an increase in systolic blood pressure of approximately 3 mmHg (0.4 kPa) in adults. These changes are important when viewed as a shift in the distribution of IQ or blood pressure within a population. JECFA therefore concluded that the PTWI could no longer be considered health protective, and it was withdrawn.

Because the dose–response analyses do not provide any indication of a threshold for the key effects of lead, JECFA concluded that it was not possible to establish a new PTWI that would be considered to be health protective. JECFA reaffirmed that because of the neurodevelopmental effects, fetuses, infants and children are the subgroups that are most sensitive to lead.

It needs to be recognized that lead is exceptional compared with other chemical hazards, in that most lead in drinking-water arises from lead service connections and plumbing in buildings, and the remedy consists principally of removing plumbing and fittings containing lead, which requires much time and money. It is therefore emphasized that all other practical measures to reduce total exposure to lead, including corrosion control, should be implemented. New sources of lead, such as lead service connections and solder, should not be introduced into any system, and low lead alloy fittings should be used in repairs and new installations.

In terms of monitoring, if the monitoring objective is to identify the presence of lead in the internal plumbing of a building, then the sample should be from the tap. The sampling protocols also depend on the objective of taking the samples. First-draw samples typically will have the highest lead concentrations, but this may not be reflected in normal use if the same system provides water for toilet flushing, etc. Flushed samples, in contrast, give consistent values, but reflect the minimum contact...
time between the water and the lead-containing material. The random daytime samples, although most truly reflecting the water that the consumer drinks, give the most variable levels; hence, it is necessary to collect more samples to determine the mean level of exposure. Where there is a need to verify that lead service connections, lead solder and/or high-lead fittings have not been installed in new or repaired systems, the approach used is to take a worst-case sample that reflects an extended period of stagnation and to maximize the chance of identifying the presence of lead. Extended stagnation with sequential volume can also be used to identify sources or locations of lead as an investigative activity.
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- Within the fact sheet on “Manganese”, make the following changes:

  **Editorial note:**
  - Reason for not establishing a guideline value clarified
  - Updated text on epidemiological evidence

- Within the table, in the row “Reason for not establishing a guideline value”, replace the text “Not of health concern at levels found in drinking-water” with the following:
  - Not of health concern at levels normally causing acceptability problems in drinking-water. However, there are circumstances where manganese can remain in solution at higher concentrations in some acidic or anaerobic waters, particularly groundwater.

- Within the table, delete the “Additional comments” row:

- Under the table, replace the text with the following:

  Manganese is an essential element for humans and other animals. Several epidemiological studies have suggested that soluble manganese in drinking-water is associated with adverse effects on learning in children. These findings remain to be confirmed, and the association has yet to be demonstrated as causal. Experimental animal data, especially rodent data, are not appropriate for human risk assessment because the physiological requirements for manganese vary among different species. Further, rodents are of limited value in assessing neurobehavioural effects, because the neurological effects (e.g. tremor, gait disorders) seen in primates are often preceded or accompanied by psychological symptoms (e.g. irritability, emotional lability) that are not apparent in rodents. The only primate study is of limited use in a quantitative risk
assessment because only one dose group was studied in a small number of animals and
the manganese content in the basal diet was not provided.

A health-based value of 0.4 mg/l can be derived for manganese based on the upper
range value of manganese intake of 11 mg/day, identified using dietary surveys, at
which there are no observed adverse effects, using an uncertainty factor of 3 to take
into consideration the possible increased bioavailability of manganese from water,
allocating 20% of the TDI to drinking-water and assuming the consumption of
2 litres of water per day by a 60 kg adult. As this health-based value is well above
concentrations of manganese normally causing acceptability problems in drinking-
water (see chapter 10), it is not considered necessary to derive a formal guideline value.
Accordingly, aesthetic as well as health aspects should be considered when setting
national standards and regulations, and confirming the acceptability of drinking-
water. There are circumstances, however, where manganese can remain in solution at
higher concentrations in some acidic or anaerobic waters, particularly groundwater.
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➢ Replace the fact sheet on “MCPA” with the following:

**Editorial note:**
- Text revised to address new evidence
- New health-based value
- New acute health-based value

**MCPA**

MCPA is a phenoxyacetic acid herbicide that is found in various formulations: as
the free acid (CAS No. 94-74-6), as a dimethylamine salt (CAS No. 2039-46-5), as
a sodium salt (CAS No. 3653-48-3) and as a 2-ethylhexyl ester (CAS No. 29450-
45-1). It is a post-emergence herbicide that is widely used against broadleaf weeds
in agriculture and horticulture and on grassland and lawns. All forms of MCPA
will dissociate in water to the acid (anion) form. MCPA is highly soluble in water.
Biological degradation is an important process in determining MCPA’s environmental
fate. Chlorophenols and chlorocresols are potential soil metabolites and may, if present
in water, give rise to unacceptable tastes. Surface water may be contaminated via spray
drift and runoff, whereas groundwater may be contaminated via leaching from soil.
Exposure from food is likely to be low.
### Reason for not establishing a guideline value

Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern

### Health-based value*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.7 mg/l</td>
<td>Occurs in surface water usually less than 1 µg/l; concentrations in drinking-water usually below 0.1 µg/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Acute health-based value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 mg/l</td>
<td>Occurrence Concentrations in surface water usually less than 1 µg/l; concentrations in drinking-water usually below 0.1 µg/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–0.1 mg/kg bw for MCPA ion, based on an overall NOAEL of 12 mg/kg bw per day for changes in clinical chemistry parameters indicative of effects on the kidneys from four subchronic studies in rats and application of a safety factor of 100 ADI established for the sum of MCPA and its salts and esters, expressed as MCPA acid equivalents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ARfD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6 mg/kg bw for MCPA ion, based on the overall NOAEL of 60 mg/kg bw for maternal and developmental toxicity in rats and application of a safety factor of 100 ARfD established for the sum of MCPA and its salts and esters, expressed as MCPA acid equivalents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Limit of detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.8 µg/l using HPLC with a photodiode array UV detector; 0.09 µg/l using derivatization and GC with ECD; limit of quantification of 0.0005 µg/l for LC-MS/MS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Treatment performance

Conventional treatment not effective; activated carbon adsorption and/or ozonation and advanced oxidation processes (e.g. UV with hydrogen peroxide) are effective; membrane filtration processes (e.g. reverse osmosis) may be effective

### Health-based value derivation

- **allocation to water**: 20% of upper bound of unrounded ADI (0.12 mg/kg bw)
- **weight**: 60 kg adult
- **consumption**: 2 litres/day

### Acute health-based value derivation

- **allocation to water**: 100% of ARfD
- **weight**: 60 kg adult
- **consumption**: 2 litres/day

### Additional comments

The default allocation factor of 20% has been used to account for the fact that the available food exposure data, which suggest that exposure via this route is low, do not generally include information from developing countries, where exposure via this route may be higher.

Guidance on interpreting the health-based value and deciding when to monitor can be found in section 8.5.3.

### Assessment date

2016

### Principal references

- WHO (2013). *Pesticide residues in food – 2012 evaluations*
- WHO (2016). *MCPA in drinking-water*

---

* When a formal guideline value is not established, a “health-based value” may be determined in order to provide guidance to Member States when there is reason for local concern. Establishing a formal guideline value for such substances may encourage Member States to incorporate a value into their national standards when this may be unnecessary.

** For more information on acute health-based values, see section 8.7.5.
The target organs for the MCPA ion are the kidney, liver and blood. MCPA is not carcinogenic in mice or rats, and the MCPA ion exhibits no genotoxic potential. In multigeneration studies in rats, there was no evidence of reproductive toxicity up to the highest dose tested. The MCPA ion was not teratogenic in rats or rabbits.
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- Replace the fact sheet on “Nitrate and nitrite” with the following:

  **Editorial note:**
  - Text revised to address new evidence
  - No change to the guideline value

  **Nitrate and nitrite**
  Nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}–) is found naturally in the environment and is an important plant nutrient. It is present at varying concentrations in all plants and is a part of the nitrogen cycle. Nitrite (NO\textsubscript{2}–) is not usually present in significant concentrations except in a reducing environment, because nitrate is the more stable oxidation state. It can be formed by the microbial reduction of nitrate and in vivo by reduction from ingested nitrate. Nitrite can also be formed chemically in distribution pipes by *Nitrosomonas* bacteria during stagnation of nitrate-containing and oxygen-poor

---

1 As nitrate and nitrite are chemicals of significant concern in some natural waters, the chemical fact sheet on nitrate and nitrite has been expanded.
drinking-water in galvanized steel pipes, or if chloramination is used to provide a residual disinfectant. An excess of free ammonia entering the distribution system can lead to nitrification and the potential increase of nitrate and nitrite in drinking-water. Nitrate can reach both surface water and groundwater as a consequence of agricultural activity (including excess application of inorganic nitrogenous fertilizers and manures), from wastewater disposal and from oxidation of nitrogenous waste products in human and other animal excreta, including septic tanks. Nitrate can also occasionally reach groundwater as a consequence of natural vegetation. Surface water nitrate concentrations can change rapidly owing to surface runoff of fertilizer, uptake by phytoplankton and denitrification by bacteria, but groundwater concentrations generally show relatively slow changes. Nitrate and nitrite can also be produced as a result of nitrification in source water or distribution systems.

In general, the most important source of human exposure to nitrate and nitrite is through vegetables (nitrate and nitrite) and through meat in the diet (nitrite is used as a preservative in many cured meats). In some circumstances, however, drinking-water can make a significant contribution to nitrate and, occasionally, nitrite intake. In the case of bottle-fed infants, drinking-water can be the major external source of exposure to nitrate and nitrite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline values</th>
<th>Nitrate: 50 mg/l as nitrate ion, to be protective against methaemoglobinemia and thyroid effects in the most sensitive subpopulation, bottle-fed infants, and, consequently, other population subgroups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrite: 3 mg/l as nitrite ion, to be protective against methaemoglobinemia induced by nitrite from both endogenous and exogenous sources in bottle-fed infants, the most sensitive subpopulation, and, consequently, the general population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined nitrate plus nitrite: The sum of the ratios of the concentrations of each of nitrate and nitrite to its guideline value should not exceed 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Occurrence | Nitrate levels vary significantly, but levels in well water are often higher than those in surface water and, unless heavily influenced by surface water, are less likely to fluctuate. Concentrations often approach or exceed 50 mg/l where there are significant sources of contamination. Nitrate levels are normally lower, less than a few milligrams per litre. |
| Basis of guideline value derivation | Nitrate (bottle-fed infants): In epidemiological studies, no adverse health effects (methaemoglobinemia or thyroid effects) were reported in infants in areas where drinking-water consistently contained nitrate at concentrations below 50 mg/l. |

---

1 Conversion factors: 1 mg/l as nitrate = 0.226 mg/l as nitrate-nitrogen; 1 mg/l as nitrite = 0.304 mg/l as nitrite-nitrogen.
Nitrite (bottle-fed infants): Based on: 1) no incidence of methaemoglobinaemia at nitrate concentrations below 50 mg/l (as nitrate ion) in drinking-water for bottle-fed infants less than 6 months of age (assuming body weight of 2 kg); 2) converting 50 mg/l as nitrate to corresponding molar concentration for nitrite; 3) multiplying by a factor of 0.1 to account for the estimated conversion rate of nitrate to nitrite in infants where nitrite is formed endogenously from nitrate at a rate of 5–10%; and 4) multiplying by a source allocation factor for drinking-water of 100% or 1, as a bottle-fed infant's primary exposure to nitrite is through consumption of formula reconstituted with drinking-water that contains nitrate or nitrite. As the guideline value is based on the most sensitive subgroup of the population (bottle-fed infants less than 6 months of age), application of an uncertainty factor is not deemed necessary.

Combined nitrate plus nitrite: To account for the possibility of the simultaneous occurrence of nitrate and nitrite in drinking-water

Limit of detection

| Method | MDLs of 0.009 mg/l as nitrate ion and 0.013 mg/l as nitrite ion by IC; MDL of 0.04–4.4 mg/l as nitrate ion by automated cadmium reduction with colorimetry (recommended for the analysis of nitrate at concentrations below 0.4 mg/l) |

Treatment performance

| Method | Nitrate: Effective central treatment technologies involve the physical/chemical and biological removal of nitrate and include ion exchange, reverse osmosis, biological denitrification and electrodialysis, which are capable of removing over 80% of nitrate from water to achieve effluent nitrate concentrations as low as 13 mg/l; conventional treatment processes (coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and chlorination) are not effective. |

Additional comments

| Method | The guideline values for both nitrate and nitrite are based on short-term effects; however, they are also considered protective for any possible long-term effects. |

Methaemoglobinaemia is complicated by the presence of microbial contamination and subsequent gastrointestinal infection, which can increase the risk for bottle-fed infants significantly. Authorities should therefore be all the more vigilant that water to be used for bottle-fed infants is microbiologically safe when nitrate is present at concentrations near or above the guideline value. It is particularly important to ensure that these infants are not currently exhibiting symptoms of gastrointestinal infection (diarrhoea). Also, as excessive boiling of water to ensure microbiological safety can concentrate levels of nitrate in the water, care should be taken to ensure that water is heated only until it reaches a rolling boil. In extreme situations, alternative sources of water (e.g. bottled water) can be used.

Nitrite is relatively unstable and can be rapidly oxidized to nitrate. Nitrite can occur in the distribution system at higher concentrations when chloramination is used, but the occurrence is almost invariably intermittent. Methaemoglobinaemia is therefore the most important consideration, and the guideline value derived for protection against methaemoglobinaemia would be the most appropriate under these circumstances, allowing for any nitrate that may also be present.
All water systems that practise chloramination should closely and regularly monitor their systems to verify disinfectant levels, microbiological quality and nitrite levels. If nitrification is detected (e.g. reduced disinfectant residuals and increased nitrite levels), steps can be taken to modify the treatment train or water chemistry in order to minimize nitrite formation. Effective disinfection must never be compromised. Excessively high levels may occur in small supplies; where this is suspected from the risk assessment, testing may be appropriate.

Assessment date 2016

Principal references
WHO (2016). Nitrate and nitrite in drinking-water

Absorption of nitrate ingested from vegetables, meat or water is rapid and in excess of 90%; final excretion is in the urine. In humans, about 25% of ingested nitrate is recirculated in saliva, of which about 20% is converted to nitrite by the action of bacteria in the mouth. There is also endogenous formation of nitrate from nitric oxide and protein breakdown as part of normal metabolism. In normal healthy adults, this endogenous synthesis leads to the excretion of about 62 mg of nitrate ion per day in the urine. Endogenous formation of nitrate or nitrite can be significantly increased in the presence of infections, particularly gastrointestinal infections. When nitrate intake is low, endogenous formation may be the major source of nitrate in the body. Nitrate metabolism is different in humans and rats, as rats may not actively secrete nitrate in their saliva.

Nitrate probably has a role in protecting the gastrointestinal tract against a variety of gastrointestinal pathogens, as nitrous oxide and acidified nitrite have antibacterial properties. It may have other beneficial physiological roles. Hence, there may be a benefit from exogenous nitrate uptake, and there remains a need to balance the potential risks with the potential benefits.

Significant bacterial reduction of nitrate to nitrite does not normally take place in the stomach, except in individuals with low gastric acidity or with gastrointestinal infections. These may include individuals using antacids, particularly those that block acid secretion. In humans, methaemoglobinaemia is a consequence of the reaction of nitrite with haemoglobin in the red blood cells to form methaemoglobin, which binds oxygen tightly and does not release it, thus blocking oxygen transport. Although most absorbed nitrite is oxidized to nitrate in the blood, residual nitrite can react with haemoglobin. High levels of methaemoglobin (>10%) formation in infants can give rise to cyanosis, referred to as blue-baby syndrome. Although clinically significant methaemoglobinaemia can occur as a result of extremely high nitrate intake in adults and children, the most familiar situation is its occurrence in bottle-fed infants. This was considered to be primarily a consequence of high levels of nitrate in water, although there have been cases of methaemoglobinaemia in weaned infants, associated with high nitrate intake from vegetables. Bottle-fed infants are considered to be at greater
risk because the intake of water in relation to body weight is high and, in infants, the development of repair enzymes is limited. In clinical epidemiological studies of methaemoglobinaemia and subclinical increases in methaemoglobin levels associated with drinking-water nitrate, 97% of cases occurred at concentrations in excess of 44.3 mg/l, with clinical symptoms associated with the higher concentrations. The affected individuals were almost exclusively under 3 months of age.

Although drinking-water nitrate may be an important risk factor for methaemoglobinaemia in bottle-fed infants, there is compelling evidence that the risk of methaemoglobinaemia is primarily increased in the presence of simultaneous gastrointestinal infections, which increase endogenous nitrite formation, may increase reduction of nitrate to nitrite and may also increase the intake of water in combating dehydration. Cases have been described in which gastrointestinal infection seems to have been the primary cause of methaemoglobinaemia. Most cases of methaemoglobinaemia reported in the literature are associated with contaminated private wells (predominantly when the drinking-water is anaerobic) that also have a high probability of microbial contamination, which should not occur if it is properly disinfected.

Although numerous epidemiological studies have investigated the relationship between exposure to nitrate or nitrite in drinking-water and cancer occurrence, the weight of evidence does not support an association between cancer and exposure to nitrate or nitrite per se. Nitrite can react with nitrosatable compounds, primarily secondary amines, in the body to form N-nitroso compounds. A number of these are considered to be carcinogenic to humans, whereas others, such as N-nitrosoproline, are not. Several studies have been carried out on the formation of N-nitroso compounds in relation to nitrate intake in humans, but there is large variation in the intake of nitrosatable compounds and in gastric physiology. Higher mean levels of N-nitroso compounds, along with high nitrate levels, have been found in the gastric juice of individuals who are achlorhydric (i.e. have very low levels of hydrochloric acid in the stomach). However, other studies have been largely inconclusive, and there appears to be no clear relationship with drinking-water nitrate compared with overall nitrate intake in relation to formation of N-nitroso compounds. Moderate consumption of a number of dietary antioxidant components, such as ascorbic acid and green tea, appears to reduce endogenous N-nitrosamine formation.

A significant number of epidemiological studies have been carried out on the association of nitrate intake with primarily gastric cancers. Although the epidemiological data are considered to be inadequate to allow definitive conclusions to be drawn regarding all cancers, there is no convincing evidence of a causal association with any cancer site. The weight of evidence indicates that there is unlikely to be a causal association between gastric cancer and nitrate in drinking-water. This is consistent with the conclusion by IARC that ingested nitrate or nitrite under conditions that result in endogenous nitrosation is probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A), but not nitrate alone.
There have been suggestions that nitrate in drinking-water could be associated with congenital malformations, but the overall weight of evidence does not support this.

Nitrate appears to competitively inhibit iodine uptake, with the potential for an adverse effect on the thyroid. Current evidence also suggests that exposure to nitrate in drinking-water may alter human thyroid gland function by competitively inhibiting thyroidal iodide uptake, leading to altered thyroid hormone concentrations and functions. Although studies found that exposure to nitrate concentrations above 50 mg/l are weakly associated with altered thyroid function, the evidence is limited, conflicting and based on studies with important methodological limitations. Mode of action data suggest that pregnant women and infants are the most sensitive populations, owing primarily to the importance of adequate thyroid hormones for normal neurodevelopment in the fetus and infant, but also to increased thyroid hormone turnover and low intrathyroidal stores in fetal and early life.

There have been suggestions of an association between nitrate in drinking-water and the incidence of childhood diabetes mellitus. However, subsequent studies have not found a significant relationship, and no mechanism has been identified.

In some studies on rats treated with high doses of nitrite, a dose-related hypertrophy of the zona glomerulosa of the adrenal was seen; one strain of rats appeared to be more sensitive than others. However, this minimal hyperplasia was considered to be due to physiological adaptation to small fluctuations in blood pressure in response to high nitrite doses.

Nitrate is not carcinogenic in laboratory animals. Nitrite has been frequently studied, and there have been suggestions of carcinogenic activity, but only at very high doses. The most recent long-term studies have shown only equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity in the forestomach of female mice, but not in rats or male mice. In view of the lack of evidence for genotoxicity, this led to the conclusion that sodium nitrite was not carcinogenic in mice and rats. In addition, as humans do not possess a forestomach and the doses were high, the significance of these data for humans is very doubtful.

The guideline value for nitrate of 50 mg/l, as nitrate ion, is based on an absence of health effects (methaemoglobinemia and thyroid effects) in epidemiological studies and is protective for bottle-fed infants and, consequently, other parts of the population. Methaemoglobinemia is complicated by the presence of microbial contamination and subsequent gastrointestinal infection, which can increase the risk for this group significantly. Authorities should therefore be all the more vigilant that water to be used for bottle-fed infants is microbiologically safe when nitrate is present at concentrations near the guideline value. It is particularly important to ensure that these infants are not currently exhibiting symptoms of significant gastrointestinal infection (diarrhoea). Also, as excessive boiling of water to ensure microbiological safety can concentrate levels of nitrate in the water, care should be taken to ensure that water is heated only until it reaches a rolling boil. In extreme situations, alternative sources of water (e.g. bottled water) can be used.
The guideline for nitrite of 3 mg/l, as nitrite ion, is based on: 1) no incidence of methaemoglobinaemia at nitrate concentrations below 50 mg/l in drinking-water for bottle-fed infants less than 6 months of age (assuming body weight of 2 kg), 2) converting 50 mg/l nitrate to the corresponding molar concentration for nitrite, 3) multiplying by a factor of 0.1 to account for the estimated conversion rate of nitrate to nitrite in infants where nitrite is formed endogenously from nitrate at a rate of 5–10% and 4) multiplying by a source allocation factor for drinking water of 100% or 1, as a bottle-fed infant’s primary exposure to nitrite is through consumption of formula reconstituted with nitrate- or nitrite-containing drinking-water. As the health-based value is based on the most sensitive subgroup of the population (bottle-fed infants less than 6 months of age), application of an uncertainty factor is not deemed necessary.

Because of the possibility of the simultaneous occurrence of nitrate and nitrite in drinking-water, the sum of the ratios of the concentration (C) of each to its guideline value (GV) should not exceed 1:

\[
\frac{C_{\text{nitrate}}}{GV_{\text{nitrate}}} + \frac{C_{\text{nitrite}}}{GV_{\text{nitrite}}} \leq 1
\]

The guideline values are based on short-term effects; however, they are also considered protective for long-term effects.

Practical considerations
The most appropriate means of controlling nitrate concentrations, particularly in groundwater, is the prevention of contamination. This may take the form of appropriate management of agricultural practices (e.g. management of fertilizer and manure application and storage of animal manures) and sanitation practices (e.g. the careful siting of pit latrines and septic tanks, sewer leakage control).

Methaemoglobinaemia has most frequently been associated with private wells. It is particularly important to ensure that septic tanks and pit latrines are not sited near a well or where a well is to be dug and to ensure that animal manure is kept at a sufficient distance to ensure that runoff cannot enter the well or the ground near the well. It is particularly important that the household use of manures and fertilizers on small plots near wells should be managed with care to avoid potential contamination. The well should be sufficiently protected to prevent runoff from entering the well. Where there are elevated concentrations of nitrate or where inspection of the well indicated that there are sources of nitrate close by that could be causing contamination, particularly where there are also indications that microbiological quality might also be poor, a number of actions can be taken. As noted above, water should be heated only until the water reaches a rolling boil or disinfected by an appropriate means before consumption. Where alternative supplies are available for bottle-fed infants, these can be used, taking care to ensure that they are microbiologically safe. Steps should then
be taken to protect the well and ensure that sources of both nitrate and microbial contamination are removed from the vicinity of the well.

In areas where household wells are common, health authorities may wish to take a number of steps to ensure that nitrate contamination is not or does not become a problem. Such steps could include targeting mothers, particularly expectant mothers, with appropriate information about water safety, assisting with visual inspection of wells to determine whether a problem may exist, providing testing facilities where a problem is suspected, providing guidance on disinfecting water or, where nitrate levels are particularly high, providing bottled water from safe sources or providing advice as to where such water can be obtained.

With regard to piped supplies, where nitrate is present, the first potential approach to treatment of drinking-water supplies, if source substitution is not feasible, is to dilute the contaminated water with a low-nitrate source. Where blending is not feasible, a number of treatment techniques are available for drinking-water. The first is disinfection, which may serve to oxidize nitrite to the less toxic nitrate as well as minimize the pathogenic and non-pathogenic reducing bacterial population in the water. Nitrate removal methods include ion exchange, biological denitrification, reverse osmosis and electrodialysis. However, there are disadvantages associated with all of these approaches, including cost, operational complexities and the need for disposal of resin, brine or reject water. Conventional municipal water treatment processes (coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and chlorination) are not effective for nitrate removal, as nitrate is a stable and highly soluble ion with low potential for co-precipitation and adsorption.

In systems with a water source containing naturally occurring ammonia or that add ammonia for chloramination, free ammonia entering the distribution system can be one of the causative factors of nitrification and the potential increase of nitrate and nitrite in the distribution system. Care should be taken with the use of chloramination for providing a residual disinfectant in the distribution system. It is important to manage this to minimize nitrite formation, either in the main distribution system or in the distribution systems of buildings.
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Page 408

- Insert the following new fact sheet on “Perchlorate” above the fact sheet on “Petroleum products”:

**Perchlorate**

Perchlorate is a naturally occurring anion that is frequently detected in the environment. It is used primarily as an oxidizer for solid rocket fuels, automotive airbags, fireworks and road flares. Perchlorate is found in water due to contamination from perchlorate manufacturing or use, natural deposits of perchlorate, use of fertilizers containing natural deposits of perchlorate, and natural formation of perchlorate in the atmosphere and its deposition during rain or snow events. It also forms in hypochlorite solutions to varying degrees, depending on the hypochlorite concentration, age and storage conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline value</th>
<th>0.07 mg/l (70 µg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Generally found in drinking-water at concentrations below 10 µg/l, although concentrations above 40 µg/l have been measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMTDI</td>
<td>0.01 mg/kg bw, based on a BMDL&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; of 0.11 mg/kg bw per day for 50% inhibition of iodide uptake, derived from a human clinical study on healthy adult volunteers administered perchlorate in drinking-water, and using an uncertainty factor of 10 to account for inter-individual differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td>20–50 ng/l (method reporting limits) by LC-MS; 4 µg/l (method reporting limit) by IC with suppressed conductivity detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment performance</td>
<td>The perchlorate anion is highly stable in water and is difficult to remove using conventional water treatment technologies. Treatment technologies that have been shown to effectively remove perchlorate from water include nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes, anaerobic biodegradation and ion exchange.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Guideline value derivation**

- allocation to water
  - 20% of unrounded PMTDI (0.011 mg/kg bw)
- weight
  - 60 kg adult
- consumption
  - 2 litres/day

**Assessment date**

- 2016

**Principal references**

- EFSA (2014). Scientific opinion on the risks to public health related to the presence of perchlorate in food, in particular fruits and vegetables
- FAO/WHO (2011). Safety evaluation of certain contaminants in food
- WHO (2016). Perchlorate in drinking-water
The primary effect of perchlorate is its ability to competitively inhibit uptake of iodide by the thyroid gland. Inhibition of iodide uptake by perchlorate reduces the amount of iodide available for the synthesis of thyroid hormones. Sustained reduction in iodide uptake by the thyroid may result in hypothyroidism, which has adverse implications for structural and functional brain development in the fetus, infant and child, and for metabolism and the functioning of the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, skeletal, neuromuscular and reproductive systems in adults. As the rat is not a good model for humans for substances known to affect the thyroid and having a mode of action involving inhibition of the uptake of iodide, the guideline value was derived from human studies.
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Under Principal references, insert the following reference below the IPCS (2004) reference:

USNTP (1987). Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of bromodichloromethane in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage studies)

Page 431


Page 432


Page 440

In the last line of the table, replace “WHO (2010)” with “WHO (2008)”
Changes to “Annex 1: Supporting documentation to the Guidelines”

Page 443

➤ In the first paragraph, change the first URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/guidelines/drinking-water-guidelines-publications/en/

➤ Insert the following before “Assessing microbial safety of drinking-water”:

A practical guide to auditing water safety plans
Published in 2015 by the World Health Organization
Provides guidance on developing and implementing a WSP auditing scheme, including examples, case studies and tools from more than a dozen low-, middle- and high-income countries with WSP auditing experience


➤ Insert the following below “Assessing microbial safety of drinking-water”:

Boil water
Published in 2015 by the World Health Organization
Provides the scientific basis for the efficacy of boiling water
http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/boiling-water/en/

➤ Below “Calcium and magnesium in drinking-water: Public health significance”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/publication_9789241563550/en/

Page 444
➤ Below “Domestic water quantity, service level and health”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/wsh0302/en/
➤ Below “Hazard characterization for pathogens in food and water”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/hazard-characterization-for-pathogens/en/

Page 445
➤ Below “Heterotrophic plate counts and drinking-water safety”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/hpc/en/
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- Insert the following below “Legionella and the prevention of legionellosis”:

  Management of cyanobacteria in drinking-water supplies: information for regulators and water suppliers
  Published in 2015 by the World Health Organization
  Guidance for regulators and water suppliers to prevent and manage cyanobacterial blooms

- Below “Managing water in the home”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/wsh0207/en/


- Insert the following below “Pathogenic mycobacteria in water”:

  Pharmaceuticals in drinking-water
  Published in 2012 by the World Health Organization
  Provides evidence-based, practical guidance and recommendations for managing pharmaceuticals in drinking-water.

- Insert the following below “Protecting groundwater for health”:

  Protecting surface water for health: identifying, assessing and managing drinking-water quality risks in surface water catchments
  Published in 2016 by the World Health Organization
  Provides a structured approach to understanding surface waters and their catchments to support the identification, assessment and prioritization of the risks, and the development of management strategies for their control, as a basis for providing safe drinking-water
  http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/pswh/en

- Below “Quantifying public health risk in the WHO Guidelines”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/quantifyinghealthrisks/en/
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Page 446

- Insert the following above “Rapid assessment of drinking-water quality”:

  *Quantitative microbial risk assessment: application for water safety management*
  
  Published in 2016 by the World Health Organization
  
  Synthesizes the current knowledge on quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) to facilitate its application in the practice of water supply, water reuse and water recreation to support the management of risks associated with faecal pathogens in the water-related context. http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/qmra/en


- Below “Safe drinking-water from desalination”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/desalination_guidance/en/

- Below “Safe piped water”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/safe-piped-water/en/

- Below “Scaling up household water treatment among low-income populations”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/household_water_treatment/en/

Page 447


- Insert the following below “Toxic cyanobacteria in water”:

  *Turbidity: information for regulators and operators of water supplies*
  
  Published in 2017 by the World Health Organization
  
  Provides information on the uses and significance of turbidity, and is intended for regulators and operators of drinking-water supplies.

- Below “Upgrading water treatment plants”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/treatplants/en/
Below “Water quality—Guidelines, standards and health”, change the URL to:

Insert the following below “Edited by D. Cunliffe et al” in the “Water Safety in
Buildings” entry:

Published in 2011 by the World Health Organization


Insert the following below “Water safety in buildings”

Water safety in distribution systems
Published in 2014 by the World Health Organization
A reference tool to help water suppliers and regulators who are familiar with the
water safety plan approach to enhance risk assessment and management and
investment planning for their water distribution systems.

Insert the following below “Water safety in distribution systems”

Water safety plan: a field guide to improving drinking-water safety in small communities
Published in 2014 by the World Health Organization
Contains short explanations of the water safety planning process (including practical
templates and tips) that support WSP development and implementation in small
communities


Below “Water safety planning for small community water supplies”, change the
URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/small-comm-water_supplies/en/

Under “Water safety planning for small community water supplies”:
• Replace the first line with “Published in 2012 by the World Health Organization”
• Replace the last line with “http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/small-comm-water_supplies/en/”
➢ Above “Water treatment and pathogen control”, change the URL to:
http://who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/wsp0506/en


Changes to “Annex 2: References cited”

Page 449

Chapter 1

- Below “Brikké F”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/omruralsystems/en/


- Below “Simpson-Hébert M, Sawyer R, Clarke L”, change the URL to: http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/63260


Page 450

Chapter 4

Chapter 5


Chapter 6


Page 452

Top section (continuation of chapter 7)


Chapter 8


- Under Chapter 8, insert the following reference below the “IPCS (2009)” reference:


- Below “Solecki R et al. (2005)”, change the URL to: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16040182
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**Page 453**

**Chapter 9**


**Page 454**

**Chapter 11**


**Page 455**

> For footnote 2, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/guidelines/chemicals/en/

**Page 456**

> Delete the following references:


> Change “WHO (2003)” to “WHO (2004)” for the Brominated acetic acids, Carbofuran and Carbon tetrachloride references, and move the references to page 460, as indicated below.
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Page 457

➢ Change “WHO (2003)” to “WHO (2004)” for the Copper, DDT and its derivatives, Dialkyltins, Dimethoate and Endosulfan references, and move the references to page 460, as indicated below.

➢ Delete the following reference:


Page 458


Page 459

➢ Delete the following reference:


➢ Change “WHO (2003)” to “WHO (2004)” for the Methoxychlor, Methyl parathion, Monochloramine, Monochloroacetic acid, Monochlorobenzene and Parathion references, and move the references to page 460, as indicated below.

Page 460


➢ Insert the following reference below the “WHO (2005) 1,4-Dioxane in drinking-water” reference:

- Delete the following reference:


Page 461

- Delete the following reference:


- Insert the following reference below the “WHO (2005) Trihalomethanes in drinking-water” reference:


- Insert the following reference below the “WHO (2008) Pirimiphos-methyl in drinking-water” reference:


Page 462

- Delete the following reference:

Delete the following references:


Insert the following above “Other references cited”:


- Insert the following references below the “Chorus I, Bartram J, eds (1999)” reference:


- Delete the following reference:


Page 464

- Delete the following reference:


- Delete the following reference:


- Insert the following reference below the “FAO/WHO (2011) Evaluation of certain contaminants in food” reference:

At top of page, under “Fluoride in drinking-water”, change the URL to: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/fluoride-in-drinking-water/en/

Insert the following reference below the “Fawell J et al. (2006)” reference:


Delete the following reference:


Insert the following reference after ISO (1982) (at bottom of page):


Insert the following reference at the top of the page:


Insert the following references below the “WHO (2007)” reference:


Changes to “Annex 3: Chemical summary tables”

Page 469

- For Bentazone, change the text in the second column to read as follows: “Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern”

- Replace the “Chlorine dioxide” entry with the following:

  Chlorine dioxide  Reduced primarily to chlorite, chlorate and chloride in drinking-water, and to chlorite and chloride upon ingestion; the provisional guideline values for chlorite and chlorate are protective for potential toxicity from chlorine dioxide

Page 470

- Insert the following below the “1,3-Dichloropropane” entry:

  Dichlorvos  Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern
  Dicofol  Unlikely to occur in drinking-water or drinking-water sources

- Replace the “Diquat” entry with the following:

  Diquat  Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern

- Change the superscript “b” on “Glyphosate and AMPA” to superscript “c”

Page 471

- Replace the text in the first column next to “Manganese” with the following:

  Not of health concern at levels normally causing acceptability problems in drinking-water. However, there are circumstances where manganese can remain in solution at higher concentrations in some acidic or anaerobic waters, particularly groundwater.
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- Insert the following below the “Manganese” entry:
  
  MCPA$^d$ Occurs in drinking-water or drinking-water sources at concentrations well below those of health concern

- Change the superscript “c” on pH / Not of health concern at levels found in drinking-water to superscript “e”

Page 472

- Insert a new footnote “b” as follows:

  b Although dicofol does not fulfil one of the three criteria for evaluation in the Guidelines, a background document has been prepared and a health-based value has been established, in response to a request from Member States for guidance.

- Change footnote “b” to footnote “c”

- Insert a new footnote “d”:

  d (2-Methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)acetic acid.

- Change footnote “c” to footnote “e”

Page 474

- Delete the following row:

  | MCPA$^a$ | 0.002 | 2 |

- For the “Nitrate” entry, replace Short-term exposure with the following:

  Based on short-term effects, but protective for long-term effects

- For the “Nitrite” entry, replace Short-term exposure with the following:

  Based on short-term effects, but protective for long-term effects

- Insert the following below Pentachlorophenol:

  | Perchlorate | 0.07 | 70 |

- Change the superscript on 2,4,5-T from “f” to “e”
For the “Uranium” entry, replace 0.30 (P) with 0.03 (P)

In the footnote, change the description associated with “D” to: provisional guideline value because effective disinfection may result in the guideline value being exceeded

Delete footnote “e” below the table

Change footnote “f” to footnote “e” below the table
Changes to “Annex 4: Analytical methods and achievability”

Page 480

➢ Insert the following after “Pentachlorophenol”:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IC-SCD</th>
<th>LC-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 481

➢ Delete the following row:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IC-SCD</th>
<th>LC-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCPA</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 484

➢ Insert “IC-SCD  Ion chromatography-suppressed conductivity detection” between “IC-FD” and “ICP”
Changes to “Annex 5: Treatment methods and performance”

Page 496

- Insert the following after “Pentachlorophenol”:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ion Exchange</th>
<th>Membranes</th>
<th>Biological Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perchlorate</td>
<td>Yes(^d)</td>
<td>Yes(^d)</td>
<td>Yes(^d)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 498

- Delete the following row:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCPA</th>
<th>+++</th>
<th>+++</th>
<th>yes(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Changes to “Annex 6: Supporting information on radionuclides”

页505

- 改变表6.1下 footnote ’a’ 为：

指导水平按各有效小数点位数零次幂（10^0）和10^1次幂（10）的平均数来确定。例如，若计算值为2 Bq/L（即2 × 10^0），指导水平为10^0（即1）; 而如果计算值为3 Bq/L（即3 × 10^0或以上），指导水平为10^1（即10）。
Changes to “Annex 7: Contributors to the development of the fourth edition of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality”

Page 509

- Change the title of Annex 7 to read as follows:

  Annex 7: Contributors to the development of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition incorporating the first addendum

- Replace the first sentence of Annex 7 with the following:

  This annex lists the names of those who have contributed to the development of the fourth edition of the Guidelines for drinking-water quality and to the first addendum to the fourth edition, through participation at relevant meetings, authorship or peer review of text in the Guidelines themselves or its supporting documents, or through provision of intellectual advice.

Pages 509–517

- On Page 509, change the URL to: http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/204411

- Replace the list of contributors with the following:

  C. Abbot, United Utilities, United Kingdom
  H. Abouzaid, WHO, Egypt
  L. Achene, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy
  J. Adams, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom
  E. Addai, United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), Senegal
  A. Adin, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
  S. Adrian, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
  R. Aertgeerts, formerly WHO Regional Office for Europe, Germany
  F. Ahmed, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Bangladesh
S. Bish, United Nations Children's Fund, USA
E. Blanton, PATH, USA
M. Blokker, Kiwa Water Research, the Netherlands
V.L. Bombeta, Local Water Utilities Administration (LWUA), Philippines
L. Bonadonna, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy
R. Bos, WHO, Switzerland (currently International Water Association, the Netherlands),
M. Bowman, Water Corporation, USA
B. Breach, Independent Consultant, United Kingdom
E. Briand, Ministère du Travail, de l’Emploi et de la Santé, France
J.D. Brookes, The University of Adelaide, Australia
T. Brooks, Health Canada, Canada
J. Brown, Public Health England (currently independent consultant), United Kingdom
J. Brown, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom (currently Georgia Institute of Technology, USA)
C. Browne, Ministry of Health, St Michael, West Indies
G. Brundrett, Brundrett Associates, United Kingdom
T. Bruursema, NSF International, USA
P. Byleveld, New South Wales Department of Health, Australia
E. Calderon, Sanitary Engineering Institute, Argentina
M.E. Calderon, Peru
R. Calderon, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
P. Callan, Independent Consultant, Australia
D. Calmet, International Organization for Standardization and Nuclear Advisor of the Permanent Mission of France at United Nations, Austria
D. Campbell-Lendrum, WHO, Switzerland
E. Carden, Department of Health and Human Services, Australia
Z. Carr, WHO, Switzerland
V. Casey, WaterAid, United Kingdom
C. Castell-Exner, German Technical and Scientific Association for Gas and Water, Environment, France
C Chaffey, Health Canada, Canada
R. Chalmers, Public Health Wales Microbiology, United Kingdom
P. Chave, Pollution Control, United Kingdom
J. Chen, Health Canada, Canada
C.K. Chew, WHO, Switzerland
T. Chhoden, Ministry of Works and Human Settlement, Bhutan
M.L. Chong, WHO, Philippines (currently PUB, the national water agency, Singapore)
I. Chorus, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
D. Chuckman, International Flight Services Association, Canada
G. Cissé, Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland
T. Clasen, Emory University, USA
L. Coccagna, Independent Consultant, Italy
J. Colbourne, Drinking Water Inspectorate, United Kingdom
A. Colgan, International Atomic Energy Agency, Australia
G. Combs, United States Department of Agriculture, USA
J. Cooper, Health Canada, Canada
L. Corrales, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
R. Costello, National Institutes of Health, USA
P. Costrop, Syngenta Crop Protection, Switzerland
J. Cotruvo, Joseph Cotruvo & Associates/NSF International Collaborating Centre, USA
M. Couper, formerly WHO, Switzerland
D Court Marques, European Food Safety Authority, Italy
C. Cox, Caribbean Environmental Health Institute, St Lucia
P. Cox, Sydney Water, Australia
A. Cronin, University of Surrey, United Kingdom
D. Crump, Cranfield University
D. Cunliffe, Department of Health, Australia
F. Dangendorf, University of Bonn, Germany
L. D'Anglada, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
T. Darlow, MWH, United Kingdom
D. Davidson, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Food and Drug Administration, USA
A. Davison, Risk Edge, Australia
C. de Bazigan, Antenna, Switzerland
L.R. de Dios, Department of Health, Philippines
D. Deere, Water Futures Pty Ltd, Australia
J. De France, WHO, Switzerland
D. de Jager, Ministry of Health, New Zealand
M. Del Rosario Perez, WHO, Switzerland
J. Dennis, Thames Water Utilities, United Kingdom
A.M. de Roda Husman, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, the Netherlands
P. de Souza, Emanti Management, South Africa
K. de Vette, International Water Association, the Netherlands
D. Deere, Water Futures Pty. Ltd., Australia
H. Dieter, formerly Federal Environment Agency, Germany
P. Donlon, Water Services Association, Australia
J. Donohue, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
T. Dooley, United Nations Children’s Fund, USA
F. Douchin, DASS de Seine Maritime, France
N. Dowdall, British Airways, United Kingdom
P. Drechsel, International Water Management Institute, Sri Lanka
J. Drewes, Colorado School of Mines, USA
D. Drury, Independent Consultant, United Kingdom
I. Dublineau, Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire, France
N.T. Duong, Vietnam Water Supply and Sewerage Association (VWSA), Viet Nam
L. Düster, Federal Institute of Hydrology, Germany
K. Dziekan, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
A. Eckhardt, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
C. Edgar, Cranfield University, United Kingdom
P. Edmondson, Medentech Ltd, United Kingdom
C. Eidhin, Environmental Protection Agency, Ireland
A. Eleveld, Safe Water and AIDS Project, Kenya
R. Elin, University of Louisville, USA
T. Endo, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan
S. Enkhtsetseg, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Germany
J. Escamilla, WHO, Panama
A. Evans, International Civil Aviation Organization, Canada
M. Exner, Institute for Hygiene and Public Health, University of Bonn, Germany
A. Eyring, Philadelphia Water Department, USA
J. Falkinham, Virginia Tech, USA
Z. Fang, Department of Health Quarantine, General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the People’s Republic of China, China
J. Fastner, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
J. Fawell, Cranfield University, United Kingdom
D. Fayzieva, Uzbekistan Academy of Science, Uzbekistan
T. Fengthong, Ministry of Health, Lao People’s Democratic Republic
L. Ferenc, Institute for Water Pollution Control, Water Resources Research Centre, Hungary
C. Fergusson, Department of Primary Industries, Australia
E. Ferretti, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy
I. Feuerpfeil, formerly Federal Environment Agency, Germany
L. Fewtrell, Aberystwyth University, United Kingdom
M. Foran, Centre for Affordable Water and Sanitation Technology (CAWST), Canada
K. Ford, Rio Tinto, United Kingdom
M. Forson, United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), USA
P. Fosselard, European Federation of Bottled Water, Belgium
C. Frambøl, Danish Water and Waste Water Association (DANVA), Denmark
M. Frobel, IM System, Germany
D. Frost, Aqua Focus Ltd, United Kingdom
D. Fujise Waterworks Bureau Kawasaki City, Japan
D. Gamper, Airports Council International, Switzerland
R. Gangaraju, Health Canada, Canada
D. Gatel, EUREAU, Belgium
M. Gately, Medentech, Ireland
R.J. Gelting, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
M. Giddings, Health Canada, Canada
Karina Gin, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
S. Gitahi, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Ghana
S. Godfrey, Water and Sanitation Expert, Ethiopia
C. Gollnisch, Akkreditierte Hygieneinspektionsstelle für Trinkwassersysteme, Germany
R. Goossens, Compagnie Intercommunale Bruxelloise des Eaux, Belgium
B. Gordon, WHO, Switzerland
F. Gore, WHO, Switzerland
E. Goslan, Cranfield University, United Kingdom
W. Grabow, retired (formerly of University of Pretoria, South Africa)
J. Grace, Air Safety, Health and Security Department, Association of Flight Attendants-CWA, USA
A.C. Grandjean, Center for Human Nutrition, USA
O. Green, wca environment limited, United Kingdom
P. Greiner, NSF International, USA
R. Grey-Gardner, formerly Centre for Appropriate Technology, Australia
A. Growers, WRc, United Kingdom
C. Güler, Hacettepe University, Turkey
M. Gunnarsdóttir, University of Iceland, Iceland
R. Gupta, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, India
C. Hadjichristodoulou, University of Thessaly, Greece
L. Hamilton, formerly Ministry of Health, New Zealand
S. Harris, International Life Sciences Institute, USA
P. Hartemann, Faculté de Médecine de Nancy, France
T. Hasan, Pacific Islands Applied Geoscience Commission, FijiS. Hauswirth, Public Health Service, Germany
R.P. Heaney, Creighton University, USA
J. Hearn, ALS Water Resources Group, Australia
P. Heaton, formerly Power and Water Corporation, Australia
H. Heijnen, Consultant, c/o United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Lao People's Democratic Republic
J. Hejzlar, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic
L. Heller, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Brazil
K. Hellier, Melbourne Water, Australia
S. Herbst, Institute for Hygiene and Public Health, University of Bonn, Germany
O. Hernandez, FHI 360, USA
A. Hill, Vestergaard Frandsen, Switzerland
M.R. Hipsey, The University of Western Australia, Australia
A. Hirose, National Institute of Health Sciences, Japan
E.J. Hoekstra, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection, Italy
C. Hollister, Air Transport Association of Canada, Canada
H. Holstag, 300 in 6, the Netherlands
L. Hope, WHO, Switzerland
R. Hossain, WHO, Switzerland
G. Howard, Department for International Development, United Kingdom
S. Hrudey, University of Alberta, Canada
L.C. Hsiang, National Environment Agency, Singapore
J. Huff, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, USA
A. Humpage, South Australian Water Corporation, Australia
P. Hunter, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom
A. Hussain, Ministry of Housing and Environment, Maldives
F. Husson, Solar Solutions LLC, USA
S.A. Ibrahim, Ministry of Housing and Environment, Maldives
S. Iddings, formerly WHO South Pacific Office, Fiji
P. Illig, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
A. Illmer, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), USA
T. Inoue, Japan Water Forum, Japan
F. Istace, European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Italy
M. Itoh, National Institute of Public Health, Japan
S. Itoh, Kyoto University, Japan
D. Jackson, Consultant, Nepal
P. Jackson WRc-NSF Ltd, United Kingdom
C. Jacobsson, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden
P. Jagals, University of Queensland, Australia
A. Jayaratne, Yarra Valley Water, Australia
E. Jesuthasan, WHO, Switzerland
H.E. Jianzhong, National University of Singapore, Singapore
B. Jiménez Cisneros, Institute of Engineering, Mexico
R. Johnston, WHO, Switzerland
H. Jones, Loughborough University, United Kingdom
T.T. Jorge, formerly WHO, Switzerland
C. Jørgensen, DHI, Denmark
P.S. Joshi, National Environment Agency, Singapore
T. Jung, German Federal Office for Radiation Protection, Germany
A. Kabirizi, Ministry of Water and Environment, Uganda
Mihály Kádár, formerly National Institute of Environmental Health, Hungary
S. Kalandarov, WHO, Tajikistan
N. Kalebaila, Water Research Commission, South Africa
A. Kämpfe, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
M. Kanazawa, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan
B.P. Kandel, Amarapuri Water Utility, Nepal
C. Kanyesigye, National Water and Sewerage Corporation (NWSC), Uganda
P. Karani, African Development Bank, Tunisia
G.Y.-H. Karina, National University of Singapore, Singapore
H. Kasan, Rand Water, South Africa
A. Kasuya, Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, Japan
H. Katayama, University of Tokyo, Japan
D. Kay, Aberystwyth University, United Kingdom
S. Khan, The University of New South Wales, Australia
K. Khatri, WHO, Fiji
N.R. Khatri, formerly WHO, Nepal
R. Khush, Aquaya, USA
P. Kirch, Enwor-Energie & Wasser Vor Ort GmbH, Germany
N. Kishida, National Institute of Public Health, Japan
T. Kistemann, University of Bonn, Germany
S. Klitzke, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
W. Kloas, Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Germany
K. Komatsu, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan
K. Kosaka, National Institute of Public Health, Japan
N.O. Kotei, Public Utilities Regulatory Commission, Ghana
P. Kozarsky, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
F. Kozisek, National Institute of Public Health, Czech Republic
R. Krysci, Germany
Y. Kubo, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan
P. Kubon, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
Y. Kudo, Japan Water Works Association, Japan
S. Kumar, University of Malaya, Malaysia
S. Kunikane, University of Shizuoka, Japan
S. Kurebayashi, Ministry of the Environment, Japan
W. Kutane, WHO, Ethiopia
P. Labhasetwar, National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, India
J. Lafontaine, Health Canada, Canada
H. Lahav, Makhshim Chemical Works Ltd, USA
K.C. Lai, PUB, the national water agency, Singapore
D. Lantagne, Tufts University, USA
L. Laraki, Office National de l'eau Potable, Morocco
M.W. LeChevallier, American Water, USA
H.K. Lee, National University of Singapore, Singapore
J. Lee, Health Protection Agency, United Kingdom
R. Mendes, Acquawise, Portugal
D.L. Menucci, WHO, France
J. Mercer, Health Canada, Canada
B.J. Merkel, Freiberg University of Mining and Technology, Germany
W. Merkel, IWW, Germany
R. Meyerhoff, Lilly Research Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company, USA
F. Miranda da Rocha, National Sanitary Control Agency, Brazil
R. Mitchell, WRc, United Kingdom
H.G.H. Mohammad, Ministry of Health, Kuwait
D. Moir, Health Canada, Canada
D. Mokadam, Association of Flight Attendants-CWA, USA
A. Molinari, Ente Regulador de Agua y Sanemiento (ERAS), Argentina
M. Mons, Kiwa Water Research, the Netherlands
M. Montgomery, WHO, Switzerland
A. Mooijman, Independent Consultant, the Netherlands
C. Morais, Águas do Cávado, Portugal
H. Morii, Osaka City University, Japan
V. Morisset, Health Canada, Canada
T. Morita, Japan Water Forum, Japan
N. Moritani, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan
R. Morris, University College London, United Kingdom
B. Mouchtouri, University of Thessal, Greece
M. Moussif, Mohamed V Airport, Morocco
F.H. Mughal, Independent Researcher, India
C. Munoz-Trochez, formerly International Water Association, United Kingdom
J. Nadeau, Health Canada, Canada
N.O. Nascimento, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil
K.J. Nath, Institution of Public Health Engineers, India
M. Ncube, Johannesburg Water, South Africa
R. Neipp, Ministry of Health and Social Policy, Spain
T. Neville, Vestergaard Frandsen, Zambia
T. Ngai, Centre for Affordable Water and Sanitation Technology (CAWST), Canada
M.S. Ngon, WHO, Myanmar
A.V.F. Ngowi, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, United Republic of Tanzania
C. Nicholson, Sydney Water, Australia
F.H. Nielsen, United States Department of Agriculture, USA
J.W. Nieves, Columbia University, USA
Y. Nijdam, Waternet, the Netherlands
C. Nokes, Environmental Science and Research Ltd, New Zealand
V.J. Novotny, Professor Emeritus, Marquette University, USA, and Northeastern University, USA
N. O’Connor, Ecos Environmental Consulting, Australia
O. Odediran, United Nations Children’s Fund, USA
O. Oenema, Wageningen University and Research Center, the Netherlands
G. Offringa, formerly Water Research Commission, South Africa
M. Ogoshi, National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management, Japan
J-E Oh, Pusan National University, Korea
E. Ohanian, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
S. Okamoto, Public Works Research Institute, Japan
S. Ólafsdóttir, Icelandic Food and Veterinary Authority, Iceland
C-N. Ong, National University of Singapore, Singapore
S.L. Ong, National University of Singapore, Singapore
L. Onyon, WHO, Switzerland
P. Osborn, 300in6 initiative, the Netherlands
W. Oswald, Rollins School of Public Health, USA
J. O’Toole, Monash University, Australia
S. Ou, Public Health South, New Zealand
M. Overmars, formerly Pacific Islands Applied Geoscience Commission, Fiji
S.R. Panthi, WHO, Nepal
A. Paoli, Atkins Limited, United Kingdom
J-M. Parra Morte, European Food Safety Authority, Italy
T. Paux, Ministère de la santé, de la jeunesse et des sports, France
Payden, WHO, India
G-L. Peralta, WHO, Philippines
S. Perry, State of Washington Office of Drinking Water, USA
S. Petterson, Water & Health Pty Ltd, Australia
C. Pickl, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
B. Pilon, International Air Transport Association, Switzerland
O. Pintos, Asociación Federal de Entes Reguladores de Agua y Saneamiento de Argentina, Argentina
W. Piyasena, formerly Ministry of Water Supply and Drainage, Sri Lanka
M. Plemp, Centre for Infectious Disease Control, the Netherlands
B. Plotkin, WHO, Switzerland
M. Podeprel, Helioz Research and Development, Austria
T. Pohle, Air Transport Association, USA
C. Pollard, Drinking Water Inspectorate, United Kingdom
S. Pollard, Cranfield University, United Kingdom
K. Pond, University of Surrey, United Kingdom
K. Porter, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
D. Poulin, Health Canada, Canada
J. Pratt, Veolia Water Central, United Kingdom
F. Properzi, WHO, Switzerland (currently UN-Water, Switzerland)
T. Pule, WHO, Republic of Congo
D. Purkiss, NSF International, USA
W. Qu, Fudan University, China
A. Queste, University of Bonn, Germany
H. Quiñones, Scientific and Technical Translator, Spain
R. Rainey, United States Agency for International Development (USAID), USA
S. Ramasamy, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA
V. Ramnath, National Environment Agency, Singapore
T. Rapp, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
S. Regli, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
P. Regunathan, Regunathan & Associates Inc., USA
D. Reid, Alberta Environment and Parks, Canada
B. Rickert, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
A. Rinehold, WHO, Switzerland
U. Ringelband, formerly Federal Environment Agency, Germany
J. Ringo, Bio-Cide International, Inc., USA
S. Risica, National Institute of Health, Italy
M. Rivett, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom
W. Robertson, retired (formerly Health Canada, Canada)
C. Robertson-Kellie, Drinking Water Quality Regulator for Scotland, United Kingdom
C. Rockey, South West Water, United Kingdom
G. Rodier, WHO, Switzerland
A.L.G. Rodrigues, Sabesp, Brazil
J. Rose, Michigan State University, USA
J.W. Rosenboom, Water and Sanitation Program of the World Bank (WSP), Cambodia (currently Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation)
S. Rostron, Ministry of Health, New Zealand
K. Rotert, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
R. Rowe, The Water Institute, University of North Carolina, USA
H.J. Salas, Adviser, Pan American Center for Sanitary Engineering and Environmental Sciences, Lima, Peru
M. Samwell, Women in Europe for a Common Future, the Netherlands
R. Sancho, Águas do Algarve, Portugal
H. Sanderson, Danish National Environmental Research Institute, Denmark
A. Sargaonkar, National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, India
B. Schaefer, Federal Environment Agency, Germany
S. Schaub, formerly Environmental Protection Agency, USA
J. Schijven, RIVM and Utrecht University, the Netherlands
O. Schmoll, WHO European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn, Germany
B. Schnabel, formerly Federal Environment Agency, Germany
R. Sebastien, Health Canada, Canada
S. Seki, Ministry of the Environment, Japan
C. Sevenich, Hamburg Port Health Center, Germany
F. Shafeeqa, Live & Learn Environmental Education, Maldives
G. Shaghaghi, Ministry of Health, Islamic Republic of Iran
N. Shah, Unilever R & D Laboratory, India
F. Shannoun, WHO, Switzerland
R.K. Sharma, Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Fund Development Board, Nepal
N. Shaw, International Shipping Federation, United Kingdom
D. Sheehan, Coliban Water, Australia
M. Sheffer, Editor, Canada
E. Sheward, University of Central Lancashire, United Kingdom
P. Shodmonov, State Sanitary Epidemiological Surveillance Service, Tajikistan
K. Sholtes, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
D. Shrestha, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Switzerland
L. Siegel, Safe Water International, USA
L. Simas, ERSAR, the Water and Waste Services Regulation Authority, Portugal
D. Simazaki, National Institute of Public Health, Japan
J. Simmonds, Health Protection Agency, United Kingdom
J. Sims, retired (formerly WHO, Switzerland)
M. Sinclair, Monash University, Australia
O. Sinitsyna, Ministry of Health, Russia
C. Skak, Danish Toxicology Centre, Denmark
P. Smeets, KWR Watercycle Research Institute, the Netherlands
D. Smith, Melbourne Water, Australia
J. Smith, Independent Consultant, United Kingdom
S. Smith, Wessex Water, United Kingdom
S. Snyder, University of Arizona, USA
S. Snyder, University of Arizona, USA
M. Sobsey, University of North Carolina, USA
J. Soller, Soller Environmental, LLC, USA
B. Sontia, University of Ottawa, Canada
T.-A. Stenstrom, Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control, Sweden (currently Durban University of Technology, South Africa)
M. Stevens, Melbourne Water, Australia
M. Stevenson, Cascade Designs, USA
N. Stewart, Carnival UK, United Kingdom
V. Straškrábová, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic
S. Sturm, German Technical and Scientific Association for Gas and Water – Technologiezentrum Wasser, Germany
K. Sudo, Japan International Cooperation Agency, Japan
A. Sufiev, State Sanitary Epidemiological Surveillance Service, Tajikistan
J. Suhaimi, Ranhill Utilities, Malaysia
S. Sumanaweera, National Water Supply and Drainage Board, Sri Lanka
C. Summerill, Cranfield University, United Kingdom
S. Surman-Lee, Health Protection Agency, United Kingdom
D. Susau, Live & Learn Environmental Education, Fiji
D. Sutherland, Independent Consultant, United Kingdom
A. Suzuki, Ministry of the Environment, Japan
K. Suzuki, TMWW, Japan
M. Swart, Rand Water (formerly Department of Water Affairs), South Africa
M. Takahashi, Hokkaido University, Japan
A. Tamas, Swiss Federal Institute of Environmental Science and Technology/
Department of Water and Sanitation in Developing Countries, Switzerland
H. Tanaka, Kyoto University, Japan
K. Tanaka, formerly Waterworks Bureau Kawasaki City, Japan
R. Tanner, Independent Consultant, Belgium
M. Taylor, formerly Ministry of Health, New Zealand
C. Teaf, Florida State University, USA
P. Teixeira, WHO, USA
M. Templeton, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
P. Teunis, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, the Netherlands,
and Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, USA
C. Thibeault, International Air Transport Association, Canada
T. Thompson, WHO, Philippines
S.M. Tibatemwa, International Water Association, Kenya
D. Till, Independent Consultant, New Zealand
I. Toh, PUB, the national water agency, Singapore
R. Tomisaka, Ministry of the Environment, Japan
N. Ton Tuan, WHO, Viet Nam
R. Torres, WHO, Plurinational State of Bolivia
R.M. Touyz, University of Ottawa, Canada
B. Tracy, Health Canada, Canada
A. Trevett, WHO, Bangladesh (currently United Nations Children’s Fund [UNICEF],
Kenya)
Y. Trihadiningrum, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Indonesia
D.M. Trindade, Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Macao Special
Administrative Region, China
A. Tritscher, WHO, Switzerland
S. Tuite, Health Canada, Canada
T.M. Ua-Cookson, Ministry of Health, New Zealand
T. Udagawa, Japan Water Works Association, Japan
P. Undesser, Water Quality Association, USA
S. Vajpeyee, Government Medical College and New Civil Hospital, India
K. van den Belt, Flander Environment Agency, Belgium
J.P. van der Hoek, Amsterdam Water Supply, the Netherlands
P. Van Maanen, United Nations Children’s Fund, USA
J. Van Zyl, University of Cape Town, South Africa
L. Varadi, President of the Hungarian Aquaculture Association, Hungary
G. Velo, University of Verona, Italy
F. Venter, University of Pretoria, South Africa
E. Veschetti, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Italy
E. Viau, Bioenergy Frontiers, USA
C. Vickers, WHO, Switzerland
J.M.P. Vieira, University of Minho, Portugal
L. Vijeslaar, Danish Committee for Aid to Afghan Refugees (DACAAR), Afghanistan
C. Viljoen, Rand Water, South Africa
D. Viola, International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials and World Plumbing Council, USA
N. Virabouth, Ministry of Public Works and Transport, Lao People's Democratic Republic
G. Vivas, WHO, Barbados
M. von Sperling, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil
T. Wade, Environmental Protection Agency, USA
R. Walker, Water Corporation, Australia
C. Wallace, United Nations University, Canada
N. Wang, WHO, France
C. Weaver, Purdue University, USA
S. Webster, MWH, New Zealand
W. Weglicki, George Washington University Medical Center, USA
S. Weragoda, National Water Supply and Drainage Board, Sri Lanka
S. Westacott, Southampton City Council, United Kingdom
K. White, representing collective view from American Chemistry Council, USA
I. Wienand, University of Bonn, Germany
S. Wijesekara, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), USA
A. Wiklund, DG Energy, European Commission, Luxembourg
T. Williams, International Water Association, the Netherlands
D. Wilusz, Department of State, USA
C. Witkowski, Association of Flight Attendants-CWA, USA
K.-M. Wollin, Niedersächsisches Landesgesundheitsamt, Germany
K.W. Wong, PUB, the national water agency, Singapore
M. Yadav, Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Fund Development Board, Nepal
J. Yap, National Environment Agency, Singapore
G Yasinski, Health Canada, Canada
R. Yuen, International Water Association, Singapore
T. Zabel, WRc, United Kingdom
R. Zhang, National Center for Rural Water Supply Technical Guidance, Center for Disease Control and Prevention, China
G. Ziglio, University of Trento, Italy
ANNEX 7. CONTRIBUTORS TO FOURTH EDITION INCORPORATING FIRST ADDENDUM
Changes to “Index”

Page 518

- Under “Page numbers in bold indicate main discussions” add:

This index has not been updated to reflect any new entries or changes that result from the incorporation of the first addendum into the fourth edition of the *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality.*
Annex I. Summary of process for developing the Guidelines, and information on steering, guideline development and working groups, and other contributors

Methods used to develop the guideline

The publication Policies and procedures used in updating the WHO guidelines for drinking-water quality guides the development of the Guidelines.

Updating of the guidance in the Guidelines is based on assessment of the highest quality scientific reviews as they become available, and of new reviews specifically commissioned as necessary by WHO.

For this addendum, existing high-quality literature reviews informed the chemical risk assessments and update of chemical guideline or health-based values.

Decision-making

The publication Policies and procedure for updating the guidelines for drinking-water quality is used by the GDG and working group (WG) experts as a formal guide to the process by which the guidelines are revised, how decisions are made, how data are interpreted and applied in establishing recommendations and guidance, and secretariat procedures associated with meetings.

Amendments to the Guidelines are agreed by consensus. Where a consensus cannot be achieved and the issue is not urgent, the amendments can be re-reviewed before publication in the second addendum.

Special provisions have been made for issues that are considered urgent but for which a consensus cannot be achieved. Decisions can be made based on a majority vote, where at least half the total members of the GDG plus one support the amendment. No urgent issues were addressed as part of the first addendum.

**Table A1: Members of the WHO Guidelines for drinking-water quality Steering Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Department/unit</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hamed Bakir</td>
<td>EMRO</td>
<td>Environmental Health Interventions Unit</td>
<td>Regional advisor, environmental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Brown</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>PHE/Chemical Safety</td>
<td>Ensure linkage with chemical risk assessment programmes (International Programme on Chemical Safety)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer De France</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Department of Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health (PHE)/Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Health</td>
<td>Management and coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Gordon</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Department of Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health (PHE)/ Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Health</td>
<td>Strategic and technical oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payden</td>
<td>SEARO</td>
<td>Water, Sanitation and Health Unit</td>
<td>Regional advisor, environmental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Perez</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Department of Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health (PHE)/Radiation Programme</td>
<td>Ensure linkage with radiation protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Pruss-Ustun</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Department of Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health (PHE)/Assessment of Environmental Health Impacts</td>
<td>Ensure linkage with burden of diseases estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Schmoll</td>
<td>EURO</td>
<td>Water and Sanitation Unit</td>
<td>Regional advisor, environmental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathon Simon</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Department of Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health (MCA)/ MCA Research and Development Unit</td>
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