

FIFTH MEETING OF THE MEMBER STATE MECHANISM ON SUBSTANDARD/SPURIOUS/FALSELY-LABELLED FALSIFIED/COUNTERFEIT MEDICAL PRODUCTS Provisional agenda item 7

A/MSM/5/4 Add.1 4 November 2016

Review of the Member State mechanism on substandard/spurious/falsely-labelled/ falsified/counterfeit medical products

Survey questionnaire

- 1. In order to protect public health and promote access to affordable, safe, efficacious and quality medical products, the Sixty-fifth World Health Assembly adopted resolution WHA65.19 (2012), in which it decided to establish a mechanism whose general goal is to promote effective collaboration among Member States and the Secretariat, for the prevention, detection and response to substandard/spurious/falsely-labelled/falsified/counterfeit (SSFFC) medical products and associated activities.
- 2. In line with the terms of reference of the Member State mechanism,¹ the WHO Evaluation Office is conducting a review of the mechanism in order to gather evidence on its progress. The review, which will make use of a questionnaire, includes the functioning and work of the mechanism during its first four years, namely, for the period 2012–2016. The findings of the review will be presented to the Seventieth World Health Assembly in May 2017. It is expected that the questionnaire will document achievements, gaps and remaining challenges that will enable recommendations on the way forward.
- 3. The review will focus on the following high-level criteria:
 - (a) The perceived relevance of the mechanism, its objectives and actions undertaken
 - (b) The benefits gained by the actions undertaken under the mechanism
 - (c) The gaps and challenges that have hindered the achievement of objectives and the success factors that have contributed to the achievements of the mechanism
 - (d) The overall appraisal of the mechanism
- 4. Thank you for responding to the following questionnaire.

¹ See document WHA65/2012/REC/1, resolution WHA65.19, Annex.

A. Affiliation of respondent

1. In which country do you work?

Drop-Down menu with list of countries

2.	What	type of institution do you work for?
		Ministry of Health
		National/Regional Regulatory Agency
		Governmental institution other than those listed above
		Academia/research Institution/other public health-related agency
		Nongovernmental organizations in official relations with WHO^1 active in the pharmaceutical field
		WHO country offices
		WHO regional offices
		WHO headquarters
		Other (please specify):
3. medic		would you describe your knowledge about the Member State mechanism on SSFFC educts?
		I am in general very familiar with it
		I have moderate knowledge about it
		I don't know much about the process, but I know (some) of its outcomes
		I hardly know anything about it
		I had never heard of it before receiving this questionnaire
4.	What	is your relationship with the mechanism?
Please	e click	all responses that apply.
		I am, or have been, a member of the Steering Committee
		I am, or have been, a member of a working group of the mechanism
		I have attended some of the meetings of the mechanism
		I have provided direct advice to the mechanism or to delegates participating in the mechanism
		I work or collaborate with the secretariat of the mechanism
		I have used some of the outputs of the mechanism in my country or work environment
		I am interested at the outcomes of the mechanism
		None of the above /other (please specify):

2

¹ Following the adoption by the Sixty-ninth World Health Assembly of the Framework of Engagement with Non-State Actors (resolution WHA69.10), the nongovernmental organizations concerned fall within the group of non-State actors in official relations with WHO.

B. Relevance of the mechanism and its actions

5. The World Health Assembly established a mechanism for international collaboration among Member States to address global issues of relevance from a public health perspective related to substandard/spurious/falsely-labelled/falsified/counterfeit (SSFFC) medical products. Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.

	(1) Strongly disagree	(2) Disagree	(3) Neutral	(4) Agree	(5) Strongly agree	(–) Don't know
A Member State mechanism is an adequate platform to foster international collaboration to promote the prevention, detection and response to SSFFC medical products at global level						
A Member State mechanism is an adequate platform to foster international collaboration to promote the prevention, detection and response to SSFFC medical products at regional level						
A Member State mechanism is an adequate platform to foster international collaboration to promote the prevention, detection and response to SSFFC medical products at national level						

If you want to further elaborate on your opinion regarding these statements, please use the text field below:

Γ	open question	, 1
L	open question	ιj

6. To what extent do you consider the following objectives are relevant to promoting the prevention, detection and response to substandard/spurious/falsely-labelled/falsified/counterfeit medical products and associated activities from a public health perspective?

	(1) Not relevant	(2) Hardly relevant	(3) Moderately relevant	(4) Highly relevant	(5) Extremely relevant	(–) Don't know
Objective 1. To identify major needs and challenges and make policy recommendations, and develop tools in the area of prevention, detection methodologies and control of SSFFC medical products in order to strengthen national and regional capacities						
Objective 2. To strengthen national and regional capacities in order to ensure the integrity of the supply chain						
Objective 3. To exchange experiences, lessons learned, best practices, and information on ongoing activities at national, regional and global levels						
Objective 4. To identify actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products and make recommendations, including for improving the quality, safety and efficacy of medical products						
Objective 5. To strengthen regulatory capacity and quality control laboratories at national and regional levels, in particular for developing countries and least developed countries						
Objective 6. To collaborate with and contribute to the work of other areas of WHO that address access to quality, safe, efficacious and affordable medical products, including, but not limited to, the supply and use of generic medical products, which should complement measures for the prevention and control of SSFFC medical products						

	(1) Not relevant	(2) Hardly relevant	(3) Moderately relevant	(4) Highly relevant	(5) Extremely relevant	(–) Don't know
Objective 7. To facilitate consultation, cooperation and collaboration with relevant stakeholders in a transparent and coordinated manner, including regional and other global efforts, from a public health perspective						
Objective 8. To promote cooperation and collaboration on surveillance and monitoring of SSFFC medical products						
Objective 9. To further develop definitions of SSFFC medical products that focus on the protection of public health						

heal	ical products that focus on the protection of public th					
7.	Is there any additional objective that you	consider imp	ortant to	add?		
	□ No					
	□ Yes					
	If yes, please specify:					
	Since 2012, the Member State mechanism chieve its objectives. Do you know or have lucts?					
		(1) I am very familiar with this product or activity	(2) I have som knowledge about this product o activity	ne I hardÌ e anyt s abou r prod	3) y know thing ut this uct or ivity	(4) I have never heard of this product or activity
	ument on actions, activities and behaviours that result in FC medical products			[
Rec with	ommendations for health authorities to detect and deal actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC lical products			[
dete of a	ommendations for health authorities engaged in the ction of SSFFC medical products and the establishment strengthening and tool-generating programme to ribute to Member States' training			[
	ation of a Global Focal Point Network on SSFFC medical lucts as an ongoing virtual exchange forum			[
	ument on existing technologies and "track and trace" lels in use and to be developed by Member States			[
on th	ort on the current state of affairs of WHO areas working ne issue of access to quality, safe, efficacious and dable medical products			[
	ommendations for effective risk communication and for reness campaigns on SSFFC medical products			[
	udy on the public health and socioeconomic impact of FC medical products			[
Gov	ernance, management, and secretariat costs to support above activities			[
Rep	ort on actions, activities and behaviours that fall outside mandate of the Member State mechanism			[
	nitions on SSFFC medical products created by a group certs from national medicines regulatory authorities	of 🗆]		

9.	Reg	ardin	g these pr	odu	icts, how would you rate their relevance to promote the pro	evention,
detec	tion	and	response	to	substandard/spurious/falsely-labelled/falsified/counterfeit	medical
produ	acts f	from	a public h	ealt	h perspective?	

	(1) Not relevant	(2) Hardly relevant	(3) Moderately relevant	(4) Highly relevant	(5) Extremely relevant	(–) Don't know
Document on actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products						
Recommendations for health authorities to detect and deal with actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products						
Recommendations for health authorities engaged in the detection of SSFFC medical products and the establishment of a strengthening and tool-generating programme to contribute to Member States' training						
Creation of a Global Focal Point Network on SSFFC medical products as an ongoing virtual exchange forum						
Document on existing technologies and "track and trace" models in use and to be developed by Member States						
Report on the current state of affairs of WHO areas working on the issue of access to quality, safe, efficacious and affordable medical products						
Recommendations for effective risk communication and for awareness campaigns on SSFFC medical products						
A study on the public health and socioeconomic impact of SSFFC medical products						
Governance, management, and secretariat costs to support the above activities						
Report on actions, activities and behaviours that fall outside the mandate of the Member State mechanism						
Definitions on SSFFC medical products created by a group of experts from national medicines regulatory authorities						

Is there any additional product that you consider important to add?
□ No
□ Yes
If yes, please specify:

10.

11. To what extent have the products listed in the following table been used, adopted or influenced policy and practice in your country or work environment? Please rate the extent of use, adoption or influence of these products based on your knowledge, and if possible provide examples.

Products of the mechanism	(1) None	(2) Little	(3) Moderate	(4) High	(5) Very high	(–) Don't know	Examples
Document on actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products							
Recommendations for health authorities to detect and deal with actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products							
Recommendations for health authorities engaged in the detection of SSFFC medical products and the establishment of a strengthening and tool-generating programme to contribute to Member States' training							
Creation of a Global Focal Point Network on SSFFC medical products as an ongoing virtual exchange forum							
Document on existing technologies and "track and trace" models in use and to be developed by Member States							
Report on the current state of affairs of WHO areas working on the issue of access to quality, safe, efficacious and affordable medical products							
Recommendations for effective risk communication and for awareness campaigns on SSFFC medical products							
A study on the public health and socioeconomic impact of SSFFC medical products							
Governance, management, and secretariat costs to support the above activities							
Report on actions, activities and behaviours that fall outside the mandate of the Member State mechanism							
Definitions on SSFFC medical products created by a group of experts from national medicines regulatory authorities							

12. What is your extent of satisfaction with the products being developed by the mechanism? Please rate your satisfaction and further elaborate your opinion, if desired.

Products started by the mechanism	(1) Not at all satisfied	(2) Slightly satisfied	(3) Moderately satisfied	(4) Very satisfied	(5) Extremely satisfied	(–) Don't know	Further justification
Document on actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products							
Recommendations for health authorities to detect and deal with actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products							
Recommendations for health authorities engaged in the detection of SSFFC medical products and the establishment of a strengthening and tool-generating programme to contribute to Member States' training							
Creation of a Global Focal Point Network on SSFFC medical products as an ongoing virtual exchange forum							
Document on existing technologies and "track and trace" models in use and to be developed by Member States							
Report on the current state of affairs of WHO areas working on the issue of access to quality, safe, efficacious and affordable medical products							

Products started by the mechanism	(1) Not at all satisfied	(2) Slightly satisfied	(3) Moderately satisfied	(4) Very satisfied	(5) Extremely satisfied	(–) Don't know	Further justification
Recommendations for effective risk communication and for awareness campaigns on SSFFC medical products							
A study on the public health and socioeconomic impact of SSFFC medical products							
Governance, management, and secretariat costs to support the above activities							
Report on actions, activities and behaviours that fall outside of the mandate of the Member State mechanism							
Definitions on SSFFC medical products created by a group of experts from national medicines regulatory authorities							

FILTER ON:							
RESI	FOLLOWING QUESTIONS SHADOWED IN GREY WILL ONLY PONDENTS WHO SELECTED AT LEAST ONE OF THE ATIONSHIPS WITH THE MECHANISM IN QUESTION 4:						
	You are or have been member of the Steering Committee You are or have been member of a working group of the mechanism You have attended some of the meetings of the mechanism You have provided advice as technical expert to the activities of the mechanism You work or collaborate with the secretariat of the mechanism						

C. Gaps and challenges; success factors and barriers

13. Please, rate your opinion about the adequacy of the various inputs and processes that have served the Member State mechanism.

	(1) Extremely inadequate	(2) Inadequate	(3) Neutral	(4) Adequate	(5) Highly adequate	(–) Don't know
The explicit goals and objectives of the mechanism						
The governance structure						
The composition of the Steering Committee						
The work-procedures of the mechanism						
The priority areas of work						
The effectiveness of meetings						
The effectiveness of the working groups						
The technical expertise of the members of the working groups						
The technical expertise of external advisers or consultants						
The extent and quality of the communication exchanged through the mechanism						
The extent and quality of WHO communication and dissemination regarding products of the mechanism						

	(1) Extremely inadequate	(2) Inadequate	(3) Neutral	(4) Adequate	(5) Highly adequate	(–) Don't know
The support provided by the Secretariat regarding communication and dissemination of products of the mechanism						
The IT support provided by the Secretariat						
The overall support provided by the Secretariat						
The financial resources available for the mechanism						
Please use the input field to type your answer. 15. Which would you consider are the main barriers that hindered the progress of the mechanism? Please use the input field to type your answer.						
16. Which would you consider are the best ways to communicate to national or regional regulatory authorities and other stakeholders about the Member State mechanism with a view to generate awareness and promote the use of its products? Please use the input field to type your answer.						

To what extent would you consider the objectives of the mechanism have been reasonably

17.

addressed?

	(1) Not at all	(2) Poorly	(3) Somewhat	(4) Well	(5) Very well	(–) Don't know
Objective 1. To identify major needs and challenges and make policy recommendations, and develop tools in the area of prevention, detection methodologies and control of SSFFC medical products in order to strengthen national and regional capacities						
Objective 2. To strengthen national and regional capacities in order to ensure the integrity of the supply chain						
Objective 3. To exchange experiences, lessons learned, best practices, and information on ongoing activities at national, regional and global levels						
Objective 4. To identify actions, activities and behaviours that result in SSFFC medical products and make recommendations, including for improving the quality, safety and efficacy of medical products						
Objective 5. To strengthen regulatory capacity and quality control laboratories at national and regional levels, in particular for developing countries and least developed countries						
Objective 6. To collaborate with and contribute to the work of other areas of WHO that address access to quality, safe, efficacious and affordable medical products, including, but not limited to, the supply and use of generic medical products, which should complement measures for the prevention and control of SSFFC medical products						
Objective 7. To facilitate consultation, cooperation and collaboration with relevant stakeholders in a transparent and coordinated manner, including regional and other global efforts, from a public health perspective						
Objective 8. To promote cooperation and collaboration on surveillance and monitoring of SSFFC medical products						
Objective 9. To further develop definitions of SSFFC medical products that focus on the protection of public health				0		
D. Overall appraisal 18. What is your level of satisfaction with the work produced by the mechanism since its establishment in 2012? (1) Very dissatisfied (2) Dissatisfied (3) Neutral (4) Satisfied (5) Very satisfied (-) Don't know If you want to further elaborate regarding this question, please use the text field below:						
19. Would you consider the mechanioperations? □ Yes □ Yes, with a few adjustments □ Yes, but only with major adjustments □ No □ Don't know	sm shoul	d contin	nue beyor	nd its fi	rst four	years of

If you want to further elaborate regarding this question, please use the text field below:						
FILTER OFF: ALL RESPONDENTS						
20. To what degree does the work obeneficial effect?	f the M	ember St	tate mech	anism ha	ave a pos	sitive oı
	(1) Not at all	(2) To a minor extent	(3) To a moderate extent	(4) To a large extent	(5) To a very large extent	(–) Don't know
for your institution?						
for your country?						
at regional or global level?						
22. In your opinion, which should lead to address medical products?	_					
Please use the input field to type your answe	r.					
23. Is there anything else that you would	d like to a	add?				
Please use the input field to type your answe	r.					
Thank you for responding to this survey.						

If you are willing to be contacted to provide further feedback to the evaluation team, please indicate your name, affiliation and email address below. Your responses will remain private and confidential. If you do not wish to share your contact details, just leave the fields blank.

Please <u>click the submit-button</u> below to finalize the questionnaire.

	Name:	
	*	
	Institution:	
	Mail address:	
Submit.		

= = =