

# Congenital malformations at a referral hospital in Gorgan, Islamic Republic of Iran

M.J. Gotalipour,<sup>1</sup> M. Ahmadpour-Kacho<sup>2</sup> and M.A. Vakili<sup>3</sup>

التشوهات الخلقية في مستشفى للإحالة في جرجان، جمهورية إيران الإسلامية  
محمد جعفر كلعلي بور، موسى أحمد بور، محمد علي وكيلي

**الخلاصة:** تم في هذه الدراسة تسجيل معدل التشوهات الخلقية في 10 000 ولادة في مستشفى للإحالة في مدينة جرجان الإيرانية، في المدة 1998 – 1999. وبيّنت الدراسة أن معدل الوقوع الإجمالي لهذه التشوهات قد بلغ 1.01% (1.19% في الذكور، 0.76% في الإناث). وكانت شذوذات الجهاز العضلي الهيكلي هي الأكثر وقوعاً (0.38%)، تليها شذوذات الجهاز العصبي المركزي (0.28%)، ثم شذوذات الجهاز البولي التناسلي (0.25%). وكان معدل وقوع التشوهات الخلقية في الفئات الأثنية مختلفاً من فئة إلى أخرى، إذ بلغ 0.85% بين الفرس، و1.45% بين التركمان، و1.70% بين السستانيين. وتدل الدراسة على أن الجنس والأصل الأثني من العوامل المؤثرة في معدل وقوع التشوهات الخلقية في هذه المنطقة.

**ABSTRACT** This study recorded the rate of congenital malformations in 10 000 births at a referral hospital in Gorgan, Islamic Republic of Iran in 1998–99. The overall incidence of congenital malformations was 1.01% (1.19% in males and 0.76% in females). Anomalies of the musculoskeletal system had the highest incidence (0.38%), followed by central nervous system (0.28%) and genitourinary system (0.25%). The incidence of congenital malformations in different ethnic groups was 0.85%, 1.45% and 1.70% in native Fars, Turkman and Sistani groups respectively. Sex and ethnic background are factors in the rate of congenital malformations in this area.

## Les malformations congénitales dans un hôpital de recours à Gorgan (République islamique d'Iran)

**RÉSUMÉ** Cette étude portait sur le taux de malformations congénitales pour 10 000 naissances recensé dans un hôpital de recours à Gorgan (République islamique d'Iran) en 1998-1999. L'incidence globale des malformations congénitales était de 1,01 % (1,19 % chez les garçons et 0,76 % chez les filles). L'incidence la plus élevée était celle des anomalies du système ostéo-articulaire et des muscles (0,38 %), suivies par les anomalies du système nerveux central (0,28 %) et de l'appareil génito-urinaire (0,25 %). L'incidence des malformations congénitales dans différents groupes ethniques s'élevait à 0,85 %, 1,45 % et 1,70 % pour les groupes autochtones Fars, Turkman et Sistani respectivement. Le sexe et l'origine ethnique sont des facteurs qui influencent le taux de malformations congénitales dans cette région.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Embryology; <sup>3</sup>Department of Health, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Islamic Republic of Iran (Correspondence to M.J. Gotalipour: mjgotalipour@yahoo.com).

<sup>2</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Islamic Republic of Iran.

## Introduction

The leading causes of infant morbidity and mortality in poorer countries are malnutrition and infections [1], whereas in developed countries they are cancer, accidents and congenital malformations [2,3]. In the Islamic Republic of Iran, an effective and comprehensive programme of vaccination has been implemented, childhood malnutrition has been eliminated and serious childhood infections are disappearing. Therefore, congenital malformations will begin to emerge as one of the major childhood health problems. Treatment and rehabilitation of children with congenital malformations is costly, and complete recovery is usually impossible [4,5]. Considerable variations in the frequency of congenital malformations in different populations have been reported, from 1.07% in Japan [6] to 4.3% in Taiwan [7].

Surveys on congenital malformations in the Islamic Republic of Iran have been carried out in Tehran [8], Arak [9] and Hamadan [10] cities in the central area. There may be regional variations in the pattern of congenital anomalies and to the best of our knowledge, a similar study has not been conducted among newborns in Golestan province in the north of the country. The present study was carried out to record the pattern of congenital malformations in this area of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

## Methods

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional study of newborn and stillborn babies delivered in Dezyani hospital during a 20-month period, January 1998 to August 1999. Dezyani hospital serves as a referral centre for obstetrics and gynaecology and prenatal intensive care for all other hospitals and clinics in Golestan province in the

south-east Caspian Sea area. The region has a population of about 1.5 million and covers an area of about 20 460 square kilometres. Dezyani hospital is one of 17 hospitals, which covers more than 500 primary health centres in this region. As a result, patients in these catchment areas requiring transfer for specialized obstetric and gynaecology investigations and treatments must be referred to Dezyani hospital.

All live and stillborn newborns delivered in this hospital during the investigation were examined and screened for congenital malformations by a paediatrician. The charts of newborns with congenital malformations were subsequently extracted for detailed study. Variables recorded included demographic data: the date of admission, sex, ethnicity and maternal age. Ethnicity was classified into 3 groups: native Fars, Turkman and Sistani. The native Fars are the original and main inhabitants of the region. The Turkman immigrated from the Middle East from 250 years ago and the rate of inter-racial marriage among the Turkman is nearly 100%. The Sistani originated from the Iran-Pakistan-Afghanistan border from half a century ago. Clinical information recorded included: treatment and outcome at discharge (transferred or dead). No autopsy examinations were performed on those newborns that died after birth.

The data were analysed to highlight the pattern and the relative importance of the different types of congenital malformations among the newborns in this region.

The types of birth defects were classified by the diagnostic standardization of congenital malformation from the *International classification of diseases (ICD-10)* codes. The data were analysed by *SPSS*, version 10. The rate of malformed newborns and the rate of malformations were compared with statistical *t*-test and chi-

squared tests. The level of significance was  $P < 0.05$ .

## Results

During the 20-month period, 10 000 newborns were delivered and admitted to Dezyani hospital: 5048 males and 4880 females. There were 7790 native Fars, 1035 Turkman and 1175 Sistani.

Out of these, 101 newborns were diagnosed with congenital malformations, giving an incidence in this sample of 1.01%. The male to female ratio was 1:1.6 (60 males, 37 females, 4 with ambiguous genitalia) (Table 1). The rate of congenital malformation was significantly different between male and female newborns (1.19% and 0.76%, respectively;  $P < 0.05$ ).

Of the 101, 66 were native Fars, 15 Turkman and 20 Sistani. Thus, the incidence of congenital malformations was 0.85%, 1.45% and 1.70% in native Fars, Turkman and Sistani groups respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Table 2 shows the *ICD-10* classification of the different types of congenital malformation. Some newborns had a multiplicity of malformations, so that the total number of congenital malformations exceeded the number of affected newborns. Altogether, 156 anomalies were documented in 101 newborns.

The musculoskeletal system was the most affected, involving 38 out of 101 patients. Among this group, the most frequent lesions were clubfoot, polydactyly and meromelia. The central nervous system came second in frequency, involving 28 newborns. Meningomyelocele, followed by anencephaly, meningocele and hydrocephaly were the most prominent central nervous system lesions. Anomalies of the genitourinary system were the next highest group, involving 25 out of 101 patients. The most common anomaly was hypospadias. Digestive system problems involved 15 patients, and imperforate anus was the most common lesion detected.

Twelve out of 101 (11.9%) patients with congenital malformations died, although the total deaths among the 10 000 newborns was 68 (0.68%) (Table 3). The death rate was relatively higher in patients with malformations of the central nervous system.

## Discussion

In the present study, the overall incidence of congenital malformations among newborns was 1.01%. This result is very similar to previous data from the Islamic Republic of Iran: 1.04% in Arak in the central area [9] and 1.18% in Tehran [11]. However, it is lower than that reported in Tehran in 1986 (3.5%) [8] and lower than

Table 1 Incidence of congenital malformations (CM) by sex

| Sex <sup>a</sup> | No. newborns delivered | No. with CM | %    | Relative risk | 95% CI    |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------|------|---------------|-----------|
| Male             | 5048                   | 60          | 1.19 | 1.21          | 1.04–1.42 |
| Female           | 4880                   | 37          | 0.76 |               |           |

CI = confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>Four newborns had ambiguous genitalia.

**Table 2 All births with congenital malformations (CM) by system according to the international Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)**

| Malformations/system                            | No. of CM  | %            | Rate per 10 000 births |
|---|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| <i>Musculoskeletal system</i>                   |            |              | 47                     |
| Clubfoot  | 15         | 9.6          |                        |
| Polydactylia                                    | 14         | 9.0          |                        |
| Meromelia                                       | 8          | 5.1          |                        |
| Achondroplasia                                  | 4          | 2.6          |                        |
| Syndactyly                                      | 2          | 1.3          |                        |
| Lobster claw                                    | 1          | 0.6          |                        |
| Others  | 3          | 1.9          |                        |
| <i>Central nervous system</i>                   |            |              | 36                     |
| Meningomyelocele                                | 10         | 6.4          |                        |
| Meningocele                                     | 8          | 5.1          |                        |
| Hydrocephaly                                    | 8          | 5.1          |                        |
| Anencephaly                                     | 8          | 5.1          |                        |
| Microcephaly                                    | 2          | 1.3          |                        |
| <i>Genitourinary system</i>                     |            |              | 26                     |
| Hypospadias                                     | 18         | 11.5         |                        |
| Ambiguous genitalia, hermaphroditism            | 4          | 2.6          |                        |
| Epispadias                                      | 3          | 1.9          |                        |
| Kidney disease                                  | 1          | 0.6          |                        |
| <i>Digestive system</i>                         |            |              | 17                     |
| Imperforate anus                                | 13         | 8.3          |                        |
| Umbilical hernia                                | 2          | 1.3          |                        |
| Omphalocele                                     | 2          | 1.3          |                        |
| <i>Eye, ear, face and neck</i>                  | 6          | 3.8          | 6                      |
| <i>Oral/cleft lip and palate</i>                |            |              | 14                     |
| Cleft lip and cleft palate                      | 6          | 3.8          |                        |
| Cleft lip                                       | 4          | 2.6          |                        |
| Cleft palate                                    | 4          | 2.6          |                        |
| <i>Chromosomal anomalies</i>                    |            |              | 6                      |
| Down syndrome                                   | 6          | 3.8          |                        |
| <i>Other anomalies</i>                          |            |              | 4                      |
| Ichthyosis (collodion baby and harlequin fetus) | 2          | 1.3          |                        |
| Thoracopagus twins                              | 1          | 0.6          |                        |
| Tumour  | 1          | 0.6          |                        |
| <b>Total</b>                                    | <b>156</b> | <b>100.0</b> |                        |

reports from other populations (1.27%) in World Health Organization centres in 16

countries [12]. Other studies showed different prevalence figures: in India (1.28%)

**Table 3 Mortality among normal and congenital malformed (CM) newborns at birth**

| Outcome     | No. of normal newborns | No. of newborns with CM | Total |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Live births | 9839                   | 89                      | 9928  |
| Stillbirths | 56                     | 12                      | 68    |
| All births  | 9895                   | 101                     | 9996  |

$\chi^2 = 189, P < 0.001, OR = 23.7$

OR = odds ratio.

[13], in Spain (2.02%) [14], in Bahrain (2.7%) [15], in Egypt (3.17%) [16], in the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (0.93%) [17] and in Atlanta, USA (3.1%) [18]. Table 4 shows

the incidence of birth defects in parts of the Islamic Republic of Iran and other countries. These variations between different studies could be explained by the effect of different racial, ethnic and social factors in various parts of the world or different geographical, nutritional and socioeconomic factors. Other explanations for these variations in birth defect incidence are the type of sample and the criteria for diagnosis.

The rate of malformations in male newborns is nearly twice that of females. This result is the same as a report from Arak [9] and other reports from different countries [12].

The commonest system involved was the musculoskeletal system, which agrees with reports from other parts of the Islamic

**Table 4 Incidence of congenital malformations (CM) in Gorgan, other regions of the Islamic Republic of Iran and selected countries**

| Location/reference                               | Rate of CM per 1000 |            |
|--|---------------------|------------|
|  | Live births         | All births |
| Benghazi, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya [17]            | 70                  | 9.3        |
| Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran [8]             | 35                  | –          |
| Atlanta, United States of America [18]           | 31                  | –          |
| Giza, Egypt [16]                                 | –                   | 31.7       |
| Bahrain [15]                                     | 27                  | –          |
| Kabul, Afghanistan [34]                          | 24                  | –          |
| Saudi Arabia [35]                                | 22.7                | –          |
| Beirut, Lebanon [36]                             | –                   | 16.5       |
| Spain [14]                                       | 20.3                | –          |
| Western area, China [33]                         | –                   | 15.4       |
| Singapore [37]                                   | 15.1                | –          |
| Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran [12]            | 11.8                | –          |
| Alexandria, Egypt [16]                           | –                   | 11.6       |
| Maharashtra, India [13]                          | 10.8                | 12.8       |
| Arak, Islamic Republic of Iran [9]               | 10.4                | –          |
| Gorgan, Islamic Republic of Iran (present study) | –                   | 10.1       |

Republic of Iran [8,9] and other countries [12,13].

Table 5 compares the incidence of the difference type of congenital malformations in our study with other studies in the Islamic Republic of Iran and elsewhere. The most common musculoskeletal anomaly was clubfoot (1.5 per 1000), the same as a study in Tehran (1.5 per 1000) and in Arak (1.4 per 1000) [9,11], but lower than another report in Tehran of 2.91 per 1000 [8]. It is noticeable that in our study 10 out of 15 newborns with clubfoot were female; this contrasts with other investigations [8,11]. The rate of neural tube defects is higher than other studies in Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran [8,11], and other surveys in different countries [13,17,19–22], but lower than China [23] and the north-west Islamic Republic of Iran [24].

The most common malformation of the central nervous system was spina bifida

cystica (meningomyelocele and meningocele), the same as one report from Tehran [11]. The rate of spina bifida (1.8 per 1000) was lower than in Britain and USA [25] and higher than in India with 0.34 per 1000 and 0.38 per 1000 in Tehran and 0.7 per 1000 in north-west of the Islamic Republic of Iran [8,13,24]. The rate of anencephaly was 0.8 per 1000, which was lower than other reports from India and the Islamic Republic of Iran [11,13,24], higher than the survey in Tehran [8] and in USA, Brazil and Africa [25]. As in other reports [11,25], spina bifida in our study was more common in females.

The rate of cleft palate was 0.4 per 1000, lower than one report in Tehran [11]. The rate of cleft palate with or without cleft lip was 1.4 per 1000, nearly similar to studies in England, lower than in the USA [25] and higher than in Tehran [8,11] and elsewhere [12,13,26].

Table 5 Comparison of different type of congenital malformations (CM) in Gorgan with other studies

| Location   | Rate of CM per 1000 births |                     |             |                               |                  |             |               |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
|  | Club-foot                  | Cystic spina bifida | Anencephaly | Cleft palate + or – cleft lip | Imperforate anus | Hypospadias | Down syndrome |
| Gorgan, Islamic Republic of Iran (present study) | 1.5                        | 1.8                 | 0.8         | 1.4                           | 1.3              | 1.8         | 0.6           |
| Arak, Islamic Republic of Iran [9]               | 1.4                        | 1.2                 | 0.4         | 1.6                           | –                | –           | –             |
| Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran 1991 [11]       | 1.5                        | 1.8                 | 1.0         | 1.5                           | 0.1              | –           | 1.3           |
| Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran 1986 [11]       | 2.90                       | 0.92                | 0.08        | 1.61                          | 0.38             | 4.45        | 1.23          |
| Britain [3,38]                                   | 1.2                        | 3.4                 | 3.9         | 1.3                           | 0.4              | 1.9         | 1.3           |
| United States of America [3, 39, 40,41]          | –                          | 0.8                 | 0.5         | 1.6                           | 0.43             | 3.9         | 0.7           |
| Maharashtra, India [13]                          | 1.04                       | 0.34                | 0.69        | 1.04                          | –                | 0.34        | 0.69          |
| Giza, Egypt [16]                                 | –                          | 0.66                | –           | 1.66                          | 0.33             | 2.33        | 1.33          |

المجلة الصحية لشرق المتوسط، منظمة الصحة العالمية، المجلد الحادي عشر، العدد ٤، ٢٠٠٥

The most common genitourinary malformation was hypospadias and the rate was lower than in other parts of the Islamic Republic of Iran [8,27] and higher than in the south-west of the country [28] and India [13]. The rate of imperforate anus was 1.3 per 1000, higher than other studies in the Islamic Republic of Iran [8,11], in Saudi Arabia 0.6 per 1000 [29] and in Denmark 0.38 per 1000 [30].

In our study, the rate of Down syndrome was 0.6 per 1000, which is lower than reports from Tehran [8,11], USA, Brazil, England and India [25,31], but higher than in central Africa [25].

The rate of congenital malformation varies in different races. Previous studies in the USA indicated that the rate of neural tube defects and spina bifida have some differences across various racial and ethnic groups [21,32]. We need more investigations in our region in order to determine the role of racial and ethnic factors.

Infant morbidity and mortality due to congenital malformation in the Islamic Republic of Iran was lower than China and higher than the USA [33], but the finding

that central nervous system anomalies were the highest cause of death is similar to other research [33]. The difference between the incidence of types of congenital malformation in different parts of this country may be due not only to genetic background but also to geographical, nutritional and socioeconomic differences.

More in-depth analytic research is needed to determine the possible genetic, socio-demographic and socioenvironmental factors underlying the various types of congenital malformation encountered in this area.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the vice-chancellor and director of research affairs of Golestan Medical Sciences University for granting the financial assistance, Dr A.R Mansourian for his advice and the personnel of the Newborn and Delivery Sections in Dizyani Hospital. We also thank Mrs Sharifi for typing the manuscript and special thanks to Dr Ali Rayej for valuable advice.

## References

1. Asindi A, Ibia EO, Udo JJ. Mortality pattern in Nigerian children in 1980. *Journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*, 1991, 94:152-5.
2. Behrman RE. The field of paediatrics. In: Behrman RE, Kliegman RM, eds. *Nelson textbook of paediatrics*, 14th ed. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, 1992:1-5.
3. Forfar JO. Demography, vital statistics and the pattern of disease in childhood. In: Campbell AGM, McIntosh N, eds. *Forfar and Arneil's textbook of paediatrics*, 4th ed. London, Churchill Livingstone, 1992:1-17.
4. Petrini J et al. Birth defects surveillance data from selected states. *Teratology*, 1997, 56(1,2):115-75.
5. Harris J, James L. State-by-state cost of birth defects—1992. *Teratology*, 1997, 56(1,2):11-6.
6. Imaizumi Y et al. The prevalence at birth of congenital malformations at a maternity hospital in Osaka city, 1948-1990. *Jinrui idengaku zasshi*, 1991, 36(3): 275-87.
7. Chen CJ et al. Perinatal mortality and prevalence of major congenital malfor-

- mations of twins in Taipei city. *Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae*, 1992, 41(2-3):197-203.
8. Farhud DD, Walizadeh GhR, Kamali MS. Congenital malformations and genetic diseases in Iranian infants. *Human genetics*, 1986, 74:382-5.
  9. Shamohamdi F, Ahadi MA. The survey of congenital malformations in live births in Taleghani hospital, Arak, Iran. *Journal of Arak University of Medical Sciences*, 1997, 1(4):23-9.
  10. Shokouhi M, Manikashani KH. Prevalence of obvious congenital anomalies and some related factors in newborns in Fatemieh hospital of Hamadan during March to September 1999. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences*, 2002, 12(35):42-7.
  11. Arbabi AH, Babak D. The study of major anomalies in newborns. Proceedings of the 10th Pediatric Congress. Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran, 1991:583-90.
  12. Stevenson AC et al. Congenital malformations. A report of study of series of consecutive births in 24 centres. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 1966, 34(Suppl):9-27.
  13. Datta V, Chaturvedi P. Congenital malformations in rural Maharashtra. *Indian pediatrics*, 2000, 37:998-1001.
  14. Martinez-Frias ML et al. Epidemiological aspects of Mendelian syndromes in a Spanish population sample. I. Autosomal dominant malformation syndromes. *American journal of medical genetics*, 1991, 38:622-5.
  15. Al Arrayed SS. Epidemiology of congenital abnormalities in Bahrain. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal*, 1995, 1(2):248-52.
  16. Temtamy SA et al. A genetic epidemiological study of malformations at birth in Egypt. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal*, 1998, 4(2):252-9.
  17. Singh R, Al-Sudani O. Major congenital anomalies at birth in Benghazi, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, 1995. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal*, 2000, 6(1):65-75.
  18. Rasmussen SA et al. Evaluation of birth defects histories obtained through maternal interviews. *American journal of human genetics*, 1990, 46:478-85.
  19. Tuncbilek E, Boduroglu K, Alikasifoglu M. Neural tube defect in Turkey. Prevalence, distribution and risk factors. *Turkish journal of pediatrics*, 1999, 41(3):299-305.
  20. De Wals P, Trochet C, Pinsonneault L. Prevalence of neural tube defect in the province of Quebec, 1992. *Canadian journal of public health*, 1999, 90(4):237-9.
  21. Hendricks KA, Simpson JS, Larsen RD. Neural tube defect along the Texas-Mexico border. *American journal of epidemiology*, 1999, 149(12):1119-27.
  22. Rankin J et al. The changing prevalence of neural tube defects: a population-based study in the north of England, 1984-96. Northern Congenital Abnormality Survey Steering Group. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*, 2000, 14(2):104-10.
  23. Moore CA et al. Elevated rates of severe neural tube defects in a high-prevalence area in northern China. *American journal of medical genetics*, 1997, 73:113-8.
  24. Farhoud DD, Hadavi V, Sadighi H. Epidemiology of neural tube defects in the world and Iran. *Iranian journal of public health*, 2000, 29(1-4):83-90.
  25. Forfar JO, Arneil GC, eds. *Forfar and Arneil's textbook of pediatrics*, 3rd ed. Volume 1. Edinburgh, Churchill Livingstone, 1990:86-8.



26. *Genetic factors in congenital malformation. Report of a WHO Scientific Group.* World Health Organization, Geneva, 1970 (WHO Technical Report Series No.438).
27. Darabi MR, Ramahi M.MH. The study of external urogenital congenital malformations in male newborns. *Iranian journal of urology*, 1988, 5(17-18):51-7.
28. Abdolahi SL, Bohloli A. The external urogenital congenital malformations in 2000 male infants. *Iranian journal of urology*, 1993, 2(5-6):56-69.
29. Asindi AA et al. Congenital malformation of the gastrointestinal tract in Aseer region, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi medical journal*, 2002, 23(9):1078-82.
30. Garne E, Rasmussen L, Husby S. Gastrointestinal malformations in Funen country, Denmark—epidemiology, associated malformations, surgery and mortality. *European journal of pediatric surgery*, 2002, 12:101-6.
31. Talukar G, Sharma A. Genetic diseases in India. *Nucleus*, 1978, 21:233-81.
32. Lary JM, Edmonds LD. Prevalence of spina bifida at birth United States 1983-1993: a comparison of two surveillance systems. *Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 1992 45(SS-2):15-26.
33. Cheng N et al. A base-line survey on birth defects in Gansu province, West China. *Annals of tropical paediatrics*, 2003, 23:25-9.
34. Singh M et al. Congenital malformations at birth among live-born infants in Afghanistan, a prospective study. *Indian pediatrics*, 1982, 49:331-5.
35. Refat MYM et al. Major birth defects at King Fahd Hofuf Hospital: prevalence, risk factors and outcome. *Annals of Saudi medicine*, 1995, 15(4):339-43.
36. Bittar Z. Major congenital malformations presenting in the first 24 hours of life in 3865 consecutive births in south of Beirut. Incidence and pattern. *Le Journal medical libanais*, 1998, 46:256-60.
37. Thein MM et al. Descriptive profile of birth defects among live births in Singapore. *Teratology*, 1992, 46(3):277-84.
38. Porter RW. Clubfoot: congenital talipes equinovarus. *Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh*, 1995, 40(1):66-71.
39. Forrester MB, Merz RD. Description epidemiology of anal atresia in Hawaii 1986-1999. *Teratology*, 2002, 66(1): S512-6.
40. Cuschieri A and EUROCAT working group. Description epidemiology of isolated anal anomalies: a study of 4.6 million births in Europe. *American journal of medical genetics*, 2001, 103(3):207-15.
41. Paulozzi LJ, Erickson JD, Jackson RJ. Hypospadias trends in two US surveillance systems. *Pediatrics*, 1997, 100(5): 831-4.