Report on financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of resolutions proposed for adoption by the Executive Board or Health Assembly

1. Resolution: Improved decision-making by the governing bodies

2. Linkage to the Programme budget 2014–2015 (see document A66/7
   Category: 6. Corporate services/enabling functions
   Programme area: Leadership and governance
   Outcome: 6.1
   Output: 6.1.3

How would this resolution contribute to the achievement of the outcome(s) of the above programme area(s)?
By increasing electronic access to meetings of WHO’s governing bodies, webcasting of the public meetings of the Health Assembly, as part of WHO reform in the area of governance, contributes to greater coherence in global health and the strengthening of WHO’s governance.

Does the programme budget already include the outputs and deliverables requested in this resolution? (Yes/no)
Yes.

3. Estimated cost and staffing implications in relation to the Programme budget

   (a) Total cost
       Indicate (i) the lifespan of the resolution during which the Secretariat’s activities would be required for implementation and (ii) the cost of those activities (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000).
       (i) The resolution is not time-bound.
       (ii) Total: US$ 320 000 per biennium, subject to annual cost increase (staff: US$ nil; activities: US$ 320 000)

   (b) Cost for the biennium 2014–2015
       Indicate how much of the cost indicated in 3(a) is for the biennium 2014–2015 (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000).
       Total: US$ 200 000 in 2015 only (staff: US$ nil; activities: US$ 200 000).

       Indicate at which levels of the Organization the costs would be incurred, identifying specific regions where relevant.
       Headquarters.

       Is the estimated cost fully included within the approved Programme budget 2014–2015? (Yes/no)
       No.
If “no”, indicate how much is not included.
US$ 200 000. The costs are currently not foreseen in the Programme budget 2014–2015; however, in view of the relatively small additional budget required for the activities, the matter will be dealt with through some minor reprogramming.

(c) **Staffing implications**

**Could the resolution be implemented by existing staff? (Yes/no)**
No.

If “no” indicate how many additional staff – full-time equivalents – would be required, identifying specific regions and noting the necessary skills profile(s), where relevant.
External contracted personnel would be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. <strong>Funding</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the estimated cost for the biennium 2014–2015 indicated in 3 (b) fully funded? (Yes/no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If “no”, indicate the funding gap and how the funds would be mobilized (provide details of expected source(s) of funds).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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