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Introduction

Why indicators of national drug policies are needed
How to use the manual
Who should use the manual
How to use the indicators
How to apply the indicators
How the results can be used
WHY INDICATORS OF NATIONAL DRUG POLICIES ARE NEEDED

All countries have a national pharmaceutical policy. Such a policy may exist in the form of a policy document, in which case it is *explicit*. Alternatively, it may be indicated in certain government procedures and actions, in which case it is *implicit*. Often these policies reflect the many changes in the pharmaceutical sector which most countries, particularly developing and transitional ones, have experienced in recent years.

Overall, the main objectives of these national drug policies are:

- to make effective, safe, low-cost drugs available and affordable to meet the needs of the entire population (essential drugs); and
- to ensure that drugs are of good quality and used rationally.

However, no effective and accepted tools exist at the global or country level to evaluate the performance of pharmaceutical sectors, to monitor progress in the implementation of national drug policies or to assess the effects of changes on drug policy objectives.

This manual has been developed to meet this need. It contains a comprehensive set of simple, objective and reliable indicators which can be adapted to fit national contexts. It will allow countries and international agencies to do the following:

- assess a country's capacity to implement the various elements of a national drug policy;
- monitor the processes by which a national drug policy is implemented and the changes over a period of time;
- measure the policy's progress towards the achievement of objectives, allowing decision-makers to adjust strategies accordingly.

An effective national drug policy requires: clear and mutually consistent objectives; appropriate strategies (policy measures) adapted to national resources; and the necessary technical means to achieve objectives. Although strategies for drug policy may differ from country to country — depending on the specific political, economic and social situations and the health status — the overall policy objectives and the key strategies are basically the same for all nations. A logical method was therefore sought to identify the major problems faced by countries in achieving the overall objectives of drug policy and selecting key strategies of universal importance for achieving objectives on the basis of which to develop indicators. The method is described in detail in Chapter II.

The manual focuses on relatively low-cost and non-complex methods that can be used with limited specialist input and integrated into routine monitoring systems. It derives from the
belief that in many countries, much of the necessary data exist but are often not organized to address specific policy concerns. This manual therefore suggests ways to organize, analyse and present data for such purposes.

It is hoped that these indicators will be used widely for monitoring drug policies and will facilitate the systematic monitoring of national drug policy implementation. In 1996, the indicators were applied in 12 countries using a systematic research-based approach, and the experience acquired in these countries has been incorporated in this new version.1 The manual’s indicators and methods will continue to be reviewed and improved. New experience gained will be incorporated by the Department of Essential Drugs and Medicines Policy in future editions.

HOW TO USE THE MANUAL

The manual is divided into five chapters, which are designed to be used in different ways. Chapter II provides an explanation of the procedures used to develop the indicators. The core method for indicator development was a Delphi survey among a panel of 54 international experts, which obtained consensus on seven priorities for improving the pharmaceutical situation in the public and the private sectors in developing countries.2 These were:

1. The establishment of appropriate legislation and regulation.
2. The selection of essential drugs and the registration process.
3. The importance of maintaining a significant drug allocation in the health budget and developing relevant financing policy in the public sector.
4. The improvement of procurement procedures in the public sector.
5. The strengthening of drug distribution and logistics in the public sector.
6. The establishment of a drug pricing policy in both public and private sectors.
7. The role of information and continuing education programmes to improve drug use.

The indicators described in the manual have therefore been selected to evaluate the pharmaceutical situation in countries and to measure progress in the seven priority areas outlined above and in the four overall objectives of any national drug policy.

---

1 Comparative analysis of national drug policies: second workshop (WHO/DAP/97.6).

Chapter III presents the model lists of the indicators, with a brief description of the use of each list. The indicators are divided into four categories:

**Background information**

This first set of indicators is intended to provide data on the demographic, economic, health and pharmaceutical contexts in which drug policy is being implemented in a given country. The information is quantitative data, at a single point in time, which in most countries are readily available at the central level. The manual provides 31 background information indicators.

**Structural indicators**

These indicators provide qualitative information to assess the pharmaceutical system's capacity to achieve its policy objectives. They are intended to check whether the key structures/systems/mechanisms necessary to implement a pharmaceutical policy exist in the country, for each of the seven key strategies noted above. These structural indicators are answered "yes" or "no" on the basis of information usually available at the central level. The manual provides 50 structural indicators.

**Process indicators**

These indicators provide quantitative information on the processes by which a national drug policy is implemented. They assess the degree to which activities necessary to attain the objectives are carried out and their progress over time. As with the structural indicators, the process indicators monitor the main activities under the same seven key strategies of drug policy. These process indicators are based on information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. The manual provides 38 process indicators.

**Outcome indicators**

These indicators measure the results achieved and the changes that can be attributed to the implementation of the national drug policy. They have been selected to assess the effects of implementing the policy on the overall NDP objectives: availability and affordability of essential drugs, drug quality and the rational use of drugs. These outcome indicators are based on information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. The manual provides 10 outcome indicators.

Although a national drug policy is ultimately intended to improve the overall health of a population, health impact indicators are not included in this manual for three main reasons: the multifactorial nature of health status, the consequent complex issues of causality associated with drug policy and health status, and the unresolved methodological difficulties of selecting reliable health indicators directly related to the use of drugs. The manual therefore recommends the use of indicators that measure the effectiveness and efficiency of
key components of a national drug policy. It is assumed that these will have an impact on the availability and accessibility of essential drugs and on the quality and use of drugs — to the ultimate benefit of the health status of the population. In some circumstances, however, a manager may decide to use a few health status indicators if the data are relatively simple to collect and can be reliably related to drugs. However, such data should be interpreted with great care.

Chapter IV describes the various steps to be followed when applying indicators — from the selection of appropriate indicators, the collection of data, the training of staff and the calculation of the indicators, to the presentation of results. It includes a detailed discussion of the procedures for conducting surveys and for calculating the value of a basket of drugs. It also provides a number of suggestions on how to establish a monitoring system.

Chapter V presents each indicator on a single page; this chapter is intended to serve as the core reference section of the manual. Each indicator (except for the background indicators) is described with a brief statement that includes:

**Definition**
- What is the content of the indicator?

**Use**
- What will this indicator measure?
- Why is this indicator important?

**Description**
- What are the definitions of key terms?
- What is the scope of the indicator?
- How can the results be interpreted?

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:**
- What are the main sources and methods of data collection?
- How should the indicator be calculated?

**Limitations**
- What are the main limitations of the indicator?

---

3 The method of calculating the indicator is provided only for process and outcome indicators as structural indicators require a Yes/No response.
These detailed guidelines should be read carefully before applying the indicators. The information they contain can be adapted at country level, according to national targets and priorities. They can also be used for training data collectors. When new indicators are developed, similar pages should be prepared.

Annex 1 provides model data collection forms to be used for entering the information gathered either at central level or during the surveys. These forms can be directly copied or modified according to the country-specific context.

Annexes 2 and 3 of the manual provide respectively a glossary and a table of random numbers.

**WHO SHOULD USE THE MANUAL**

The manual is designed for use primarily by senior management personnel responsible for NDP implementation. These managers need to obtain information regularly to improve the policy and to guide the allocation of resources. They need to verify whether activities within the main policy areas are carried out as planned, and to detect problems (process monitoring through structural and process indicators). They also need to document whether the progress under the various policy areas is leading to expected results in terms of availability, affordability, quality and use of drugs (outcome monitoring through outcome indicators). This is in order to design new strategies/policy measures to improve the performance of the NDP’s main components. These managers can use the manual to establish or improve a drug monitoring system, or to integrate drug monitoring into existing health information systems.

National policy-makers in the ministry of health (and in other ministries) concerned with the overall improvement of health conditions may also find this manual useful in helping them to monitor progress in drug policy. They may select certain indicators for regular reports on the pharmaceutical situation. For example, they may decide to request an annual report on the outcome indicators in order to assess the overall progress of drug policy implementation and policy strategies. National policy-makers concerned with the evaluation of "health sector reforms" may find some indicators described in the manual useful for assessing the effects of the reforms. For instance, indicators on use of drugs (OT7 to OT10) are important indicators of technical efficiency, which is often a main objective of health sector reform. Finally, policy-makers may also find the manual useful in preparing reports on the performance of the pharmaceutical sector, for use with external donors or for presentation to other government agencies (such as the ministry of finance).

Managers at intermediate and lower levels will probably find the indicators useful but may need more (or more detailed) information in relation to their field of intervention. More detailed information can of course be collected for any of the issues covered
by the indicators, but when monitoring an NDP with a wide range of strategies/components, it is important to focus on the main trends. Although collecting more information may be important for micromanagement, it can lead to confusion when monitoring a policy as a whole.

*International agencies' experts* will find the manual useful when developing new projects in the pharmaceutical field and when assessing current projects. It can be a useful tool when organizing the situation analysis for a project, before developing strategies and activities. The background information and structural indicators will provide basic data on the health/pharmaceutical sector and an assessment of the country's capacity to implement the various aspects of a national drug policy. This standardized information can also help reduce duplication of efforts when multiple agencies are working in one country.

**HOW TO USE THE INDICATORS**

Indicators contained in the manual can be used as they are; every effort has been made during their development to ensure that they are relevant to most situations and countries and that they provide a comprehensive view of a national drug policy. Their use in 12 countries in 1995 and 1996 confirmed that they are applicable to various socioeconomic contexts and to different pharmaceutical policies. However, it is expected that managers will sometimes need to adapt the indicators to match national circumstances. The indicators can be adapted in several ways.

First, countries may have additional objectives, beyond those specified above, such as the development of national pharmaceutical production or the integration of traditional medicine. In this case, supplementary indicators could be developed at country level. This development should be preceded by careful identification of the objectives and strategies, in order to select indicators that will provide useful information about the effectiveness of policy implementation.

Second, national managers of drug policy may wish to adapt the normative standards suggested in the indicators to take into account the country's specific priorities. Each country, according to its political structure and level of socioeconomic development, will be at a different stage of formulation and implementation of its national drug policy, and will have its own priorities. This manual proposes provisional standards for process and outcome indicators. The team responsible for applying the indicators should review these standards carefully, to be certain that they correspond to the national context and the drug policy's targets and goals.

Third, national managers may wish to have more detailed information on certain aspects of the drug policy; in this case, they can subdivide the indicators. For instance, the indicators that refer to prescribers in general can be made more specific by adapting them to the various groups of prescribers. However, it is important not to forget that the main objective
is to evaluate and monitor progress in implementing the policy and not to follow all the activities in detail.

Fourth, because of the differences between countries, some indicators will be of greater relevance than others. Some sections of the manual will thus be best applied selectively to specific problems. Alternatively, national managers may decide that some indicators in this manual are not appropriate for their country or policy.

Finally, experience suggests that developed countries may also be able to use this approach for defining indicators. In such cases, the list of indicators may be used as a model and adapted to fit the national context.

HOW TO APPLY THE INDICATORS

This manual is intended for application not only in countries that have adequate monitoring infrastructure for drug policy, but also in those that currently lack this capacity. Where an organizational capacity for monitoring already exists, the manual can be used as a reference tool to review the existing systems for data collection, indicator analysis and report presentation. It may provide ideas for new indicators, sampling methods or report formats, for strengthening the capacity to monitor drug policy implementation.

In countries that lack this organizational capacity, the manual is intended to provide the basis for creating an institutionalized system for monitoring the implementation of national drug policy. The goal of such a system is to provide the essential information without the need for ad hoc studies. However, some data will need to be collected through specific surveys, at least during the first years, because existing systems will be inadequate. For these situations, the manual proposes methods that seek to balance the need for collecting accurate data with the need for logistical simplicity. In certain countries, a practical approach could be to begin with what is possible now and gradually increase the monitoring activities as the system develops.

The organizational unit in charge of monitoring the implementation of drug policy should be within the ministry of health, either in the pharmaceutical department or the planning department. Even in highly decentralized health systems, some central capacity for monitoring the implementation of national policy is desirable.

In general, once the organizational basis for a monitoring system is in place, the process of monitoring requires the following steps:

---

4 The Government of Australia has adapted the approach contained in this manual to develop its own indicators: *Development of a manual of indicators to measure the effect of initiatives under the quality use of medicine arm of the national medicinal drug policy*, Department of Human Services and Health, Australia, September 1994.
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- defining the targets within each policy area and objective (this step must be carried out as part of policy formulation and planning);
- identifying the indicators and data needed;
- collecting the data;
- analysing and interpreting the data;
- using the results to propose changes, if needed.

The technical procedures for collecting and analysing the data are described in detail in Chapter IV and Annex 1. The issue of interpretation of changes in indicators is complex. Knowledge of the specific context in which the indicator has changed is crucial to any such interpretations. Examples of such interpretations are provided in Chapter V and in the report cited in footnote 1.

Finally, such an institution-building process will require the commitment of senior policy-makers as well as the support of external organizations. These will help ensure that an effective monitoring system can be created and sustained, and that it is used to improve both the equity and the efficiency of national drug policy. Ultimately, the system should be integrated into the national health information system.

HOW THE RESULTS CAN BE USED

Indicators and monitoring systems are worthwhile only if they are used. Too often, data are collected but never analysed; or data are analysed but never used to improve or modify existing practices or policy. This manual is intended to produce results that can be used to improve the effectiveness of drug policy in the following ways:

First, the indicators derived from the manual can be used to monitor the progress in implementing the various components of a national drug policy. Are the basic structures in place, and are they functioning adequately? Which components are performing well, and which not? If the indicators can be collected regularly over time, then it becomes possible to determine whether particular components have improved or declined in performance.

Second, the indicators can be used to assess the priorities for implementation in the drug sector, and also to assess the effectiveness of overall drug policy strategies. If one component of drug policy is performing poorly compared with other components, then it may be desirable to allocate more resources (both human and financial), and thereby revise the relative priorities of different components, in an effort to improve implementation. In some cases, it may be necessary to change the strategy, for example by introducing a programme for the promotion of essential drugs, or by developing new pricing policies in order to encourage private distribution of essential drugs.
Third, the indicators can be used by both national and international agencies to compare drug policy performance across different countries. A comparison of structural indicators would assist in identifying relative weaknesses and strengths in institutional capacity to implement drug policy, and a comparison of process indicators would help to show the relative progress in achieving drug policy targets. Cross-national comparisons can also assist national policy-makers in learning about innovative approaches that may be applicable in their own countries. In addition, the collection of country data by the Department of Essential Drugs and Medicines Policy will facilitate development of an international database on the implementation of national drug policies. This could be disseminated worldwide and could assist national policy-makers in comparing the performance of their country's pharmaceutical sector with that of other countries.

Finally, the indicators can be used in negotiations on drug policy among the various interested parties within a country, and also in policy discussions with external donors and international agencies concerning health sector reform. The application of these indicators over time, for example, could help demonstrate the impacts of macro-economic policy changes (such as devaluation) on the health system and on the pharmaceutical system. The indicators can provide data to enable health policy-makers argue more persuasively and coherently, helping, for instance, to ensure that the health sector and the health status of vulnerable groups are not forgotten during times of economic reform.
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Development of the manual
METHODOLOGY

The development and selection of indicators followed 10 logical steps (see box), which are described more fully below.

Selection of a conceptual framework for indicator development.

Literature review to identify potential key issues and strategies/components of pharmaceutical policy in developing countries.

Delphi survey to develop consensus on key issues and strategies/components of pharmaceutical policy in developing countries.

Experts' consultation to review general difficulties in indicator development and to define criteria for selection of indicators.

Sets of indicators for monitoring implementation of drug policy in developing countries proposed by the working group (background, structural and process indicators).

Field testing of proposed indicators in six countries to assess the clarity, applicability and usefulness of the indicators selected.

Review of the first draft manual by experts within and outside WHO to assess the methodology used for indicator development and the categories of indicators.

Set of outcome indicators to measure progress towards the overall objectives proposed by the working group.

Review of methodology for indicator calculation by epidemiologists and statisticians within and outside WHO to assess the relevance of the proposed methodologies and the appropriateness of the sampling procedures.

Finalization of the manual based on a review of all comments received and incorporation of appropriate revisions.

Definition of a conceptual framework:
A working group including people with extensive field experience, academics and a WHO/EDM staff member was set up at the Harvard School of Public Health to support WHO/EDM in developing indicators for NDPs. The first task of the group was to define a logical approach to indicator development which would serve as the conceptual framework for the subsequent activities. The various steps of this logical approach are outlined below (see box).

**Conceptual framework for indicator development**

- What are the key issues in the pharmaceutical sector? (diagnosis of problems)
- What are the main objectives of NDPs?
- What are the main strategies/components which should be developed to achieve those objectives?
- What are the main activities which should be implemented under each strategy/component?
- What type of indicators should be developed to monitor these activities?
- What type of indicators should be developed to assess the impact of the strategies and the activities?

**Literature review:**

A literature review to identify the main issues currently faced by developing countries in the pharmaceutical field was carried out by the working group at the Harvard School of Public Health. The review included both published and unpublished documents related to the pharmaceutical sector in more than 50 countries. On the basis of this review, the working group compiled a comprehensive listing of major problems faced by developing countries in the pharmaceutical sector, which were called "key issues". The working group then identified for each key issue those elements of the pharmaceutical system that have a major impact on performance; these were called "key components".
Delphi survey:

The next step was to achieve general agreement on the ranking of both the key issues and the key components in terms of importance for intervention, as a way to establish priorities. To achieve this general agreement, a Delphi survey was carried out.\(^5\)

The study was designed by a monitor group set up at the Harvard School of Public Health. The Delphi group consisted of 54 people with substantial expertise in pharmaceutical policy in developing countries. It included people from different types of institutions: multilateral donors, such as the World Bank and the European Union; the UN system, such as WHO and UNICEF; nongovernmental organizations; research and consulting groups; pharmaceutical companies; universities; and individual consultants specializing in drug policy implementation. Half were pharmacists or physicians, and half were economists, managers, policy analysts, anthropologists or statisticians. The group included people from 12 countries on four continents.

Through the Delphi technique, a high rate of agreement was obtained on key issues and key components. Seven key components/strategies were mentioned as priorities for action by a large majority of the Delphi respondents (see box).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The establishment of appropriate drug legislation and regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The selection of essential drugs and the registration process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The importance of maintaining a significant drug allocation in the health budget and developing a relevant financing policy in the public sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The improvement of drug procurement procedures in the public sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The strengthening of drug distribution and logistics in the public sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The establishment of a drug pricing policy in both public and private sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The role of information and continuing education programmes to improve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) The Delphi technique is a method for structuring group communication so that the process allows a group of individuals, as a whole, to deal with a complex problem and reach group consensus. The process involves the use of a series of questionnaires designed by a monitor group and then sent by mail in several rounds to a respondent group of experts who remain anonymous. After each round, the results are summarized by the monitor team and used to develop a questionnaire for the next round. The summary and new questionnaire are then sent to all members who responded. A Delphi survey is considered complete when a convergence of opinion occurs or when a point of diminishing returns is reached.
The Delphi technique established these seven key components as particularly important for achieving the objectives of a national drug policy. They were therefore adopted as the basis for selecting indicators to monitor the process of implementation of pharmaceutical policy.

Experts' consultation:

A major issue in indicator development is the importance of defining criteria. During an informal consultation in Geneva, a set of guiding principles and criteria was discussed and prepared to provide a common approach for indicator development in the field of pharmaceuticals. It was agreed that indicators should be developed according to the following principles:

- **Usefulness for action:** The data provided in the indicator should primarily help strengthen national drug policy and programme management, and should secondarily help to promote goals and targets set up at the international level. The indicator should be useful for decision-making and action at the level where the data are collected, which can increase the reliability of data collected.

- **Clarity:** The indicator should express a single idea that is generally agreed to be important.

- **Ease of generation and measurement:** The data should, as far as possible, result from the regular data collection system. If the indicator requires an additional survey, this should be within the capability and responsibility of staff at the level it is performed.

- **Consistency and validity:** The indicator should be proven capable of being recorded throughout the system with an acceptable degree of validity and reliability.

- **National relevance:** The indicator should serve to measure progress towards the goals, objectives and targets stated in national policy.

- **Ease of comparison:** The indicator should, when feasible, provide quantitative data that can be compared with specific norms and objectives.

Sets of indicators proposed

The working group at the Harvard School of Public Health next proposed structural and process indicators to measure the most important activities in each key component and also background information indicators for the implementation context. The initial list was reviewed with the above six criteria for indicator development, resulting in the elimination of a
number of proposed indicators. The work resulted in three provisional lists of indicators: background information, structural and process indicators.

**Field testing**

Six countries were selected for field testing the three lists of provisional indicators, with the following objectives:

- to validate the selection of indicators in various situations;
- to assess for each indicator the clarity, ease of collection, validity and usefulness for action;
- to identify other indicators that should be added, and existing indicators that were unnecessary and should be removed;
- to assess the usefulness of an indicator-based monitoring system for the implementation of national drug policy.

The six countries selected for field testing (Central African Republic, Guinea, Malawi, Nepal, Philippines and Tunisia) provided a range of national contexts and drug policies. The results of the field tests showed that policy-makers and country managers were interested in having available effective and accepted tools for carefully monitoring the implementation of their national drug policy and were eager to implement such an indicator-based system in their own country. Indicators selected were considered to be appropriate. They were easily understood, simple to apply and relatively easy to collect, although some needed special surveys. These results confirmed the relevance of the project and of the approach taken.

A preliminary analysis of the field tests took place at a two-day meeting to discuss the relevance of the indicators to each country. The meeting allowed participants to review the full lists of indicators to determine whether any should be removed or revised and to discuss whether new indicators should be added. Additional analysis of the field tests was also done by the working group, which reviewed all comments and incorporated many suggestions from the field tests.

**Review of the first draft manual by experts within and outside WHO:** The draft manual was sent to 60 reviewers within and outside WHO for comments on:

- the methodology used for indicator development;
- the usefulness of the categories of indicators proposed;
- the lists of indicators selected;
- the usefulness of the manual at country level.

Suggestions for outcome indicators were also requested. More than 30 reviewers sent comments, which were classified into broad categories and systematically analysed by the
working group along with the results of the field test. There was general agreement on the usefulness of the manual, on the relevance of the methodology used and on the lists of indicators. Most of the comments dealt with specific indicators and with the need for a methodology to collect and calculate the indicators. A few reviewers proposed new indicators, which were added provided that they fitted the six criteria previously defined. Some reviewers commented that the indicators could also be used in developed countries.

Set of outcome indicators proposed

The working group identified a small number of outcome indicators that could measure the impact of various components on the overall objectives of national drug policy: availability and affordability of essential drugs, good quality of drugs and rational use of drugs. This included the impact of policy on the private as well as the public sector, since national policies affect both. Some indicators previously developed by WHO to assess drug use were incorporated into this list.

Review of methodology for indicator calculation

In order to assist countries in implementing the indicators, the working group prepared guidelines for the collection and analysis of the data through record reviews, interviews and surveys. These guidelines include a detailed discussion of the procedures for conducting surveys and data collection forms for central and field levels. The guidelines were revised by the working group to ensure the validity of the methodologies and the appropriateness of the sampling procedures, after careful reviews by experts in epidemiology and statistics.

Finalization of the first and second editions of the manual

The first version of the manual was prepared after further review inside and outside WHO. The indicators were then used in more than 12 countries in 1996, and the current version of the manual has been slightly modified to take into account the experience gained in these countries (footnote 1). In addition, WHO/EDM is preparing a manual on issues related to monitoring systems in the drug field which will complement this one and integrate practical experiences of countries.
Chapter III

Model lists of indicators

Background information
Structural indicators
Process indicators
Outcome indicators
BACKGROUND INFORMATION

These 31 indicators provide background information on the demographic, economic, health and pharmaceutical contexts in which drug policy is implemented in a given country. They are quantitative data, usually available at the central level. The information can be used to help identify major problems in health status, in the health system and in the drug sector. The indicators provide basic data that a policy-maker responsible for the drug sector should know and have available. They should generally be updated annually.

This background information can be used by national policy-makers for discussing drug policy within the broader national health context and by international experts for assessing the country situation. Some of the indicators may be useful in cross-national comparisons of drug policy implementation. Some are also used in calculating subsequent indicators.

Field tests have shown that the background information can be collected in a few days, if adequate access exists to key personnel in the health sector. Most of these indicators are provided in the annual reports of major international organizations (e.g. the International Monetary Fund, the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank). However, in a few countries, some information, mainly on financial aspects, may be difficult to collect and not very reliable. It is then important to review each indicator, and to determine its usefulness in the national context and the level of "precision" which is needed. A model data summary form (Summary Form 1) is provided in Annex 1 (when filling it in, do not forget to indicate the year for which the information has been collected and the source).

Country information

Population data
BG1: Total population
BG2: Average annual growth of the population
BG3: Percentage of the total population living in urban areas
BG4: Life expectancy (years)

Economic data
BG5: GNP per capita
BG6: Average annual rate of inflation
Health information

Health status data

BG7: Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)
BG8: Maternal mortality rate (per 100,000 live births)
BG9: Top five causes and rate of infant morbidity
BG10: Top five causes and rate of infant mortality
BG11: Top five causes and rate of adult morbidity
BG12: Top five causes and rate of adult mortality

Health system data

BG13: Total number of prescribers
BG14: Total public health budget
BG15: Total value of international aid for the health sector
BG16: Total health expenditure (public + households + international aid)

Drug sector information

Economic data

BG17: Total public drug expenditure
BG18: Total value of international aid for drugs (cash + kind)
BG19: Total drug expenditure (public + households + international aid)
BG20: Total value of local production (ex-factory price) sold in the country
BG21: Total value of drug imports (CIF)
BG22: Total value of drugs under generic name (CIF price for imported drugs and ex-factory price for locally produced drugs) sold in the country

Human resources

BG23: Total number of pharmacists
BG24: Total number of pharmacy technicians or other aides/assistants

---

7 Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments. Private finance includes out-of-pocket payments and voluntary health insurance.

8 From BG17 to BG22: when data do not distinguish between drugs and other supplies, try to estimate the percentage that corresponds to drugs and record this estimate.

9 If there is a sizeable illegal market, some realistic estimations of the share of this market in drug expenditures should be given.
Drug sector organization

BG25: Total number of drug manufacturing units in the country
BG26: Total number of wholesalers in the country
BG27: Total number of pharmacies and drug outlets in the public sector (including health facilities and hospitals that dispense drugs)
BG28: Total number of pharmacies and drug outlets in the private sector
BG29: Total number of private pharmacies and drug outlets in the three major urban areas

Number of drugs

BG30: Total number of registered drugs (in dosage forms and strengths)\(^\text{10}\)
BG31: Total number of drugs on the national essential drugs list (in INN)

STRUCTURAL INDICATORS

These 50 indicators provide qualitative information on the basic structures that are considered necessary for implementing a national drug policy. The indicators check whether the basic structures/systems/mechanisms under each key component exist in the country. They do not evaluate the functioning of these structures (for example, these indicators check whether quality control facilities exist, but not whether they work efficiently). The performance of the structures is assessed with the process indicators. The structural indicators monitor the main aspects of the seven key components of drug policy:

- legislation and regulation;
- essential drug selection and drug registration;
- drug allocation in the health budget and public sector financing policy;
- public sector procurement procedures;
- public sector distribution and logistics;
- pricing policy;
- information and continuing education on drug use.

The structural indicators are answered "Yes" or "No" on the basis of information usually available at the central level. When answered "Yes", they do not provide any more a measure for progress, except to check that things do not deteriorate. Their main significance

\(^{10}\) In some countries the number of registered drugs is considerably higher than the number of drugs currently on the market. In such cases, countries are advised to add an indicator: "Number of drugs currently on the market".
lies in the negative answers. Many "No" answers suggest that improvements are required in institutional capacity if the drug sector is to make significant progress towards achieving overall policy objectives. For certain indicators, and according to specific country needs, the monitoring unit can decide to collect some written statements to understand the situation better or to quantify these indicators.

The structural indicators may be analysed and interpreted one by one; however, structural and process indicators have been designed in such a way that it is more important to analyse all those related to a specific key component together, as shown in the table below. This means that structural indicators are related to one another within each component and should be analysed together, e.g. the results of ST1 to ST11 should be reviewed together to obtain a complete picture of the situation in terms of legislation and regulation. In addition, the structural indicators should be analysed with the process indicators for each component in order to understand what is working and what is not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key component</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>PR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legislation and regulation.</td>
<td>ST 1 - ST 11</td>
<td>PR 1 - PR 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential drug selection and drug registration.</td>
<td>ST 12 - ST 18</td>
<td>PR 8 - PR 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy.</td>
<td>ST 19 - ST 23</td>
<td>PR 14 - PR 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sector procurement procedures.</td>
<td>ST 24 - ST 30</td>
<td>PR 19 - PR 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sector distribution and logistics.</td>
<td>ST 31 - ST 36</td>
<td>PR 27 - PR 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pricing policy.</td>
<td>ST 37 - ST 41</td>
<td>PR 30 - PR 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and continuing education on drug use.</td>
<td>ST 42 - ST 50</td>
<td>PR 33 - PR 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structural indicators can be used for assisting national and international decision-makers in formulating strategies and designing interventions to improve the pharmaceutical sector. They can be used in comparing the implementation of pharmaceutical policies in different countries. Structural indicators can also be used for advocacy purposes to increase government and donor support to the drug sector.

Field experience shows that structural indicators can be collected in a few days if adequate access exists to key persons in the pharmaceutical sector (public and private sectors). A one-page description of each structural indicator is provided in Chapter V and a model data summary form is provided in Annex 1 (Summary Form 2).

**Legislation and regulation**

ST1: Is there an official national drug policy document updated in the past 10 years?
ST2: Is there drug legislation updated in the past 10 years?
ST3: Have regulations based on the drug legislation been issued?
ST4: Is there a drug regulatory authority whose mandate includes registration and inspection?

ST5: Is there a licensing system to regulate the sale of drugs (wholesalers, pharmacists, retailers)?

ST6: Are pharmacists legally entitled to substitute generic drugs for brand name products?

ST7: Are there legal provisions for penal sanctions?

ST8: Is there a checklist for carrying out inspections in different types of pharmaceutical establishments?

ST9: Are there any institutions within or outside the country where quality control is carried out?

ST10: Is the WHO Certification Scheme on the Quality of Pharmaceutical Products Moving in International Commerce used systematically?

ST11: Are there controls on drug promotion based on regulations and consistent with the WHO Ethical Criteria for Medicinal Drug Promotion?

**Essential drug selection and drug registration**

ST12: Is there a national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary using INN officially adopted and distributed countrywide?

ST13: Is there an official drug committee whose duties include updating the national essential drugs list (EDL)?

ST14: Has the national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary been updated and distributed countrywide in the past five years?

ST15: Do drug donations comply with the national essential drugs list (EDL)?

ST16: Are there formal procedures for registering drugs?

ST17: Is there a drug registration committee?

ST18: Is drug registration renewal required at least every five years?

**Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy**

ST19: Is the public drug budget spent per year more than 20% of the ministry of health operating budget spent per year for the last three years?

ST20: Is the public drug budget spent per capita per year more than US$ 1.00 per year for the last three years?

ST21: Is the public drug budget spent for national hospitals less than 40% of the total public drug budget spent for the last three years?

ST22: Has the public drug budget spent per capita increased in the last three years?

ST23: Are there any financing systems in addition to the public drug budget that contribute to the provision of drugs in the public sector?

**Public sector procurement procedures**
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ST24: Are drugs usually procured in the public sector through competitive tender?
ST25: Is there a system for monitoring supplier performance?
ST26: Is most of the tendering done under international nonproprietary name (INN)?
ST27: Does the procurement unit receive foreign currency in less than 60 days (from request to release)?
ST28: Is procurement in the public sector limited to drugs on the national essential drugs list (EDL)?
ST29: Is the average lead time (from order to receipt at central level) less than eight months?
ST30: Is procurement based on a reliable quantification of drug needs?

Public sector distribution and logistics

ST31: Are good storage practices observed in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?
ST32: Is the information recorded on the stockcards for a basket of drugs\(^{11}\) the same as the quantity of stock in store?
ST33: Are the stocks for a basket of drugs within their expiry dates in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?
ST34: Have all incoming products been physically inspected for the last three deliveries in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?
ST35: Are only drugs which are on the national essential drugs list (EDL) in stock in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?
ST36: Are 80\% or more of the vehicles of the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses in working condition?

Pricing policy

ST37: Are drug prices regulated in the private sector?
ST38: Is there at least one major incentive for the private sector to sell essential drugs at low cost?
ST39: Is the total margin used by wholesalers and retailers less than 35\% of the CIF price?
ST40: Is there a system for monitoring drug prices?
ST41: Are essential drugs under INN or generic name sold in private drug outlets?

Information and continuing education on drug use

ST42: Is there a national publication (formulary/bulletin/manual, etc.), revised within the past five years, providing objective information on drug use?
ST43: Is there a national therapeutic guide with standardized treatments?
ST44: Is the concept of essential drugs part of the curricula in the basic training of health personnel?

\(^{11}\) A basket of drugs is provided as an example in Chapter IV (see page 59).
ST45: Is there an official continuing education system on rational use of drugs for prescribers and dispensers?

ST46: Is there a drug information unit/centre?

ST47: Does the drug information unit/centre (or another independent body) provide regular information on drugs to prescribers and dispensers?

ST48: Are there therapeutic committees in the major hospitals?

ST49: Are there public education campaigns on drug use?

ST50: Is drug education included in the primary/secondary school curricula?

**PROCESS INDICATORS**

These 38 indicators provide quantitative information on the mechanisms and activities by which a national drug policy is implemented. The indicators assess the efficiency and effectiveness of these mechanisms and activities in the seven key components and the progress being achieved over time towards specific targets established at the national level. If all the mechanisms are performing well and the activities are carried out correctly, then it should be possible to make reasonable progress towards the objectives of national drug policy. The process indicators monitor the main aspects of the same seven key components of drug policy considered for the structural indicators:

- legislation and regulation;
- essential drug selection and drug registration;
- drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy;
- public sector procurement procedures;
- public sector distribution and logistics;
- pricing policy;
- information and continuing education on drug use.

The process indicators are measured by a percentage (percentage of change over time, percentage of coverage, etc.), using information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. Percentages allow trends to be monitored over time and to be compared with an ideal value. However, in certain circumstances, the figures used for calculating the percentages can also provide useful information.

The process indicators may be analysed and interpreted one by one; however, process and structural indicators have been designed in such a way that it is more important to analyse all those related to a specific key component together as shown in the table on page 20. This
means that process indicators are related to one another within each component and should be analysed together, e.g. the results of PR19 to PR26 should be reviewed as a whole for obtaining a full measure of how the drug procurement in the public sector is improving or not. In addition, the process indicators should be analysed with the structural indicators for each component.

The process indicators can be used for assisting senior management staff and national decision-makers in monitoring progress in the implementation of the national drug policy, by providing quantitative measures of achievement of targets set at the national level. Each year the percentage measured should come closer to the national standard.

Field experience shows that data which have to be collected at central level for calculating process indicators can be obtained within two weeks — if the data collection effort is well organized. However, for some indicators, special surveys may be necessary. These indicators are marked with an asterisk (*). Such surveys can be organized to collect data for several process and outcome indicators at the same time (see Chapter IV) and can take up to six weeks. Model sampling procedures, data collection forms for the field work and a summary form are provided in Chapter IV and Annex 1 (Summary Form 3). A one-page description of each process indicator is provided in Chapter V.

Legislation and regulation

PR1: Number of drug outlets inspected, out of total number of drug outlets in the country.
PR2: Number of drug outlets in violation, out of total number of drug outlets inspected.
PR3: Number of sanctions and administrative measures implemented, out of total number of violations identified.
PR4: Number of samples routinely collected, out of total number of planned collected samples.
PR5: Number of samples tested, out of total number of samples collected.
PR6: Number of advertisements in violation of regulations on the ethical promotion of drugs, out of total number of advertisements monitored.
PR7: Number of sanctions implemented for advertisements in violation of regulations, out of total number of violations identified.

Essential drug selection and drug registration

PR8: Value of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) procured in the public sector, out of total value of drugs procured in the same sector.
PR9: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) prescribed, out of total number of drugs prescribed(*).
PR10: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold, out of total number of drugs sold(*).
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PR11: Number of locally manufactured drugs sold in the country from the national essential drugs list (EDL), out of total number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL).

PR12: Number of combination drugs newly registered, out of total number of newly registered drugs.

PR13: Number of registered drugs which are banned in other countries, out of total number of registered drugs.

**Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy**

PR14: Value of public drug budget spent per capita in the last year, out of average value of the same budget during the past three years.

PR15: Value of public drug budget spent by major hospitals, out of value of public drug budget spent.

PR16: Value of international aid received for drugs, out of value of public drug budget.

PR17: Value of revenue generated for drugs through additional financing systems, out of value of public drug budget.

PR18: Public drug budget spent, out of public drug budget allocated.

**Public sector procurement procedures**

PR19: Value of drugs purchased through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased.

PR20: Value of drugs purchased from local manufacturers through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased through competitive tender.

PR21: CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket in the year of reference.

PR22: CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of "reference" value on the international market of the same basket.

PR23: Average lead time for a sample of orders in the last year, out of average lead time during the past three years.

PR24: Average time period of payment for a sample of orders, out of average time period of payment stated in contract.

PR25: Number of drugs/batches tested, out of number of drugs/batches procured.

PR26: Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of number of drugs/batches tested.

**Public sector distribution and logistics**

PR27: Average time between order and delivery from central store to remote facilities in the last year, out of average time between order and delivery in the past three years(*).
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PR28: Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in the central and/or regional stores in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years.

PR29: Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in a sample of remote facilities in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years(*).
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Pricing policy

PR30: Value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket(*).
PR31: Average expenditure per prescription, out of average expenditure per prescription in the past three years(*).
PR32: Value of a basket of drugs, out of value of the same basket in the year of reference(*).

Information and continuing education on drug use

PR33: Number of prescribers having direct access to a (national) drug formulary, out of total number of prescribers surveyed(*).
PR34: Number of training sessions on drug use for prescribers in the last year, out of average number of training sessions organized in the past three years.
PR35: Number of prescribers who have attended at least one training session in the last year, out of total number of prescribers surveyed(*).
PR36: Number of issues of independent drug bulletins published in the last year, out of average number of issues of independent drug bulletins published per year in the past three years.
PR37: Average number of copies of independent drug bulletins sent to prescribers, out of total number of prescribers.
PR38: Amount spent on public education campaigns on drug use, out of total amount spent on public health education campaigns.

OUTCOME INDICATORS

These 10 indicators provide quantitative information on the achievement of the four objectives of national drug policy: availability of essential drugs, affordability of essential drugs, quality of drugs and rational use of drugs. The indicators measure the degree to which these objectives are being attained. They do not provide information on why results are good and bad; this could partly be obtained through the analysis of the structural and process indicators. It is reasonable to assume that if good results are achieved on the process indicators, then the outcome indicators should also show positive results or improvement over time. If the outcome indicators provide evidence of significant problems, when the structural and process indicators show good results, then decision-makers should undertake a careful analysis of the problems, to identify causal factors and revise strategies accordingly.

These outcome indicators are measured by a percentage or a figure based on information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. Those indicators which may need surveys are marked with an asterisk(*). The indicators can be used for assisting national and international decision-makers in measuring the
results of policies and in evaluating drug policies and implementation strategies. They can also be used in comparing the pharmaceutical policies of different countries.

Based on field experience, outcome indicators can be collected at the same time as process indicators. Model sampling procedures, data collection forms for field work, and a summary form are provided in Chapter IV and Annex 1 (Summary Form 4). A one-page description of each outcome indicator is provided in Chapter V.

**Availability of essential drugs**

OT1: Number of drugs from a basket of drugs available in a sample of remote health facilities, out of total number of drugs in the same basket(*).

OT2: Number of drugs at the lowest price from a basket of drugs, out of total number of drugs in the same basket(*).

**Affordability of essential drugs**

OT3: Average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia, out of the average retail price of a basket of food(*).

OT4: Value of a basket of drugs, out of the value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs(*).

**Quality of drugs**

OT5: Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of the total number of drugs/batches surveyed(*).

OT6: Number of drugs beyond the expiry date, out of the total number of drugs surveyed(*).

**Rational use of drugs**

OT7: Average number of drugs per prescription(*).

OT8: Number of prescriptions with at least one injection, out of the total number of prescriptions surveyed(*).

OT9: Number of children under five with diarrhoea receiving antidiarrhoeal drugs, out of the total number of children under five with diarrhoea surveyed(*).

OT10: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list among the 50 best selling drugs (EDL), out of the 50 best selling drugs in the private sector.
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Methodology for indicator calculation

Organizing the data collection
Collecting data
Analysis and reporting
Conducting surveys
Calculating the value of a basket of drugs
The objective of this chapter is to provide information on the various steps which should be taken when applying indicators, as part of an indicator exercise (assessment of the pharmaceutical situation at a moment in time) or within a continuous monitoring system.

When assessing or monitoring a national drug policy (NDP), identifying appropriate indicators and data sources, determining how to collect the data, organizing the collection and analysing the results should be carefully planned. The choice of the indicators and the quality of the information collected will affect the validity of the results and the appropriateness of the changes proposed. The main steps for ensuring that the results of the monitoring are accurate and reliable are reviewed below.

**ORGANIZING THE DATA COLLECTION**

**Step 1: Choose monitoring unit members**

A team at central level, most probably in the ministry of health or national drug authority, should be responsible for the monitoring system, i.e. for data collection, analysis and reporting. This team should include at least three senior professionals: (i) a pharmacist or a medical doctor conversant with the national pharmaceutical policy, (ii) an economist or a manager with strong economic expertise, and (iii) a statistician. Data collectors should be recruited for the field work, when needed. This team can undertake other activities and not work full time on NDP monitoring; however, it is important that its existence be institutionalized and its functions well defined to ensure sustainability.

**Step 2: Decide on the final set of indicators**

Deciding on the final set of indicators needed for monitoring the NDP should take place at the beginning of the monitoring process. It is an extremely important step as the selection of indicators will have major implications for the results. This selection should be done once the objectives, strategies and targets of the NDP have been defined (policy formulation and planning). The team should then read the manual carefully and review all the indicators using the model lists of indicators (Chapter III) and the description of the indicators (Chapter IV). It should:

- review the purpose of each indicator (what is it supposed to measure? And why is it important to measure this in the national context?);
- assess whether the indicator is relevant in terms of the NDP’s objectives and strategies and whether it needs to be modified or adapted;
- review whether the necessary data can be obtained, and if so where?; and
decide whether additional indicators are needed; if so, which ones? how should the data be obtained?

The team may also decide that some indicators in this manual are not appropriate for national circumstances or policy and delete them. When developing supplementary indicators to cover additional policy objectives beyond those specified in this manual, the team should identify carefully the objectives and strategies in order to select indicators that will provide useful information about the effectiveness of policy implementation. It can also decide to apply certain indicators included in the manual to other sectors, for instance the illegal market. Finally, the monitoring unit should also at this stage collect information on any other work to develop health indicators in the country — as examples of what has been done — to assist in deciding on the final list and to avoid duplication of effort.

**Step 3: Identify data sources**

The manual was designed in such a way that most of the data needed for the four categories of indicators should come from the national health information system and/or from the national drug management information system and can be collected at central level.12 The monitoring unit should always try to collect existing reliable data rather than new data. The process of collecting new data on a regular basis can have high start-up and operational costs compared with improvements in existing data collection systems.

Sources of data vary from one country to another. In general, the following are useful sources:

- **the ministry of health** is the main source of data. The chief pharmacist, the essential drugs programme manager, the head of the central medical stores, the drug regulatory authority, the head of the planning department, etc., will be able to provide information on the main aspects of the pharmaceutical sector and most data for the background information and structural indicators;

- **drug producers, wholesalers and pharmaceutical associations** can provide data on importation, local production, distribution and supply of drugs;

- **health facilities and pharmacies**, whether public or private, will be a good source of specific information on essential drugs’ availability, prices, quality, etc.;

- **other health programmes** may collect data on the drug sector, on population, on morbidity and on health status which may be appropriate for use in the indicator calculations;

- **nongovernmental organizations, and international and bilateral organizations** may also have data on specific projects dealing with drugs at the community level;

---

12 All background information, structural indicators and 75% of the process indicators can be collected at central level; however, 9 of the 10 outcome indicators will probably require surveys.
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- **other sectors** such as the ministry of finance, customs authorities and the central bank, may have information on total government expenditures, total health expenditures, drug expenditures, drug imports, etc.;

- **international organizations** such as the International Monetary Fund, the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank, the United Nations Children’s Fund and WHO may have data on demographic and economic trends, health status and health systems, and financial data related to drugs.

Detailed information on the main sources of data for each indicator is provided in Chapter V.

**Step 4: Determine methods for data collection**

Three methods are used in this manual to collect the data:

- interview;
- record and document review;
- surveys.

Identifying data which should be collected through interviews and record reviews, and data which will need a survey, is the fourth step in the process. This is specific to each country and depends on the available sources. Once the final list of indicators is established, the monitoring team should make a list of all the data that are needed, including data for the numerators and the denominators of the process and outcome indicators, and identify potential sources for the various data and ways to collect them.

Most of the data can be obtained by reviewing records and documents, such as ministry of health reports, evaluations and reports of international and bilateral agencies, inspection reports, stock inventories, sales figures, prescriptions and patient logbooks. All these sources, when reliable, should be used as much as possible, as they are an efficient way of collecting information.

Interviews are also an important source of information when the people to be interviewed have been selected carefully. Interviews can be conducted with varying degrees of flexibility, from structured to semi— or unstructured interviews. For collecting indicators data it is recommended that structured interviews should be used. In certain cases, a more open and informal interview style may allow a wider range of information to be explored. Aspects not adequately covered by the indicators may emerge during the course of a conversation. In this case, interviewers should continue to ask questions until they fully understand the situation. Cross-checking the findings obtained from other sources can also be done by this type of interview. Non-structured interviews may also determine whether the respondents are answering truthfully or not (e.g. in the case of the number of samples tested, the head of the laboratory may be inclined to provide figures that are higher than the actual ones). For this kind of approach, much depends on the interviewer's skills. Interviewers should have a
good understanding of the subject and be able to ask probing questions. The interviews are therefore mainly useful for clarifying and better understanding points which seem strange or contradictory in the information provided through other methods. Alternatively, a list of data to be collected at each source can be developed and data can be sent regularly to the monitoring unit from a number of recognized sources.

However, in most countries, data for some indicators will not be routinely recorded and will need to be collected through specific surveys. The selection of samples, the size of the samples, and the sites where data are to be collected, should be decided at this stage as these are important for planning the process and calculating the budget. These issues are discussed in more detail below (see pages 44 to 58).

**Step 5: Plan the process and calculate the time needed**

The time needed for collecting, processing and analysing all the information at central and peripheral levels should be carefully calculated. Experience shows that four to six weeks are enough, with the exception of the first year when some preliminary tasks will be necessary. These include organization of the team, planning of the work at central level, budgeting, recruitment and training of staff, and development of data collection forms.

It is also important to determine how often the chosen indicators will be measured since monitoring is essentially an ongoing process. Guiding considerations include the ease with which the information can be obtained and the resources available. In some countries, most of the information will flow from the drug management information system. When it has been set up, the process and analysis can take place every year without great difficulty. In others, data will need to be collected through specific studies and should probably take place every two to three years, until the monitoring system is in place.

**Step 6: Estimate resources and budget**

A detailed budget should be prepared, based on the total number of staff and the time necessary to collect, process and analyse the data, at central and peripheral levels. The budget should include costs of field work (personnel, transport, supplies) and of the monitoring unit (personnel, supplies, meetings, etc.). Lack of resources is usually a major limiting factor. The budget can be reduced (i) by identifying other health-related institutions or other departments within the ministry of health willing to temporarily allocate personnel to the unit, (ii) by training personnel from the survey sites to collect the data, (iii) by using students and community volunteers, where appropriate, and (iv) by planning for strict control of expenditure. It is important that the ministry of health or the authority responsible for monitoring the NDP secures a budget implementing the monitoring system, including the necessary surveys. Compared with the large drug expenditures in all countries and the potential savings which can be achieved with a good monitoring system, a small budget for such a system is a good investment. This budget allocation should be strongly advocated by drug sector personnel to decision-makers in the health sector and at government levels.
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**Step 7: Develop data collection forms**

The data collection forms which will be used to collect and record the data must be designed, pretested and finalized before starting the data collection process. Data collection forms are necessary for collecting data both from interviews and record reviews and from surveys. The first are mainly checklists; the monitoring unit can adapt for this purpose the summary forms in Annex 1. The second are more complex to design as the same form should be used to collect data for several indicators; a set of model data collection forms has been developed to assist countries. These forms can be used as they are and therefore directly copied from this manual or adapted to national situations (see Annex 1). When adapting or designing new forms, it is important to consider the following:

- **the precise objective of the indicators for which data are collected:** lack of understanding of the precise question asked in the indicator can lead to the collection of unnecessary data and to delays and additional work later;

- **the exact data which need to be collected:** as a general principle, the minimum amount of data sufficient for monitoring national drug policy should be collected in the simplest possible way;

- **the design of the form:** the text should be easy to understand and enough space should be provided on each form for data collectors to be able to note questions or comments;

- **facilities for analysis:** computer or manual; if computers are used, data processing personnel should be asked for advice on the best way to design the data collection forms and to code the answers.

**Step 8: Select and train staff**

A key step in preparing for field work is for the monitoring unit to identify and train data collectors. In this manual, the majority of indicators are collected at central level from interviews and records, and the remaining ones at central and peripheral levels through surveys. It is therefore advisable, as long as a monitoring system is not in place, to set up two groups of data collectors: one to collect information from records and interviews, and the second to carry out the surveys. The first group should be familiar with pharmaceutical terms to be able to extract information reliably from records and to note it accurately during interviews. Two people (for example, one pharmacist and one economist) are normally sufficient, as the monitoring unit should also participate in the data collection at central level. The composition of the second group will depend on the number of sites where surveys are
to be carried out and their distance from the capital city; each team may include one
supervisor (if possible one member of the monitoring unit as well as the first group of data
collectors) and two other people: one familiar with surveys but not necessarily a
health/pharmaceutical specialist and one familiar with drug issues and drug names.

To ensure consistency in results, the monitoring unit should carefully train all data collectors.
Data collectors should be thoroughly familiar with the objectives of the work and with the
methodology. Therefore, it is recommended that the following be discussed with them:
objectives of the work; rationale; data collection tools to be used; sampling procedures; plan
for data collection, and analysis. For each indicator, they should know why the information
is required and how it will be collected. They should also be taught how to fill in the data
collection forms, which part they need to fill in, who will carry out the calculations, etc.
Although each data collection form lists the data needed to obtain the indicators, some
instruction should be given on how to handle any missing information so that this will be done
consistently by different data collectors in the field. They should be requested to note
anything which is unusual, as well as comments from staff in the places surveyed and reasons
for modifying forms and methods during the field work.

Collectors should receive clear instructions on what to do when a facility is not operational or
when it is impossible to obtain 30 prescriptions/sales in one day. They should also be taught
basic interview and record review techniques so that there is no misunderstanding over how
to record answers, observations and data. Finally, explanations should be given concerning
how the data collector should introduce himself or herself (a survey is not an inspection),
how to explain the objective of the work, how to ask for consent, etc.

**Step 9: Conduct the pretest**

A pretest should always be conducted. This serves two purposes: (i) to test the relevance of
the data collection forms, and (ii) to train the data collectors. The pretest also enables the
monitoring unit to revise the methods and logistics of data collection before starting the actual
field work. As a result, a good deal of time, effort and money can be saved in the long run.

Pretesting should determine whether the data collection forms allow collection of the
information needed, whether the forms are reliable, how much time is required to administer
the various checklists and questions, and whether the forms need to be revised (e.g. wording
unclear, coding system inadequate, space for answers insufficient, etc.).

Involving the data collectors in the pretest will provide them with a practical training and will
strengthen their interest in and commitment to the work. It will also provide them with some
basic experience on how to organize their work before starting the real data collection.

Finally, training and pretesting allow the central team to check the accuracy of the budget
and of the estimated time required for collecting data at the various sites.
**Step 10: Schedule data collection**

Although the work is usually performed by the ministry of health, it is important that all relevant authorities are adequately notified of the purpose and methods of the exercise. This is particularly true for surveys which mobilize regional administrative staff as well as local authorities. The monitoring unit should inform these authorities of the objectives of the data collectors' visit and the dates proposed, in order to promote their active cooperation. A schedule of visits should therefore be prepared with the dates of every visit, whether at central or peripheral level. Logistical arrangements (transport, accommodation, etc.) should also be made in advance, not forgetting such factors as appropriate seasons, public holidays and vacation periods, and accessibility and availability of the key people to meet.

A critical factor for the success of any survey is the cooperation of the owner/person in charge and personnel of the facility surveyed. In the research discussed (see footnote 1), a few countries reported problems in obtaining cooperation at private drug outlets/pharmacies; in most countries, however, this was not the case. Countries where private sector cooperation was readily obtained used one or several of the following strategies:

- data collectors had an official letter of introduction addressed to the facility; this letter also stated briefly the purpose of the survey;

- an official letter announcing the survey and asking for the collaboration of the facility was sent in advance (but data collectors should also have a copy of the letter with them);

- data collectors were well aware that they were not inspectors, and therefore that they had no right to impose themselves, but had to request (and depend on) cooperation;

- it was clearly explained that results would be presented in a randomized way and therefore be "anonymous", so that it would be impossible to relate specific data to a particular facility.

The same strategies are useful in the public sector.
Step 11: Collect data

Data should be entered on the spot on the relevant data collection form. When data are incorrectly entered the data collector should be told what to do, e.g. to cross them out neatly, sign and enter the correct answer alongside.

Additional information should be given if the data collectors are also requested to code the data and to fill in summary forms. This can be done only in countries where the level of knowledge and training of data collectors will be sufficient for them to code indicators reliably, e.g. people who are not familiar with drug names may be confused by different ways of referring to the same product. The possibility of assigning incorrect codes in such situations is greater than if better-trained people assign all codes at a later stage.

Step 12: Ensure quality

It is extremely important that the data collected are of good quality, i.e. accurate, reliable and valid. To ensure this, supervision and evaluation of the data collection should be done by the monitoring unit in addition to proper selection and training of data collectors and pretesting of data collection forms and procedures.

This ongoing supervision of the data collectors — in the field for the surveys and at central level for the other methods — should aim to ensure that the agreed procedures and methods are being followed and the data collected make sense, and are complete and of good quality. It should also assist in solving problems (e.g. incomplete answers, unclear statements, omissions, etc.) and in handling and storing data collection forms before they are processed and analysed.

In addition, it is recommended:

- to cross-check data whenever possible;
- to use data from (official) reports, if possible;
- to note down not only the data themselves, but also the source and the period to which they apply;
- to ascertain whether the figure given is an actual figure, a forecast or an estimate;
- to use data from the same source when comparing one year with another;
- to use data from a source that (most likely) will be updating its information regularly, so that next time you can use data from that source again;
- in some cases, to consider using data from "international sources", such as the World Bank and/or the IMF, instead of local data.
Chapter IV: Methodology for indicator calculation

Biased data are also an important problem; bias can be intentional or subconscious. Deliberate biases can be introduced when staff involved in the survey are very motivated to show that their programme or region is performing very well, or when personnel in the facility investigated have the feeling they are being inspected. On the other hand, some people may have an interest in introducing a negative bias.

Whilst not a great deal can be done about bias that one is unaware of, some "tips" can help: have a supervisor in the field often, and ask data collectors to note down anything unusual they see, as well as remarks from personnel in the facilities investigated. It should be clear to anyone involved in collecting, analysing or presenting the data that the purpose of the exercise is to measure what is really going on, in order to improve the situation, and not to control anybody.

ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

Step 13: Calculate indicators

Once the data needed for the calculation of each indicator have been collected through interviews, record reviews or surveys, the next step is to process them. The data processing should be carefully designed and resources for processing should be identified before starting data collection. Correct design of data collection forms will facilitate processing. The main part of the processing will be done at central level by the monitoring unit, which will receive all the forms completed during interviews, record reviews and surveys. All the calculations can be done manually, but a computerized spreadsheet can also be used to enter the data, consolidate the results and prepare reports. A computerized programme (Excel) is available on request in the Department of Essential Drugs and Medicines Policy to facilitate the data processing and calculation.

For the background information, the monitoring unit may report the figures collected on a summary form, such as the one provided in Annex 1 (Summary Form 1: National drug policy background information). For the structural indicators, the monitoring unit may also record the Yes/No answers on a summary form such as the one provided in Annex 1 (Summary Form 2: National drug policy structural indicators).

For the process and outcome indicators, more attention needs to be paid to the data processing, as data for the same indicator (numerator and denominator) are sometimes collected in different places (central/peripheral), with different methods (record review/interview/survey), and are reported on different data collection forms. For most of the indicators, processing the data involves two phases: the first one consists of "ticking" and "counting" on each data collection form (e.g. "ticking" whether a certain drug is on the essential drugs list (EDL), counting the total number of drugs prescribed, etc.). It can be
done either by the monitoring unit, or by the data collectors under the supervision of the monitoring unit.

The second phase consists of summarizing the results of all the individual data forms (one per facility surveyed). A form can be created for each indicator or can cover a number of indicators as in Example 1. This phase is carried out by the monitoring unit, which, at the beginning of the exercise, should be careful to separate data from different types of facilities (e.g. private/public sector) and give each facility a code.

### Example 1

To calculate the indicators PR 9, OT 7, OT 8, etc. it is first necessary to summarize information collected in all the health centres surveyed and recorded on separate data collection forms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HC code</th>
<th>Number of prescriptions</th>
<th>Number of drugs</th>
<th>Number of drugs from EDL</th>
<th>Number of prescriptions with injections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HC-1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC-2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC-3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For these three health centres:

- **PR 9** \((187 : 222) \times 100\%\) = 84% of drugs prescribed are from the EDL
- **OT 7** \((222 : 89) \times 100\%\) = 2.49 drugs per prescription
- **OT 8** \((25 : 89) \times 100\%\) = 28% of prescriptions include at least 1 injection

All the process indicators and most of the outcome indicators are answered by a percentage. Once obtained, this percentage can be recorded on a summary form such as the ones provided in Annex 1 (Summary Form 1 and Summary Form 2).

The method of calculating the indicator will depend on the type of information needed for the numerator and the denominator. It is important to understand that the purpose of the indicators is to identify trends; therefore, it is not necessary to present the results with two decimals, since this will give a false impression of their accuracy. For most process and outcome indicators, a maximum of one decimal is recommended.
Example 2

To calculate the percentage of drug outlets inspected in one year (PR1) for example, it will be necessary to count the number of drug outlets inspected, to divide this number by the total number of drug outlets in the country, and then to multiply the figure obtained by 100. The result will be:

\[
\% \text{ of drug outlets inspected} = \frac{\text{Number of drug outlets inspected}}{\text{Total number of drug outlets}} \times 100
\]

For this indicator, the data are relatively easy to retrieve as the numerator and the denominator normally come from the same source at central level.

Example 3

Other indicators are more time-consuming to obtain. For instance, to calculate the percentage of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold (PR10), it will be necessary to count all the drugs from the EDL recorded on Data Collection Form 1 (see page 167), to divide this number by the total number of drugs sold, and then to multiply the figure obtained by 100. The result will be:

\[
\% \text{ of drugs from EDL sold} = \frac{\text{Number of drugs from EDL sold}}{\text{Total number of drugs sold}} \times 100
\]

For this indicator some work will be needed, most probably from the monitoring unit, to classify the drugs into the two categories: EDL drugs and non-EDL drugs. Data Collection Form 1 has been designed to assist in this task.

Example 4

In other cases, information for the numerator and the denominator come from different sources. For instance, to calculate the value difference for a drug basket between retail value and CIF/ex-factory value (PR30), it will be necessary to use data from a survey in a sample of drug outlets for retail value (see Data Collection Form 1, page 167) and data from central level for CIF/ex-factory value.
Example 5

Finally, when calculating the indicators for the first time, some denominators will not be readily available, e.g. average expenditure per prescription out of average expenditure per prescription in the past three years (PR31). In this case an estimate can be made with the assistance of responsible personnel in the area concerned and from a review of studies, if any. If this is not possible, the indicator will be calculated only from the second year.

Further detailed information on the calculation of each indicator is given in Chapter V.

Step 14: Perform quality control checks

Usually the data have already been checked in the field to ensure that all the information has been properly collected and recorded. However, the information should be checked again during data processing for completeness and internal consistency. If some data collection forms have not been filled in completely, data will be missing for some of the indicators. It may still be possible in most cases to collect the missing information. However, consistency of data collection may be more important than completeness of information, as the information needs to contribute to monitoring activities, to identifying problems and to proposing changes in management and policy. If data seem inconsistent, it may be possible to check with the data collector or to return to the respondent and ask for clarification.

If it is not possible to correct information that is clearly inconsistent, it can be decided to exclude this particular part of the data from processing and analysis. However, this decision should be considered carefully, since if too many data are excluded it may affect the validity of the results.

When using a computer, quality control checks must also include a verification of how the data have been coded and subsequently entered.

Step 15: Analyse and interpret the results

After all data have been processed and all indicators calculated, a mass of information will be available; this information should be analysed. It is recommended that a systematic approach is followed which includes the following two steps:

- Take just the summary sheets (Annex 1) with the reply/value obtained for the indicators and, where appropriate, the value of the numerators and denominators.
• Look at subgroups of indicators, and "evaluate" each subgroup (see Example 6).

Example 6: Legislation and regulation

![Bar chart showing improvement in legislation and regulation from 1994 to 1996.]

In Example 6, the structural indicators related to legislation and regulation show an improvement in 1995; in 1996 the process indicators have improved considerably. This shows that the performance in the field of legislation and regulation has improved; it does not show why. In order to understand why, it is necessary to review all the indicators for key component 1. A possible reason could be that inspection activity has increased; PR 1, PR 3, PR 4 and probably PR 6 and PR 7 will show this. On the other hand, increased adherence to the rules by the facilities inspected could also have caused this improvement; in this case, indicators PR 2 and PR 6 should have decreased.

The indicators can thus give an indication on "why there is a change", but in order to know exactly what has happened, a more detailed investigation is often needed.

If, in the example above, an increase in inspection activities has been the main cause of the improvement, there are various possible underlying reasons for this increase. To list a few: inspectors may have become more active, they may have started to address some areas that were neglected previously, they could have been given more resources to carry out inspections, or the number of inspectors may have increased.

Identifying the questions will help to get information on the reasons for improvements, deterioration or stagnation in implementation of the NDP; this in turn will help to focus attention, resources and efforts, and to identify the inputs that have had a real impact.

Step 16: Report results

As a summary of the whole process, a report should be prepared by the monitoring unit in order to communicate the information obtained, the results and conclusions to the
department which has requested the information (usually the department in charge of national drug policy at the ministry of health). This report should be the basis for decisions on drug policy and strategies. It can be a persuasive and influential tool if it is well prepared, and if results are interpreted and discussed in a comprehensive manner, taking into account the objectives and the strategies of the drug policy.

In addition to this official report, there are many other ways to make the results widely known (presentations, articles, etc.). One important rule to follow in order to get people interested in the subjects discussed is to limit the scope to issues that will be of interest to the audience: the part they are responsible for, can influence or are (directly) concerned by. Therefore, presentations, reports, etc. should be tailored to specific audiences and results should be provided in a clear and visually attractive way.

Reporting and presenting the results of a monitoring exercise is not difficult — but it does require some effort.

Three mistakes are to be avoided, when you bring your work to the attention of others:

- do not present results that are not relevant: people will feel that they are of no concern to them;
- do not present results in a chaotic or "complicated" way: people will be lost;
- do not present results in a boring way: people will fall asleep; make graphics, limit the information given, present results not indicators, etc.

**Step 17: Use the results for action**

No monitoring or evaluation exercise can be considered useful unless it leads to action. Results of monitoring NDP implementation should lead to action on at least three levels: the central level, the "specialist" audiences and/or intermediate levels, and the health facility level.

At each of these levels, there are three types of action: recognize what is well done and encourage its continuation, correct what is not well done, and change what does not work (if necessary after further investigation into the reasons why it does not work).

**Central level**

At central level (MOH policy-makers) the results from the indicators can be used to define (new or additional) strategies, to update them, to reallocate resources (human as well as other) or to adjust plans and targets. Recognition of persons/units/departments which are performing well is important in order to motivate them, as is identification of bottlenecks and problem areas. Problems may require additional research to understand better the underlying reasons for them before corrective action can be taken.
"Action" at central level can mean either action by the central level (this will usually be needed when structures are not in place) or stimulating other levels in the health care system to take action or to improve the quality of their action.
The "specialist" audiences and/or intermediate levels

This refers to all those levels and structures that are involved in implementation of (part of) the NDP: drug inspection, central medical stores, private pharmacies, manufacturers etc.

They can take action and start to improve their work after being stimulated to do so by the central level. However, they can also take action (within the limits of their means and responsibilities) for improvement themselves.

Wide dissemination of the results is therefore important: it can help create awareness at different levels that performance is sub-optimal, initiate discussions on how to improve, and eventually lead to action.

The health facilities

Health facilities are not different from the previous group: they are responsible for implementing part of the NDP (and certainly not the least important part!). And they can do their part in improving the performance of some indicators (on "rational" prescription, e.g. PR 9 and OT 9), whilst other indicators may only partly depend on them (e.g. PR 29 concerning the average stockout duration at remote health facilities. Those stockouts can occur for various reasons — the central procurement unit may not perform very well, but bad stock management at the facilities may also be the cause).

For individual health facilities to improve their performance, they need to be given feedback on how they are performing and how/where they can (should) improve. The more specific this feedback is, the more helpful it will be to the health facility concerned, and the more likely it is that action will be taken. Furthermore, feedback should be given in a constructive way (that is, focusing on how to improve), rather than "telling them what they do wrong".

Step 18: Evaluate the whole process

The monitoring unit should review and evaluate the full process with the staff involved. The best approach for this evaluation is to analyse systematically during a seminar all the procedures used in each phase, including sampling techniques, methods for data collection, training of data collectors, organization of surveys, etc. All obstacles and difficulties encountered during the process should be reviewed and ways to address them in future work should be identified. This activity can provide a good opportunity for further discussion on the ways to set up a more regular monitoring system.
CONDUCTING SURVEYS

Although most of the data for calculating the indicators can be obtained from the existing monitoring systems and from reviews of documents/records and interviews at central level, data for some process and outcome indicators will need to be collected in health facilities and drug outlets through special surveys. These surveys can be organized to collect data for several indicators at the same time. This section provides the list of indicators which require surveys, guidelines on survey design and implementation including sampling issues, and gives examples of sampling procedures.

List of indicators which require surveys

For the proposed list of indicators, the data for nine process indicators and nine outcome indicators will need to be collected through special surveys in the majority of countries.

Process indicators

PR9: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) prescribed, out of total number of drugs prescribed.

PR10: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold, out of total number of drugs sold.

PR27: Average time between order and delivery from central store to remote facilities in the last year, out of average time between order and delivery in the past three years.

PR29: Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in a sample of remote facilities in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years.

PR30: Value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket.

PR31: Average expenditure per prescription, out of average expenditure per prescription in the past three years.

PR32: Value of a basket of drugs, out of value of the same basket in the year of reference.

PR33: Number of prescribers having direct access to a (national) drug formulary, out of total number of prescribers surveyed.

PR35: Number of prescribers who have attended at least one training session in the last year, out of total number of prescribers surveyed.
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Outcome indicators

OT1: Number of drugs from a basket of drugs available in a sample of remote health facilities, out of total number of drugs in the same basket.

OT2: Number of drugs at the lowest price from a basket of drugs, out of total number of drugs in the same basket.

OT3: Average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia, out of the average retail price of a basket of food.

OT4: Value of a basket of drugs, out of the value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs.

OT5: Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of the total number of drugs/batches surveyed.

OT6: Number of drugs beyond the expiry date, out of the total number of drugs surveyed.

OT7: Average number of drugs per prescription.

OT8: Number of prescriptions with at least one injection, out of the total number of prescriptions surveyed.

OT9: Number of children under five with diarrhoea receiving antidiarrhoeal drugs, out of the total number of children under five with diarrhoea surveyed.

Survey design and implementation

The various steps which have been described above (see pages 29 to 43) apply also to surveys and need to be carefully followed. However, additional specific steps are necessary and are reviewed below.

Selecting the type of facilities

The data needed for calculating the 18 indicators should be collected in two types of facilities:

- drug outlets (public and private);
- health facilities (public, private and remote).

Table 1 gives the sites for which data should be collected for the 18 indicators requiring surveys.
Table 1: Survey sites for the 18 indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Private drug outlets</th>
<th>Public drug outlets</th>
<th>Private health facilities</th>
<th>Public health facilities</th>
<th>Remote health facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR9</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR10</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR27</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR29</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR30</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR31</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR32</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR33</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR35</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT2</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT3</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT4</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT5</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT6</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT7</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT8</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selecting the type of survey

Most of the data will be collected through cross-sectional surveys carried out in drug outlets and health facilities. Data will be collected from current patients as they present to the drug outlet or from prescribers/dispensers on the day of the data collector's visit. For process indicators PR27 and PR29, data will be collected from existing records and inventory controls.

The literature shows\textsuperscript{13} that individual health providers tend to exhibit more or less consistent practices over time. Therefore, a sample drawn at one point in time will provide basically the same results as a sample that covers a longer period. However, since data will generally be collected over a short period, they may suffer from bias due to seasonality, variations in staffing, inconsistencies in the supply cycle, or the fact that providers are aware that their

\textsuperscript{13} For more information, see the document \textit{How to investigate drug use in health facilities} (WHO/DAP/93.1), in which some of the methodological principles used in this manual are described.
behaviour is being observed. Data collectors should be trained to try to guard against these possible sources of bias.

**Drawing a sample**

To estimate indicators accurately, it is important to follow specific procedures for drawing samples of health facilities and drug outlets. These procedures will vary depending on the context of each country and the availability of data.

The selection of samples should be made in such a way that each sampling unit has an equal chance of being included in the sample. In this way, selection bias can be avoided and the study population will be representative of the reference population. However, there are often major logistical constraints in carrying out surveys, such as transport, time, budget and lack of data collectors with specific skills. The best design for a particular survey therefore depends not only on statistical theory, but also on the practical aspects of collecting the data.

Different strategies for sampling drug outlets and health facilities can be used:

**Simple random sampling**

This is the simplest form of probability sampling. To select a simple random sample, the first step is to make a numbered list of all the units from which the samples are to be drawn (sampling frame), e.g. all the drug outlets or health facilities. The next step is to decide on the size of the sample, i.e. the number of units that need to be randomly chosen from the sampling frame (see page 48). Then the required number of sampling units is selected using a "lottery" method or a table of random numbers (see Annex 3). The lottery method assigns numbers to all the units; these numbers are then mixed and the required number drawn at random (without replacement). With a table of random numbers, each sampling unit is assigned a number and the required numbers are selected from the table. All units with these numbers constitute the samples. Simple random sampling ensures that the indicators are unbiased, but may not be the most efficient procedure.

**Systematic sampling**

Sometimes the most convenient way of obtaining a sample is by choosing the sampling units directly from the sampling frame (e.g. taking every n drug outlet from a list of all drug outlets). Ideally a random number is used to decide where to start. The sampling interval will be calculated by dividing the total number of drug outlets existing in the country by the desired sample. For example, if a systematic sample is to be selected from 360 drug outlets existing in the country and a sample size of 20 has been chosen, the sampling interval will be 360/20 = 18. In other words, one in every 18 drug outlets will be included in the sample until 20 drug outlets have been obtained. This strategy is used in the model sampling procedures described below (see pages 49 to 58).
Stratified sampling

In this type of sampling, units (e.g. health facilities/drug outlets) are put into groups according to a characteristic (such as urban/rural area), and the sample is apportioned among these groups according to a set plan, chosen to ensure their representation in the sample. Stratification, along or combined with systematic sampling, can achieve very efficient designs. This strategy is used in the model sampling procedures described below (see pages 49 to 58).

Cluster sampling

It may be difficult or impossible to take a random sample of sampling units (e.g. health facilities/drug outlets) in the study population, either because a list of all the drug outlets or health facilities does not exist, or because of other logistical difficulties (e.g. visiting drug outlets which are scattered over a large area may be too time-consuming). However, when a list of groupings of sampling units — clusters — is available (e.g. districts or provinces) or can be easily compiled, then a random sample of clusters can be selected. Within the clusters that are finally selected, the sampling units (e.g. drug outlets, health facilities) are listed and sampled.

Multi-stage sampling

A multi-stage sampling procedure is carried out in phases and usually involves more than one sampling method. A first stage sampling could, for instance, be cluster sampling of districts and the next stage, sampling of drug outlets within the selected districts. The strategy proposed as an example in this manual is a multi-stage sampling using systematic sampling for selection of drug outlets and health facilities.

Determining the sample size

One difficult aspect of designing a sample is deciding how many health facilities, drug outlets, prescribers and prescriptions to include.

The sample size is usually a compromise between what is desirable and what is feasible. The sample size should be the smallest one that will give an estimate of proportion within the desired degree of precision. The size of the sample is also determined by the availability of time, human resources, transport and money.

Countries with large human and financial resources may wish to make their own decision on a representative sample size. In such cases this should be done with the support of statisticians. The sample size depends on the degree of precision needed and the anticipated proportion of the characteristics under study.

For countries with limited human and financial resources and major logistical constraints on carrying out surveys, a recommended sample size of health facilities, drug outlets, remote health facilities, prescribers and prescriptions has been determined:
For collecting data for indicators PR9, PR10, PR31, OT7 and OT8, 20 drug outlets or health facilities should be selected randomly and 30 prescriptions or drugs sold per health facility or drug outlet should be collected, amounting to a total sample size of 600 prescriptions or 600 drugs sold (see details on pages 49 to 58). For indicator OT9, it is suggested that the first 5 prescriptions seen for children under 5 years old with diarrhoea should be collected in 20 health facilities, amounting to a total sample size of 100 prescriptions.

Because the treatment practices of individual providers are consistent and similar among providers within the same facility, in-facility sources of variation will tend to be reduced, and after a certain point, adding prescriptions to a sample within a facility provides very little new information. The principal source of variation will tend to be differences in practice between health facilities. Increasing the number of facilities in a sample will be the best way to obtain more accurate and reliable estimates and will be better than increasing the number of prescriptions sampled within facilities. Because of the substantial variations in practice among facilities for many indicators, it would be hazardous to generalize about a large population of facilities from a sample that includes fewer than 20 facilities.

For collecting data for indicators PR33 and PR35, it is suggested that 40 public sector health facilities should be selected randomly (see details on pages 49 to 58). Within these facilities a minimum of 100 prescribers should be included in the sample to achieve any statistically significant comparison from one year to the other.

For collecting data for indicators PR27, PR29, PR30, PR32, OT1, OT2, OT3, OT4, OT5 and OT6, it is suggested to use the previously selected 20 private drug outlets, to randomly choose 20 of the 40 previously selected public sector health facilities and to randomly select 20 remote health facilities (see details on pages 49 to 58).

Proposed sampling procedures

The following procedures are intended to assist national managers in selecting representative samples of health facilities, drug outlets and prescribers, for collecting data needed for the calculation of the 18 indicators. They are designed as examples for countries with limited resources. However, as stated previously, countries can use other methods and sample size as long as the samples are representative of the country's characteristics.

These procedures are based on the assumption that owing to logistical constraints, surveys should preferably be conducted in a limited number of regions, to the extent that an acceptable sample can be drawn. The selection of the regions where the surveys will be conducted depends on the sites of the surveys: private drug outlets, public drug outlets, health facilities and remote health facilities.

---

14 See footnote 13.
Therefore the procedures described below include two stages: the selection of the regions where the surveys will be conducted and the selection of a representative sample of drug outlets, health facilities, prescribers and prescriptions.

**Select the regions where the surveys will be conducted**

**Selection of regions for the surveys in private drug outlets**

In most developing countries, private drug outlets are mainly in the capital city area and in the major urban areas. This should be taken into account when selecting regions in which to conduct the survey.

First, divide the country into geographical units based on administrative regions/districts, with one unit being the capital city area/region. Each unit should include at least one significant urban area (according to the size of the population of the country). Therefore a rural region/district should be merged with one adjacent region/district which includes a significant urban area. A basic principle should be to merge a rural region/district with an adjacent one where there is a reference hospital or an active regional capital with trading activities. Five to 20 geographical units is an acceptable range.

Second, owing to the particular distribution of private drug outlets in most developing countries, it is suggested to select a sample of geographical units as follows:

(a) one geographical unit will be the capital city area/region, where a large number of private drug outlets ($G_0$) is usually concentrated;

(b) three geographical units will be randomly selected, with a probability for each unit to be selected proportionally to the number of drug outlets per geographical unit.

To achieve this, list all private drug outlets in the country, excluding those located in the capital city area/region, and number these drug outlets from 1 to $n_2$. Use a table of random numbers (see Annex 3) to draw a number between 1 and $n_2$ and select the geographical unit which corresponds to that number. Repeat the procedure until three different geographical units are selected ($G_1$, $G_2$ and $G_3$) (see Figure 1).
Figure 1

Example of selection of geographical units for determining a sample of private drug outlets

Selection of regions for the surveys in public sector drug outlets and health facilities

The selection of regions where the surveys will be conducted in public sector drug outlets and health facilities can follow two different procedures:

(a) In order to facilitate the logistics and to reduce time and cost, the regions sampled for the private drug outlets (the capital city region $G_0$ plus the three geographical units $G_1$, $G_2$ and $G_3$) can be selected. Such a choice is acceptable for countries with limited human and financial resources. But it is based on the assumption that the distribution of public health drug outlets and health facilities is the same as that of private sector drug outlets. This is rarely the case. However, in order to simplify the procedures, it is wise to use this method for the first years in most developing countries.

(b) Because the public sector drug outlets and health facilities are usually not concentrated in the major cities, the grouping of regions in geographical units based on major cities is not necessary. Therefore the administrative division
Methodology for indicator calculation

in regions/districts can be used for establishing the list from which a sample of four regions will be chosen. The decision to automatically include the capital city region/district is the responsibility of the central team. It will depend on the country's context. However, in some countries, such choice would reduce the logistical problems and is therefore relevant.

In most of the countries, depending on the size of the regions/districts, four to five geographical units are normally sufficient. For selecting the geographical units, list all the drug outlets/health facilities of the country and number them from 1 to \( n \). Use a table of random numbers (see Annex 3) to draw a number between 1 and \( n \) and select the region which corresponds to that number. Repeat the procedure until four different geographical units are selected.

**Selection of regions for the surveys in remote health facilities**

Remote health facilities can be defined as health facilities located more than 100 km from the capital city or from any city with more than 100,000 inhabitants. In order to facilitate the logistics and to reduce time and cost, the regions sampled for the public sector drug outlets/health facilities can be selected for the remote health facilities, as these are mainly public sector health facilities and some may have already been selected by randomization when selecting health facilities.

In conclusion, it is suggested to use the same regions for all the surveys, at least during the first years of the monitoring process. This will reduce logistical problems and budget.

**Select a representative sample of facilities where information will be collected**

**Private drug outlets**

In order to collect the data needed for calculating process indicators (PR9, PR10, PR30, PR31 and PR32) and outcome indicators (OT2, OT3, OT4, OT5, OT6, OT7 and OT8), a sample of at least 20 private drug outlets is required (see page 49). Some countries may prefer to select a larger sample to get more accurate figures. However, such a sample size can be considered acceptable for providing the data needed to calculate indicators with a reasonable level of accuracy in most developing countries (especially those with a low income and few resources). For selecting such a sample of private drug outlets, which should take into account specificities of the drug sector, different procedures could be used. The following is an example which is reasonably easy to implement.

After selecting the geographical units (see page 50), list all private drug outlets located in the capital city area/region (list \( L_j \)) and number these drug outlets from 1 to \( n_j \).
The proportion \( p = n_1/n \) of private drug outlets in the capital city area/region \( n_1 \) out of the total number of private drug outlets in the country \( n \) should be used for defining the size of the sample of drug outlets which will be selected from the capital city area/region \( s_a \). For a total sample \( S \) of 20 drug outlets, the number of drug outlets which will be selected from the capital city area/region will be \( s_a = p \times 20 \). Then the number of drug outlets which will be selected from the other geographical units will be \( s_b = 20 - s_a \).

Use a table of random numbers to draw a number between 1 and \( n_1 \) in the list \( L_1 \). The number obtained will correspond to the first drug outlet selected. Then move down the list using a sampling interval of \( i_1 = n_1/s_a \) to find out the second private drug outlet. When you reach the bottom of the list, go back to the top and repeat the operation. Use the same method until you select the required number of private drug outlets in the capital city area/region \( s_a \). This can be considered an acceptable sample of private drug outlets in the capital city area/region where data for calculating indicators can be collected.

**Figure 2**

Proposed sampling procedure for selecting a sample of 20 private drug outlets

\( (p = n_1/n) \)
List all private drug outlets of the three selected geographical units (list L\textsubscript{2}) and number these drug outlets from 1 to \( n_2 \). Use a table of random numbers to draw a number between 1 and \( n_2 \) in the list L\textsubscript{2}. The number obtained will correspond to the first drug outlet selected. Then move down the list using a sampling interval of \( i_2 = n_2/S_b \) to determine the second private drug outlet. When you reach the bottom of the list, go back to the top and repeat the operation. Use the same method until you select the required number of private drug outlets in the three selected geographical units (\( S_b \)). Such a sample can be considered an acceptable sample of private drug outlets in the selected regions/districts where data for calculating indicators can be collected.

Then add the sample of drug outlets located in the capital city area/region (\( S_a \)) to the sample of private drug outlets in the selected regions/districts (\( S_b \)) for obtaining a sample (\( S \)) of 20 private drug outlets. Using this methodology, such a sample can be considered an acceptable sample of private drug outlets where data for calculating indicators can be collected (Figure 2). If when collecting data a drug outlet does not exist any more, replace it by the next one on the list.

**Public sector drug outlets and health facilities**

In order to collect the data needed for calculating process indicators (PR33, PR35) a sample of at least 40 health facilities is required (see page 49); for process indicators (PR9, PR31, PR32) and outcome indicators (OT3, OT5, OT6, OT7, OT8, OT9), only a sample of 20 public drug outlets/health facilities is needed (see page 49). This second sample can be selected from the first using simple randomization, as in many countries most public sector drug outlets are located within health facilities. Some countries may prefer to select a larger sample to get more accurate figures. However, such a sample size can be considered acceptable for providing data to calculate the indicators with a reasonable level of accuracy in most developing countries (especially those with a low income and few resources). For selecting such a sample of public drug outlets and health facilities, which should take into account specificities of the drug and health sectors, different procedures could be used. The following is an example which is reasonably easy to implement (Figure 3).

After selecting the regions (see pages 50 to 52), a sample of public sector drug outlets and/or health facilities can be selected using the same procedures as for private drug outlets. Once a sample of 40 health facilities has been obtained, randomly select 20 public drug outlets and/or health facilities for the indicators where this size of sample is sufficient.
**Figure 3**

**Proposed sampling procedure for selecting a sample of 40 health facilities and 20 public drug outlets**

The question of the respective proportion of urban and rural drug outlets and/or health facilities has to be addressed only if it is considered that practices are different in the two situations. In this case a stratified sampling strategy should be used to ensure a minimum number of drug outlets and/or health facilities from urban and rural areas. In the four selected geographical units, list all the public sector drug outlets or the health facilities located in urban areas (L1) and those located in rural areas (L2). Using the same strategy as above in each area, select a sample of drug outlets or facilities for each area.

**Remote health facilities**

In order to collect the data needed for calculating process indicators PR27 and PR29 and outcome indicator OT1, a sample of 20 remote health facilities is required.
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(see page 49). Some countries may prefer to select a larger sample to get more accurate figures. However, such a sample size can be considered as acceptable for providing data needed to calculate the indicators with a reasonable level of accuracy in most developing countries (especially those with a low income and a population of less than 20 million). For selecting such a sample of remote health facilities, which should take into account specificities of the health sector, different procedures could be used. The following is an example which is reasonably easy to implement.

**Figure 4**

Proposed sampling procedures for selecting a sample of 20 remote health facilities

After selecting the regions (see pages 50 to 52) list all the remote health facilities in these regions. Consider the remote health facilities already selected in the previous selection of health facilities \(s_a\) as part of the sample of remote health facilities \(S\). Number the remaining ones from 1 to \(n_1\). Use a table of random numbers to draw a number between 1 and \(n_1\). The number obtained will correspond to the first remote health facility selected. Then move down the line using a sampling interval of \(i = n_1/s_b\) \(s_b\) is the number of remote health facilities which have to be selected according to
the size of sample of remote health facilities needed $S = s_a + s_b$). When you reach the bottom of the list, go back to the top and repeat the operation. Use the same method until you select the required number of remote health facilities in the selected geographical units. They can be considered an acceptable sample of remote health facilities in the selected regions/districts where data for calculating indicators can be collected (Figure 4). If when collecting data a health facility is not operational, replace it by the next one on the list.

**Select a sample of data sources**

*Prescriptions or drugs sold*

In order to collect the data needed for calculating process indicators (PR9, PR10 and PR31) and outcome indicators (OT7 and OT8), a sample of 600 prescriptions or 600 drugs sold is required (see page 49). The following is a procedure which is easy to implement. In each of the 20 drug outlets/health facilities already selected, take the first 30 prescriptions or 30 drugs sold. Although the selection of the 30 first prescriptions or drugs sold is convenient, it may introduce some bias. If the number of prescriptions or customers buying drugs anticipated per day is high, you may choose to take one prescription or one customer in every three. On the contrary, if it is difficult to obtain 30 prescriptions or drugs sold in one day, you may return the following day to complete the data. However, a reasonable time limit should be set for staying at one unit (see box).

### Example 7: Data collection in Guinea

A data collector in one remote facility reported: "I have spent two full days in this health centre now, and so far I have seen 5 prescriptions only."

Obviously, it would not be very realistic to require the data collector to stay until having collected 30 prescriptions in this health centre, which would take more than 2 weeks.

Possible actions to reduce the risks of being short of data in any one facility include:

- On the local market day, the number of patients can be substantially higher, so consider surveying remote facilities on that day.

- In cases where there is a good, reliable system of recording all prescriptions, data recorded the previous day could be added to data collected during the data collector’s visit, to make 30.
Prescribers

In order to collect data needed for calculating process indicators PR33 and PR35, a sample of at least 100 prescribers is required (see page 49). The following is a procedure which is easy to implement. Select all prescribers up to five in each of the 40 selected health facilities. If there are more than five prescribers in some health facilities, list all of them and select five randomly. If there are fewer than five prescribers, include all of them in the sample.

Drugs for quality control testing

In order to collect data needed for calculating outcome indicator OT5, a sample of at least 20 drugs is needed. The following is a procedure which is easy to implement. The monitoring unit should indicate on the data collection form which of the drugs from the basket should be collected at each outlet. When visiting the drug outlet, the data collector should pick the selected drug randomly.

CALCULATING THE VALUE OF A BASKET OF DRUGS

Selection of a basket of drugs

Various methods can be used to constitute a basket of drugs for monitoring trends in prices. However, for a basket of drugs to be representative of the national drug consumption, a number of principles should be respected in the choice and the number of the drugs.

Choice of drugs

The drugs to be included in the basket should be selected from among the essential drugs which are most used in the country. This selection should be carefully made according to the following steps:

- the drugs to be included in the basket should be from the national list of essential drugs (EDL). Essential drugs are those that satisfy the health care needs of the majority of the population and should be available at all times in adequate quantity and in the appropriate dosage form. Most countries have a national list of essential drugs; the other countries can use the model list of WHO;

- drugs to be included in the basket should also be selected on the basis of their general usefulness and their wide availability internationally. The advantage of using the same selected list (see Table 2 for a model of a basket of drugs) is that comparison could be made among different countries;
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- some drugs (for example, acetylsalicylic acid and chloroquine) are used with different dosages. For each of the drugs, countries should select those which are most commonly used and on the basis of local availability and need;

- criteria for selecting drugs for the basket should include the concept of affordability for the majority of the population. For example, praziquantel, although useful in many developing countries and especially many of the poorest ones, should not be included in the selection as it is an expensive drug which cannot be afforded by many people.

Number of drugs

The number of drugs to be included in the basket cannot be defined at global level as it depends on many variables which are country-specific (level of precision required, type of consumption, pricing policy, etc.). The ideal would be to follow the price of as many products as possible and eventually of all the products. Experience shows that such a decision implies expensive, lengthy procedures for selecting the basket and for monitoring the price trends. However, for calculating the indicators in the manual, it is recommended that the number of drugs in the basket should be limited, on the condition that these drugs are (i) on the national list of essential drugs, (ii) among the most important therapeutically and (iii) among the most used. If these principles are respected, a basket of 10 essential drugs can be considered sufficient to calculate indicators PR21, PR22, PR30, PR32 and OT4 in a developing country with limited resources. Such a basket is also suitable for building a price index to monitor price trends. An example of such a basket of drugs is given in Table 2.

Table 2: Example of a basket of drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>tablet, 300 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aluminium hydroxide</td>
<td>tablet, 500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amoxicillin</td>
<td>tablet, 250 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloroquine</td>
<td>tablet, 150 mg (as phosphate or sulfate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferrous salt</td>
<td>tablet, equivalent to 60 mg iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mebendazole</td>
<td>chewable tablet, 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metronidazole</td>
<td>tablet, 500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paracetamol</td>
<td>tablet, 500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenoxybenzylamine penicillin</td>
<td>tablet, 250 mg (as potassium salt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfamethoxazole + trimethoprim</td>
<td>tablet, 400 mg + 80 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Calculate the value of the selected basket

Different methods exist to calculate the value of a basket of drugs for a given year. For use as a basis for a monitoring system on price trends, the price of each of the drugs of the basket should be weighted by its level of consumption. The method proposed in the manual is simple to implement and scientifically acceptable. It is based on principles used everywhere to calculate price indexes.

The main problem with this method is the need to know with some precision the drug consumption for the year used as reference year (Y₀), as this consumption will be used as a basis for calculating the price index for the following years. In many developing countries, such data on drug consumption are not reliable. In these situations, the value of the basket can be based on a reasonable estimation of the consumption of each of the selected drugs. This reference basket will then be used every year until the quality of the data collection improves. A clear increase or decrease in the consumption of certain drugs of the basket, and/or therapeutic changes, will necessitate its modification.

How to calculate the value of the basket of drugs for the year of reference

- For a given year (for instance 1997), take the first 20 causes of consultations and the prevalence of each cause (p₁, p₂,..., p₂₀, i.e. pᵢ). This can be obtained nationally if a health information system exists or from a representative sample of health facilities. The sample proposed for collecting data for certain indicators can be used for this purpose.

- For each of the causes, the standard treatment (or the most common treatment) used in the country should be noted (name of the drug under INN, standard dosage, length of the treatment).

- Select 10 essential drugs among those most used for the treatment of the 20 first causes of consultations. For each drug, calculate an estimated consumption by cause of consultation (Q₁, Q₂,..., Q₂₀, i.e. Qi) by multiplying the number of units (tablets, vials, etc.) included in the standard treatment (a₁, a₂,..., a₂₀, i.e. aᵢ) by the prevalence (pᵢ); then add all the quantities obtained for each drug:

\[ Qᵢ = \sum aᵢ \times pᵢ = a₁ \times p₁ + a₂ \times p₂ + ... + a₂₀ \times p₂₀ \]

- Calculate the average price for the given year of each of the drugs of the basket (P₁, P₂,... P₁₀, i.e. Pᵢ) from a survey in a representative sample of drug outlets (the sample proposed for collecting data for certain indicators can be used for this purpose). According to the indicator, the calculation will be done for different prices: CIF/ex-factory price, international reference price or retail price.
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- The value of the reference consumption for each drug of the basket is obtained by multiplying the consumption $Q_i$ by the price $P_i$. For the year $Y_0$, the value of the basket will be:

$$V_0 = \sum P_i \times Q_i = P_1 \times Q_1 + P_2 \times Q_2 + \ldots + P_{10} \times Q_{10}$$

This figure will be designated arbitrarily as the basis 100 of the value of the consumption calculated for the year of reference $Y_0$.

**How to calculate a drug price index**

A drug price index can be defined as the value of a basket of drugs calculated for a year $Y$, relative to the value of the same basket in the year of reference $Y_0$. The formula for the price index will be:

$$I_{x/o} = \frac{\sum P_i^x \times Q_i}{\sum P_i^o \times Q_i} \times 100$$

This means that the price index will give a precise representation of the price trend every year, 100 being the value of reference of the consumption calculated for the year $Y_0$. 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of drugs</th>
<th>No. 1</th>
<th>No. 2</th>
<th>No. 3</th>
<th>No. 4</th>
<th>No. 5</th>
<th>No. 6</th>
<th>No. 7</th>
<th>No. ...</th>
<th>No. 16</th>
<th>No. 17</th>
<th>No. 18</th>
<th>No. 19</th>
<th>No. 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cause of consultations</td>
<td>1. ...</td>
<td>(a_1)</td>
<td>(a_2)</td>
<td>(a_3)</td>
<td>(a_4)</td>
<td>(a_5)</td>
<td>(a_6)</td>
<td>(a_7)</td>
<td>(a_{16})</td>
<td>(a_{17})</td>
<td>(a_{18})</td>
<td>(a_{19})</td>
<td>(a_{20})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ...</td>
<td>(b_1)</td>
<td>(b_2)</td>
<td>(b_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_1)</td>
<td>(P_1)</td>
<td>(Q_1\times P_1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. ...</td>
<td>(c_1)</td>
<td>(c_2)</td>
<td>(c_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_2)</td>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>(Q_2\times P_2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. ...</td>
<td>(d_1)</td>
<td>(d_2)</td>
<td>(d_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_3)</td>
<td>(P_3)</td>
<td>(Q_3\times P_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ...</td>
<td>(e_1)</td>
<td>(e_2)</td>
<td>(e_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_4)</td>
<td>(P_4)</td>
<td>(Q_4\times P_4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. ...</td>
<td>(f_1)</td>
<td>(f_2)</td>
<td>(f_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_5)</td>
<td>(P_5)</td>
<td>(Q_5\times P_5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. ...</td>
<td>(g_1)</td>
<td>(g_2)</td>
<td>(g_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_6)</td>
<td>(P_6)</td>
<td>(Q_6\times P_6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ...</td>
<td>(h_1)</td>
<td>(h_2)</td>
<td>(h_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_7)</td>
<td>(P_7)</td>
<td>(Q_7\times P_7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. ...</td>
<td>(i_1)</td>
<td>(i_2)</td>
<td>(i_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_8)</td>
<td>(P_8)</td>
<td>(Q_8\times P_8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. ...</td>
<td>(j_1)</td>
<td>(j_2)</td>
<td>(j_{20})</td>
<td>(Q_{10})</td>
<td>(P_{10})</td>
<td>(Q_{10}\times P_{10})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>(p_1)</td>
<td>(p_2)</td>
<td>(p_{20})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(S Q_i\times P_i)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ Q_i = S a_i \times p_i \]

\[ P_i = \text{Average unit price for the year} \]

\[ V_i = S Q_i \times P_i \]

(See Part 2 - Chapter 5)
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Detailed presentation of indicators

Structural indicators
Process indicators
Outcome indicators
STRUCTURAL INDICATORS

These 50 indicators provide qualitative information on the basic structures (including mechanisms and systems) that are considered necessary for implementing a national drug policy. The indicators check whether the basic structures under each key component are present in the country. They do not evaluate the functioning of these structures. For example, these indicators check whether quality control facilities exist, but not whether they work efficiently. The performance of the structures is assessed with the process indicators. The structural indicators monitor the main aspects of the seven key components of drug policy:

✦ The establishment of appropriate legislation and regulation.
✦ The selection of essential drugs and the registration process.
✦ The importance of maintaining a significant drug allocation in the health budget and developing relevant financing policy in the public sector.
✦ The improvement of procurement procedures in the public sector.
✦ The strengthening of drug distribution and logistics in the public sector.
✦ The establishment of a drug pricing policy in both public and private sectors.
✦ The role of information and continuing education programmes to improve drug use.

The structural indicators are answered "Yes" or "No" on the basis of information usually available at the central level, with a "Yes" response intended to be positive. Many negative responses would suggest that improvements are required in institutional capacity if the drug sector is to make significant progress towards achieving the overall policy objectives. For certain indicators and according to specific country needs, the monitoring unit can decide to also collect some written statements to understand the situation better or to quantify some indicators. The results of the structural indicators should be analysed together with the results of the process indicators and this in the framework of each of the seven key strategies/components. For instance, to better understand the situation and the progress accomplished in the implementation of a selection of essential drugs and registration of all the drugs (component no. 2), it is necessary to analyse together structural indicators ST12 to ST18 and process indicators PR8 to PR13. For further explanation, see page 20.

The structural indicators can be used for assisting national and international decision-makers in formulating strategies and designing interventions to improve the pharmaceutical sector. They can be used in comparing the implementation of pharmaceutical policies in different
countries. Structural indicators can also be used for advocacy purposes for increasing
government and donors' support to the drug sector.

Based on field experience with this manual, structural indicators can be collected in a few
days if adequate access exists to key personnel in the pharmaceutical sector (public and
private). A model summary form is provided in Annex 1 (Summary Form 2). In this chapter,
each indicator is described as follows:

Definition

What is the content of the indicator?

Use:

What will this indicator measure?
Why is this indicator important?

Description:

What are the definitions of key terms?
What is the scope of the indicator?
How can the results be interpreted?

Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:

What are the main sources and methods of data collection?
How should the indicator be calculated?

Limitations:

What are the main limitations of the indicator?
Indicator ST1:  *Is there an official national drug policy document updated in the past 10 years?*

**Use:** To assess the political commitment and the capability of the government to define the objectives of its pharmaceutical policy and the activities to be undertaken for achieving these objectives. A national drug policy, which covers both the public and the private sectors, is an expression of goals for improving the supply and use of drugs, the priorities among these goals and the main strategies for attaining them. It provides a framework for action. A written statement of the national drug policy is important, not only as it provides a comprehensive and detailed framework for all pharmaceutical development, but also because it explicitly demonstrates the full commitment of the government and the ministry of health.

**Description:** The national drug policy document is an officially approved document which should be widely available. It should contain not only the key objectives of the national policy but also the main strategies proposed by the government for achieving these objectives. Even if key objectives remain the same for long periods of time (e.g. to improve accessibility, affordability, quality and rational use of drugs), the national drug policy document should be updated at regular intervals to take into account changes in the national and international pharmaceutical markets and to adapt strategies accordingly. A national drug policy document is different from a Drug Act. The formulation of a drug policy should be followed by enactment of appropriate legislation to provide a legal basis and make the policy enforceable.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The national drug policy document should be available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority. In certain cases, the national drug policy is not a separate document but is part of the national health policy document.

**Limitations:** Some countries (especially developed countries) have no official national drug policy document, although the main components of a policy are in place. In this case, the indicator will not be meaningful if taken in isolation. On the contrary, countries can have an updated document and yet still have a pharmaceutical sector where no major strategies are implemented for improving the situation.
Legislation and regulation

**Indicator ST2:** *Is there drug legislation updated in the past 10 years?*

**Use:** To assess the existence of a legal framework for the pharmaceutical sector. Because of the characteristics of drugs which make them different from other goods, government should enact legislation to ensure drug safety, efficacy and quality and to regulate production, marketing and dispensing.

**Description:** Drug legislation describes the legal conditions under which pharmaceutical activities should be organized in line with the national drug policy. It covers activities such as drug importation, distribution, production, registration and sales practices. It should clarify what is permissible and what is not in the field of pharmaceuticals as well as laying down who may manufacture or import drugs, and who may prescribe them. It concerns both public and private sectors. Drug legislation is normally voted in by Parliament. The continuing evolution of national and international pharmaceutical markets should lead government to adapt its national drug policy to those changes and therefore to update the law regularly.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The national drug legislation documents are usually available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** The existence of drug legislation does not imply that the law is fully implemented. Other structural indicators will give more information on the presence at national level of basic structures for ensuring the application of the law (see particularly ST4, ST5, ST7, ST8 and ST9). Some process indicators will give additional information on how the various structures function (see particularly PR1 to PR7).
Indicator ST3: *Have regulations based on the drug legislation been issued?*

**Use:** To assess if there are regulations governing the standards and procedures for carrying out the provisions of the law.

**Description:** Regulations form the second stage of legislative procedures and are specifically designed to provide the legal machinery to achieve the administrative and technical goals. Most pharmaceutical activities have to be covered by regulations. These describe, for example, what the obligations of the professionals are, their responsibilities and the penal sanctions if they do not respect them. Drug regulation has to be published just after or at the same time as drug legislation. Drug regulation and legislation cannot exist separately. Regulations apply to the same fields as drug legislation; this indicator should therefore be analysed together with ST2. The answer to the indicator is "Yes" if the main aspects of the legislation are covered in the regulations.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Drug regulatory documents are usually available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** The existence of a drug regulation does not necessarily mean the regulation is fully implemented. Other structural indicators will give more information on the presence at national level of basic structures for ensuring the application of the regulation (see particularly ST4, ST5, ST7, ST8, ST9 and ST11). Some process indicators will give additional information on how the various structures function (see particularly PR1 to PR7).
**Legislation and regulation**

**Indicator ST4:** Is there a drug regulatory authority whose mandate includes registration and inspection?

**Use:** To assess the capability of the government to set up a regulatory body able to control the circulation of drugs in the market and to ensure that legislation and regulation are respected. Pharmaceutical products should be safe, effective and of acceptable quality, and should correspond to health needs. This calls for adequate systems for registration and inspection at national level.

**Description:** Experience shows that a regulatory body is necessary to control the activities of the pharmaceutical sector. This body can be an autonomous technical body outside the ministry of health but with a clear mandate from the government (see, for example, the Food and Drug Administration in the United States of America, the Medicines Control Agency in the United Kingdom and l'Agence nationale du Médicament in France) or it can be a division in the ministry of health. However, in many developing countries such regulatory bodies are very weak, or even non-existent. WHO has produced guidelines for establishing small national drug regulatory authorities which can be adapted to the local context. Among the essential functions of such an authority, registration of drugs and inspection are of the utmost importance. Registration of drugs, based on well-established criteria, is a tool to improve control of what is or should be available on the national market. The drug inspection body should support and, where necessary, enforce adherence to the minimum standards in public as well as private institutions. In certain countries, the inspection body is separate from the drug unit in charge of registration, laws, etc.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Official documents describing the mandate of the various bodies in charge of registration and inspection are normally available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** The existence of a drug regulatory authority does not necessarily mean that it works properly. Other structural indicators will give more information on the presence at national level of basic structures for registration and inspection activities (see particularly ST5, ST7, ST8, ST9, ST11, ST16, ST17 and ST18). Some process indicators will give additional information on how registration and inspection are performing (see particularly PR1 to PR7, PR12 and PR13).
Legislation and regulation

Indicator ST5: **Is there a licensing system to regulate the sale of drugs (wholesalers, pharmacists, retailers)?**

**Use:** To assess if a system has been set up to control who imports, distributes and sells drugs at country level. Drugs are not like other goods. For instance, if badly procured, stored or used, they can become dangerous. This is why their distribution and sale should be regulated. In most countries, drugs can only be imported, distributed or sold by a person who has a licence granted by the drug authority.

**Description:** Licences are usually granted for a limited period of time, which will vary according to the type of licence and the administrative and technical capacity of the drug authority. The licensing system sets out provisions on who should import drugs, what qualifications people in the importing agency should have and who should dispense and sell drugs. Although experience suggests that drugs should be dispensed by professionals with pharmaceutical knowledge and the ability to advise patients, the development of primary health care in many countries has resulted in a greater role for nurses and village health workers in dispensing drugs in the public sector or in community pharmacies or private drug outlets. The licensing system should incorporate all these practices, including what drugs may be dispensed at different levels of the health care system and minimum standards on storage, inventory control, premises, record-keeping procedures, etc. It should include both public and private sectors.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Official documents (regulations) stipulating the conditions for licensing and application forms should be available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** The effectiveness of the licensing system is dependent on the functioning of the inspection system. Additional information on this aspect of the pharmaceutical sector will be provided by other structural indicators (see particularly ST7 and ST8) and by some process indicators (see particularly PR1, PR2 and PR3).
Legislation and regulation

**Indicator ST6:** Are pharmacists legally entitled to substitute generic drugs for brand name products?

**Use:** To assess government commitment to promoting one of the major strategies for making drugs more affordable to the patient — generic substitution — by providing a legal framework. Drugs marketed under generic name are usually less expensive than drugs marketed under brand name.

**Description:** Generic substitution is defined as the practice of substituting a product, whether marketed under a trade name or generic name, by an equivalent product, usually a cheaper one, containing the same active ingredient(s). In a country, generic substitution by all pharmacists or only by some of them (the ones in the public sector, or the ones in the private sector, etc.), or by other paramedical personnel (e.g. nurses), can be allowed through laws or regulations. In certain cases pharmacists can only substitute if the prescriber has indicated this. This indicator belongs to the series of indicators which make it possible to assess the legal aspects of a national drug policy (component no. 1); therefore the answer to the indicator is "Yes" only when the substitution right is included in the legislation or the regulations.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The legal right to substitute is described in the drug legislation and regulations. These documents are normally available from the ministry of health and/or at the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** This indicator does not measure whether generic substitution is actually practised. In fact, even when generic substitution is legally permitted, it is not always practised unless accompanied by a pricing policy which provides incentives to the pharmacists and also by a large promotion campaign among the general public.
**Legislation and regulation**

**Indicator ST7:**  *Are there legal provisions for penal sanctions?*

**Use:** To assess government's commitment to compelling the pharmaceutical sector to comply with legislation and regulation.

**Description:** Legislation and regulation should specify the sanctions that will apply in the event of failure to conform with any provision of the law. Sanctions can be administrative and/or penal according to the level of the offence. Information about these sanctions should be disseminated in the pharmaceutical sector. The national drug regulatory authority or the ministry of health should control compliance with the law through their inspection activities.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The list of penal sanctions should be available from both the ministry of health and the ministry of justice. The national pharmaceutical association should also be able to provide such documents.

**Limitations:** The existence of a list of penal sanctions does not necessarily mean that in practice sanctions are implemented. Some process indicators will give more information on the level of implementation of sanctions (see particularly process indicators PR3 and PR7).
**Legislation and regulation**

**Indicator ST8:** *Is there a checklist for carrying out inspections in different types of pharmaceutical establishments?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong></th>
<th>To assess the effectiveness of the inspection system. The existence of simple guidelines on how to inspect various types of pharmaceutical establishments shows the commitment of the drug inspection body to perform its tasks and to improve its effectiveness.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>Effectiveness of an inspection can be improved by standardizing the procedures. Simple checklists should be developed at national level taking into account international procedures (GMP, etc.). These lists assist the inspectors in controlling the different types of establishments (including producers, wholesalers and retailers in public and private sectors) and in ensuring that regulations are fully implemented and enforced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong></td>
<td>Checklists for carrying out inspection activities are normally available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority and/or in the inspection unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong></td>
<td>Even if standardization of the inspection procedures is important, the key factors for improving the quality of the inspection system remain the level of training and the commitment of the inspectors. These can partially be measured by some process indicators (see particularly PR1 to PR7).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legislation and regulation

Indicator ST9: Are there any institutions within or outside the country where quality control is carried out?

**Use:** To assess if there is a reliable mechanism for ensuring that drugs produced, entering or circulating in a country are of acceptable quality. This calls not only for adequate regulations, good manufacturing practices, an effective drug registration and inspection system but also for a quality control system capable of analysing and carrying out regular checks of all drugs used within the country.

**Description:** Quality control can be done both within and outside the country. Many developing countries have set up small national quality control laboratories which test locally produced drugs as well as imported drugs. If there is no reliable control laboratory, drugs can also be tested outside the country by an independent laboratory on a regular basis. The absence of any institution within or outside the country where quality control is carried out suggests that drugs are sold without any guarantee of quality and/or efficacy for the patients. However, quality control is not the only way to ensure the quality of drugs. For example, a well-organized registration system and the systematic use of international documents such as GMP certificates and certification schemes concerning the quality of drugs are also important ways of ensuring drug quality in a country.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** If a national quality control laboratory exists, it should be visited and information should be obtained on the type of quality control performed. If there is no national quality control laboratory, information on the types of controls carried out outside the country should be available from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority.

**Limitations:** This indicator should be analysed with caution and will in some cases need to be accompanied by written statements on what kind of quality assurance system is in place. Indeed, in certain cases there is a quality control laboratory, but it does not function properly or only for certain drugs (e.g., drugs from the public sector). In other cases, there is no laboratory, but the other aspects of the quality assurance system are functioning very well.
## Legislation and regulation

**Indicator ST10:** Is the WHO Certification Scheme on the Quality of Pharmaceutical Products Moving in International Commerce used systematically?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong> To assess if the government uses all available tools to ensure drug quality. Government has the responsibility for monitoring and controlling the pharmaceutical substances it allows to be imported. The WHO certification scheme can play an important role in this endeavour.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> The WHO certification scheme can be used for imported drugs to ensure that they are of good quality. Under the certification scheme, the exporting country must certify that the drug is registered and authorized for sale in that country. The competent authority of the exporting country must also certify that the manufacturer's facilities are inspected regularly. The scheme also provides the product information which is issued in the exporting countries. It does not provide assurance on the quality of each batch. The scheme can be used for all imported products, both for the public and the private sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong> Information on the use of the WHO scheme can be obtained from interviews with the main importers, including the central procurement unit. In certain cases, the scheme will not be used by all the importers and this can be noted in the final report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong> The validity of the WHO certification scheme depends on the quality of the inspection system of the exporting country, as the inspectors are the ones assessing if GMP are followed by the producers. It depends also on the seriousness of the national drug authority in completing the certificate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Legislation and regulation

#### Indicator ST11: Are there controls on drug promotion based on regulations and consistent with the WHO Ethical Criteria for Medicinal Drug Promotion?

**Use:** To assess if the tools for better control of drug promotion are in place. Controlling the marketing, presentations and types of medicines can play an important role in preventing irrational use of drugs. It is the specific responsibility of government to develop measures to ensure that promotional practices involving drugs are in keeping with acceptable ethical standards.

**Description:** The controls on drug promotion and advertising can be of various types: drug promotion can be controlled before the advertisement or the promotion is launched or it can be done retrospectively on the basis of a set of rules and regulations. If drug registration is functioning properly, the guiding principle is that information and promotional activities should be consistent with the terms and conditions of product approval. To enforce adherence to ethical criteria requires their inclusion in legislation and provisions for sanctions. To assess rules and regulations drawn up at national level, the ethical criteria for medicinal drug promotion, developed by WHO with an international group of experts, can be used. These criteria constitute general principles for ethical standards which could be adapted by governments. They apply to prescription and non-prescription drugs (“over the counter drugs”) and to all informational and persuasive activities by manufacturers and distributors, the effect of which is to induce the prescription, supply, purchase and/or use of drugs (promotion, advertisements, medical representatives, etc.). Among other criteria, promotion should be reliable, accurate, truthful, informative, balanced, up to date and in good taste.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information for the indicator will be available through review of documents (laws, regulations, etc.) to assess if regulations exist and through interviews with officials from the ministry of health and/or national drug authority to assess if controls are implemented.
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

**Essential drug selection and drug registration**

**Indicator ST12:** *Is there a national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary using INN officially adopted and distributed countrywide?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use:</th>
<th>To assess the existence of a key element of an efficient pharmaceutical supply system: the selection of drugs to meet the health needs of the population. Evidence suggests that drug selection and prioritization bring more advantages than disadvantages to public health. By focusing on fewer drugs, the efficiency of the procurement process can be improved, distribution is simplified, and provision of objective information is facilitated.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>A national essential drugs list is defined as a booklet containing all the drugs approved for use in the public sector. In certain cases, there is one booklet which contains all the drugs agreed for all health care levels. In others, there are lists/booklets by level of use (tertiary, secondary, primary care). The booklet may contain additional information on each of the drugs. In certain countries the essential drugs lists may also apply to the private sector. For the indicator to be positive, the list should be officially approved by the ministry of health, should be written using INN and distributed widely in the public sector. The international nonproprietary name (INN) is the shortened scientific name based on the active ingredient; WHO is responsible for assigning INN to pharmaceutical substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources and methods of data collection:</td>
<td>The national essential drugs list/formulary is usually available from the ministry of health. Information on the use of INN and on the distribution of the list can be obtained from interviews with health personnel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator ST13:** *Is there an official drug committee whose duties include updating the national essential drugs list (EDL)?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong> To assess if there is a formal mechanism in place to develop, adapt and update the national essential drugs list. To be well accepted by prescribers and the public the list should be revised regularly. A committee which will systematically collect information on new drugs, real health needs and demands from consumers will be an important tool in securing adherence to the list.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> The committee for updating the national list of essential drugs can be a specific committee set up for this purpose or it can be a committee with other functions, such as development of standard treatment guidelines, quantification of drug needs, etc. The members of the committee should be officially appointed and include experts in clinical medicine, pharmacology, pharmacy and, where appropriate, nursing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong> The official documents setting up the functions of the committee and its composition are usually available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority. These functions are often included in the drug regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong> The indicator does not measure the functioning of the committee. To provide useful information, it should be used in conjunction with structural indicators ST12 and ST14.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator ST14:** Has the national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary been updated and distributed countrywide in the past five years?

**Use:** To assess the continuous adaptation of the national essential drugs list to pharmacological, therapeutic and other changes. The list, which is often the heart of national drug policy and strategies for rational drug use, should be revised regularly in order to respond to evolving needs and pharmaceutical advances and to be well accepted by prescribers.

**Description:** This indicator should be read in conjunction with structural indicators ST12 and ST13. If the answer to ST12 is "No", the response to this indicator will also be "No". If the answer to ST12 is "Yes", the additional information given by this indicator relates to the date of the last revision of the list. It is suggested, in WHO documents, that the list be revised every two years; however, in a number of countries this will be difficult to achieve. Five years seems a more realistic target, particularly in the least developed countries.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information on the date of the last revision is usually available from the ministry of health and is normally given on the document containing the national essential drugs list.
Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator ST15:** Do drug donations comply with the national essential drugs list (EDL)?

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the government to ensure that organizations which donate drugs comply with the national drug policy. Drug donations often constitute a problem as they do not always match needs and in certain cases may even conflict with overall government drug policies. In many situations, donations containing only the most essential drugs included in national drugs lists would be the most appropriate.

**Description:** The indicator should: (1) assess the existence of guidelines/regulations produced by the government which require that drug donations should consist of drugs included in the national essential drugs list; (2) check if the guidelines are respected for the main drug donations.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained through review of legal or administrative documents available from the ministry of health and through interviewing key staff at the ministry, in the central procurement unit and in the main NGOs and international organizations receiving or sending drug donations. If guidelines do not exist, it will still be feasible, through interviews, to assess if major drug donations comply with the national essential drugs list.
## Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator ST16: Are there formal procedures for registering drugs?**

| **Use:** | To assess if a proper registration procedure exists for drugs on the market. Drug registration is mandatory to ensure that drugs available on the market are of acceptable quality, safety and efficacy. To be effective this registration should follow a set of procedures. |
| **Description:** | The formal procedures for registering drugs can differ from one country to another but would most likely include the following elements: (i) well-established criteria (clauses on INN, cost, need, etc.) reflected in the regulations; (ii) provision in the legislation for temporary registration of drugs obtained by tender; (iii) availability of an application form for registration which should be completed by the manufacturer/importer and signed by the national drug regulatory authority; (iv) a list of the required documentation which should be submitted with each application; (v) a mechanism to assess the application form and a formal notice of approval or rejection. |
| **Sources and methods of data collection:** | The information will be available from the national drug authority and can be obtained through interviews and reviewing various drug registration documents. |
Essential drug selection and drug registration

Indicator ST17: *Is there a drug registration committee?*

**Use:** To assess if one of the mechanisms for proper drug registration is in place. In most well-organized registration systems, a committee is used to assist the national drug authority to assess the documentation accompanying each application for registration and prepare a brief appraisal for the ministry of health.

**Description:** The drug registration committee is, in general, an advisory committee to the national drug regulatory agency on matters relating to registration of drugs and cancellation or suspension of such registration, based on evaluation of the quality, safety, efficacy and usefulness of the products. It should consist of people with the widest possible education, knowledge and experience in the field of pharmacy, medicine and pharmacology, such as pharmaceutical chemistry, pharmaceutical formulation, internal medicine, toxicology and clinical pharmacology. It should be granted the authority to request more information or documentation if necessary, to ensure the quality, efficacy and safety of the product and to seek the assistance of specialists in the various medical or pharmaceutical disciplines if needed.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information on the drug registration committee is available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority.
## Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator ST18: Is drug registration renewal required at least every five years?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong></th>
<th>To assess if there is a mechanism to regularly review the drugs which are allowed on the market. In most countries, manufacturers are requested to renew the registration of their drugs at regular intervals to ensure that the national drug authority is aware of the drugs available on the market (some may not be marketed any more). In the case of application for renewal of registration of a drug, the manufacturer/importer should be allowed to refer to previously submitted documentation and information on the product.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>The provision about the period of time for which a drug is registered should be explicitly stipulated in the law/regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong></td>
<td>The information will be available from the national drug authority and can be obtained through interviews and reviews of various legal and administrative documents (regulations, registration procedures, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong></td>
<td>In certain cases, the provision exists in the law/regulations but is not applied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

**Indicator ST19:**  *Is the public drug budget spent per year more than 20% of the ministry of health operating budget spent per year for the last three years?*

**Use:** To assess the commitment of the government to support the financing of drugs, with the ultimate objective of greater accessibility and equitable supply. For many years, the drug budget represented an important share of the ministry of health budget; however, many countries faced with the economic crisis had to reduce the share of the drug budget. It is still considered important to secure a contribution from the government (for instance through a percentage of the ministry of health budget) in order to ensure that the poorest are not deprived of drug treatments. The figure of 20% is based on review of country experiences; this figure can be adapted at national level according to the policy and targets of the country.

**Description:** Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). The operating budget of the ministry of health includes all expenditures which are not investments (i.e. salaries, drugs, national programmes, etc.). For this indicator, only the drug budget and the operating budget really spent should be taken into account.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information is available, in most countries, in documents published by the ministry of finance and through interviews with government officials, including the procurement unit staff. The estimate must include drug budgets from all ministries. The indicator is obtained by dividing the sum of the drug expenditure for the last three years by the sum of the ministry of health operating budget spent for the same years, as below:

\[
\frac{\text{Public drug budget spent in the past 3 years}}{\text{MOH operating budget spent in the past 3 years}} \times 100
\]

The answer to the indicator is "Yes" if the figure obtained is above 20%.
### Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator ST20:</th>
<th>Is the public drug budget spent per capita per year more than US$ 1.00 per year for the last three years?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Use:** To assess the commitment of the government to support the financing of drugs, with the ultimate objective of greater accessibility and equitable supply. One US dollar per year has frequently been given as the lowest possible amount for minimum coverage of the population with essential drugs. It is still considered important to secure a contribution from the government in order to ensure that the poorest are not deprived of drug treatments.

**Description:** Public drug budget is the same figure as in the previous indicator and is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). In countries where the annual increase in population is high, it is important to get accurate annual estimates of this population.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information is available, in most countries, in documents published by the ministry of finance and through interviews with government officials, including the procurement unit. The estimate must include budgets from all ministries. An estimate of the population for each year can be obtained from the planning office. The indicator is obtained by dividing the sum of the drug expenditure for the last three years by the sum of the population for the same years.
### Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

#### Indicator ST21:  
*Is the public drug budget spent for national hospitals less than 40% of the total public drug budget spent for the last three years?*

**Use:** To assess the commitment of the government to a primary health care policy. Since the beginning of the 1980s, most countries have adopted a primary health care policy, which entails a reallocation of resources towards primary and secondary levels. For drugs, this means that the main part of the budget should go to primary health care institutions, rather than to national hospitals. In most countries it has been shown that it is common for 40% of the total drug budget to be directed to national hospitals — a proportion which is too high.

**Description:** Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). The operating budget of the ministry of health includes all expenditures which are not investments (i.e. salaries, drugs, national programmes, etc.). National hospitals are the main referral hospitals, normally situated in the capital city and in the main towns. In certain cases, the national hospitals have a separate budget. This separate budget should be added to the public drug budget as long as it is also funded from government revenues.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information is available, in most countries, in documents published by the ministry of finance and through interviews with government officials. The estimates for public drug expenditure and for the national hospitals' drug expenditure must include expenditures from all ministries. The indicator is then obtained by dividing the sum of public drug expenditure for the national hospitals by the total public drug expenditure, and multiplying the result by 100.
Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

**Indicator ST22:** Has the public drug budget spent per capita increased in the last three years?

**Use:** To assess the commitment of the government to support the financing of drugs with the ultimate objective of greater accessibility and equitable supply. The government drug budget, which is often very limited, should not decrease in real terms. With a growing population it should, on the contrary, increase over the years in order to cover at least the most vulnerable groups.

**Description:** Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). It should take into account the inflation rate (real terms) in order to obtain comparable figures for the last three years.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information is available in most countries in documents published by the ministry of finance and through interviews with government officials, including the procurement unit. Data on population can be obtained from the planning office. The following data are needed: public drug expenditures for the current year and the previous three years (the estimate must include expenditure from all public sources at central and local levels); population for the current year and the previous three years; and the inflation rate for the current year and the previous three years. Donations should be included if they have been accounted for in the budget. It happens that drug donations are valued at a very high price (for instance, pharmaceutical companies often give drugs under brand name, and the price of these drugs may be much higher than existing alternatives on the market). In this case, it is desirable to calculate the value of the donation at the average price of the same product on the international market.
Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

**Indicator ST23:** Are there any financing systems in addition to the public drug budget that contribute to the provision of drugs in the public sector?

**Use:** To assess if there are other mechanisms to increase the provision of drugs in the public sector and therefore to increase coverage. Many countries have developed financing systems aimed at collecting additional revenues at the health facility level in order to buy drugs and cover a limited number of expenditures (cf. Bamako Initiative). In general, these systems allow patients to have access to essential drugs at a lower price than in the private sector. However, these schemes should not be the only source of finance for health care.

**Description:** Financing systems are defined as any systems which, in the public sector, contribute to the provision of drugs by charging patients or the community. These systems can include out-of-pocket payments (e.g. user charges) or voluntary health insurance (see footnote 7, page 18). They can cover all or part of the costs of the drugs. The indicator should be analysed in conjunction with indicators ST19 and ST20.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be easily obtained through interviews at the ministry of health.
## Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST24:** Are drugs usually procured in the public sector through competitive tender?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use: To assess the capacity of the public sector to procure essential drugs at low cost. Many countries pay prices for drugs that are far above those on the international market because of the absence of a centralized purchasing system and procedures for tendering. By using such a tender system, the cost of drugs can be considerably reduced.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Competitive tender is defined as a procedure for procuring drugs which puts a number of suppliers into competition. Purchasing is done on the basis of quotations submitted by the suppliers in response to a public notice. Depending on the country situation, tenders can be open or restricted to a more limited list of already known suppliers, including local producers. Restricted tender is preferred by many countries as it is easier to manage, and evidence shows that it provides drugs of quality at low cost. The term &quot;usually&quot; quoted in the definition of the indicator means that most of the drug procurement (in value and in volume) is done by tender.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong> Information is available from the ministry of health or from the central procurement unit (CMS, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST25:**  *Is there a system for monitoring supplier performance?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use:</th>
<th>To assess the capacity of the procurement unit to effectively manage the procurement procedures. In order to obtain drugs of good quality and to be sure they will arrive in time, it is important to select suppliers carefully. A system which monitors the performance of suppliers over a number of years allows the identification of the best suppliers and assists in guaranteeing the smooth supply of drugs of acceptable quality.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>A system for monitoring supplier performance is defined as a system which provides information on the past performances of each supplier. It should include information on delivery time, adherence to delivery instructions, packaging and labelling, expiring products policy, quality of the pharmaceutical products supplied, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources and methods of data collection:</td>
<td>The information is available from the procurement unit and can be obtained through interviews and review of the system, in order to check if most of the necessary data are included.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST26:** *Is most of the tendering done under international nonproprietary name (INN)?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong> To assess the commitment and the capacity of the public sector to procure drugs at low cost. The use of the international nonproprietary name is essential in drug procurement as it allows all the suppliers which manufacture a given substance to participate in the tender, and therefore reduces prices through increased competition.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Tender is defined as a procedure for procuring drugs which puts a number of suppliers into competition. Purchasing is done on the basis of quotations by the suppliers submitted in response to a public notice. INN is the shortened scientific name based on the active ingredient. WHO is responsible for assigning INN to pharmaceutical substances. The answer to the indicator is considered to be &quot;Yes&quot; if the vast majority of tendering measured in value (80% and above) is done under INN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong> The information is available from the procurement unit and can be obtained through interviews and review of the most recent major tenders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator ST27: Does the procurement unit receive foreign currency in less than 60 days (from request to release)?**

| **Use:** | To assess if the government is committed to efficient and relatively fast procurement of drugs. In a well-functioning procurement system, drugs should be bought from reliable suppliers by tender (see indicators ST24, ST25 and ST26). In addition, the foreign exchange needed should be made available in good time to the procuring unit. Otherwise, suppliers will not trust the procurement unit, supply will take a long time and shortages may occur. |
|**Description:** | In most countries, the procurement unit needs to request foreign currency from the government to pay for drugs. This indicator looks at the interval of time between the moment the request is made and the moment the foreign exchange is allocated. |
|**Sources and methods of data collection:** | The information is available from the procurement unit and from the ministry of finance, and can be obtained through interviews with the procurement staff and review of relevant documents. |
|**Limitations:** | In countries where the central procurement unit operates a revolving fund and can obtain foreign currency on the financial market and in countries where all drugs are procured locally, the indicator is not valid. |
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST28: Is procurement in the public sector limited to drugs on the national essential drugs list (EDL)?**

**Use:** To assess the willingness of the government to implement good drug procurement practices and to rationalize the public sector drug supply system. A national list of essential drugs includes all the drugs needed in a given country to cover most therapeutic needs. Therefore procurement in the public sector should be limited to the list. In addition, by focusing on a reduced number of drugs, the efficiency of the procurement process can be significantly improved, discounts for bulk purchase obtained and quality control analysis undertaken more easily.

**Description:** Drugs procured for the public sector include drugs for all health care levels. This means that procurement done directly by hospitals, by projects supported by bilateral or multilateral organizations or by vertical programmes (e.g. TB) should be reviewed and should, for the indicator to be positive, be in line with the national essential drugs list.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information is normally available from the procurement unit and from the main procuring bodies (hospitals), and can be obtained through interviews and review of orders.

**Limitations:** If the national essential drugs list has not been revised for a long time, it may be that some new drugs are procured which are necessary although not on the list. Rather than looking at the details, this indicator should look at the general policy in terms of procurement. If there is not a national list of essential drugs but only lists for certain levels of the health care system, the indicator will not be valid (see particularly ST12 to ST15).
Public sector procurement procedures

Indicator ST29: *Is the average lead time (from order to receipt at central level) less than eight months?*

**Use:** To assess the efficiency of the procurement procedures. This indicator provides additional information on the procurement procedures. The procurement agency, if it performs well, should obtain drugs procured by international tender in a reasonable period of time. Experience shows that a period of longer than eight months between the time drugs have been ordered and delivery is indicative of poor performance by the procurement unit, as it increases the pressure on major stock items and the risk of shortages.

**Description:** The lead time is defined as the number of months between the moment tenders have been issued and the moment drugs arrived in the country. It includes the bidding process, the selection of suppliers, etc. This average lead time should be calculated on the basis of main orders during the last three years.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information is available from the procurement unit and can be obtained through interviews and review of the main orders during the last three years.

**Limitations:** This indicator is not valid if, for a given reason, a lead time exceeding eight months has been formally agreed between the supplier and the procurement unit. In addition, delays can be due to the slow release of foreign currency and therefore are not the responsibility of the procurement unit (see indicator ST27).
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator ST30: Is procurement based on a reliable quantification of drug needs?**

**Use:** To assess the efficiency of procurement procedures. A far more complex task than selecting the drugs is deciding on the quantities needed. The procurement unit should be able to supply the correct quantities of drugs in order to prevent surplus and shortages at health facility level. In many countries, this quantification is not always easy because of poor recording systems, limited budget, irrational drug use, etc.

**Description:** Reliable quantification of drug needs is defined as a careful evaluation of the quantities needed of each drug, based on either adjusted past consumption or anticipated pattern of diseases and standard treatment. In order to get a more precise answer, one can look at performances in previous years and see if shortages were common. If this is the case, one should try to identify the reasons for shortages, and one of them can be unreliable drug quantification.

In countries where there is no central procurement unit but regional units, the indicator can be slightly modified and become "Is procurement based on a reliable quantification of drug needs in the majority of these units?". In the results, the indicator can be presented with detailed information on the number of units where procurement is based on a reliable quantification of drug needs.

In countries where drugs are bought directly by the health facilities, the indicator can be obtained from a sample of health facilities, for instance when conducting surveys for process or outcome indicators. Data Collection Form 2 (Annex 1) can be adapted and the result will be presented as a percentage: percentage of health facilities surveyed where procurement was based on a reliable quantification of drug needs.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information is available from the procurement unit and/or the ministry of health. It can be obtained through interviews and review of procedures used to calculate drug needs.
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST31: Are good storage practices observed in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?**

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the central procurement unit or of the agency in charge of storage and distribution of drugs in the public sector to store drugs properly. No drug policy can be considered complete unless it pays proper attention to modern drug storage management methods. Bad storage practices, including cumbersome procedures, can lead to shortages, expired drugs and inefficient use of resources.

**Description:** Good storage practices include elements such as: cleanliness of stores, aeration, FIFO (first in, first out) procedures, arrangement of products, stock control forms, etc. The indicator can be measured for the central level or for both the central and regional levels. In this case the results can be presented for the two or separately. If there are major discrepancies in the results between central and regional levels, the results should be given separately in order to facilitate future action. In countries where drugs are bought directly by the health facilities, the indicator can be obtained from a sample of health facilities, for instance when conducting surveys for process or outcome indicators. Data Collection Form 2 (Annex 1) can be adapted and the result will be presented as a percentage: the percentage of health facilities surveyed where good storage practices are observed.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained by a visit to the procurement unit at central and/or regional levels. The monitoring unit should prepare a checklist of good storage practices. The information can also be quantified. In Zimbabwe, a list of good storage practices was developed and the percentage of practices in the warehouses in accordance with the list was calculated. Fifty-nine per cent of the practices which should have been carried out in the warehouse were observed.
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST32: Is the information recorded on the stockcards for a basket of drugs the same as the quantity of stock in store?**

**Use:** To assess if the storage procedures are properly managed and if storekeepers are performing well. Storage problems may be of different types, but discrepancies between what is recorded and what is in stock are a good indicator of problems such as a bad recording system and diversion. In an efficient supply organization, the management information system should be accurate and up to date, otherwise it will create problems in the supply cycle.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided as an example in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to the country context. The stock record cards and the bin cards should provide a continuous record of the amount of each drug in stock. The quantity recorded should be the same as the number on the shelves. In certain cases, all the recording is done by computer and the data collector will need to retrieve the information from the computer. The indicator can be calculated only for the central level or for the central and the regional levels. Results should be presented separately. The indicator will be considered positive if, for more than 90% of the drugs included in the basket, the quantity recorded on the stockcards is the same as the quantity in store. As with indicators ST30 and ST31, this indicator can be easily adapted to take into account the national context.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained during a visit to the central and/or regional stores. The monitoring unit should prepare a form listing the drugs from the basket and the data collector should carry out a physical count of the stock and tick the "Yes" or "No" columns for each drug, depending on whether the quantity in stock tallies with the quantity recorded on the stockcard. The indicator will then be obtained by dividing the number of drugs with a "Yes" answer by the number of drugs in the basket and multiplying the result by 100. If for more than 90% of the drugs the answer is "Yes", the indicator will then be "Yes". The answer can be given as a percentage: in Zimbabwe, for 88% of the drugs checked, the quantity recorded on the stockcards was the same as the quantity of stock in store.
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator ST33:** Are the stocks for a basket of drugs within their expiry dates in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the management of drug storage at different levels. If drugs are not properly stored and recorded and if the FIFO (first in, first out) procedures are not respected, the number of expired drugs can be significant. The presence of expired drugs is a good indicator of some deficiencies in management.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided as an example in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to the country context. Expiry dates are usually written clearly on the boxes. In certain cases, codes are used and data collectors will need to know these codes; storekeepers can provide this information. The indicator can be obtained only for the central level or for the two levels. Results should be presented separately. In countries where drugs are bought directly by the health facilities, the outcome indicator OT6 can be used instead of this one.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained during a visit to the central stores and/or the regional stores, at the same time as for indicator ST32. The monitoring unit should prepare a form listing the drugs from the basket and the data collector should carry out a physical examination of the stock and tick the "Yes" or "No" columns for each drug, depending on whether they are within expiry date or not. The indicator will then be obtained by dividing the number of drugs with a "Yes" answer by the number of drugs in the basket and multiplying the result by 100. If the answer is "Yes" for more than 90% of the drugs, the indicator will then be "Yes".

**Limitations:** As the basket of drugs contains drugs which are very common and in general have a high turnover, this indicator will not be very sensitive. For more accuracy, some important essential drugs with a lower turnover may be included in the basket.
Public sector procurement procedures

Indicator ST34: Have all incoming products been physically inspected for the last three deliveries in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?

Use: To assess if one of the elements of a quality assurance system exists. Ensuring that drugs procured are of acceptable quality is a responsibility which is shared by many actors and which encompasses good manufacturing practices, proper selection of suppliers and quality control testing. At the level of the procurement unit it is important to set up a system which will ensure at each step of the supply cycle minimum standards of quality. Inspection of the products when they arrive is one way to ensure that the specifications laid down in the tender have been respected by the supplier.

Description: Physical inspection is defined as an established procedure where each shipment is inspected in its entirety by staff trained in judging the physical appearance of products. All deliveries are compared with the purchase order and invoice. The indicator can be obtained only for the central level if all drugs procured arrive at this level. If some drugs are procured directly by the regional stores or are delivered directly to these stores from abroad or from local suppliers, the indicator should be obtained for the two levels and results should be presented separately. As for indicators ST30, ST31 and ST32, this indicator can be easily adapted to take into account the national context.

Sources and methods of data collection and measurement: The information can be obtained from central and/or regional stores through interviews with the staff in charge of receiving drugs and through review of documents related to the last three main deliveries (checklists, etc.).
### Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator ST35:** *Are only drugs which are on the national essential drugs list (EDL) in stock in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?*

**Use:** To assess if the procurement unit follows the national drug policy. The national list of essential drugs is usually a tool to rationalize procurement, storage and distribution and to save limited resources. The presence of drugs which are not on the list is a good indicator of some problems with drug supply.

**Description:** In certain cases a few items which are not on the national essential drugs list will be in stock. The reasons for this should be analysed. It can, for example, be donated drugs, with no possibility of the procurement unit imposing its policy. Only when it is clear that the reason for the presence of non-EDL drugs is independent of the procurement unit will the indicator be rated positive. This indicator can be analysed in conjunction with indicator ST28. The indicator can be obtained only from the central level or from the two levels (mainly when drugs are procured directly by the two levels). Results should be presented separately. As with indicators ST30, ST31, ST32 and ST34, this indicator can be easily adapted to take into account the national context.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained during a visit to the central and/or regional stores through interviews and physical inspection of the stocks.

**Limitations:** If the national essential drugs list has not been revised for a long time, it may be that some drugs are procured which are necessary although not on the list. This indicator is not intended to be very precise quantitatively but to give an indication of the supply agency's practices.
## Public sector procurement procedures

### Indicator ST36: Are 80% or more of the vehicles of the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses in working condition?

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the central procurement/distribution unit to distribute drugs to the regional stores and/or to the health facilities on a regular basis. Logistical problems often hinder the delivery of safe and effective drugs to people. Vehicles are only one of the elements of a well-managed distribution system, but without them the whole distribution system collapses.

**Description:** In most countries, the central procurement/distribution unit and the regional stores distribute drugs to the health facilities with their own fleet of vehicles. The vehicles which should be counted for this indicator are only the vehicles which are used for the transport of drugs. Vehicles for supervision or other purposes should not be counted. The indicator can be calculated only for the central level or for the two levels. In this case, the result can be presented as an average of the two, or separately. If there are major discrepancies in the results between central and regional levels, the results should be given separately to facilitate future action.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained by a visit to the procurement unit at central and/or regional level and interviews with the people in charge of logistics. The indicator is obtained by dividing the number of vehicles used for the distribution of drugs which are in working condition by the total number of vehicles which should be used for the distribution of drugs, and multiplying by 100.

**Limitations:** In certain countries, the distribution of drugs is not done by the central unit but by private companies, or health facilities can collect the drugs they have ordered themselves. In this case, the indicator cannot be measured, but an indication of the effectiveness of the distribution system will be provided through some process indicators (PR27, PR28 and PR29) and one outcome indicator (see OT1).
## Pricing policy

### Indicator ST37: *Are drug prices regulated in the private sector?*

**Use:** To assess the role of the government in regulating the pharmaceutical sector. Essential drugs should be affordable for the whole population through the public and the private sectors. The government can influence the price of drugs for the consumer and increase their affordability through regulation/control of drug prices.

**Description:** Price regulations include any regulation established by government to control drug prices. This control can be direct or indirect and includes: setting of fixed margins at various levels (wholesalers, retailers), reimbursement control (positive lists, reference prices, percentage of co-payment), ceiling price, etc. The private sector includes all private wholesalers and retailers (pharmacies as well as other drug outlets) which are licensed for selling drugs (see indicator ST5).

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health, ministry of finance, importers, wholesalers, pharmacists, etc. through interviews and document review (price lists, regulations, etc.).

**Limitations:** This indicator will in some cases need to be accompanied by written statements on what kind of price regulations are used, since they have different policy implications. The indicator does not provide information on the enforcement of these various regulations. This can be described separately.
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

**Pricing policy**

**Indicator ST38:** Is there at least one major incentive for the private sector to sell essential drugs at low cost?

**Use:** To assess if the government is committed to a drug policy based on essential drugs at low cost. By introducing incentives to sell essential drugs at low cost, the government will foster the availability and affordability of much-needed drugs.

**Description:** Essential drugs are the ones which are on the national list of essential drugs. These drugs can be sold under INN, generic name or brand name. The INN is the international nonproprietary name. In some cases the drugs are known under a generic name (common name) which can be different from the INN. Incentives can include lower taxes for essential drugs under INN, pricing policy in favour of generic products (e.g. higher margins for these products), right to generic substitution, etc. A major incentive would be one that directly benefits drug sellers. Merely promoting essential drugs is insufficient to change prescribers', pharmacists' and users' behaviours and therefore cannot be counted as a major incentive.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health, ministry of finance and the customs unit through interviews and review of documents (regulations, price structure, etc.).

**Limitations:** This indicator will in some cases need to be accompanied by written statements on what kind of incentives are used, since they have different policy implications. The indicator does not provide information on the enforcement of these various regulations. This can be described separately.
**Pricing policy**

**Indicator ST39:** *Is the total margin used by wholesalers and retailers less than 35% of the CIF price?*

**Use:** To assess if the difference between the CIF price and the retail price is in an acceptable range. In many countries, fixed margins are added to the CIF/ex-factory price, plus taxes and duties. Although the system does not prevent high CIF/ex-factory prices, the regulation of the margins can lead to a slight decrease in the price of the drugs to the consumer.

**Description:** The total margin should be understood as the margin of the wholesaler added to the margin of the pharmacist. These margins are normally given as a percentage of the CIF price, plus taxes. If, for example, the margin for the wholesaler is 10% for a drug with a CIF price plus taxes and duties of 100, the price for the retailer will be 100 x 1.10 = 110. If, for example, the margin for retailers is 20% for a drug with a price of 110, the selling price to the consumer will be 110 x 1.2 = 132. The total margin will be 32%. Thirty-five per cent is an acceptable margin in many countries; however, a national standard should be established. The CIF price is the price of the drug at the port of entry. Taxes and duties are the national ones applied to drugs imported or produced locally.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health, the national drug authority, the wholesalers/importers, the pharmacists through interviews and review of documents (regulations, price lists, etc.).

**Limitations:** In certain countries, prices are totally uncontrolled; wholesalers and pharmacies can decide the price they want for pharmaceutical products. In this case, the indicator can be adapted and calculated for a basket of drugs in conjunction with process indicator PR21.
## Pricing policy

**Indicator ST40: Is there a system for monitoring drug prices?**

### Use:
To assess the capacity of the government to keep informed of drug prices in order to develop policies and adjust strategies aimed at increased affordability of drugs in the public and the private sectors. In order to increase drugs affordability, a government has many options, e.g. to control prices or to allow competition with limited control. In all cases, it will need to know how prices fluctuate and to adjust its policies if necessary.

### Description:
The system for monitoring drug prices is defined as any system which provides information on retail prices of all the drugs or of certain drugs on a regular basis. This system can be based on surveys, questionnaires, etc.; it can be managed by the public or the private sectors within or outside the health sector. The answer should be "Yes" only if the monitoring is done regularly and provides information on price trends.

### Sources and methods of data collection:
Information on the existence of the system can be obtained from the ministry of health or the national drug authority. Additional information can be obtained from the people in charge of the system: ministry of health, ministry of planning, pharmaceutical association, private companies, NGOs, etc.
## Pricing policy

### Indicator ST41: Are essential drugs under INN or generic name sold in private drug outlets?

**Use:** To assess if there is a policy to sell essential drugs under INN or generic name in the private sector. This indicator shows the commitment of the government and of the private sector to increase the availability of the most-needed drugs at low cost, as drugs marketed under generic name are in general less expensive than drugs marketed under brand names.

**Description:** Essential drugs are the drugs included in the national list of essential drugs. The INN is the international nonproprietary name. In some cases the drugs are known under a generic name (common name) which can be different from the INN.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority through interviews and review of documents (regulations) and can be supplemented by a visit to a limited number of private drug outlets.

**Limitations:** The indicator does not provide information on the extent of the sales of essential drugs under INN in the private sector; this is provided by background information indicator BG22.
Information and continuing education on drug use

**Indicator ST42:** Is there a national publication (formulary/bulletin/manual, etc.) revised within the past five years, providing objective information on drug use?

**Use:** To assess if there is a source of objective information on drugs available to health personnel, especially prescribers. Inappropriate drug prescribing and use are growing problems in both the public and the private sectors. Contributing factors to this irrational use include the lack of up-to-date information. Although the presence of such information is not sufficient, it is a good indication of the willingness of the ministry of health and/or other partners to improve the situation.

**Description:** Such a national publication is defined as any manual which for each drug contains at least the following impartial information: active ingredient, dosage, indications, contraindications, side-effects and precautions. This information should have been revised and updated within the past five years. This publication is normally a national drug formulary.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health and/or universities through interviews and a review of the publication to check if it contains objective information.
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator ST43: *Is there a national therapeutic guide with standardized treatments?*

**Use:** To assess if one of the many tools which contribute to more rational drug use is in place. Inappropriate drug prescription is a growing problem in both the public and the private sectors. Contributing factors include the lack of diagnostic skills and pharmacological knowledge. A guide which provides information on the main diseases and a standardized approach to their treatment will contribute to a more rational use of drugs. In addition, it will facilitate quantification of drug needs and procurement.

**Description:** A national therapeutic guide is defined as a manual which for each disease contains the main diagnostic steps and reference treatments. Such national therapeutic guides should clearly define for each disease the specific drugs to be given and the quantities. This information should have been revised and updated within the past five years and should be objective. Objective information should be understood as information produced by independent scientific sources without any support from the pharmaceutical industry or private firms involved in the drug sector.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health and/or universities through interviews and a review of the publication to check if it contains objective information.
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator ST44: Is the concept of essential drugs part of the curricula in the basic training of health personnel?

**Use:** To assess the importance given to the essential drugs concept at university level. In order to improve drug use and to achieve the objectives of the national drug policy, health personnel should be taught to choose and prescribe essential drugs rationally.

**Description:** Basic training in schools of medicine, pharmacy and public health, and in paramedical training institutions, should cover issues such as selection and use of essential drugs, rational prescribing and dispensing. To answer "Yes", at least 10 hours should be devoted to essential drugs in the official curricula of basic training of health personnel.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health and from the various schools and universities through interviews and review of existing curricula. The information, if different for the different schools, should be presented separately.
Information and continuing education on drug use

**Indicator ST45:** Is there an official continuing education system on rational use of drugs for prescribers and dispensers?

**Use:** To assess if one of the main tools to improve drug prescribing and use is in place. Country experiences suggest that a good system of continuing education on the rational use of drugs and practical therapeutics is one of the most cost-efficient ways to improve drug use.

**Description:** A continuing education system is defined as a system based on regular workshops, seminars and/or in-service training which provides all prescribers and dispensers with refresher courses on drug issues. The system can deal with issues other than drugs but to qualify for the indicator, drug issues should figure prominently on the programme. Meetings organized with the support of the pharmaceutical industry or private firms involved in the pharmaceutical sector should be excluded. If the system is only for the public sector or only for the private sector, this should be indicated. If the answer is different for the prescribers and the dispensers, the results should be given separately.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information can be obtained from the ministry of health and/or universities and medical/pharmaceutical associations through interviews and review of documents such as course programmes.
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

**Information and continuing education on drug use**

**Indicator ST46: Is there a drug information unit/centre?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong> To assess the existence of a key tool which contributes to a better knowledge of drugs and therefore to rational drug use. In many developing countries the absence of objective information is one of the main reasons for irrational use of drugs. Some country experiences show that such drug information units/centres can play a major role in providing the health community with objective information on various aspects of drug consumption.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> A drug information unit or centre is defined as an organization within or outside the ministry of health which collects and provides objective information on drugs to health personnel and the public. These organizations can have additional tasks (adverse drug reactions, analysis of drug statistics, etc.). Objective information should be understood as information produced by independent scientific sources without any support from the pharmaceutical industry or private firms involved in the drug sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection:</strong> Information on the existence of a drug information unit/centre can be obtained from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority. Additional information can be obtained from the unit/centre itself whether within or outside the ministry of health.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Information and continuing education on drug use**

**Indicator ST47:** Does the drug information unit/centre (or another independent body) provide regular information on drugs to prescribers and dispensers?

| **Use:** | To assess the availability of regular and updated information on drugs to prescribers and dispensers. This indicator is linked with indicator ST46 as it assesses the functioning of the drug information unit/centre. In many developing countries the absence of objective information is one of the main reasons for irrational use of drugs. Some country experiences show that such drug information units/centres can play a major role in providing the health community with objective information on various aspects of drug consumption. |
| **Description:** | A drug information unit or centre is defined as an organization within or outside the ministry of health which collects and provides objective information on drugs to health personnel and the public. These organizations can perform additional tasks (adverse drug reactions, analysis of drug statistics, etc.). Regular information should be understood as information provided on a regular basis such as monthly or quarterly. However, the indicator can be measured in the absence of a drug information unit/centre if there is another body which is independent and which provides regular unbiased information on drugs to prescribers and dispensers (e.g. university, NGO). If the information is only provided to the public sector or only to the private sector, this should be indicated. If the answer is different for the prescribers and the dispensers, the results should be given separately. |
| **Sources and methods of data collection:** | Information can be obtained from the drug information unit/centre through interviews and review of bulletins and journals. It can also be obtained through interviews with a limited number of prescribers and dispensers in both public and private sectors. |
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator ST48: *Are there therapeutic committees in the major hospitals?*

**Use:** To assess if a system is in place at hospital level which contributes to the rational selection, procurement and use of drugs. Therapeutic committees, which exist in most developed countries and in many developing countries, provide a forum for discussion on drug issues within hospitals and are useful in making prescribers more aware of the importance of these issues. They should ideally exist in all major hospitals.

**Description:** A therapeutic committee is defined as a group of scientists and members of the hospital community such as pharmacologists, clinicians, pharmacists, etc. Doctors practising outside the hospitals are often members of such therapeutic committees as they bring another perspective on the use of drugs. Major hospitals are defined as national and/or teaching hospitals and the main regional hospitals.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** Information can be obtained from the ministry of health and the major hospitals through interviews with the members of such committees.
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator ST49: Are there public education campaigns on drug use?

**Use:** To assess if an important strategy to promote rational drug use is in place. Although effective public education is not easy to implement, it is a vital component of any rational drug use policy and should be included in the health policy of every country. Patient expectations can and do influence prescribing patterns. In addition, self-medication is widely practised. Therefore, public education is essential if the role of medicines and how they should be taken are to be properly understood.

**Description:** A public education campaign on drugs is defined as any programme or campaign conducted at local or national level by the ministry of health, by other ministries or by other bodies, aimed at increased awareness of drug issues and improvements in the use of drugs by the public, as long as the information provided is unbiased. The frequency of such campaigns will depend on the country’s context.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministry of health or other bodies responsible for public education through interviews and review of reports on previous campaigns.
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator ST50: Is drug education included in the primary/secondary school curricula?

**Use:** To assess if an important strategy to promote rational drug use is in place. The education of school children in appropriate drug use is an efficient strategy to improve the quality of medicine use throughout a community and for future generations. Without a formal education in drug use, children are learning how to use medicines by observation; however, this way of learning is not going to teach children how to use medicines wisely. Thus, the rational use of drugs should be included in comprehensive school health promotion curricula. Currently, this is still rare. This indicator will therefore provide useful information on the level of a country's commitment to improving drug use in the long term.

**Description:** Drug education should cover issues such as the appropriate treatment of common diseases and injuries, provision of essential drugs, proper drug storage and use, side-effects, and advice on self-care and self-medication. Such curricula can be more complex as children get older. To answer "Yes" at least 10 hours should be devoted to drug education in the official curricula of primary/secondary schools.

**Sources and methods of data collection:** The information can be obtained from the ministries of education or health and other bodies responsible for school education and through review of curricula.
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**PROCESS INDICATORS**

These 38 indicators provide quantitative information on the mechanisms and activities by which a national drug policy is implemented. They monitor the main aspects of each key strategy/component of drug policy:

- legislation and regulation;
- essential drug selection and drug registration;
- drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy;
- public sector procurement procedures;
- public sector distribution and logistics;
- pricing policy;
- information and continuing education on drug use.

Within each component/strategy, the process indicators allow assessment of the effectiveness and efficiency of the mechanisms and activities which have been put in place. This effectiveness is measured according to standards and targets set at national level, and which may be modified over time. For instance, a country may decide that in order to improve its drug procurement procedures in the public sector, 90% in value of the drugs will be bought by tender by the year 1999 (PR19); another country may decide to set its target at 70%.

The process indicators are measured by a percentage, using information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. For some indicators in certain specific situations, the figures used for calculating the percentages can also provide useful information. These indicators can be used for assisting national decision-makers and senior management staff in monitoring progress in the implementation of the national drug policy, by providing quantitative measures of achievement of targets set at the national level. Each year, the percentage measured should come closer to the national standard. Indeed, they allow comparison between the situation at the time the indicator is calculated the situation a few years previously or an ideal situation (e.g. PR23), and therefore give information on the progress achieved.

The results of the process indicators should be analysed together with the results of the structural indicators and this in the framework of each of the seven key strategies/components. It is considered that if for each component/strategy all the structures and systems are in place (structural indicators) and if they function properly (process indicators), it should be possible to achieve the main objectives of drug policy.

Field experience shows that data which have to be collected at central level for calculating process indicators can be obtained within two weeks — if the data collection is well organized. However, for some indicators marked by an asterisk in Chapter III, special
surveys may be necessary. These surveys can be organized to collect data for several process and outcome indicators at the same time (see Chapter IV) and can take up to six weeks. Data needed for the denominator may be difficult to collect the first year (e.g. PR29). It is, however, useful to collect data for the numerator as they can be used in the following years as denominators, and they can also provide interesting information on the year they are collected. Some indicators can be applied separately for the public and private sectors, while others can be subdivided by level of care, etc. These decisions should be taken in relation to targets and standards decided at national level (Chapter III). Model sampling procedures, data collection forms (DCF) for field work and a model summary (Summary Form 3) are provided in Chapter IV and Annex 1. In this chapter, each indicator is described as follows: definition; use; description; sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation; and limitations.
## Legislation and regulation

**Indicator PR1:** *Number of drug outlets inspected, out of total number of drug outlets in the country.*

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the inspectors to control the distribution of drugs at the retail level. Inspection is an effective tool to ensure that drugs reaching the patient are safe and effective.

**Description:** A drug outlet is defined as a place (public or private) where drugs are legally dispensed or sold. The indicator should normally be applied in both the public and the private sectors; results can be presented separately, if needed. However, in certain countries, government inspectors are not supposed to visit drug outlets in the public sector (hospitals, pharmacies, etc.). The indicator should be calculated for one year. Similar indicators can be developed for drug manufacturing units and wholesalers. The higher the percentage of drug outlets inspected, the better the result, as drug outlets should be inspected at least once every two years.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The figure for the numerator derives from the number of full inspection reports for drug outlets. The figure for the denominator derives from the official list of drug outlets in the country. Both are normally available from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drug outlets inspected}}{\text{Total number of drug outlets in the country}} \times 100
\]

Example: 33% of drug outlets have been inspected.

**Limitations:** The aggregate figures in this indicator do not provide information on the geographical coverage of the inspection. If drug outlets are inspected only in the capital, this indicator will not reveal the geographical pattern of inspections. In addition, the figures do not provide information on the quality of the reports. These can be assessed through interviews or analysis of inspection reports, and the results can be included in the final report.
**Legalislation and regulation**

**Indicator PR2:** \(*\text{Number of drug outlets in violation, out of total number of drug outlets inspected.}\)

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the inspection system. The indicator also measures compliance of the distribution system with regulations. A drug distribution system which does not comply with the regulations can create major risks for the patient.

**Description:** A violation is defined as any specification or action not conforming to the regulations. In most countries, only major violations should be monitored. Each country should specify an appropriate definition of "major violation" and the monitoring unit should establish a list of major violations for the data collectors. As for indicator PR1, the indicator should be applied in both the public and the private sectors. The indicator should be calculated for one year. Similar indicators can be developed for drug manufacturing units and wholesalers. The rate should be close to 0%, as all drug outlets should comply with regulations.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** Numerator and denominator derive from the inspection reports. The denominator is the same figure as the numerator of indicator PR1. Both are normally available from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drug outlets in violation}}{\text{Total number of drug outlets inspected}} \times 100
\]

Example: 26% of the drug outlets inspected were in violation.

**Limitations:** The reliability of the indicator depends on the quality and objectivity of the reports, e.g. the indicator can be close to 0% if violations are not listed correctly in the reports. In addition, when no drug outlets are inspected, this indicator cannot be calculated. However, this result, which shows the absence of inspection, provides important information on the functioning of the regulatory system and should be taken into account when assessing or monitoring the pharmaceutical system.
| **Indicator PR3:** | *Number of sanctions and administrative measures implemented, out of total number of violations identified.* |

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the national authorities to enforce regulations and support the inspection system. Major violations should lead to sanctions or administrative measures to be issued by the legal authority with enforcement power. Often at country level, sanctions are ordered but are not implemented, as the inspection body has no legal power to do so. If the identification of major violations is not followed by sanctions, the functioning of the inspection system is jeopardized.

**Description:** A sanction or an administrative measure is defined as any measure which should have been taken according to the regulations for each of the major violations identified by inspectors and reflected in the inspection reports. This indicator should be used in conjunction with indicators PR1 and PR2, as it is the logical follow-up to these. As for indicators PR1 and PR2, this indicator should be applied in both the public and private sectors. The indicator should be calculated for one year. When more than one major sanction has been observed in an establishment, only one will be considered for the calculation of the indicator. Similar indicators can be developed for drug manufacturing units and wholesalers. The rate should be close to 100%, as major violations should lead to sanctions or at least some administrative measures.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from a review of the appropriate reports to check if any sanction has been ordered and implemented for each violation identified in indicator PR2. These reports should be available at the drug inspection unit or from the enforcement authority. The denominator is the same figure as the numerator of indicator PR2. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of sanctions and administrative measures implemented}}{\text{Total number of violations identified}} \times 100
\]

Example: A sanction has been implemented in 50% of the drug outlets where a major violation was identified.
**Legislation and regulation**

**Indicator PR4:** *Number of samples routinely collected, out of total number of planned collected samples.*

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the inspectors to collect samples on a routine basis. The collection of samples for analysis is an important means of controlling the quality of drugs and ensures that drugs reaching the patient are safe and efficacious.

**Description:** In most inspection systems, there is a plan to collect samples on a regular basis. This plan does not always cover all the country or all drugs every year. It can proceed by region or by therapeutic classes. The samples to be analysed should be collected in the various manufacturing and distribution sites: manufacturing units, customs, wholesalers and pharmacies. Only samples routinely collected should be counted (e.g. the samples collected because of suspicion of fraud should not be counted). The indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate should be close to 100% if plans are respected. The indicator is completed by indicator PR5.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from the inspection reports. The denominator derives from the plan of work for the inspection body. Both are normally available in the inspection unit from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of samples routinely collected}}{\text{Total number of planned collected samples}} \times 100
\]

Example: 50% of the samples which were planned to be collected have been collected.

**Limitations:** In many countries, samples are not collected routinely, and therefore it will not be feasible to calculate this indicator; depending on the national context, other indicators can be identified. In the absence of a national quality control laboratory, inspectors will probably rely more on other quality assurance methods than on testing of drugs. Again, the indicator should be replaced or adapted to the national situation. The aggregate figure does not provide information on the ways in which samples are collected, or therefore on the technical knowledge of the drug inspectors.
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Legislation and regulation

**Indicator PR5:** Number of samples tested, out of total number of samples collected.

**Use:** To assess the efficacy of the drug quality control laboratory or in the absence of a national quality control laboratory, the efficacy of any system implemented to control the quality of pharmaceutical products. The control of the quality of pharmaceutical products is a major aspect of a national drug policy; this control is part of the overall quality assurance system.

**Description:** In an effective quality control system, all the samples collected by the inspectors should be analysed. This indicator should be used in conjunction with indicator PR4. It seeks to ascertain the percentage of samples collected by drug inspectors (PR4) that have been analysed. It should be calculated for one year. The rate should be close to 100%, as all samples collected should be tested. If samples are not routinely collected, but only collected in special circumstances, the indicator can be adapted and used without PR4.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from data/reports available from the quality control laboratory and the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority. The denominator is the same figure as the numerator of indicator PR4. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\text{Number of samples tested} \div \text{Total number of samples routinely collected} \times 100
\]

Example: 80% of the samples which have been routinely collected have been tested.

**Limitations:** Most of the limitations of indicator PR4 are valid for this indicator. If very few samples are collected, this indicator will not be valid as a percentage. At least 100 samples should be collected. This indicator does not provide information on the speed with which the results of the tests are obtained. If necessary in the national context, such an indicator can be added.
### Legislation and regulation

**Indicator PR6:** *Number of advertisements in violation of regulations on the ethical promotion of drugs, out of total number of advertisements monitored.*

**Use:** To assess the compliance of drug manufacturers or others with the regulations. Drug promotion is an important determinant of drug use; experience shows that without control, drug promotion is sometimes unethical and can lead to misuse of drugs, with negative health and economic consequences.

**Description:** When advertisements are not monitored, it will be impossible to calculate the indicator. This will show the drug administration's lack of control of drug promotion. Advertising is defined as any activity used by manufacturers and distributors, the effect of which is to induce the prescription, supply, purchase and/or use of drugs. It can be geared to physicians, health-related professionals and the general public. When there is a monitoring system for drug promotion at country level, there should be a list of violations. This list should be provided by the monitoring unit to the data collectors. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate should be close to 0%, as all advertisements should be in line with regulations.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator and the denominator derive from the reports available from the ministry of health and/or the national drug authority and/or any institution in charge of monitoring drug promotion. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of advertisements in violation}}{\text{Total number of advertisements monitored}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** 45% of the advertisements which have been monitored do not comply with the regulations on the ethical promotion of drugs.

**Limitations:** The indicator is reliable only if the monitoring system is reliable.
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Legislation and regulation

Indicator PR7:  Number of sanctions implemented for advertisements in violation of regulations, out of total number of violations identified.

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the national authorities to enforce regulations. Major violations should lead to sanctions or administrative measures, and withdrawal of or changes in the advertisements for and promotion of drugs. If the identification of violations is not followed by sanctions, it means that the functioning of the national drug authority is not adequate and that prescribers and the public are exposed to unethical drug promotion.

**Description:** Advertising is defined as any activity used by manufacturers and distributors, the effect of which is to induce the prescription, supply, purchase and/or use of drugs. It can be geared to physicians, health-related professionals and the general public. When there is a monitoring system for drug promotion at country level, there should be a list of violations. This list should be provided by the monitoring unit to the data collectors. A sanction is defined as any measure which should have been taken according to the regulations for each of the violations identified. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate should be close to 100%, as all violations should result in sanctions. This indicator should be used in conjunction with indicator PR6.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from a review of the appropriate reports to check if any sanction has been implemented for each violation identified in indicator PR6. The denominator is the same figure as the numerator of indicator PR6. Both reports should be available from the ministry of health and/or from the national drug authority and/or from any institution in charge of monitoring drug promotion. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of sanctions implemented for advertisements in violation of regulations}}{\text{Total number of violations identified}} \times 100
\]

Example: In 60% of the drug advertisements which did not comply with the regulations on the ethical promotion of drugs, a sanction or some other measure has been implemented.
### Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator PR8:** Value of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) procured in the public sector, out of total value of drugs procured in the same sector.

**Use:** To assess the level of implementation of the national essential drugs list. If the national essential drugs list is seen by senior managers and policy-makers as a major tool to improve the drug situation, it should be used by the public sector. In addition, the indicator measures the effectiveness of the procurement system for the public sector, as in an effective system, only drugs on the national essential drugs list should be procured.

**Description:** The national list of essential drugs is the list which has been defined, adopted and published at country level. It normally covers all health facilities, including the main hospitals. This indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate should be close to 100%, as all drugs procured should be from the EDL. If the value of drugs from the EDL is not known or too complicated to calculate, the number of drugs from the EDL can be used instead of the value and the indicator adapted accordingly.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the value of all the drugs which have been procured during the year and which are on the national essential drugs list; the denominator is the total value of drugs procured during the year for the public sector. These figures are normally available from the drug procurement unit. If there are several procurement units, e.g. if hospitals procured themselves, the figures from these various units should be added. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) procured in the public sector}}{\text{Total value of drugs procured in the same sector}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** 93% in value of the drugs procured in the public sector are from the EDL.
### Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator PR9:** *Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) prescribed, out of total number of drugs prescribed.*

**Use:** To assess the compliance of prescribers with the national EDL. In an effective pharmaceutical system, prescribers are trained to use drugs included in the different lists of essential drugs designed for each level of health facility.

**Description:** The indicator can be used in the public as well as the private sector but should be used separately in the two sectors. In the private sector, prescribers are rarely obliged to prescribe only those drugs which are on the national EDL. However, the indicator is useful to assess the impact of a national EDL on prescribing practices, since an essential drugs list is developed to cover most of the therapeutic needs of the population. If an effective rational drug use policy is implemented in the public sector, the indicator should be close to 100%, as all drugs prescribed should be from the EDL. In the private sector, the closer the rate is to 100%, the better the result. Countries without a national list of essential drugs can use the WHO model list of essential drugs.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** Numerator and denominator derive from a survey in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). For this indicator, the national essential drugs list and a cross-reference index (generic and brand names) are needed when calculating the number of drugs from the EDL. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation (see example in Chapter IV):

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) prescribed}}{\text{Total number of drugs prescribed}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** 40% of the drugs prescribed in private drug outlets are from the EDL and 75% of the drugs prescribed in public drug outlets are from the EDL.
Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator PR10:** *Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold, out of total number of drugs sold.*

**Use:** To assess the compliance of the public at large with the national EDL. In most developing countries, drugs are often consumed without prescription. It is therefore important to have information on the percentage of essential drugs consumed by the public.

**Description:** The indicator covers all brand name or generic drugs sold with or without prescription; every dosage form should be included. For combination drugs, only the ones from the EDL should be counted in the numerator. If they contain one active ingredient which is on the EDL and other active ingredients which are not on the EDL, they should not be counted. The indicator applies only to private drug outlets as, in most countries, public drug outlets provide only drugs prescribed in the nearby public health facility and do not sell drugs without prescription to the public. The closer the rate is to 100%, the better the result, as ideally most of the drugs sold should be from the EDL. Countries without a national list of essential drugs can use the WHO model list of essential drugs.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** Numerator and denominator derive from a survey in a sample of private drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 1). For this indicator, the national essential drugs list and a cross-reference index (generic and brand name) are needed when calculating the number of drugs from the EDL. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold}}{\text{Total number of drugs sold}} \times 100
\]

Example: 74% of the drugs sold in private drug outlets are from the EDL.

**Limitations:** If the national essential drugs list has not been revised for a long time, or if the list is only for certain levels of care, the result may be lower.
Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator PR11:**  *Number of locally manufactured drugs sold in the country from the national essential drugs list (EDL), out of number of drugs from the national essential drugs list.*

**Use:** To assess the compliance of the local drug industry with the national EDL. This indicator provides an indication of the impact of the EDL and of the national drug policy on the drug sector, because local manufacturers should produce drugs from the national essential drugs list as a priority.

**Description:** Only drugs which are sold/registered in the country should be taken into account. In some cases, it can happen that drug manufacturers produce drugs only for export; these drugs should not be included in the list of locally manufactured drugs for the purpose of this indicator. The indicator can be calculated on the basis of the number of drugs as chemical entities (INN) in the EDL or of the number of dosage forms, depending on availability of data. It is important to note how the calculation was done in order to proceed identically in the future for the purpose of comparison. However, it is more accurate to take the number of dosage forms. The higher the rate, the better the results, as priority in locally manufactured drugs should be given to those from the EDL. Countries without a national list of essential drugs can use the WHO model list of essential drugs.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from a review of the drugs produced locally compared with the EDL. The numerator is normally available in the registration unit or from the local manufacturers. The national essential drugs list is needed with the generic name of the locally produced drugs. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\text{Number of locally manufactured drugs sold in the country from the national essential drugs list (EDL)} \times \frac{\text{Number of locally manufactured drugs sold in the country from the national essential drugs list (EDL)}}{\text{Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL)}} \times 100
\]

Example: 30% of the drugs on the national essential drugs list are produced locally and sold in the country.
### Essential drug selection and drug registration

**Indicator PR12:** *Number of combination drugs newly registered, out of total number of newly registered drugs.*

| Use: | To assess the effectiveness of the registration process. Only a few combination drugs can be considered essential, as reflected in the WHO Model List of Essential Drugs. The indicator provides information on the rationality of the criteria used for registration. |
| Description: | A combination drug is a drug with more than one active ingredient. A newly registered drug is a drug registered for the first time. Every drug has to be registered before being authorized to be sold on the market. This indicator should be measured only for the oral and injectable forms and for a period of one year. The rate should be relatively low, in most cases less than 10%. |
| Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation: | The numerator can be obtained by reviewing the registration files of the preceding year and noting the number of combination drugs registered. The denominator derives from the same files. The files are normally in the registration unit. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation: |

\[
\frac{\text{Number of combination drugs newly registered}}{\text{Total number of newly registered drugs}} \times 100
\]

Example: 5% of drugs registered during the last year were combination drugs.
Essential drug selection and drug registration

Indicator PR13: *Number of registered drugs which are banned in other countries, out of total number of registered drugs.*

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the registration process. In an effective drug registration system, drug registration should be based on efficacy, safety and quality. Drugs which have been banned on these grounds in other countries should not be registered.

**Description:** A list of drugs which have been banned in a number of countries is published by the United Nations and WHO: "Consolidated list of products whose consumption and/or sale have been banned, withdrawn, severely restricted or not approved by governments". The rate should be close to 0%, as drugs registered should be safe and efficacious.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from the list published by the UN and from the national list of registered drugs. The denominator derives from the national list of registered drugs. Both are normally available in the registration unit. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of registered drugs which are banned in other countries}}{\text{Total number of registered drugs}} \times 100
\]

Example: 5% of the drugs registered are included in the UN list and are banned in other countries.
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

**Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy**

**Indicator PR14:** *Value of public drug budget spent per capita in the last year, out of average value of the same budget during the past three years.*

**Use:** To assess government commitment to supporting the health services. The drug budget, which has often decreased dramatically during the last decade, should at least remain stable if equitable access to drugs is to be achieved.

**Description:** A minimum per capita budget should be provided from general government revenues. There is no absolute figure, but one US dollar per year/per capita has often been quoted as a minimum level to reach. The target amount should be defined at country level, taking into account socioeconomic data. Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). The indicator should therefore include all the funds spent for drugs. In a normal situation, the rate should never be less than 100%, as the public drug budget should not decrease. When compulsory insurance exists, the indicator should be adapted to include this public financing.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by dividing the total drug budget spent in the various institutions during the previous year by the population. The denominator is obtained by adding budgets spent in the past three years in real terms (i.e. including the annual rate of inflation for each year) and by dividing the result by the sum of the average population for each year during the same period. It is important to divide the yearly drug budget by the average population in the same year, particularly in countries where population increases rapidly. This average population figure may be difficult to obtain; however, some estimates are normally available in the planning office of the MOH. Numerator and denominator are normally available from the ministry of health and/or the ministry of finance. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of public sector drug budget spent per capita in last year}}{\text{Average value of the same budget during the past three years}} \times 100
\]

Example: 80% of the public drug budget spent per capita in the past three years has been spent this year; this means that the public drug budget has decreased.
**Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy**

**Indicator PR15:** Value of public drug budget spent by major hospitals, out of value of public budget spent.

| Use: To assess the allocation of resources to primary health care and the commitment of the government to greater equity. Hospitals often consume more than 40% of the total government drug budget. With primary health care as the main objective of the health policy, there should be a more equitable allocation of resources between the various levels of the health system. |

| Description: Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). In certain countries, hospitals have a budget provided by the state, but this budget is separate from the ministry of health budget. Figures should therefore be carefully compiled in order not to miss any data. Major hospitals are defined as national and/or regional hospitals, but the list should be made at national level. The drug budget is the one which is actually spent, not the one which is allocated or planned. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate should be decided at national level. When compulsory insurance exists, the indicator should be adapted to include this public financing. |

| Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation: The numerator is obtained by adding the drug budgets spent by the main hospitals. The denominator is the total drug budget spent during the same year in the public sector. These figures are normally available from the ministry of health, from the main hospitals, from the central procurement unit and/or from the ministry of finance. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation: |

\[
\text{Value of public drug budget spent by major hospitals} \times 100 \quad \text{x} \quad 100
\]

\[
\text{Value of public drug budget spent}
\]

Example: 35% of the total drug budget is spent by the three major hospitals.
**Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy**

**Indicator PR16:** *Value of international aid received for drugs, out of value of public drug budget.*

**Use:** To assess the dependence of the country on international aid for its drug supply. International aid often represents an important share of the public drug budget in many developing countries, especially the least developed ones.

**Description:** International aid should be defined as any major support for the provision of drugs whether in cash or in kind. Public drug budget is defined as the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals. Public finance is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). If aid is already included in the denominator, it should be deducted for the purpose of the indicator. International aid through decentralized projects should also be included in the numerator. The indicator should be calculated for one year. There is no ideal rate for this indicator. In certain cases, international aid is a very useful component of the drug budget, but in the long term this percentage should decrease. The rate will depend on each country's situation. When compulsory insurance exists, the indicator should be adapted to include this public financing.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is the same as BG18. It can be obtained by adding all the funds given by international aid for drugs and in certain cases the amount given in kind should be calculated. It happens that drug donations are valued at a very high price (for instance, pharmaceutical companies often give drugs under brand name; the price of these drugs may be much higher than existing alternatives on the market). In this case, it is desirable to calculate the value of the donation at the average price of the same product on the international market. These data are normally available from the planning department of the ministry of health, and sometimes from the donor agencies or from the central procurement unit. The denominator is available from the ministry of health. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of international aid received for drugs}}{\text{Value of public drug budget}} \times 100
\]

Example: International aid represents 20% of the public drug budget.
Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

**Indicator PR17:** Value of revenue generated for drugs through additional financing systems, out of value of public drug budget.

**Use:** To assess the capacity of households to cover some of the drug needs in the public sector through various financing systems and therefore to complement the government's drug budget. This indicator is also useful for providing a global picture of the amount spent on drugs in the public sector and on the respective share of households and the state. In many developing countries and especially the poorest ones, the government budget is insufficient to cover the drug needs of the population. A number of financing systems based on out-of-pocket payments by the population (cost recovery mechanisms, etc.) have been implemented in the public sector to complement government budget. In certain countries such programmes cover a significant amount of the total drug expenditure in the public sector.

**Description:** Revenues can be defined as the total amount of money collected from the households in the various health programmes based on these financing schemes in the public sector. Only the amount of money used for the purchase of drugs should be included. The public drug budget is understood as general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments (see footnote 7, page 18). If revenue from additional financing systems is already included in the denominator, it should be deducted for the purpose of the indicator. The indicator should be calculated for one year. Experience has shown that communities can cover only part of the health expenditures. Probably 20% of the health expenditures in the public sector is the maximum which can be recovered through community financing without the risk of increasing inequity and excluding the poorest from access to health care.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the various revenues generated for drugs in the public sector; these data are normally available at central level, and from the various programmes/projects implementing these financing schemes. The denominator is available from the ministry of health and is the same as in indicators PR15 and PR16. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of revenue generated for drugs through additional financing systems}}{\text{Value of public drug budget}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The revenues generated for drugs through additional financing schemes represent 50% of the drug budget.

**Limitations:** This indicator is useful only in countries where such financing schemes are operating and where it is feasible to determine the total revenues collected through these schemes.
## Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

**Indicator PR18:** Public drug budget spent, out of public drug budget allocated.

**Use:** To assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the ministry of health, the central procurement unit and/or the health facilities in using the funds allocated for purchasing drugs.

**Description:** The drug budget allocated is normally the budget which is available to the ministry of health for buying drugs for the public sector. In many countries the allocated drug budget is often underspent because of poor planning and management in the ministry of health or lack of foreign exchange. This contributes to a shortage of drugs in health facilities, as the budget allocated for drugs is normally already less than is needed to cover basic drug requirements. The indicator should be calculated for one year. It should be as close as possible to 100%, as the drug budget allocated should all be spent.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained from the ministry of health and/or from the procurement unit and/or the health facilities allowed to purchase directly. Data for the denominator are normally available from the ministry of health and from other ministries in charge of budget matters. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\text{Public drug budget spent} \times 100 \\
\text{Public drug budget allocated}
\]

Example: Only 50% of the budget allocated for drugs by the government has been spent.

**Limitations:** In certain cases, the reasons for not spending the funds do not lie with the ministry of health but with the procurement agency. The indicator will then provide information on the efficiency and effectiveness of the procurement agency, and not on the ministry of health, and should be part of the next section: "Public sector procurement procedures" (see indicators PR19 to PR26). The reasons for not spending funds can also include late availability of the funds, delays in obtaining hard currency, etc. In these cases, some explanations should be provided in the final reports to clarify the result.
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator PR19:** Value of drugs purchased through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased.

**Use:** To assess the efficiency of the procurement procedures used in the public sector. The indicator also measures the management capability and the willingness of the ministry of health, other concerned ministries and the procurement unit to implement a procurement system able to procure drugs at low cost. Drugs bought through competitive tender are usually less expensive than drugs bought directly from a single supplier at his/her quoted price.

**Description:** Competitive tender is defined as a procedure for procuring drugs which puts a number of suppliers into competition. Purchasing is done on the basis of quotations submitted by suppliers in response to a public notice. When drugs are procured through IDA or UNICEF or with a supplier who ensures prices at the same level as the international one, the indicator should be slightly modified and the amount procured this way should be included in the numerator. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The higher the rate, the better the results.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from the total value of the drugs bought for the public sector through procedures such as limited consultation or open tender. The denominator derives from the total value of the drugs purchased by the public sector/central procurement unit and major health facilities, if they purchase directly, during the same period. Data are normally available at the central procurement unit as well as the ministry of health and other relevant ministries. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of drugs purchased through competitive tender}}{\text{Value of drugs purchased}} \times 100
\]

Example: 75% of the drugs purchased are purchased through open tenders.
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator PR20:** Value of drugs purchased from local manufacturers through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased through competitive tender.

**Use:** To assess the competitiveness of local producers compared with the international market. Local purchasing has several advantages provided that the quality is ensured (ease of procurement in case of shortages, no need for foreign currency, increased self-reliance).

**Description:** Competitive tender is defined as a procedure for procuring drugs which puts a number of suppliers into competition. The purchase is done on the basis of quotations submitted by suppliers in response to a public notice. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The rate depends on each country's situation. If the rate is high, it suggests that local producers are a good source of drugs at low cost.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from the total value of the drugs bought by the public sector from local producers during the previous year, through procedures such as limited consultation or open tender. The denominator derives from the total value of all the drugs purchased by the public sector during the same period through procedures such as limited consultation or open tender. It is the same figure as the one for the numerator of indicator PR19. Both are normally available at the central procurement unit and at local producers if health facilities are allowed to purchase directly. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of drugs purchased from local manufacturers through competitive tender}}{\text{Value of drugs purchased through competitive tender}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** 40% of the drugs purchased through competitive tender were purchased from local producers.

**Limitations:** In some countries, the purchase of certain drugs is limited to local production. In such cases, this indicator will be of no value.
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator PR21:** *CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket in the year of reference.*

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the procurement procedures. In a supply system which is improving, the price of drugs purchased should decrease as procurement procedures improve. If the system is already effective, the indicator may be used to assess price trends.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided in this manual as an example (see Chapter IV, page 59). It can be adapted to the country's context. It is preferable that it remains the same for all the indicators. However, if it is not the same for all the indicators, it should remain the same for the different years. The indicator is calculated on a basket of drugs which takes into account the level of consumption of each of the drugs of the basket. A method for calculating the value of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV (see page 60). The value should be calculated from the CIF/ex-factory prices. CIF price is the price of the imported drugs at the port of entry. Ex-factory price is the price of locally produced drugs when leaving the factory. The indicator should be calculated once a year, preferably at the same time of the year. If prices have not changed over the years, the indicator will be 100%; if prices have decreased, the indicator will be below 100%; if prices have increased, it will be over 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator and the denominator are obtained by calculating the value of the drug basket for the year under study and for the year of reference. These calculations are explained in detail in Chapter IV, page 60, and should be carefully followed. The price for each drug of the basket for calculating the value of the basket is the CIF/ex-factory price. CIF/ex-factory prices are usually available at the central procurement unit or the ministry of health. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs}}{\text{CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket in the year of reference}} \times 100
\]

Example: The value of the drug basket at CIF/ex-factory price is 110% of the value of the same drug basket in the year of reference; this means that it has increased by 10%.

**Limitations:** The increase or decrease of the indicator can also be due to an increase or decrease in international and national prices of finished products and/or active ingredients or to an increase or decrease in import taxes and duties. In this case, the indicator should take this increase or decrease into account, otherwise it will provide a false picture of the procurement procedures. However, evidence suggests that the price of drugs on the international market is relatively stable and that changes in import taxes and duties are relatively rare.
## Public sector procurement procedures

### Indicator PR22: CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of "reference" value on the international market of the same basket.

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the procurement procedures in the public sector. The indicator measures the difference between international "reference" prices and CIF or ex-factory prices obtained by the procurement unit. In a properly functioning system, the value of a basket of drugs purchased should be comparable to the value of a basket of drugs bought at reference prices on the international market.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided in this manual as an example (see Chapter IV, page 59). It can be adapted to the country's context but should remain the same for all the indicators. The indicator is calculated on a basket of drugs which takes into account the level of consumption of each of the drugs of the basket. A method for calculating the value of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV (see page 60). The value should be calculated from the CIF/ex-factory prices and the international reference prices. CIF price is the price of imported drugs at the port of entry. Ex-factory price is the price of locally produced drugs when leaving the factory. International "reference" prices can be calculated from price lists, such as those of UNICEF or IDA. Such prices do not reflect the reality of the prices in the international market but can be used as tentative benchmark prices. The indicator should be calculated once a year, preferably at the same time of the year. The rate will usually be around 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is the same as in indicator PR21 and can be calculated the same way. The denominator is obtained by calculating the value of the same basket using international prices. The calculation is explained in detail in Chapter IV, page 60. International prices can be obtained from two or three lists from international procurement agencies (IDA, UNICEF, Echo, etc.); 10 to 15% should then be added to prices for insurance and freight. For more precise figures, the agencies can be asked for the cost of insurance and freight for the country. It is important to use the same percentage throughout the years. CIF/ex-factory prices are usually available at the central procurement unit or the ministry of health. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs}}{\text{"Reference" value on the international market of the same basket}} \times 100
\]
Example: The value of a basket of drugs bought by the CMS is 120% the international "reference" value of the same basket. This means that the cost of a selection of drugs bought by the CMS is 20% higher than the cost of the same selection on the international market.
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator PR23:** Average lead time for a sample of orders in the last year, out of average lead time during the past three years.

**Use:** To assess the effectiveness of the procurement procedures in the public sector. The indicator measures if the procurement unit manages all the steps in the tendering process properly. In an effective procurement system, lead time should be as low as possible.

**Description:** Lead time is defined as the interval in months between the beginning of the procedures for tender by the procurement unit and the arrival of the drugs in the warehouses. If procurement is done by international tender, the lead time will be a minimum of six months. For national tenders, it can be much shorter. The indicator should therefore be calculated separately for national and international tenders. Only important tenders should be included in the calculation of the average lead time. Emergency orders should be excluded. If there are improvements in the tendering process, the rate will be less than 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding all the months between the beginning of the procedures for tender and the delivery of the orders and dividing the total by the number of orders. The denominator derives from previous years' figures. If the indicator is calculated for the first time, data should be sought in the procurement unit; if they are not available, an estimate should be made. The data needed for numerator and denominator are normally available from the procurement unit. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average lead time for a sample of orders in the last year}}{\text{Average lead time during the past three years}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The average lead time in months last year was 95% of the average lead time in the three previous years.
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator PR24:** Average time period of payment for a sample of orders, out of average time period of payment stated in contract.

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the procurement unit and/or the major health facilities to respect the terms agreed in the contract. Not respecting such terms will have a negative impact on the reliability of the drug procurement unit among suppliers. It can decrease the number of reliable suppliers willing to tender in the future.

**Description:** Every contract includes specific dates for payment. Periods for payment differ according to countries and procedures. For this indicator, all important orders should be included with similar time periods for payment stated in the contracts. The indicator can be calculated for the major health facilities if they purchase drugs directly. The indicator should be calculated for one year. If the time period of payment stated in contracts is respected, the rate will be around 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator can be calculated by adding the time period of payment for the main orders during the last year and dividing the total by the number of main orders. The denominator can be obtained the same way with the time period of payment stated in each contract. Data for the numerator and denominator are normally available from the procurement unit and/or the major health facilities. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average time period of payment for a sample of orders}}{\text{Average time period of payment stated in contracts}} \times 100
\]

Example: The average time of payment for the main orders was 125% of the time stated in the contracts, which means that orders have on average been paid for after a delay of three months compared with what was stated in the contracts.

**Limitations:** The indicator will not be reliable if the banking system does not function properly or if foreign exchange is not supplied in time to the procurement unit by the Central Bank.
**Public sector procurement procedures**

**Indicator PR25:  Number of drugs/batches tested, out of total number of drugs/batches procured.**

**Use:** To assess the capacity of the procurement unit to control the quality of drugs purchased. When a procurement unit operates effectively, the selection of suppliers is done carefully, decreasing the risk of low-quality drugs. However, testing of drugs/batches is still necessary.

**Description:** When ordering drugs, the procurement unit requests a number of specifications, e.g. pharmacopoeias' norms. Some basic tests should be performed on the arrival of the drugs in order to ensure that each batch complies with the specifications. These tests can be done in the unit itself, in the national laboratory or in any other quality control facility inside or outside the country. The indicator can be calculated for each consignment of drugs or on a one-year basis. The rate should be as high as possible. The norm can be defined at country level taking into account each specific context.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the number of drugs/batches procured during the year which have been tested. The denominator is the total number of drugs procured by the procurement unit. The data are normally available from the procurement unit. The indicator is then obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs/batches tested}}{\text{Number of drugs/batches procured}} \times 100
\]

Example: 65% of the batches have been tested.

**Limitations:** The indicator will be valid only if batches are tested. This information is not always available and often least developed countries are not in a position to test every batch. In certain cases, other methods which can be very effective are applied to ensure the quality of drugs. Therefore this indicator should be analysed in conjunction with others and should be accompanied by some qualitative statements. In some countries, especially the least developed ones, another indicator can be created — for example, the number of drugs procured for which a WHO certificate is attached, out of the total number of drugs procured during the year.
Public sector procurement procedures

**Indicator PR26:** *Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of number of drugs/batches tested.*

**Use:** To assess the quality of the procurement process and the drugs purchased in the public sector. This indicator should be used in conjunction with indicator PR25.

**Description:** This indicator refers only to the drugs procured in the public sector. The outcome indicator OT5 provides information on the quality of the drugs in the two sectors. A definition of a substandard drug is needed at country level to effectively calculate the number of drugs which failed quality control. The indicator is based on randomly collected samples; if quality control is done only on drugs under suspicion, it should be clearly indicated in the final reports, as the percentage obtained will certainly be higher. The indicator should be calculated for one year. If procurement is done in a proper manner — good selection of suppliers, extensive specifications, etc. — the indicator should be close to 0%. The indicator should be read in conjunction with the previous one — PR25.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the number of batches which failed quality control. The denominator is the same figure as for the numerator of indicator PR25. Data are normally available from the procurement unit or from the quality control laboratory. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing}}{\text{Total number of drugs/batches tested}} \times 100
\]

Example: 5% of the drugs tested failed quality control testing.

**Limitations:** The indicator is meaningful only if the quality control laboratory functions properly. In addition, if the denominator is too small compared with the total number of drugs procured, the indicator will not give a good picture of the real situation.
Public sector distribution and logistics

**Indicator PR27:** Average time between order and delivery from central store to remote facilities in the last year, out of average time between order and delivery in the past three years.

**Use:** To assess the performance of the distribution system in the public sector. In an effective distribution system, the time between order and delivery is supposed to be approximately the same for each order and as short as possible, depending on the distance and the number of intermediate levels.

**Description:** Remote health facilities have been used for this indicator as it is assumed that if the average time for distribution to these facilities is short and is improving over time, the distribution system is quite effective. The indicator can also be used for monitoring the effectiveness of each level of the distribution system. In this case, the definition of the numerator and the denominator would be changed according to the level monitored. A remote health facility is defined as a facility which is situated at a distance of more than 100 km from a city of more than 100,000 inhabitants. However, the definition can be adapted to each country context. The time to be considered is the number of days/weeks/months between the moment the order is sent by the remote health facility and the moment the same facility receives the drugs. The indicator should be calculated for one year. If there are improvements in the distribution system, the rate will be less than 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is calculated by adding the number of days/weeks/months between order and delivery for the main orders during the year and dividing the result by the number of orders. The denominator is obtained from aggregated figures collected during the surveys done in previous years. If the indicator is calculated for the first time, an estimated guess should be made for the previous years. Data for the numerator and the denominator should be collected through a survey in remote health facilities. A model for sampling health facilities is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 4). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average time between order and delivery from central store to remote facilities in the last year}}{\text{Average time between order and delivery in the past three years}} \times 100
\]

Example: The average time between order and delivery in remote health facilities was 95% of the average time in the past three years.

**Limitations:** In certain cases, it should be noted that different levels can be responsible for delaying the distribution of drugs. Long distribution time can be due to causes other than failures in the distribution system, such as delays in procurement, financing problems, etc.
Public sector distribution and logistics

**Indicator PR28: Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in the central and/or regional stores in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years.**

**Use:** To assess the efficacy of the stock management of drugs at central and/or regional warehouses. If drugs are managed properly, they should be in stock most of the time.

**Description:** Stockout duration is calculated in days/weeks/months for each of the drugs in the basket. A basket of drugs is provided as an example in this manual (see Chapter IV, page 59). The indicator should be calculated for one year. If stock management has improved the rate will be lower than 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator and the denominator are calculated by adding the stockouts in days/weeks/months for each drug in a basket and dividing the result by the number of drugs in the basket. The denominator is obtained from aggregated figures collected the previous years. The data for the numerator and the denominator are normally available from the central store. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in the central and/or regional stores in the last year}}{\text{Average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years}} \times 100
\]

Example: Average stockout duration is 110% of average stockout duration in the past three years.

**Limitations:** Stockouts can be due to different causes: procurement or financing problems, delays in distribution, etc. Therefore, the indicator is not very specific and does not always measure only the performance of stock management.
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

### Public sector distribution and logistics

**Indicator PR29:** Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in a sample of remote facilities in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years.

**Use:** To assess the performance of the distribution system and of the management of drugs at local level. If the logistics system is operational and if drugs are managed properly, they should be in stock most of the time.

**Description:** Remote health facilities have been used for this indicator as it is assumed that, if there is no stockout at this level, the distribution and management system is operational all over the country. A remote health facility is defined as a facility which is situated at a distance of more than 100 km from a city of 100,000 inhabitants. However, the definition can be adapted to each country. The stockout duration is the number of days/weeks/months when drugs of the basket are out of stock. A basket of drugs is provided as an example in the manual (see Chapter IV, page 59). The indicator should be calculated for one year. If the rate is lower than 100%, it suggests that the distribution system and the management of drugs at local level are improving.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding days of stockout for each drug in the basket during the year in all the facilities of the sample and dividing the total by the number in drugs in the basket multiplied by the number of health facilities in the sample. The denominator is obtained from aggregated figures collected during the surveys done the previous years. If the indicator is calculated for the first time, an estimated guess should be made for the past three years. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey in remote health facilities. A model for sampling remote health facilities is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form is provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 4). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\text{Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{in a sample of remote facilities in the last year}
\]

\[
\text{Average stockout duration for the same drug basket}
\]

\[
\text{in the past three years}
\]

**Example:** The average stockout duration was 95% of the average stockout duration in the past three years, which means that the situation has improved slightly.

**Limitations:** Different factors can be responsible for stockouts, e.g. bad management at the central medical stores, or, at peripheral level, weakness in logistics and delays in delivery, etc.
### Pricing policy

**Indicator PR30:** Value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket.

**Use:** To assess the compliance of wholesalers and pharmacists with official margins, when they are fixed through regulation, or with an average level of margins, when they are not fixed. The indicator could also be used for monitoring the degree of success of any intervention on margins carried out at wholesaler and retailer level, in order to improve drug affordability through a decrease in drug prices.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided as an example in Chapter IV. It can be adapted to a country's context but should remain the same for all the indicators. The indicator is calculated in a basket of drugs which takes into account the level of consumption of each of the drugs in the basket. A method for calculating the value of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV. Value should be calculated from the retail and the CIF/ex-factory prices. The CIF price is the price of the drugs at the port of entry; ex-factory price is the price of locally produced drugs when leaving the factory. The indicator is intended for use in the private sector, but it can also be used in the public sector. In certain countries, drugs are free to the patient in the public sector, but the health facilities pay the procurement unit for them; in such cases, the indicator can be adapted. The indicator should be calculated once a year, preferably at the same time of the year. The rate is normally less than 140%, and can be less for certain drugs or in the public sector.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by calculating the value of the drug basket at retail prices. The denominator is obtained by calculating the value of the drug basket at CIF/ex-factory prices. These calculations are explained in detail in Chapter IV. The two values should be calculated for a given year, even if the drugs from the basket have been bought in previous years. Data for the denominator are available from the ministry of health, customs authority, wholesalers, etc. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 1). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of a basket of drugs}}{\text{CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The value of the basket of drugs at the pharmacy level is 140% of the CIF/ex-factory value. Therefore, the total margin for the wholesaler and the pharmacist is 40%.
**Limitations:** If local duties and inland transportation costs increase, e.g. if the price of fuel increases, this will in some circumstances lead to a deterioration of the indicator, which does not automatically mean an increase in the margins of wholesalers and pharmacists. If used in the public sector, when the cost of drugs covers other costs (e.g. the Bamako Initiative), this should be taken into account when analysing the results.
Pricing policy

**Indicator PR31:** Average expenditure per prescription, out of average expenditure per prescription in the past three years.

**Use:** To assess trends in prices and the evolution of relative drug affordability. Even when essential drugs under generic name are relatively affordable, prescriptions can be very expensive. They often contain many products, some less essential than others and at very high prices. The indicator will also measure the impact of interventions, such as training of prescribers, as the average price of a prescription should decrease if other factors remain the same.

**Description:** This indicator can be measured in the public sector and the private sector. In this case, results can be presented separately. In certain countries, drugs are free to the patient in the public sector, but the health facilities pay the procurement unit for them; in such cases the indicator should be adapted. Ideally it should not increase more than the cost of living. However, effective intervention on procurement procedures, prescribing practices and margins could even lead to a decrease, i.e. the indicator would be <100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the cost of each prescription and dividing the result by the number of prescriptions. The denominator is obtained from figures collected the previous years. If the indicator is calculated for the first time, an estimate should be made for the past three years. The drug price of the previous years has to be calculated in real terms. Data for the numerator should be collected from a survey in a sample of public or private drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average expenditure per prescription}}{\text{Average expenditure per prescription in the past three years}} \times 100
\]

Example: The average expenditure per prescription was 120% of the average expenditure per prescription during the last three years; this means that people have to pay more for their prescriptions than in the past.

**Limitations:** In the public sector, the indicator is only relevant when patients pay for drugs. The indicator can be influenced by two parameters: an increase or a decrease in the cost of the drugs, or a change in the prescribing practices of the health personnel. More specific information on these factors will be needed for targeted action.
**Pricing policy**

**Indicator PR32:** Value of a basket of drugs, out of value of the same basket in the year of reference.

**Use:** To assess trends in prices and the evolution of relative drug affordability on the basis of a limited number of drugs.

**Description:** A basket of drugs is provided as an example in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to a country’s context but should remain the same for all the indicators. The indicator is calculated on a basket of drugs which takes into account the level of consumption of each of the drugs in the basket. A method for calculating the value of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV (see page 60). The value should be calculated from the retail prices. Retail price is the price of the drug to the consumer. The indicator can be used in the public and in the private sectors. In certain countries, drugs are free to the patient in the public sector, but the health facilities pay the procurement unit for them; in such cases the indicator should be adapted. The indicator should be calculated once a year, preferably at the same time of the year. The indicator should not increase more than the cost of living. However, effective intervention on procurement procedures and pricing policies could lead to a decrease, i.e. the indicator would be <100%. This indicator can be considered as an acceptable drug price index throughout the years (see Chapter IV, page 61).

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is the same as in indicator PR30. Numerator and denominator are obtained by calculating the value of the drug basket at retail prices in the year under study and in the year of reference. These calculations are explained in detail in Chapter IV, page 60, and should be carefully followed. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of a basket of drugs}}{\text{Value of the same basket in the year of reference}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The value of the drug basket is 130% of the value of the same basket in the year of reference; therefore it has increased by 30%.

**Limitations:** A number of factors can influence the results, e.g. changes in CIF prices, exchange rates, post-procurement costs (taxes, transport, etc.), margins and procurement methods. However, the indicator provides a signal and can be a measure of affordability when compared with the cost of living. To be useful for action it should be supplemented by more specific information.
Chapter V: Detailed presentation of indicators

Information and continuing education on drug use

**Indicator PR33:** *Number of prescribers having direct access to a (national) drug formulary, out of total number of prescribers surveyed.*

**Use:** To assess the availability of objective information on drugs at prescriber level. It is assumed that a prescriber who has a drug formulary on his or her desk is more likely to use it and therefore will prescribe more rationally. The indicator can only be measured if a formulary exists (see indicator ST42).

**Description:** Every prescriber should have a national or regional formulary or any manual containing as a minimum the following impartial information for each drug: active ingredient, dosage, indications, contraindications, side-effects, precautions. The exact definition of what can be considered as a manual of objective information should be established at country level. Prescribers include every health worker who prescribes: doctors, nurses, midwives, etc. This indicator can also be used for the private sector. The rate should be as close as possible to 100%, as every prescriber should have direct access to such information. It will often be lower in the private sector, as in many countries these kinds of manuals are primarily produced for health workers in the public sector. The indicator can also be used for dispensers, since they will be more able to provide accurate information to the customers if they have a formulary at their disposal. It should then be calculated separately.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator and denominator derive from a survey in a sample of health facilities. If the indicator is used for the private sector, a survey in a sample of private prescribers will be necessary; the survey should be done according to procedures described in Chapter IV. A model for sampling health facilities is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 3). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of prescribers having direct access to a (national) drug formulary}}{\text{Total number of prescribers surveyed}} \times 100
\]

Example: 65% of prescribers have direct access to a drug formulary in the public sector.
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator PR34: *Number of training sessions on drug use for prescribers in the last year, out of average number of training sessions organized in the past three years.*

**Use:** To assess commitment of government (or any other institution in charge of providing objective information on drugs) to rational use of drugs. Countries' experiences suggest that training and continuing education are interventions which improve prescribing practices.

**Description:** Training session is defined as any meeting, workshop, seminar, etc. in which problems related to the rational use of drugs are discussed. Only those organized by the public sector (i.e. ministry of health) and/or non-profit institutions and/or professional organizations (i.e. medical associations) are considered as training sessions. In the specific context of developing countries, any meeting organized/supported by the pharmaceutical industry cannot be considered as a training session. Prescribers include every health worker who prescribes: doctors, nurses, midwives, etc. This indicator can be used for the public sector as well as the private sector. The indicator can also be used for dispensers. It should then be calculated separately. If the rate is more than 100%, it suggests an increased commitment to improve prescribing practices. In countries where a plan for training prescribers exists, the indicator can be modified and the number of sessions which took place can be compared with the number of planned sessions.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from data available from the various bodies in charge of the training sessions. The denominator derives from data collected for the past three years as an average. If there is a plan for training and continuing education, the denominator can derive from the targets decided for the year. Numerator and denominator should then be calculated for the same year. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of training sessions on drug use for prescribers in the last year}}{\text{Average number of training sessions organized in the past three years}} \times 100
\]

Example: The number of training sessions on drug use for prescribers was 120% of the average number per year during the past three years; therefore it increased by 20% last year.

**Limitations:** The indicator does not assess the quality of the training.
**Information and continuing education on drug use**

**Indicator PR35:** Number of prescribers who have attended at least one training session in the last year, out of total number of prescribers surveyed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Use:</strong> To assess the number of prescribers who have been exposed to training sessions and in certain cases, when training is not mandatory, the commitment of the prescribers and others to improving their own practice.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong> Training session is defined as any meeting, workshop, seminar, etc. in which problems related to the rational use of drugs are discussed. Only those organized by the public sector (i.e. ministry of health) and/or non-profit institutions and/or professional organizations (i.e. medical associations) are considered as training sessions. In the specific context of developing countries, any meeting organized/supported by the pharmaceutical industry cannot be considered as a training session. Prescribers include every health worker who prescribes: doctors, nurses, midwives, etc. The indicator applies to the public sector, but it can easily be adapted to the prescribers of the private sector. The indicator can also be used for dispensers. It should then be calculated separately. A rate close to 100% suggests a high commitment by the medical community to improving prescribing practices. In certain countries where there is a scheme for training personnel, the indicator can be modified as follows: the number who attended can be compared with the number planned. In this case, there is no need for a survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:</strong> The numerator and the denominator derive from a survey in a sample of health facilities. If the indicator is used for the private sector, a survey of a sample of private prescribers will be necessary; the survey should be done according to procedures described in Chapter IV. A model for sampling health facilities and prescribers is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 3). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Number of prescribers who have attended at least one training session in the last year} \times \frac{100}{\text{Total number of prescribers surveyed}}
\]

**Example:** 40% of prescribers have attended at least one session in the last year.

| **Limitations:** The indicator can only be used if a significant number of training sessions are organized each year throughout the country. |
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

**Information and continuing education on drug use**

**Indicator PR36:** *Number of issues of independent drug bulletins published in the last year, out of average number of issues of independent drug bulletins published per year in the past three years.*

**Use:** To assess the quantity of objective drug information available to prescribers and dispensers. The absence of objective information is one of the main reasons for irrational prescribing practices. Some country experiences show that an increase in the availability of objective information improves prescribing practices. Therefore an increase in the number of issues of drug bulletins should lead to more rational prescribing.

**Description:** An independent drug bulletin is any bulletin which is recognized by the national scientific community as not being influenced by the pharmaceutical industry, and as providing unbiased drug information. If the availability of independent information has increased, the rate will be more than 100%. If several independent drug bulletins exist, it may be better to present the results separately, particularly if the bulletins do not reach the same target audience.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The data needed for the numerator are normally available from the ministry of health, from universities and/or from other bodies in charge of drug information. The denominator derives from data collected during the past three years. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\text{Number of issues of independent drug bulletins published in the last year} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Average number of issues of independent drug bulletins published per year for the past three years}
\]

**Example:** The number of issues of independent drug bulletins published last year was 90% of the average number per year during the past three years; therefore it has decreased by 10%.

**Limitations:** This indicator does not provide information on the number of issues published and sent to prescribers; it should be used in conjunction with indicator PR37. Indeed, in some countries a drug bulletin is published regularly but is disseminated only to a few prescribers (financing, distribution problems, etc.).
Information and continuing education on drug use

Indicator PR37: Average number of copies of independent drug bulletins sent to prescribers, out of total number of prescribers.

Use: To assess the availability of objective information to prescribers. One of the main constraints on rational prescribing is the lack of objective information on drugs. Receiving this information regularly should improve prescribing practices.

Description: An independent drug bulletin is any bulletin which is recognized by the national scientific community as not being influenced by the pharmaceutical industry and as providing unbiased drug information. If the number of prescribers who receive existing drug bulletins is very low, the impact on prescribing practices cannot be expected to be high. Ideally every prescriber should receive a copy of each issue of existing drug bulletins. The indicator should be calculated for one year. It can also be used for dispensers. The higher the percentage, the better the results. It is 100% if all prescribers receive a copy of each issue published. If several independent drug bulletins exist, it may be better to present the results separately, particularly if the bulletins do not reach the same target audience.

Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation: The numerator is obtained by adding the number of copies of each issue sent during the year and dividing the total by the number of issues. These data are normally available from the ministry of health, from universities and/or from other bodies in charge of drug information. The figure for the denominator is normally available from the ministry of health. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average number of copies of independent drug bulletins sent to prescribers}}{\text{Total number of prescribers}} \times 100
\]

Example: On average, the bulletins have been sent to 30% of the prescribers.
## Information and continuing education on drug use

**Indicator PR38:** *Amount spent on public education campaigns on drug use, out of total amount spent on public health education campaigns.*

**Use:** To assess the commitment of the government to promote rational drug use by the public. Irrational drug use is widespread and public education is essential for people to understand how to use medicines wisely.

**Description:** A public education campaign on drugs is defined as any programme or campaign conducted at local or national level by the ministry of health, by other ministries or by other bodies, aimed at increased awareness of drug issues and improvement in the use of drugs by the public. General public health education campaigns are campaigns oriented to general health issues; public education campaigns on drugs can be part of broader health education campaigns. The indicator should be calculated for one year. The target amount for public education campaigns on drugs should be defined at country level taking into account country priorities and level of implementation of the national drug policy.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the amount of resources spent by the various programmes/projects/ministries on public education campaigns on drug use during the year. The denominator is obtained by the same calculation. These data are not always easy to obtain and should be sought in various places: the ministry of health, other ministries, projects, etc. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Amount spent on public education campaigns on drug use}}{\text{Total amount spent on public health education campaigns}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The resources spent on public education campaigns on drug use represent 20\% of the resources spent on health education campaigns.

**Limitations:** In many developing countries, there are no education campaigns on drugs. In such cases, indicator ST49 will be negative and it will not be feasible to measure this indicator.
OUTCOME INDICATORS

These 10 indicators provide quantitative information on the achievement of four major objectives of national drug policy: availability of essential drugs, affordability of essential drugs, quality of drugs and rational use of drugs. The indicators measure the degree to which these objectives are being attained. This manual assumes that if good results are achieved on the structural and process indicators, then the outcome indicators should also show positive results or improvement over time. If the outcome indicators provide evidence of significant problems, when the process indicators show good results, then decision-makers should undertake a careful analysis of the problems in order to identify causal factors and revise strategies accordingly.

These outcome indicators are measured by a percentage or a figure based on information available at the central level and/or obtained through surveys. The indicators can be used for assisting national and international decision-makers in measuring the results of policies, and in evaluating drug policies and implementation strategies. Indeed, they allow comparison between the situation at the time the indicator is used and the situation a few years before or an ideal situation, and therefore provide information on the progress achieved. They can also be used in comparing the pharmaceutical policies of different countries.

Based on field experience with the manual, data for the outcome indicators can be collected at the same time as data for the process indicators. For most indicators, special surveys may be necessary. These surveys can be organized to collect data for several process and outcome indicators at the same time (see Chapter IV) and can take up to six weeks. Model sampling procedures, data collection forms for the field work and a model summary form (Summary Form 4) are provided in Chapter IV and Annex 1. In this chapter, each indicator is described as follows: definition; use; description; sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation; and limitations.
Availability of essential drugs

Indicator OT1: Number of drugs from a basket of drugs available in a sample of remote health facilities, out of total number of drugs in the same basket.

Use: To assess the attainment of one of the objectives of the national drug policy, which is to make essential drugs available for the whole population. The fact that patients can find some of the most essential drugs even in the most remote health facilities is a good indicator of the success of the national drug policy. This indicator can also be used for monitoring the effectiveness of the distribution system (see indicator PR29).

Description: Remote health facility is defined as a facility which is situated at a distance of more than 100 km from a town of 100,000 inhabitants and outside the main road system; however, the definition can be adapted to each country. An example of a basket of drugs is provided in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to the country’s context. The indicator has been designed mainly for measuring the effects of the drug policy in the public sector.

Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation: The numerator is obtained by counting the number of drugs in the drug basket available the day of the visit to each remote health facility and by adding the result at each remote health facility included in the survey sample. The denominator is the number of drugs contained in the drug basket multiplied by the number of remote health facilities surveyed. If, for example, the sample of remote health facilities is 20, and the basket of drugs contains 10 drugs, the denominator will be 200. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey of remote health facilities. A model for sampling health facilities is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 4). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs from a basket of drugs available in a sample of remote health facilities}}{\text{Total number of drugs in the same basket}} \times 100
\]

Example: 82% of the drugs contained in the drug basket were available the day of the survey in remote health facilities.

Limitations: In certain countries, drugs are delivered as kits every three to four months and are sold quite rapidly to patients. The facility may then remain without drugs for a time. The temptation could be to select the periods when drugs are available for the surveys. Supervisors should be aware of such possible bias.
### Chapter V: Detailed presentation of indicators

#### Availability of essential drugs

**Indicator OT2:** *Number of drugs at the lowest price from a basket of drugs, out of total number of drugs in the same basket.*

**Use:** To assess the fact that patients can find the most essential drugs at affordable prices in the private sector is a good indicator of the success of the national drug policy. The indicator therefore measures the capacity of the private sector to provide essential drugs at the most affordable price for patients. It measures at the same time both availability and affordability of a basket of essential drugs.

**Description:** In the private sector, drugs including essential drugs, are usually available under brand names at a cost which is often not affordable for the majority of patients. Experience shows that in most private drug outlets each product is usually available under different brand names and even under generic names at different prices. This indicator looks at the availability of some essential drugs at the lowest price in the private sector. An example of a basket of drugs is provided in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to the country's context. The indicator should be calculated in the private sector and the rate should be as near as possible to 100%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the number of drugs from the basket available at the lowest price the day of the visit to each private drug outlet. The lowest price should correspond to the cheapest price of a product under brand or generic name, available in private drug outlets in a given country. The denominator is the number of drugs contained in the drug basket multiplied by the number of drug outlets surveyed. If, for example, the sample of private drug outlets is 20, and the basket of drugs contains 10 drugs, the denominator will be 200. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey of private drug outlets. A model for sampling private drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 1). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs at the lowest price from a basket of drugs}}{\text{Total number of drugs in the same basket}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** 40% of the drugs contained in the drug basket were available at the lowest price the day of the survey in private outlets.
Affordability of essential drugs

**Indicator OT3:** Average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia, out of the average retail price of a basket of food.

**Use:** To assess the affordability, in both the public and private sectors, of the treatment for one of the most common diseases. If a national drug policy is to be successful, the cost of the treatment of the most common diseases should be such that it will not compete with the basic food needs of the household. This indicator compares the price of a standard treatment with the price of a basket of food. The cost of the standard treatment of a common disease would also give a good idea of how much people will need to spend on their health.

**Description:** The standard treatment is defined as the one proposed by the ministry of health in the official national therapeutic guide. If there is no such official standard treatment, academic specialists can provide one. However, it is important that the chosen one remains the same for comparisons within the country over a period of years. The retail price is the price paid by the patient for all the drugs included in the standard treatment. This price can differ from one drug outlet to another and if the drugs are sold under brand or generic names. This is why an average price is needed. A basket of food should be defined by the monitoring unit with the support of the department in charge of the price index in the ministry of finance. Experience shows that it can be based on about 10 basic products used every day by households. The basket should correspond to an average-size household's consumption during a period of one week. The average size of households differs according to the country, but the figure can be easily obtained from the ministry of finance.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the price paid for the standard treatment in each drug outlet and dividing it by the number of drug outlets in the sample. The denominator is obtained by adding the price of the selected basic products and dividing it by the number of stores surveyed. Data for the numerator should be collected through a survey in drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). Data collectors should go to the drug outlet with a prescription written in INN. Data needed for the denominator are normally available from a quick survey among 10 stores/markets. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia}}{\text{Average retail price of a basket of food}} \times 100
\]

**Example:** The average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia represents 80% of the average retail price of a basket of food.
Affordability of essential drugs

Indicator OT4: Value of a basket of drugs, out of the value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs.

Use: To assess the attainment of one of the objectives of a national drug policy, which is to make essential drugs affordable for the whole population. This objective is valid for both the public and the private sectors but is normally easier to implement in the public sector. This indicator will measure to what extent this objective is attained in the private sector, where essential drugs are usually available under brand names but at a cost which is often not affordable to the majority of patients.

Description: In the private sector, drugs including essential drugs are usually available under brand names at a cost which is often not affordable to the majority of patients. Experience shows that in most private drug outlets each product is usually available under different brand names and even under generic names at different prices. This indicator looks at the difference between the value of a basket of drugs based on prices obtained in a sample of private drug outlets and the value of a basket of drugs at the cheapest price for the same drugs. The cheapest drugs are defined as the ones proposed at the lowest price to the consumer and the retail level. If the national drug policy provides incentives for the private sector to limit the price of drugs, the difference between numerator and denominator would decrease. The indicator is calculated on a basket of drugs which takes into account the level of consumption of each of the drugs in the basket; an example of a basket of drugs is provided in Chapter IV (see page 59). It can be adapted to the country’s context. The method for calculating the value of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV (see page 60). The indicator should be calculated in the private sector and the rate should be as near as possible to 100%.

Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation: The numerator is the same as in indicators PR30 and PR32. The data needed for the numerator derive from a survey in a sample of private drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form is provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 1). For the denominator, the cheapest price for each drug in the basket sold in the private sector should be identified by the monitoring unit through a quick survey in a sample of 10 drug outlets. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Value of a basket of drugs in the private sector}}{\text{Value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs in the private sector}} \times 100
\]
**Indicators for monitoring national drug policies**

| Example: | The value of a basket of drugs in the drug outlets surveyed is 150% of the value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs; this means that consumers pay 50% more than if they were sold drugs at the cheapest price. |
Quality of drugs

**Indicator OT5:** Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of the total number of drugs/batches surveyed.

**Use:** To assess the attainment of one of the major objectives of any national drug policy, which is to provide drugs of quality anywhere in the country. The fact that patients can find drugs of quality in any health facility or drug outlet is a good indicator of the success of the national drug policy and the effectiveness of its quality assurance system.

**Description:** In a system where drugs/batches are routinely collected and tested (see indicators PR4 and PR5), the indicator can be calculated on the basis of the results of these tests. In other cases, where controls are carried out only on the basis of suspicion, or even not carried out at all, the data for the indicator should be obtained from a survey. This survey should be done in the public as well as the private sector. The rate should be close to 0%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The data needed for the numerator derive from the reports of the quality control laboratory for the drugs collected during a survey in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The figure for the denominator is decided during the design of the survey by the monitoring unit, according to country capability and resources. The drugs chosen for quality control should be among the ones in the basket of drugs. An example of a basket of drugs is provided in Chapter IV (see page 59). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing}}{\text{Total number of drugs/batches surveyed}} \times 100
\]

Example: 10% of the drugs surveyed failed quality control testing.

**Limitations:** One limitation on obtaining data for the numerator easily is linked to the presence or the absence of a reliable quality control laboratory at country level. In the absence of such a laboratory, samples should be sent to foreign laboratories. A second limitation could be the cost of the tests within or outside the country. The ministry of health's financial resources will often be the determining factor in deciding on the size of the denominator.
Quality of drugs

Indicator OT6: *Number of drugs beyond the expiry date, out of the total number of drugs surveyed.*

**Use:** To assess the attainment of one of the objectives of a national drug policy, which is to provide drugs of quality anywhere in the country. The fact that patients can find drugs within the expiry date in any health facility or drug outlet is a good indicator of the success of the national drug policy and the effectiveness of its quality system.

**Description:** Every drug has an expiry date which is normally clearly stated on the label. In certain countries, expiry dates are given in codes. In this case, the monitoring unit should provide the data collectors with the necessary information on the codes. The indicator should be used in the public and the private sectors. The rate should be close to 0%.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The data needed for the numerator derive from a survey in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The figure for the denominator is decided during the design of the survey by the monitoring unit, according to country capability and resources. The drugs chosen should be the ones in the basket of drugs. An example of a basket of drugs is provided in Chapter IV (see page 59). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs beyond the expiry date}}{\text{Total number of drugs surveyed}} \times 100
\]

Example: 10% of the drugs surveyed were beyond the expiry date.
Rational use of drugs

Indicator OT7: *Average number of drugs per prescription.*

**Use:** To assess the attainment of one of the major objectives of any national drug policy, which is the rational use of drugs. The fact that the number of drugs per prescription is low can be considered a good indicator of drug use. Although there is no global standard on the number of drugs per prescription, it is agreed that an average of more than two drugs per prescription will probably reflect a problem in prescribing practices.

**Description:** The prescriptions to be considered for indicator calculation are the ones given to outpatients. Combination drugs should be counted as one. The drugs to be counted are the ones on the prescription, regardless of whether the patient actually received them. The indicator can be applied in the public and the private sectors, as prescribing practices should be improved in both sectors. Results can be presented separately.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The data derive from a survey of prescriptions in a sample of drug outlets. A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The indicator is calculated by dividing the total number of different drugs prescribed by the number of prescriptions surveyed (see Chapter IV).

Example: The average number of drugs per prescription is 2.5 in the public sector.
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

Rational use of drugs

**Indicator OT8:** *Number of prescriptions with at least one injection, out of the total number of prescriptions surveyed.*

**Use:** To assess the attainment of one of the major objectives of any national drug policy, which is the rational use of drugs. The indicator measures the overall level of use of a type of drug therapy (injections), which should be used only when oral therapy is not possible.

**Description:** Injections should not be used just for any condition, although this is often the case. Scientific evidence shows that in developing countries oral therapy is easier to manage, has fewer side-effects for the patients (risk of local infections as well as transmission of HIV and hepatitis-B virus with badly sterilized material) and is less expensive in most cases. Immunizations are not to be counted as injections. The prescriptions to be considered for indicator calculation are the ones given to outpatients. The indicator can be applied in the public and in the private sectors, as prescribing practices should be improved in both sectors. Although there is no global standard on the "ideal" number of injections, it is agreed that the result is a good one when the figure obtained is low. Norms should be decided at the national level, taking into account morbidities and training of health personnel. These norms need to be revised regularly.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator is obtained by adding the number of prescriptions with at least one injection in a sample of drug outlets. Data needed for both numerator and denominator should be collected through a survey in a sample of drug outlets (see Chapter IV and Annex 4). A model for sampling drug outlets is provided in Chapter IV and data collection forms are provided in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Forms 1 and 2). The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of prescriptions with at least one injection}}{\text{Total number of prescriptions surveyed}} \times 100
\]

Example: 50% of prescriptions in the private sector contained at least one injection.
Rational use of drugs

**Indicator OT9:** Number of children under five with diarrhoea receiving antidiarrhoeal drugs, out of the total number of children under five with diarrhoea surveyed.

**Use:** To assess the attainment of one of the major objectives of any national drug policy, which is the rational use of drugs. The indicator measures the quality of care and rational drug use for an important health condition where clear standards of pharmaceutical treatment exist, namely always to prescribe ORS and never to prescribe an antidiarrhoeal drug.

**Description:** This indicator is very useful where clear guidelines exist, e.g. all children with diarrhoea should receive ORS and no antidiarrhoeal drugs.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The data needed for the indicator derive from a survey in a sample of health facilities in the public sector. A model for sampling health facilities is provided in Chapter IV and a data collection form in Annex 1 (see Data Collection Form 3). To facilitate the work of the data collectors, a list of the antidiarrhoeal drugs sold in the country but not recommended for this morbidity could be prepared by the monitoring team (note: ORS are not considered as antidiarrhoeal drugs). Alternatively, Data Collection Form 3 (Annex 1) could be used in such a way that data collectors note down all drugs which have been prescribed for five cases of diarrhoea in children under five. Calculation and analysis can then be done at central level by the monitoring unit. For this indicator, in certain cases, prescriptions can be sampled retrospectively, i.e. by drawing random prescriptions from historical medical records. This should be done only if data are complete and reliable. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of children under 5 with diarrhoea receiving antidiarrhoeal drugs}}{\text{Total number of children under 5 with diarrhoea surveyed}} \times 100
\]

Example: 47% of children with diarrhoea receive an antidiarrhoeal drug.

**Limitations:** This indicator is one of the most valuable measures of quality of care, but problems exist in terms of defining the severity of the health problem and in obtaining enough prescriptions with this specific problem during the course of the survey.
Rational use of drugs

**Indicator OT10:** *Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list among the 50 best selling drugs (EDL), out of the 50 best selling drugs in the private sector.*

**Use:** To assess the impact of the national drug policy on drug consumption. As the essential drugs list should cover the main therapeutic needs, most of the top selling drugs should be from the list. The indicator is important, as it shows whether the efforts of the national authority to influence prescribers and consumers to use essential drugs are successful or not.

**Description:** The indicator covers the 50 best selling drugs: ethical or OTC under brand name or generic name. It should be calculated for one year. The higher the rate, the better the result, as it means that most of the 50 best selling drugs are essential drugs.

**Sources and methods of data collection and indicator calculation:** The numerator derives from the analysis of the 50 best selling drugs. "Best selling drugs" can be defined according to the quantities sold in value or in number of units. Countries may calculate the two or decide to calculate only one of them for reasons such as lack of data. It is important to note what has been decided, in order to be able to measure it identically in the future. As the indicator is measuring rational use of drugs, the number of units sold will provide better information on real consumption and use. The list of "best selling drugs" is normally available from the main wholesalers and sometimes is recorded by the national drug authority. The national EDL and a cross-reference index are needed for identifying drugs from the EDL. The indicator is obtained by simple calculation:

\[
\frac{\text{Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) among the 50 best selling drugs}}{\text{50 best selling drugs in the private sector}} \times 100
\]

Example: 75% of the 50 best selling drugs are from the EDL.

*(See Annexes)*
Annex 1

Data collection forms

Data Collection Form 1: Private drug outlets
Data Collection Form 2: Public sector drug outlets
Data Collection Form 3: Health facilities/prescribers
Data Collection Form 4: Remote health facilities

Summary Form 1: NDP background information
Summary Form 2: NDP structural indicators
Summary Form 3: NDP process indicators
Summary Form 4: NDP outcome indicators
DATA COLLECTION FORM 1

Private drug outlets

Data Collection Form 1 allows collection of all the data needed from private drug outlets in order to calculate process indicators PR9, 10, 30, 31 and 32 and outcome indicators OT2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 (see page 46). A form should be filled in in each private drug outlet visited.

Name of drug outlet: ..............................................................

Location: ..............................................................................

Data collectors: ..............................................................

..............................................................................

Date of data collection: ..............................................................
I. Collecting data for process indicator PR9 and outcome indicators OT7 and OT8:

♦ List all the drugs prescribed in the first 30 prescriptions given to the pharmacist by patients on the visiting day in column 1. You should list all the drugs whether or not the patient buys/receives them. Do not list drugs sold without prescription or medical device (e.g. needles, syringes, etc.).

♦ The monitoring unit should complete columns 2, 3 and 4 after the survey and calculate the total for columns 3, 4 and 5.

♦ Fill in column 5; and indicate for each prescription if an injection has been prescribed or not. Write "Yes" if an injection has been prescribed, and "No" if an injection has not been prescribed.

♦ If there are more than five drugs per prescription, you should write on the back of the form or on a separate sheet of paper (i) the number of the prescription, (ii) the additional drugs prescribed and (iii) if there is at least one injection.
Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**
2. *Collecting data for process indicator PR31:*

- List all the drugs prescribed in the first 30 prescriptions given to the pharmacist by patients on the visiting day. These drugs are the ones already listed in the previous table (table for indicators PR9, OT7, and OT8). You should therefore collect the information for this new table at the same time as you collect information for the previous table, i.e. when you review the prescriptions given to the pharmacist. You should have the two tables in front of you to fill in the data accordingly or the monitoring unit can merge the two tables for data collection purposes and create a new table for analysis.

- Prices listed should be the price of each of the drugs included on the prescription, regardless of what the patient has actually received.

- If there are more than five drugs per prescription, write on the back of the form or on a separate sheet of paper (i) the number of the prescription, (ii) the additional drugs prescribed and (iii) the price of each drug.

- Fill in columns 1 and 2; column 3 can be calculated after the survey by yourself or the monitoring unit.
### Table for indicator PR31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 Drug’s price</th>
<th>3 Total price/prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table for indicator PR31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 Drug’s price</th>
<th>3 Total price/prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table for indicator PR31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 Drug’s price</th>
<th>3 Total price/prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Collecting data for process indicator PR10:**

- You should only fill in column 1. Columns 2, 3, and 4 will be completed by the monitoring unit after the survey.
- List the first 30 drugs sold on the visiting day (prescription or OTC, with prescription or directly to the consumer) in column 1. Write the name of the drug, the form and the strength.
- List only drugs, not medical devices (such as bandages or syringes).
## Table for indicator PR10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name, form and strength of drug sold</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INN</td>
<td>Not from EDL</td>
<td>From EDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. **Collecting data for process indicators PR30 and PR32, and outcome indicators OT4 and OT6:**

- Columns 1 and 2 should be completed by the monitoring unit before the survey. The drugs included in this table are the ones which have been selected to be part of the drug basket (an example of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV, page 59). The two or three best selling brand names for each drug under INN should be included in column 2 by the monitoring unit when preparing the data collection form. The best selling drugs included in column 2 should be identical to the drugs in column 1 in terms of strength, form and quantity.

- Fill in only columns 3 and 4. When drugs are within expiry date, write "Yes" in the appropriate space. When drugs are not within expiry date, write "No".

- When the drug is not in stock, write (-) in column 4. If the price is known, column 3 can still be filled in, even if the drug is not in stock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs under INN</th>
<th>2 Best selling brand names</th>
<th>3 Retail price</th>
<th>4 Within expiry date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **Collecting data for outcome indicator OT2:**

- Columns 1 and 2 should be completed by the monitoring unit before the survey. The drugs in column 1 are the ones already listed in the previous table (table for indicators PR30, PR32, OT4 and OT6). In column 2, drugs can be generic or brand name products.

- Tick column 3 if drugs listed in column 2 are available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs under INN</th>
<th>2 Name of the cheapest drug</th>
<th>3 Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Collecting data for outcome indicator OT3:**

- Present a prescription provided by the monitoring unit containing the recommended drug(s)/standard treatment in INN for the treatment of pneumonia in a selected number of drug outlets.

- List in columns 1 and 2 the name(s) and price(s) of the drug(s) provided by the drug outlet for the treatment of pneumonia. Column 3 can be calculated after the survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Drug(s) provided (name, dosage and form)</th>
<th>2 Drug(s) price(s)</th>
<th>3 Total price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Collecting data for outcome indicator OT5:**

- Before starting the survey, the monitoring unit should indicate in column 1 the name and the quantity of the drug to be collected at each drug outlet (see details in Chapter IV, page 58).

- When visiting the drug outlet, randomly pick a drug which contains the active ingredient selected by the monitoring unit and which is named in column 1.

- Write the name of the drug chosen in column 2. If it is a brand product, write the brand name; if it is a generic product, write the generic name and the name of the producer.

- Do not forget to write on the sample collected the name of the drug outlet and the date of collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the drug to be collected (INN)</th>
<th>Name of the drug collected (INN or brand name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA COLLECTION FORM 2

Public sector drug outlets

Data Collection Form 2 allows collection of all the data needed from public sector drug outlets to calculate process indicators PR9, 31 and 32 and outcome indicators OT3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 (see page 46). A form should be filled in in each public sector drug outlet visited.

| Name of drug outlet: | ........................................................................................................... |
| Location: | ........................................................................................................... |
| Data collectors: | ........................................................................................................... |
| | ........................................................................................................... |
| Date of data collection: | ........................................................................................................... |
1. **Collecting data for process indicator PR9 and outcome indicators OT7 and OT8:**
   - List all the drugs prescribed in the first 30 prescriptions given to the patients on the visiting day in column 1. You should list all the drugs whether or not the patient buys/receives them. Do not list drugs sold without prescription or medical device (e.g. needles, syringes, etc.).
   - The monitoring unit should complete columns 2, 3, and 4 after the survey and calculate the total for columns 3, 4, and 5.
   - Fill in column 5; and indicate for each prescription if an injection has been prescribed or not. Write "Yes" if an injection has been prescribed, and "No" if an injection has not been prescribed.
   - If there are more than five drugs per prescription, you should write on the back of the form or on a separate sheet of paper (i) the number of the prescription, (ii) the additional drugs prescribed and (iii) if there is at least one injection.
## Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Name, form and strength of drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 INN</th>
<th>3 Not from EDL</th>
<th>4 From EDL</th>
<th>5 Injection (yes or no)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**
2. **Collecting data for process indicator PR31:**

- List all the drugs prescribed in the first 30 prescriptions given to the patients on the visiting day. These drugs are the ones already listed in the previous table (table for indicators PR9, OT7 and OT8). You should therefore collect the information for this new table at the same time as you collect information for the previous table. You should have the two tables in front of you to fill in the data accordingly or the monitoring unit can merge the two tables for data collection purposes and create a new table for analysis.

- Prices listed should be the price of each of the drugs included on the prescription, regardless of what the patient has actually received.

- If there are more than five drugs per prescription, write on the back of the form or on a separate sheet of paper (i) the number of the prescription, (ii) the additional drugs prescribed and (iii) the price of each drug.

- Fill in columns 1 and 2; column 3 can be calculated after the survey by yourself or the monitoring unit.
### Table for indicator PR31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drugs prescribed</td>
<td>Drug’s price</td>
<td>Total price/prescription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>1 Drugs prescribed</td>
<td>2 Drug’s price</td>
<td>3 Total price/prescription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table for indicator PR31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs prescribed</th>
<th>2 Drug’s price</th>
<th>3 Total price/prescription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Collecting data for process indicator PR32 and outcome indicator OT6:**

- Columns 1 and 2 should be completed by the monitoring unit before the survey. The drugs included in this table are the ones which have been selected to be part of the drug basket (an example of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV, page 59). The two or three best selling brand names for each drug INN should be included in column 2 by the monitoring unit when preparing the data collection form. The best selling drugs included in column 2 should be identical to the drugs in column 1 in terms of strength, form and quantity.

- Fill in only columns 3 and 4. When drugs are within expiry date, write "Yes" in the appropriate space. When drugs are not within expiry date, write "No".

- When the drug is not in stock, write (-) in column 4. If the price is known, column 3 can still be filled in, even if the drug is not in stock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drugs under INN</th>
<th>2 Best selling brand names</th>
<th>3 Retail price</th>
<th>4 Within expiry date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Collecting data for outcome indicator OT5:

♦ Before starting the survey, the monitoring unit should indicate in column 1 the name and the quantity of the drug to be collected at each drug outlet (see details in Chapter IV, page 58).

♦ When visiting the drug outlet, randomly pick a drug which contains the active ingredient selected by the monitoring unit and which is named in column 1.

♦ Write the name of the drug chosen in column 2. If it is a brand product, write the brand name; if it is a generic product, write the generic name and the name of the producer.

♦ Do not forget to write on the sample collected the name of the drug outlet and the date of collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Name of the drug to be collected (INN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Name of the drug collected (INN or brand name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Collecting data for outcome indicator OT3:

♦ Present a prescription provided by the monitoring unit containing the recommended drug(s)/standard treatment in INN for the treatment of pneumonia in a selected number of drug outlets.

♦ List in columns 1 and 2 the name(s) and price(s) of the drug(s) provided by the drug outlet for the treatment of pneumonia. Column 3 can be calculated after the survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Drug(s) provided (name, dosage and form)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Drug(s) price(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>Total price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA COLLECTION FORM 3

Health facilities/prescribers

Data Collection Form 3 allows collection of all the data needed from public and private health facilities to calculate process indicators PR33 and 35 and outcome indicator OT9 (see page 46). A form should be filled in in each health facility visited.

Name of health facility: ..............................................................................................

Location: ...................................................................................................................

Data collectors: ...........................................................................................................

Date of data collection: ............................................................................................


1. **Collecting data for process indicator PR33:**

- The monitoring unit should provide data collectors with the list of manuals, formularies, etc. which can be taken into account for the calculation of this indicator.
- Ask selected prescribers the following question:
  "Could you show us a copy of the national or regional formulary, or any manual containing objective and independent information on drugs?"
- Observe if the formulary is immediately visible on the prescriber's desk (1), elsewhere in the office (2) or not available (3). Fill in the box with 1, 2 or 3.
- Please write the title and author of the document:

2. **Collecting data for process indicator PR35:**

- Ask selected prescribers the following question:
  "Have you attended at least one training session where problems related to the rational use of drugs were discussed in the past year?"
  1 = yes, 2 = no
- Please write the title of training session:  

  ________________________________

  Dates:  

  ________________________________

  Place:  

  ________________________________

  Organized by:  

  ________________________________

A training session is defined as any meeting, workshop, seminar, etc. in which problems related to the rational use of drugs are discussed. Only training sessions organized by the public sector (i.e. ministry of health) and/or non-profit institutions and/or professional organizations (i.e. medical associations) should be considered. Any meeting organized/supported by the pharmaceutical industry cannot be considered as a training session.
3. **Collecting data for outcome indicator OT9:**
   - Copy the first 5 prescriptions seen for children under 5 years old with diarrhoea, in column 1.
   - Column 2 can be filled in during the survey or after by the monitoring unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prescription number</th>
<th>1 Drug prescribed (name, form and strength)</th>
<th>2 Antidiarrhoeal drug (Yes/No)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**
DATA COLLECTION FORM 4

Remote health facilities

Data Collection Form 3 allows collection of all the data needed from remote health facilities to calculate process indicators PR27 and 29 and outcome indicator OT1 (see page 46). The form should be filled in in each remote health facility.

| Name of health facility: .............................................................................. |
| Location: ................................................................................................. |
| Data collectors: ........................................................................................ |
| Date of data collection: ............................................................................ |
1. **Collecting data for process indicator PR27:**

- Enter the ordering date and the delivery date over the past year for the main orders. Start with the last order for which drugs have been received.
- If there are fewer than 10 orders per year, enter all the orders for the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Main orders</th>
<th>2 Ordering date</th>
<th>3 Delivery date</th>
<th>4 Lead time (in days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Collecting data for process indicator PR29 and outcome indicator OT1:**

- Review all the available records at the health facility to complete the table on page 199. Note sources (e.g. stockcards) below.
- Calculate the number of days during which the 10 listed drugs were out of stock during each of the 12 months preceding the survey and put this number in the appropriate columns (from 2 to 13).
- Check if the 10 listed drugs are available on the visiting day and write "Yes" or "No" in column 15. You should fill in column 15, even in the absence of records, as you just have to check if drugs are available the day of your visit.
- Column 1 should be completed by the monitoring unit before the survey. The drugs included in this table are the ones which have been selected for the drug basket (an example of such a basket is provided in Chapter IV, page 59).
SUMMARY FORM 1
National drug policy background information

1. Country information

Population data

BG1: Total population

BG2: Average annual growth of the population (%)

BG3: Percentage of the total population living in urban areas

BG4: Life expectancy (years)

Economic data

BG5: GNP per capita (US$)

BG6: Average annual rate of inflation (%)

2. Health information

Health status data

BG7: Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)

BG8: Maternal mortality rate (per 100,000 live births)

* Do not forget to indicate the year for which the information is collected and the source.
### SUMMARY FORM 1
**National drug policy background information**

**BG9:** Top five causes and rate of infant morbidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BG10:** Top five causes and rate of infant mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BG11:** Top five causes and rate of adult morbidity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BG12:** Top five causes and rate of adult mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

## SUMMARY FORM 1
**National drug policy background information**

### Health system data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG13</td>
<td>Total number of prescribers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG14</td>
<td>Total public health budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG15</td>
<td>Total value of international aid for the health sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG16</td>
<td>Total health expenditure (public + households + international aid)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Drug sector information

#### Economic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG17</td>
<td>Total public drug expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG18</td>
<td>Total value of international aid for drugs (cash + kind)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG19</td>
<td>Total drug expenditure (public + households + international aid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG20</td>
<td>Total value of local production (ex-factory price) sold in the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG21</td>
<td>Total value of drug imports (CIF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG22</td>
<td>Total value of drugs under generic name (CIF price for imported drugs and ex-factory price for locally produced drugs) sold in the country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUMMARY FORM 1
#### National drug policy background information

**Human resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG23</td>
<td>Total number of pharmacists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG24</td>
<td>Total number of pharmacy technicians or other aides/assistants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drug sector organization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG25</td>
<td>Total number of drug manufacturing units in the country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG26</td>
<td>Total number of wholesalers in the country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG27</td>
<td>Total number of pharmacies and drug outlets in the public sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(including health facilities and hospitals that dispense drugs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG28</td>
<td>Total number of pharmacies and drug outlets in the private sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG29</td>
<td>Total number of private pharmacies and drug outlets in the three major urban areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of drugs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG30</td>
<td>Total number of registered drugs (in dosage forms and strengths)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG31</td>
<td>Total number of drugs on the national essential drugs list (in INN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SUMMARY FORM 2

**National drug policy structural indicators**

### Legislation and regulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST1: Is there an official national drug policy document updated in the past 10 years?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2: Is there drug legislation updated in the past 10 years?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3: Have regulations based on the drug legislation been issued?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4: Is there a drug regulatory authority whose mandate includes registration and inspection?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5: Is there a licensing system to regulate the sale of drugs (wholesalers, pharmacists, retailers)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6: Are pharmacists legally entitled to substitute generic drugs for brand name products?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7: Are there legal provisions for penal sanctions?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8: Is there a checklist for carrying out inspections in different types of pharmaceutical establishments?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST9: Are there any institutions within or outside the country where quality control is carried out?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SUMMARY FORM 2
#### National drug policy structural indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST10: Is the WHO Certification Scheme on the Quality of Pharmaceutical Products Moving in International Commerce used systematically?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST11: Are there controls on drug promotion based on regulations and consistent with the WHO Ethical Criteria for Medicinal Drug Promotion?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Essential drug selection and drug registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST12: Is there a national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary using INN officially adopted and distributed countrywide?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST13: Is there an official drug committee whose duties include updating the national essential drugs list (EDL)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST14: Has the national essential drugs list (EDL)/formulary been updated and distributed countrywide in the past five years?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST15: Do drug donations comply with the national essential drugs list (EDL)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST16: Are there formal procedures for registering drugs?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST17: Is there a drug registration committee?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST18: Is drug registration renewal required at least every five years?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Drug allocation in the health budget/public sector financing policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST19</td>
<td>Is the public drug budget spent per year more than 20% of the ministry of health operating budget spent per year for the last three years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST20</td>
<td>Is the public drug budget spent per capita per year more than US$1.00 per year for the last three years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST21</td>
<td>Is the public drug budget spent for national hospitals less than 40% of the total public drug budget spent for the last three years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST22</td>
<td>Has the public drug budget spent per capita increased in the last three years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST23</td>
<td>Are there any financing systems in addition to the public drug budget that contribute to the provision of drugs in the public sector?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Public sector procurement procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST24</td>
<td>Are drugs usually procured in the public sector through competitive tender?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST25</td>
<td>Is there a system for monitoring supplier performance?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST26</td>
<td>Is most of the tendering done under international nonproprietary name (INN)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST27:</strong> Does the procurement unit receive foreign currency in less than 60 days (from request to release)?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST28:</strong> Is procurement in the public sector limited to drugs on the national essential drugs list (EDL)?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST29:</strong> Is the average lead time (from order to receipt at central level) less than eight months?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST30:</strong> Is procurement based on a reliable quantification of drug needs?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public sector distribution and logistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ST31:</strong> Are good storage practices observed in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST32:</strong> Is the information recorded on the stockcards for a basket of drugs the same as the quantity of stock in store?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST33:</strong> Are the stocks for a basket of drugs within their expiry dates in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ST34:</strong> Have all incoming products been physically inspected for the last three deliveries in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST35: Are only drugs which are on the national essential drugs list (EDL) in stock in the central procurement/distribution unit and/or in major regional warehouses?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST36: Are 80% or more of the vehicles of the central procurement/distribution unit and/or major regional warehouses in working condition?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pricing policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST37: Are drug prices regulated in the private sector?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST38: Is there at least one major incentive for the private sector to sell essential drugs at low cost?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST39: Is the total margin used by wholesalers and retailers less than 35% of the CIF price?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST40: Is there a system for monitoring drug prices?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST41: Are essential drugs under INN or generic name sold in private drug outlets?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Information and continuing education on drug use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST42: Is there a national publication (formulary/bulletin/manual, etc.), revised within the past five years, providing objective information on drug use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST43: Is there a national therapeutic guide with standardized treatments?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National drug policy structural indicators</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST44: Is the concept of essential drugs part of the curricula in the basic training of health personnel?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST45: Is there an official continuing education system for rational use of drugs for prescribers and dispensers?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST46: Is there a drug information unit/centre?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST47: Does the drug information unit/centre (or another independent body) provide regular information on drugs to prescribers and dispensers?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST48: Are there therapeutic committees in the major hospitals?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST49: Are there public education campaigns on drug use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST50: Is drug education included in the primary/secondary school curricula?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SUMMARY FORM 3
### National drug policy process indicators

### Legislation and regulation

**PR1:** Number of drug outlets inspected, out of total number of drug outlets in the country

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR2:** Number of drug outlets in violation, out of total number of drug outlets inspected

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR3:** Number of sanctions and administrative measures implemented, out of total number of violations identified

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR4:** Number of samples routinely collected, out of total number of planned collected samples

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR5:** Number of samples tested, out of total number of samples collected

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR6:** Number of advertisements in violation of regulations on the ethical promotion of drugs, out of total number of advertisements monitored
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N =</th>
<th>D =</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\frac{\text{---}}{\text{---}} \times 100 = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SUMMARY FORM 3
National drug policy process indicators

PR7: Number of sanctions implemented for advertisements in violation of regulations, out of total number of violations identified

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Number of sanctions}}{\text{Total number of violations}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

Essential drug selection and drug registration

PR8: Value of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) procured in the public sector, out of total value of drugs procured in the same sector

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Value of EDL drugs procured}}{\text{Total value of drugs procured}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

PR9: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) prescribed, out of total number of drugs prescribed

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Number of EDL drugs prescribed}}{\text{Total number of drugs prescribed}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

PR10: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) sold, out of total number of drugs sold

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Number of EDL drugs sold}}{\text{Total number of drugs sold}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

PR11: Number of locally manufactured drugs sold in the country from the national essential drugs list (EDL), out of number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL)

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Number of locally manufactured EDL drugs sold}}{\text{Number of EDL drugs}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

PR12: Number of combination drugs newly registered, out of total number of newly registered drugs

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \frac{\text{Number of combination drugs newly registered}}{\text{Total number of newly registered drugs}} \\
D &= x 100 = \% \\
\end{align*}
\]
Annex 1: Data collection forms

\[ N = \quad \text{-------------------} \times 100 = \quad \% \]
\[ D = \quad \_ \]
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National drug policy process indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR13: Number of registered drugs which are banned in other countries, out of total number of registered drugs</td>
<td>( \frac{N}{D} \times 100 ) = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR14: Value of public drug budget spent per capita in the last year, out of average value of the same budget during the past three years</td>
<td>( \frac{N}{D} \times 100 ) = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR15: Value of public drug budget spent by major hospitals, out of value of public drug budget spent</td>
<td>( \frac{N}{D} \times 100 ) = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR16: Value of international aid received for drugs, out of value of public drug budget</td>
<td>( \frac{N}{D} \times 100 ) = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR17: Value of revenue generated for drugs through additional financing systems, out of value of public drug budget</td>
<td>( \frac{N}{D} \times 100 ) = %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR18: Public drug budget spent, out of public drug budget allocated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 1: Data collection forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>[\frac{\text{\ldots}}{}] x 100 = %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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National drug policy process indicators

**Public sector procurement procedures**

PR19: Value of drugs purchased through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

PR20: Value of drugs purchased from local manufacturers through competitive tender, out of value of drugs purchased through competitive tender

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

PR21: CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket the year of reference

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

PR22: CIF/ex-factory value of a basket of drugs, out of "reference" value on the international market of the same basket

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

PR23: Average lead time for a sample of orders in the last year, out of average lead time during the past three years

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

PR24: Average time period of payment for a sample of orders, out of average time period of payment stated in contract
### Annex 1: Data collection forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N =</th>
<th>[\text{------------ x 100} = %]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D =</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = number of observations

D = denominator

\[\text{\(\frac{\text{N}}{\text{D}}\) x 100} = \%\]
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**PR25:** Number of drugs/batches tested, out of number of drugs/batches procured

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR26:** Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of number of drugs/batches tested

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

## Public sector distribution and logistics

**PR27:** Average time between order and delivery from central store to remote facilities in the last year, out of average time between order and delivery in the past three years

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR28:** Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in the central and/or regional stores in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]

**PR29:** Average stockout duration for a basket of drugs in a sample of remote facilities in the last year, out of average stockout duration for the same basket in the past three years

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% 
\]
SUMMARY FORM 3
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Pricing policy

PR30: Value of a basket of drugs, out of CIF/ex-factory value of the same basket

\[ \frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

PR31: Average expenditure per prescription, out of average expenditure per prescription in the past three years

\[ \frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

PR32: Value of a basket of drugs, out of value of the same basket the year of reference

\[ \frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

Information and continuing education on drug use

PR33: Number of prescribers having direct access to a (national) drug formulary, out of total number of prescribers surveyed

\[ \frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

PR34: Number of training sessions on drug use for prescribers in the last year, out of average number of training sessions organized in the past three years

\[ \frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

PR35: Number of prescribers who have attended at least one training session in the last year, out of total number of prescribers surveyed
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\[ N = \frac{\text{----------------} \times 100}{\ldots} = \% \]

\[ D = \]
### SUMMARY FORM 3
#### National drug policy process indicators

**PR36:** Number of issues of independent drug bulletins published in the last year, out of average number of issues of independent drug bulletins published per year in the past three years

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \\
D &= \\
\text{\[\text{\text{\frac{N}{D}}}} \times 100 &= \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

**PR37:** Average number of copies of independent drug bulletins sent to prescribers, out of total number of prescribers

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \\
D &= \\
\text{\[\text{\frac{N}{D}}}} \times 100 &= \% \\
\end{align*}
\]

**PR38:** Amount spent on public education campaigns on drug use, out of total amount spent on public health education campaigns

\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \\
D &= \\
\text{\[\text{\frac{N}{D}}}} \times 100 &= \% \\
\end{align*}
\]
SUMMARY FORM 4
National drug policy outcome indicators

Availability of essential drugs

OT1: Number of drugs from a basket of drugs available in a sample of remote health facilities, out of total number of drugs in the same basket

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

OT2: Number of drugs at the lowest price from a basket of drugs, out of total number of drugs in the same basket

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

Affordability of essential drugs

OT3: Average retail price of standard treatment of pneumonia, out of the average retail price of a basket of food

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]

OT4: Value of a basket of drugs, out of the value of the same basket with the cheapest drugs

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \%
\]
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies
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Quality of drugs

OT5: Number of drugs/batches that failed quality control testing, out of the total number of drugs/batches surveyed

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

OT6: Number of drugs beyond the expiry date, out of the total number of drugs surveyed

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

Rational use of drugs

OT7: Average number of drugs per prescription

\[
\frac{\text{OT7}}{\text{OT8}} \times 100 = \% \]

OT8: Number of prescriptions with at least one injection, out of the total number of prescriptions surveyed

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

OT9: Number of children under five with diarrhoea receiving antidiarrhoeal drugs, out of the total number of children under five with diarrhoea surveyed

\[
\frac{N}{D} \times 100 = \% \]

OT10: Number of drugs from the national essential drugs list (EDL) among the 50 best selling drugs, out of the 50 best selling drugs in the private sector
Annex 1: Data collection forms

\[ N = \]

\[ D = \]

\[ \frac{\text{-------------}}{...} \times 100 = \% \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1 Drug’s name (INN)</th>
<th>2 Month -12</th>
<th>3 -11</th>
<th>4 -10</th>
<th>5 -9</th>
<th>6 -8</th>
<th>7 -7</th>
<th>8 -6</th>
<th>9 -5</th>
<th>10 -4</th>
<th>11 -3</th>
<th>12 -2</th>
<th>13 -1</th>
<th>14 Number of days of stockout</th>
<th>15 Drugs available on the visiting day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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Glossary
This glossary gives definitions of the main terms used in the manual and is specific to it. The definitions are not necessarily those used elsewhere.

**Advertising:** any activity used by manufacturers and distributors, the effect of which is to induce the prescription, supply, purchase and/or use of drugs. It can be directed to physicians, health-related professionals and the general public.

**Affordability:** drugs are available to the population at a price they can pay.

**Availability of essential drugs:** patients have access to drugs but this does not mean that they can be afforded by the majority of the population.

**Basket of drugs:** a representative number of drugs selected to obtain specific information: the selection will depend on what it is wished to measure/observe.

**CIF price:** the price of the drugs at the port of entry.

**Combination drug:** a drug with more than one active ingredient.

**Competitive tender:** a procedure for procuring drugs which puts a number of suppliers into competition. Purchasing is done on the basis of quotations submitted by the suppliers in response to a public notice.

**Continuing education system:** a system based on regular workshops, seminars and in-service training which provides all prescribers and dispensers with refresher courses on drug issues.

**Drug information unit or centre:** an organization within or outside the ministry of health which collects and provides objective information on drugs to health personnel and the public.

**Drug legislation:** the legal conditions under which pharmaceutical activities should be organized in line with the national drug policy.

**Drug outlet:** a place (public or private) where drugs are legally dispensed or sold.

**Drug registration committee:** in general, an advisory committee to the national drug regulatory agency on matters relating to registration of drugs and cancellation or suspension of such registration, based on evaluation of the quality, safety, efficacy and usefulness of the products.

**Effectiveness:** an expression of the degree to which activities have produced the effects planned.

**Efficiency:** the relationship between the results of activities and the corresponding effort expended in terms of money, resources and time.

**Ex-factory price:** the price of locally produced drugs when leaving the factory.

**Financing systems:** any systems which, in the public sector, contribute to the provision of drugs by charging patients or the community. These systems can include out-of-pocket payments (e.g. user charges) or voluntary health insurance. They can cover all or part of the costs of the drugs.
Indicators for monitoring national drug policies

**Generic substitution:** practice of substituting a product, whether marketed under a trade name or generic name, by an equivalent product, usually a cheaper one, containing the same active ingredient(s).

**Independent drug bulletin:** any bulletin which is recognized by the national scientific community as not being influenced by the pharmaceutical industry, and as providing unbiased drug information.

**International aid:** any major support for the provision of drugs whether in cash or in kind.

**International nonproprietary name:** the shortened scientific name based on the active ingredient. WHO is responsible for assigning INN to pharmaceutical substances.

**Licensing system:** provisions on who should import drugs, what qualifications people in the importing agency should have and who should dispense and sell drugs.

**National drug policy document:** an officially approved document which should be widely available. It should contain not only the key objectives of the national policy but also the main strategies proposed by the government for achieving these objectives.

**National list of essential drugs:** the list which has been defined, adopted and published at country level. It normally covers all health facilities, including the main hospitals.

**National therapeutic guide:** a manual which for each disease contains the main diagnostic steps and reference treatments.

**Operating budget:** all expenditures which are not capital costs/investments and which occur periodically (i.e. salaries, drugs, national programmes, etc.).

**Prescribers:** every health worker who prescribes: doctors, nurses, midwives, etc.

**Price regulations:** any regulation set up by government to control drug prices. This control can be direct or indirect and includes: setting of fixed margins at various levels (wholesalers, retailers), reimbursement control (positive lists, reference prices, percentage of co-payment), ceiling price, etc.

**Public drug budget:** the total amount of money spent on pharmaceutical products by the government through the ministry of health, other ministries and hospitals.

**Public education campaign on drugs:** any programme or campaign conducted at local or national level by the ministry of health, by other ministries or by other bodies aimed at increased awareness on drug issues and improvements in the use of drugs by the public.

**Public finance:** general government revenues and compulsory health insurance (sometimes known as social insurance) that is either publicly managed or heavily regulated by governments. Private finance includes out-of-pocket payments and voluntary health insurance.

**Regulations:** the second stage of legislative procedures, specifically designed to provide the legal machinery to achieve the administrative and technical goals.

**Reliability:** even if an indicator is used by different people at different times and under different circumstances, the results will be the same.
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**Reliable quantification of drug needs:** a careful evaluation of the quantities needed of each drug, based on either adjusted past consumption or anticipated pattern of diseases and standard treatment.

**Remote health facility:** a facility which is situated at a distance of more than 100 km from a city of more than 100,000 inhabitants. However, the definition can be adapted to each country context.

**Retail price:** the price of the drug to the consumer.

**Sanction or administrative measure:** any measure which should have been taken according to the regulations for each of the violations identified by inspectors and reflected in the inspection reports.

**System for monitoring drug prices:** any system which provides information on prices of all the drugs or of certain drugs on a regular basis.

**System for monitoring supplier performance:** a system which provides information on the past performances of each supplier.

**Therapeutic committee:** a group of scientists, members of the hospital community, such as pharmacologists, clinicians, pharmacists, etc.

**Training session:** any meeting, workshop, seminar, etc. in which problems related to the rational use of drugs are discussed. Only those organized by the public sector (i.e. ministry of health) and/or non-profit institutions and/or professional organizations (i.e. medical associations) are considered as training sessions.

**Validity:** an indicator should actually measure what it is supposed to measure.

**Violation:** any specification or action not conforming to the regulations.
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Table of random numbers
HOW TO USE RANDOM NUMBER TABLES

1. First, decide how large a number you need. Next, count if it is a one, two or larger digit number. For example, if your sampling frame consists of 10 units, you must choose from numbers 1-10 (inclusive). You must use two digits to ensure that 10 has an equal chance of being included.

You also use two digits for a sampling frame consisting of 0-99 units.

If, however, your sampling frame has 0-999 units, then you obviously need to choose from three digits. In this case, you take an extra digit from the table to make up the required three digits. For example, the number in columns 10 and 11, row 27, i.e. 43, would become 431; going down, the next numbers would be 107, 365 etc.

You would do the same if you needed a four digit number for a sampling frame of 0-9999 units. In our example of the number in columns 10, 11 and 12, row 27, i.e. 431, this would now become 4316, the next down 1075, and so on.

2. Decide beforehand whether you are going to go across the page to the right, down the page, across the page to the left, or up the page.

3. Without looking at the table, pinpoint a number using a pencil, pen, stick, or even your finger.

4. If this number is within the range you need, take it. If not, continue to the next number in the direction you chose beforehand (across, up or down the page) until you find a number that is within the range you need.

For example, if you need a number between 0 and 50 and you began at columns 21 and 22, row 21, you get 74, which is obviously too big. So you could go down (having decided beforehand to go down) to 97, also too big, and then to 42, which is acceptable, and select it.

See Random Table