

Report on financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of resolutions proposed for adoption by the Executive Board or Health Assembly

<p>1. Resolution: Implementation of the International Health Regulations (2005)</p>
<p>2. Linkage to the Programme budget 2014–2015 (see document A66/7 http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA66/A66_7-en.pdf)</p> <p>Category: 5. Preparedness, surveillance and response Programme area(s): Alert and response capacities Outcome: 5.1 Output: 5.1.1</p> <p>How would this resolution contribute to the achievement of the outcome(s) of the above programme area(s)?</p> <p>The resolution would sustain the Secretariat in its role of providing support to Member States in implementing the International Health Regulations (2005). The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization concluded recently that a single dose of yellow fever vaccine is sufficient to confer sustained immunity and life-long protection against yellow fever disease and that a booster dose of yellow fever vaccine is not needed.¹ WHO has endorsed this conclusion and a number of Member States have requested guidance from the Secretariat on implementation of this advice under the International Health Regulations (2005).</p> <p>Does the programme budget already include the outputs and deliverables requested in this resolution? (Yes/no)</p> <p>Yes.</p>
<p>3. Estimated cost and staffing implications in relation to the Programme budget</p> <p>(a) Total cost</p> <p>Indicate (i) the lifespan of the resolution during which the Secretariat's activities would be required for implementation and (ii) the cost of those activities (estimated to the nearest US\$ 10 000).</p> <p>(i) No Secretariat activities are required for implementation of this resolution</p> <p>(ii) Total: US\$ nil (staff: US\$ nil; activities: US\$ nil)</p>

¹ Meeting of the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization, April 2013 – conclusions and recommendations. Weekly epidemiological record. 2013;88(20):201–216 (<http://www.who.int/wer/2013/wer8820.pdf>, accessed 17 January 2014).

(b) Cost for the biennium 2014–2015

Indicate how much of the cost indicated in 3 (a) is for the biennium 2014–2015 (estimated to the nearest US\$ 10 000).

Total: US\$ nil (staff: US\$ nil; activities: US\$ nil)

Indicate at which levels of the Organization the costs would be incurred, identifying specific regions where relevant.

Not applicable.

Is the estimated cost fully included within the approved Programme budget 2014–2015? (Yes/no)

Not applicable.

If “no”, indicate how much is not included.

US\$ n/a.

(c) Staffing implications

Could the resolution be implemented by existing staff? (Yes/no)

Not applicable.

If “no” indicate how many additional staff – full-time equivalents – would be required, identifying specific regions and noting the necessary skills profile(s), where relevant.

Not applicable.

4. Funding

Is the estimated cost for the biennium 2014–2015 indicated in 3 (b) fully funded? (Yes/no)

Not applicable.

If “no”, indicate the funding gap and how the funds would be mobilized (provide details of expected source(s) of funds).

US\$ n/a; source(s) of funds: n/a.

= = =