

## **Report on financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of resolutions proposed for adoption by the Executive Board or Health Assembly**

**1. Decision:** Follow up to the Political Declaration of the High-level Meeting of the General Assembly on the Prevention and Control of Non-communicable Diseases

**2. Linkage to the Programme budget 2014–2015 (see document A66/7  
[http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\\_files/WHA66/A66\\_7-en.pdf](http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA66/A66_7-en.pdf))**

Category: 2. Noncommunicable diseases

Programme area: Noncommunicable diseases

Outcome: 2.1

Outputs: 2.1.1, 2.1.2 and 2.1.3

**How would this resolution contribute to the achievement of the outcome(s) of the above programme area(s)?**

The second formal meeting of Member States will enable the Secretariat to conclude the work on the development of terms of reference for the global coordination mechanism on noncommunicable diseases.

**Does the programme budget already include the outputs and deliverables requested in this resolution? (Yes/no)**

Yes.

**3. Estimated cost and staffing implications in relation to the Programme budget**

**(a) Total cost**

**Indicate (i) the lifespan of the resolution during which the Secretariat's activities would be required for implementation and (ii) the cost of those activities (estimated to the nearest US\$ 10 000).**

(i) One year (covering the period 2014–2015)

(ii) Total: US\$ 300 000 (staff: US\$ 30 000; activities: US\$ 270 000)

**(b) Cost for the biennium 2014–2015**

**Indicate how much of the cost indicated in 3(a) is for the biennium 2014–2015 (estimated to the nearest US\$ 10 000).**

Total: US\$ 300 000 (staff: US\$ 30 000; activities: US\$ 270 000)

**Indicate at which levels of the Organization the costs would be incurred, identifying specific regions where relevant.**

Headquarters.

**Is the estimated cost fully included within the approved Programme budget 2014–2015? (Yes/no)**

Yes.

**If “no”, indicate how much is not included.**

**(c) Staffing implications**

**Could the resolution be implemented by existing staff? (Yes/no)**

Yes.

**If “no” indicate how many additional staff – full-time equivalents – would be required, identifying specific regions and noting the necessary skills profile(s), where relevant.**

**4. Funding**

**Is the estimated cost for the biennium 2014–2015 indicated in 3 (b) fully funded? (Yes/no)**

No.

**If “no”, indicate the funding gap and how the funds would be mobilized (provide details of expected source(s) of funds).**

US\$ 300 000. This funding gap will be tackled as part of the Organization-wide coordinated resource mobilization plan to make good funding shortfalls in the Programme budget 2014–2015.

= = =