

Antimicrobial effectiveness of furazolidone against metronidazole-resistant strains of *Helicobacter pylori*

R. Safaralizadeh,¹ F. Siavoshi,² R. Malekzadeh,³ M.R. Akbari,³ M.H. Derakhshan,³ M.R. Sohrabi³ and S. Massarraf³

فعالية الفورازوليدون المضادة للمكروبات ضد ذراري المملوئية البوابية المقاومة للمترونيديازول
رضا صفر عليزاده، فريده سيأوشي، رضا ملك زاده، محمد رضا أكبري، محمد حسين درخششان، محمد رضا سهرابي، صادق مسرت

الخلاصة: إن ظهور الذراري المقاومة للمترونيديازول، يؤدي إلى إخفاق نظام استئصال المملوئية البوابية *Helicobacter pylori* بالأدوية الأربعة، في جمهورية إيران الإسلامية. وقد تم في هذه الدراسة مقارنة النجاعة المختبرية للفورازوليدون مع نجاعة كل من المترونيديازول، والكلاريثروميسين، والأموكسيسيلين، والتتراسيكلين، في معالجة 70 مستفردة من المملوئية البوابية، من مرضى يعانون من عسر الهضم. وقد كان ثلث (33%) المستفرّدات مقاومة للمترونيديازول، في حين كانت جميعاً شديدة الحساسية للفورازوليدون. ومن ثمّ يمكن اعتبار الفورازوليدون بديلاً ملائماً للمترونيديازول في معالجة العدوى بالمملوئية البوابية.

ABSTRACT The occurrence of strains resistant to metronidazole is causing failure of the 4-drug regimen for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in the Islamic Republic of Iran. This study compared the *in vitro* efficacy of furazolidone with metronidazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin and tetracycline in 70 *H. pylori* isolates from dyspeptic patients. Of the isolates, 33% were resistant to metronidazole but all were susceptible to furazolidone. Furazolidone could be considered as an appropriate substitute for metronidazole for *H. pylori* infections.

Efficacité antimicrobienne de la furazolidone contre les souches d'*Helicobacter pylori* résistantes au métronidazole

RÉSUMÉ L'apparition de souches résistantes au métronidazole cause l'échec du schéma associant quatre médicaments pour un traitement d'éradication d'*Helicobacter pylori* en République islamique d'Iran. La présente étude a comparé l'efficacité *in vitro* de la furazolidone avec le métronidazole, la clarithromycine, l'amoxicilline et la tétracycline pour 70 isolats de *H. pylori* provenant de patients dyspeptiques. Parmi ces isolats, 33 % présentaient une résistance au métronidazole, mais tous ont montré une sensibilité à la furazolidone. La furazolidone pourrait être considérée comme substitut approprié du métronidazole pour les infections à *H. pylori*.

¹Immunology, Asthma and Allergy Research Institute; ²Digestive Disease Research Centre, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

³Faculty of Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran (Correspondence to F. Siavoshi: Siavoshi@Khayam.ut.ac.ir).

Received: 25/12/03; accepted: 11/11/04

Introduction

Many studies on the chemotherapy of *Helicobacter pylori* infections have indicated that eradication of *H. pylori* from the human stomach is hard to achieve and recrudescence occurs as a result of a persistent residual population that survived the therapy or re-infection with new strains. The low efficacy of currently used antimicrobials, even as quadruple regimens, urges researchers to look for novel antimicrobials with higher efficacy.

Metronidazole has been included in quadruple therapies in the Islamic Republic of Iran, but due to suboptimal eradication rates, investigators have attempted to replace it with other antimicrobials such as furazolidone [1]. However, resistance to metronidazole has been reported with varying degrees (20%–30%), reaching up to 70% in some European countries [2]. There is evidence that most metronidazole-resistant strains have a mutation in the *RDXA* gene, which leads to an inability to reduce the active nitro- group in metronidazole [3].

Although clarithromycin is effective when used in combination with a bismuth salt, a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), and amoxicillin or metronidazole [4,5], worldwide reports also describe an increasing emergence of resistant strains [6,7], reaching up to 14% in France [8]. Clarithromycin resistance is believed to result from clonal selection of resistant variants rather than from reinfection with exogenous clarithromycin-resistant strains [9]. Resistance appears to be due to a single nucleotide mutation [10] or post-transcriptional methylation of the 23S rRNA [11]. Amoxicillin and tetracycline are the 2 most highly effective antimicrobials against *H. pylori in vitro* and there are very few reports of resistant strains emerging [12–14]. Furazolidone appears to

be an effective antimicrobial agent against *H. pylori*, particularly in combination with other antimicrobials such as clarithromycin [15,16] or tetracycline [17]. Furthermore, there are few reports of *H. pylori* resistance to furazolidone [18,19].

H. pylori infection is highly prevalent in the population of the Islamic Republic of Iran (> 80% in one study [20]) and a considerable proportion of individuals suffer from dyspeptic diseases such as gastric ulcer [20] or cancer [21]. Furthermore, a high frequency (37%) of metronidazole-resistant strains in the country increases the risk of persistence of *H. pylori* infection [22]. Accordingly, this might be a plausible reason for incorporating furazolidone in quadruple regimens for the eradication of metronidazole-resistant strains of *H. pylori*.

In this study, epsilometer (E-test) and disk diffusion methods were used to compare the susceptibility of *H. pylori* isolates from Iranian patients to a range of antimicrobials including furazolidone. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the antimicrobials was also determined.

Methods

The study group was 70 dyspeptic patients referred to the endoscopy unit at Shariaty Hospital in Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran between 2001–03. The patients were diagnosed with ulcer (9), oesophagitis (18), Barrett's oesophagus (15) and gastritis (28).

Antral biopsies were cultured on selective Brucella blood agar (Merck), and plates were incubated under microaerobic conditions (5% CO₂) at 37 °C. The identity of bacterial isolates was confirmed by microscopy and positive catalase, oxidase and urease reactions. Three-day cultures were used to prepare bacterial suspensions in normal saline, with the turbidity equiva-

lent to McFarland standard no. 1. Volumes of 100 μL of bacterial suspensions were spread evenly over the Mueller–Hinton agar containing 7% defibrinated blood. E-test strips (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) or blank paper disks were then deposited on the surface of the inoculated plates. Plates were incubated as mentioned earlier and examined after 2–5 days.

The E-test was used to assess the susceptibility of *H. pylori* isolates to metronidazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin and tetracycline. The MIC obtained for amoxicillin, tetracycline and clarithromycin were within the same range of 0.016–0.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Highly susceptible strains produced inhibition zones at MIC \leq 0.016 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Susceptible isolates had MIC ranging from 0.016–0.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Those *H. pylori* strains which were not inhibited by antimicrobial concentrations of \geq 0.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ were considered as resistant or highly resistant. For metronidazole, however, the MIC was different, ranging from 8–32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Highly resistant strains were not inhibited by concentrations of \geq 32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and resistant strains grew at metronidazole concentrations between 8–32 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. Susceptible strains produced inhibition zones at metronidazole concentrations of $<$ 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$.

The antimicrobial efficacy of furazolidone against *H. pylori* was assessed by the disk diffusion method. Serial dilutions

of furazolidone (Sigma): 1, 0.75, 0.5, 0.25, 0.12 and 0.06 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ were prepared in dimethylformamide. Then 10 μL volumes of furazolidone dilutions were introduced into paper disks on the surface-inoculated blood agar. The plates were examined after 2–5 days of microaerobic incubation. The MIC for furazolidone was determined as 0.12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and susceptibility of *H. pylori* isolates was determined on the basis of the diameter of inhibition zones. Strains of *H. pylori* exhibiting the inhibition zones of 13–16 mm were considered as susceptible, and those producing inhibition zones of $>$ 16 mm were considered as highly susceptible. Growth inhibition was not observed on plates deposited with blank discs containing dimethylformamide only.

Results

From 70 *H. pylori* isolates tested for susceptibility to amoxicillin, 61.4% were highly susceptible, 37.1% susceptible, and only 1 strain (1.4%) exhibited resistance (Table 1). The latter was highly susceptible to other antimicrobials. The majority of *H. pylori* isolates (72.8%) were highly susceptible to low concentrations of tetracycline, but 27.1% were inhibited by higher concentrations (0.016–0.25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), and are thus considered as susceptible. Resistance to tet-

Table 1 Susceptibility of 70 isolates of *H. pylori* to amoxicillin, tetracycline and clarithromycin by epilometer (E-test)

Antimicrobial agent	Highly susceptible MIC \leq 0.01			Susceptible 0.016 < MIC < 0.25			Resistant MIC \geq 0.2		
	No.	%	95% CI	No.	%	95% CI	No.	%	95% CI
Amoxicillin	43	61.4	49.0–72.8	26	37.1	25.9–49.5	1	1.4	0.0–7.7
Tetracycline	51	72.9	60.9–82.8	19	27.1	17.2–39.1	0	0.0	
Clarithromycin	65	92.9	84.1–97.6	4	5.7	1.5–13.9	1	1.4	0.0–7.7

MIC = minimum inhibitory concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$).

CI = confidence interval.

racycline was not observed among *H. pylori* isolates (Table 1).

Clarithromycin showed a considerable efficacy in inhibiting *H. pylori*. Sixty-five out of 70 (92.9%) strains were highly susceptible, 5.7% susceptible, and only 1 strain (1.4%) showed resistance to clarithromycin (Table 1).

H. pylori isolates were also resistant to metronidazole: 21.4% were highly resistant, 11.4% resistant and 67.1% susceptible to metronidazole (Table 2). The frequency of metronidazole resistance in isolates from patients with Barrett's oesophagus (20.0%), ulcer (22.2%), and oesophagitis (27.8%) was higher than those from gastritis patients (17.9%), but *t*-test analysis showed it was not significant ($P = 0.56$) (Table 3).

Among 70 *H. pylori* isolates, 7 (10.0%; 95% CI: 4.1–19.5%) were susceptible and 63 (90.0%; 95% CI: 80.5–95.9%) were highly susceptible to furazolidone. None of the isolates exhibited resistance to furazolidone (Table 4). The number of highly susceptible *H. pylori* isolates to furazolidone was significantly more than to amoxicillin ($P < 0.001$; *t*-test), tetracycline ($P < 0.01$; *t*-test), and metronidazole ($P < 0.001$; *t*-test).

Discussion

Quadruple therapies have been proved to be the most effective antimicrobial regimens against *H. pylori* in the Islamic Republic of Iran [1,4,23], and recrudescence of infection occurs mainly due to the occurrence

of strains resistant to metronidazole [1]. Metronidazole, although considered as one of the most suitable antimicrobials, induces the highest rate of resistance (15%–90%) in *H. pylori* populations [24]. In this study, resistance to metronidazole was 33%. It appeared that patients with ulcer, Barrett's oesophagus and oesophagitis were more often infected with resistant strains compared with gastritis patients. Previous study in the Islamic Republic of Iran showed that 37% of isolates were resistant to metronidazole [22]. Resistance to metronidazole is also prevalent in Japan with frequencies of 54.5% [25] and 26.5% [26], and in Netherlands with a rate of 24% [27]. Resistance as high as 61% is reported from Peru [28].

Clarithromycin efficacy, when used in combination therapies, has been reported [4,5], but the emergence of resistant strains plus its high cost, make its application limited. The frequency of resistance to clarithromycin in this study was 1.4%. This was close to the lower range of resistance (1%–13%) reported from different regions of the world, including Sweden, Poland and Spain [2].

Amoxicillin and tetracycline have applications against a wide range of pathogenic microorganisms and there are very few reports on the emergence of resistant strains. These 2 antimicrobials thus continue to be successfully used in combination therapies against *H. pylori* [29,30]. In this study, resistance to tetracycline was not observed, indicating its high efficacy against

Table 2 Susceptibility of 70 isolates of *H. pylori* to metronidazole by epsilometer (E-test)

Antimicrobial agent	Susceptible MIC > 8			Resistant 8 ≤ MIC ≤ 32			Highly resistant MIC > 32		
	No.	%	95% CI	No.	%	95% CI	No.	%	95% CI
Metronidazole	47	67.1	54.9–77.9	8	11.4	5.6–21.3	15	21.4	12.5–32.8

MIC = minimum inhibitory concentration (μg/mL).

CI = confidence interval.

Table 3 Susceptibility of 70 isolates of *H. pylori* to metronidazole according to patient diagnosis

Patient diagnosis	No. tested	Resistant		
		No.	%	95% CI
Barrett's oesophagus	15	3	20.0	4.3–48.1
Ulcer	9	2	22.2	2.8–60.0
Oesophagitis	18	5	27.8	9.7–53.5
Gastritis	28	5	17.9	6.1–36.9

CI = confidence interval.

H. pylori. Bacterial isolates from Peruvian patients did not show resistance to tetracycline [28], although 4.9% resistant strains occurred in Korea and 6.7% in Japan [31], and 58% in China [32]. Among 70 *H. pylori* isolates, 1 (1.43%) exhibited resistance to amoxicillin. The majority of studies reported no resistance to amoxicillin [13,14]; however, resistance as high as 71.9% was found in China [32].

Furazolidone with MIC of 0.12 µg/mL was comparable to amoxicillin, tetracycline and clarithromycin and showed a remarkable efficacy against *H. pylori*. None of the isolates exhibited resistance to this antimicrobial. Reports from different regions of the world also describe the high efficacy of furazolidone in eradication of *H. pylori* [33]. Furazolidone was effective in eradica-

tion of *H. pylori* when used in triple [15,34] or quadruple therapies [35]. Different MIC have been obtained in various laboratories, e.g. from < 0.006–0.2 µg/mL in China [36,37]. However, 4% furazolidone resistance and 42% metronidazole resistance were reported for *H. pylori* in Brazil [19]. A similar report from South Korea described 2% furazolidone and 52% metronidazole-resistant strains of *H. pylori* [18]. It was also found that metronidazole-resistant and -susceptible strains were both similarly inhibited by furazolidone [33,38]. These data are confirmed by other reports, indicating that there is no cross-resistance between metronidazole and furazolidone among *H. pylori* strains [33].

Furazolidone is an antimicrobial from the nitrofuran group. Like that of metronidazole, the bactericidal mechanism of action of this group of antimicrobials involves enzymatic reduction of the parent compound to electrophilic radicals [39,40]. In spite of the similarity in the mechanisms of action, it appears that development of bacterial resistance to metronidazole [41,42] is different from that of nitrofurans [43]. Furthermore, *H. pylori* does not appear to readily acquire resistance to nitrofurans [44]. Prescription of furazolidone in combination with amoxicillin or tetracycline, plus ranitidine and a bismuth salt has led to a higher eradication rate of *H. pylori* (82%) compared with metronidazole (56%) [1]. Furazolidone has been also effective in the clearance of *H. pylori* and resolution of acute gastric inflammation [3,37] and duodenal ulcer healing [15]. The results of this study suggest the recruitment of furazolidone as an effective, cheap and readily available antimicrobial [33] in quadruple therapy regimens especially in areas with high prevalence of metronidazole-resistant strains of *H. pylori*.

Table 4 Susceptibility of 70 *H. pylori* strains to furazolidone with the minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.12 µg/mL

Bacterial response	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)	% resistant
Susceptible	13–16	10
Highly susceptible	> 16	90
Resistant	< 13	0

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mrs Janeshin for her assistance in the endoscopy room and

Miss Alamshahi for helping to organize the manuscript.

References

1. Malekzadeh R et al. Furazolidone versus metronidazole in quadruple therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in duodenal ulcer disease. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 2000, 14:299–303.
2. O'Morain C, Montague S. Challenges to therapy in the future. *Helicobacter*, 2000, 5(suppl. 1):S23–6.
3. Jenks PJ, Ferrero RL, Labigne A. The role of the *FDXA* gene in the evolution of metronidazole resistance in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 1999, 43:753–8.
4. Fakheri H et al. Clarithromycin vs. furazolidone in quadruple therapy regimens for the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* in a population with a high metronidazole resistance rate. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 2001, 15:411–6.
5. Gisbert JP et al. High efficacy of ranitidine bismuth citrate, amoxicillin, clarithromycin and metronidazole twice daily for only five days in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. *Helicobacter*, 2001, 2(6):157–62.
6. Megraud F. Strategies to treat patients with antibiotic resistant *Helicobacter pylori*. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, 2000, 16:507–9.
7. Weissfeld A et al. Geographical distribution in the United States of primary resistance to clarithromycin and metronidazole in patients infected with *Helicobacter pylori*. *Gastroenterology*, 1997, 112:A328.
8. Broutet N et al. Survey of the in vitro susceptibility of *Helicobacter pylori* to antibiotics in France: preliminary results. *Gut*, 1998, 43(suppl. 2):A11.
9. Debets-Ossenkopp YJ et al. Mechanism of clarithromycin resistance in clinical isolates of *Helicobacter pylori*. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 1996, 142:37–42.
10. Vester B, Garrett RA. A plasmid-coded and site-directed mutation in *Escherichia coli* 23S rRNA that confers resistance to erythromycin: implications for the mechanism of action of erythromycin. *Biochemistry*, 1987, 69:891–900.
11. Arthur M, Brisson-Noël A, Courvalin P. Origin and evolution of genes specifying resistance to macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin antibiotics: data and hypotheses. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 1987, 20:783–802.
12. Boyanova L et al. Primary and combined resistance to four antimicrobial agents in *Helicobacter pylori* in Sofia, Bulgaria. *Journal of medical microbiology*, 2000, 49:415–8.
13. Cabrita J et al. Features and trends in *Helicobacter pylori* antibiotic resistance in Lisbon area, Portugal (1990–1999). *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 2000, 46:1029–31.
14. Wolle K et al. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to several antimicrobial agents in a region of Germany. *European journal of clinical microbiology and infectious disease*, 1998, 17:519–21.
15. Dani R et al. Omeprazole, clarithromycin and furazolidone for the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in patients with duodenal ulcer. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 1999, 13(12):1647–52.
16. Liu WZ et al. Furazolidone-containing short-term triple therapies are effective in the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 1999, 13:317–22.

17. Graham DY et al. Furazolidone combination therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the United States. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 2000, 14(2):211–5.
18. Kwon DH et al. Furazolidone- and nitrofurantoin-resistant *Helicobacter pylori*: prevalence and role of genes involved in metronidazole resistance. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 2001, 45: 306–8.
19. Mendonca S et al. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* resistance to metronidazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin, tetracycline, and furazolidone in Brazil. *Helicobacter*, 2000, 5:79–83.
20. Massarrat S et al. Peptic ulcer disease, irritable bowel syndrome and constipation in two populations in Iran. *European journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*, 1995, 7:427–33.
21. Mikaeli J et al. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* in two Iranian provinces with high and low incidence of gastric carcinoma. *Archives of Iranian medicine*, 2000, 3: 6–9.
22. Siavoshi F et al. Susceptibility of various strains of *H. pylori* to selected agents. *Archives of Iranian medicine*, 2000, 3:60–3.
23. Sotudehmanesh R et al. A randomized controlled comparison of three quadruple therapy regimens in a population with low *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rates. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*, 2001, 16:264–8.
24. Torres J et al. A comprehensive review of the natural history of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in children. *Archives of medical research*, 2000, 31:431–69.
25. Sakurai K et al. Importance of drug selection and the use of sensitivity tests for the eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori*. *Nippon rinsho*, 1999, 57:72–5.
26. Murakami K et al. Selection of antibiotics and planning of eradication for *H. pylori* infection. *Nippon rinsho*, 2001, 59:308–13.
27. Weel JFL et al. Heterogeneity in susceptibility to metronidazole among *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from patients with gastritis or peptic ulcer disease. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 1996, 34:2158–62.
28. Vasquez A et al. Metronidazole and clarithromycin resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* determined by measuring MICs of antimicrobial agents in color indicator egg yolk agar in a miniwell format. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 1996, 34:1232–4.
29. Huang J Q, Hunt RH. Treatment after failure: the problem of 'non-responders'. *Gut*, 1999, 45:40–4.
30. Mégraud F, Doermann HP. Clinical relevance of resistant strains of *Helicobacter pylori*: a review of current data. *Gut*, 1998, 43:S61–5.
31. Kwon DH et al. Isolation and characterization of tetracycline-resistant clinical isolates of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Antimicrobial agents in chemotherapy*, 2000, 44:3, 203–3, 205.
32. Wu H et al. Resistance of *Helicobacter pylori* to metronidazole, tetracycline and amoxicillin. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 2000, 46:121–3.
33. Segura AM et al. Furazolidone, amoxicillin, bismuth triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 1997, 11(3):529–32.
34. Xiao SD et al. High cure rate of *Helicobacter pylori* infection using tripotassium dicitrate bismuthate, furazolidone and clarithromycin triple therapy for 1 week. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 1999, 13:311–5.
35. Liu WZ et al. A new quadruple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* using tripotassium dicitrate bismuthate, furazolidone, josamycin and famotidine. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 2000, 14(11): 1519–22.

36. Van Zwet AA et al. Low cure rate of *Helicobacter pylori* infection with omeprazole and furazolidone dual therapy for one week. *Alimentary and pharmacology therapy*, 1997, 11:533–5.
37. Xiao SD et al. The efficacy of furazolidone and metronidazole in the treatment of chronic gastritis associated with *Helicobacter* (Campylobacter) *pylori*—a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Hepatogastroenterology*, 1990, 37:503–6.
38. Coudron PE, Stratton CW. In vitro evaluation of nitrofurantoin as an alternative agent for metronidazole in combination antimicrobial therapy against *Helicobacter pylori*. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 1998, 42:657–60.
39. McOsker CC, Fitzpatrick PM. Nitrofurantoin: mechanisms of action and implications for resistance development in common uropathogens. *Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 1994, 33:23–30.
40. Asnis RE. The reduction of furacin by cell-free extracts of furacin-resistant and parent-susceptible strains of *Escherichia coli*. *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics*, 1957, 66:208–16.
41. Kwon DH et al. Analysis of a *rdxA* gene and involvement of additional genes encoding NADPH flavin oxidoreductase (FrxA) and ferredoxin-like protein (FdxB) in metronidazole resistance of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Antimicrobial agents in chemotherapy*, 2000, 44:2133–42.
42. Goodwin A et al. Metronidazole resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* is due to null mutations in a gene (*rdxA*) that encodes an oxygen-insensitive NAD(P)H nitroreductase. *Molecular microbiology*, 1998, 28:383–93.
43. Whiteway J et al. Oxygen-insensitive nitroreductases: analysis of the roles of *nfsA* and *nfsB* in development of resistance to 5-nitrofur derivatives in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of bacteriology*, 1998, 180:5529–39.
44. Hass CE, Nix DE, Schentag JJ. *In vitro* selection of resistant *Helicobacter pylori*. *Antimicrobial agents in chemotherapy*, 1990, 34:1637–41.