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**Abbreviations used**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>Transmissible spongiform encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJD</td>
<td>Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCJD</td>
<td>Sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vCJD</td>
<td>Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE</td>
<td>Bovine spongiform encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP</td>
<td>Prion protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP&lt;sub&gt;Sc&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Scrapie, amyloid forming isoform of the prion protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrP&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Cellular isoform of the prion protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Electroencephalogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

In 1998 WHO developed a case definition for vCJD, as well as for sporadic, iatrogenic and familial CJD\(^1\). At that time only 34 vCJD cases had been reported. Since then, experience in the diagnosis of the disease has increased. Additionally, certain diagnostic methods (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging, tonsil biopsy) have been proved to be valuable tools in the diagnosis of vCJD. Finally, the clinical case definition in the UK has been revised to permit the diagnosis of vCJD in the absence of neuropathology. The result was a need for WHO to review its existing case definition for vCJD. To this end, WHO convened a Consultation on the Revision of the Surveillance Case Definition for variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (vCJD), which was held in Edinburgh, United Kingdom, on 17 May 2001.

Dr Maura Ricketts from the WHO department of Communicable Disease Surveillance and Response opened the meeting. She highlighted the need to revise the WHO case definition of vCJD in view of the improved knowledge regarding the diagnosis of vCJD. She emphasized the need to formulate criteria for suspect cases of vCJD for regions of the world that may have restricted access to investigations such as EEG, MRI and neuropathology.

The following document is based upon the scientific presentations (see Agenda, Annex II) and discussions during the consultation. Note that the recommendations of this consultation refer to vCJD, and not sporadic CJD (sCJD) and that the goal of the consultation is to improve surveillance. The organization of the document reflects the clinical hierarchy used in the diagnosis of human diseases – symptoms, signs in clinical examination, followed by investigations of increasing invasiveness ending in neuropathology. The addition of each successive class of information increases the likelihood that the correct diagnosis is being made, as seen in the revised case definition.

The principal recommendation of the consultation was that WHO adopt an amended version of the case definition currently used in the UK and by the European Union BioMed 2 Surveillance Projects (Annex I). The consultation recommended that one of the footnotes should be augmented to clarify the role of tonsil biopsy, and the clinical criteria be amended to exclude familial CJD. A footnote to clarify the definition of a diagnostic MRI has been added.

This report has been prepared by the WHO secretariat (Drs Maura Ricketts, Paola Pergami) in close collaboration with the Consultation’s chair Dr Robert Will, speakers (particularly Drs Don Collie, James Ironside and Richard Knight, see Annex III) and rapporteurs (Drs Martin Zeidler and Hester Ward). It is based on the scientific presentations of the speakers, and it contains the conclusions and recommendations of the consultation.

---

\(^1\) WHO Consultation on the Global Surveillance, Diagnosis and Therapy of Human Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies. 9-11 February 1998, Geneva, Switzerland, WHO/EMC/ZDI/98.9

Background

Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) was first described in the 1920s. The disease is part of a group of diseases called transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) or prion diseases that occur in humans or animals. The diseases have a prolonged incubation period and are characterized by an invariably fatal degeneration of the nervous system. Sporadic CJD (sCJD) is the most common of the human TSEs representing about 85% of CJD cases. The disease is rare, with an annual incidence across the world of 0.5 to 1.0 cases per million population. The average age of onset of sCJD is between 55 and 75 years and it has no known cause. About 15% of CJD cases are familial and are caused by genetic mutations. In addition, CJD has been transmitted as a result of medical treatments such as human pituitary derived growth hormone injections, dura mater and corneal tissue transplants, and brain surgery involving contaminated instruments. Post-mortem neuropathological examination shows spongiform degeneration, neuronal loss, gliosis, and accumulation of the abnormal isoform of the prion protein. The precise nature of the agent responsible for CJD is not fully understood, but the most likely theory points to an abnormal isoform (prion protein PrPSc) of a protein that is normally expressed in the brain (the cellular isoform of the prion protein, PrPC). It is generally accepted that the difference between PrPC and PrPSc is purely conformational. The abnormal protein accumulates and there is a massive destruction of the brain, which assumes the characteristic spongy appearance. The clinical symptoms of sCJD include cognitive impairment leading to dementia, a cerebellar syndrome with gait and limb ataxia, and myoclonus in the late stages.

In March 1996 a new variant of CJD (vCJD) was identified in the United Kingdom (UK). A causal link between vCJD and bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle has been hypothesized, and there is now overwhelming epidemiological, neuropathological and experimental evidence that the agents causing BSE and vCJD are the same. The most plausible route of exposure is via BSE contaminated food.

As of May 2001, 104 vCJD cases had been reported; 100 in the United Kingdom, three in France and one in the Republic of Ireland. A consistent upward trend in the number of reports in the UK has been observed, but it is still too soon to tell whether this increase will be sustained, or what the eventual number of vCJD cases will be. Countries without reported cases of either vCJD or BSE may also have been exposed to the BSE agent as a result of importation of cattle or cattle-derived products from BSE-affected countries. As a result, the possibility of a significant and geographically diverse vCJD epidemic occurring over the next few decades cannot be dismissed. WHO has responded to this concern through its activities to promote global surveillance of CJD and by holding consultations on various issues related to TSEs and public health.
Key elements in the diagnosis of vCJD

The following section is organized to follow the new vCJD case definition presented in Annex I.

I. Suspect vCJD: clinical diagnosis

The clinical picture of vCJD is relatively distinct from that of the disease with which it is most likely to be confused – sCJD. The presenting symptoms are usually non-specific and predominantly psychiatric (anxiety, depression, brief delusions and sometimes withdrawal and progressive behavioural changes). Sensory symptoms (e.g. dysesthesiae in the limbs and/or face, sensations of cold, pain) may accompany the psychiatric illness or, as in 50% of cases, develop later. The predominant psychiatric picture may result in a delay between the onset of symptoms and diagnosis. However, other neurologic features, including cerebellar signs and involuntary movements (particularly myoclonus, chorea, dystonia) develop. Memory disturbances appear and, as with sCJD, the disorder progresses to severe cognitive impairment and immobility. As of May 2001 there is no curative treatment, and death remains inevitable.

Compared to sCJD, vCJD is characterized by a younger age of onset (mean 27 years, versus mean 66 years in sCJD) and by a longer duration of illness (median 13 months, range 6 – 39, versus 4.5 months in sCJD).

Clinical examination remains the first, essential step in the differential diagnosis of vCJD. Table 1 lists the outcome of 34 cases of suspected vCJD that were eventually, because of clinical features, seen to not be vCJD. It is noteworthy that nearly half of such cases improved and that others were found to be suffering from potentially treatable conditions. Therefore, possible differential diagnoses should always be kept in mind in order to avoid the risk of not correctly identifying another, treatable disease.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recovered</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative clinical diagnosis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12 deficiency</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible encephalitis lethargica</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticostriatonigral degeneration</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral vasculitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Outcome in suspect vCJD cases classified as clinically unlikely (n=34)
Table 2 lists the outcome of 30 cases initially suspected to have vCJD but reclassified as other diseases after neuropathology. The most common pathological differential diagnoses of vCJD are sCJD and Alzheimer’s disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-mortem neuropathology</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sporadic CJD</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encephalitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral vasculitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic encephalitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-system degeneration</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible metabolic disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-viral encephalopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive multifocal leuco-encephalopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal brain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopsy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral vasculitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporadic CJD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal brain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic testing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Outcome of neuropathology in cases suspected to have vCJD (n=30)

Countries with limited access to MRI, neuropathology and other investigative tools may not be able to categorize Probable or Definite vCJD cases. Therefore, the case definition allows for classification of suspected cases as Possible based on a constellation of symptoms, signs and the absence of an EEG pathognomonic for sCJD. However, in general, over 60% of suspect cases referred to the UK CJD Surveillance Unit for the investigation of vCJD are found not to have vCJD. The consultation considered ways of modifying the clinical criteria to increase specificity by including several additional clinical characteristics. Those countries seeking to restrict investigations to suspect cases with a higher likelihood of vCJD should consider the following:

1. **Age**

   All but nine vCJD cases identified to date have been under 40 years of age at the time of diagnosis. As conditions causing dementia (including sporadic CJD - see Figure 1) are relatively uncommon in this age group it would seem appropriate, particularly in countries with limited resources, to target surveillance to suspect cases less than 40 years old.
2. Duration of illness

All cases of vCJD have had an illness duration of six months or longer and the vast majority (>90%) died within two years of the onset of symptoms. Therefore, it would be appropriate to limit suspect cases to those with an illness duration that exceeds six months and is less than two years.

An inverse relation between duration of illness and age at onset is observed. Therefore, in older patients the relative short duration of illness can help distinguish CJD from other forms of dementia but it cannot discriminate between vCJD and sCJD.

3. Clinical symptoms

Appendix VIII shows the percentage of various clinical features in vCJD, sCJD and patients initially suspected to have vCJD but with an alternative final diagnosis. There is no single clinical characteristic that clearly distinguishes these groups, but certain features may be helpful discriminators. In particular, persistent sensory symptoms, early psychiatric symptoms, chorea and upgaze paresis are much more common in vCJD, whereas early forgetfulness and ataxia are relatively uncommon.

Most neurological symptoms develop later in the course of illness and the great majority of patients are referred to a neurologist within a few months. For this reason, cases with progressive neuropsychiatric disorder should be investigated and followed up. The longer evolution of vCJD requires repeated examinations in order to follow the development of new symptoms. vCJD cannot be diagnosed based on one single observation.

4. Family history

To exclude patients with hereditary neurodegenerative disorders, anyone suspected of vCJD should not have a family history of a similar condition. Therefore, an accurate family history is essential. Familial forms can be excluded by genetic testing for mutations of the PrP gene. If PrP gene analysis cannot be performed, blood for genetic investigations can be sent to the WHO reference centres listed in Annex VII.
5. Exclusion of sporadic CJD

Differentiation of sCJD from vCJD may be difficult as the clinical phenotype may overlap. Table 3 offers some criteria that may discriminate between vCJD and sCJD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Suggestive of vCJD</th>
<th>Suggestive of sCJD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric symptoms</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory disturbances</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rapid clinical progression</strong></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 40 years</td>
<td>&gt; 40 years$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of illness</strong></td>
<td>&gt;12 months</td>
<td>&lt; 6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Clinical criteria more suggestive of vCJD than sCJD

6. Exclusion of iatrogenic CJD

Exclusion of iatrogenic CJD (e.g. no exposure to human pituitary-derived products, not a recipient of dural or corneal grafts, exclusion of neurosurgical exposure) is required in every suspected case.

In summary, clinical criteria, if strictly applied, can suggest vCJD. These criteria are defined based on the observation of vCJD cases in the UK. It is notable that all vCJD cases tested to date are methionine homozygotes at codon 129 of the prion protein gene. For this reason the possibility of a different phenotype in different genetic subgroups remains. Furthermore, the possibility that genetic factors in other populations may influence the phenotype of human infection by the BSE agent cannot be dismissed (although it was noted that the clinical features of the vCJD cases from France and the Republic of Ireland did not appear to be different from the UK cases). Additionally, since the large majority of patients described to date are of a young age, it is not known if the disease will be clinically different in older age groups - although it was noted that the clinical features of a recent vCJD case in the UK who died at age 74 were similar to those of the other younger patients. Those exposed to BSE through different routes of exposure or some peculiarity in diet might also present with a distinct phenotype. Finally, because disease duration might influence the clinical features, anything affecting disease duration (i.e. age) could alter the clinical features.

The possibility of an alternative diagnosis must always be considered in order to avoid mistaking vCJD for other treatable diseases. At the time of the consultation, no therapeutic intervention altered the clinical course and therefore, sustained improvement (over a period of months) or recovery excludes the diagnosis of vCJD.

$^2$ Patients over 40 years of age with the symptoms of a human TSE are more likely to be sporadic CJD cases.
II. Probable vCJD

The role of EEG in the diagnosis of vCJD

A periodic EEG recording is considered one of the most characteristic findings in sCJD (see Annex VI). The presence of periodic sharp-wave complexes is reported to have a sensitivity of 67% and a specificity of 86% for sCJD.

However, the characteristic periodic changes seen in sCJD are not a feature of vCJD. In the 104 cases of vCJD described as of May 2001, no changes considered typical of sCJD were seen even if the EEG recording was performed late in the course of illness (i.e. over six months after the onset, over 66% of duration of illness and even within two days of death in one case).

EEG recordings in vCJD usually show non-specific slow-wave abnormalities but some patients have had normal tracings, even in the relatively later phases of the disease when clinical signs and cognitive impairment were present. However, a normal EEG is in keeping with the diagnosis of vCJD especially in the early stages of illness, while a periodic record suggests sCJD. Nevertheless, it is necessary to remember that even in sCJD the EEG can be non-diagnostic for CJD. An abnormal EEG can be of some help in differentiating between CJD and pure psychiatric disorders.

The role of 14-3-3 in the diagnosis of vCJD

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) studies are important in the investigation of patients with suspected vCJD. A raised total protein (typically 0.5 –1.0g/L, although higher values have been reported) may be seen in about one third of cases, however, this test is non-diagnostic for vCJD. CSF pleiocytosis or low glucose concentrations are not features of vCJD and are suggestive of an alternative diagnosis.

14-3-3 has been examined as a laboratory marker of CJD. 14-3-3 is a neuronal protein involved in cell signaling and is present in high concentrations within the central nervous system. It may be released into the CSF in a number of neurological and pathological conditions affecting neuronal integrity. Therefore, its presence in the CSF is not specific for CJD. However, in the appropriate clinical context, the detection of 14-3-3 in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) has a high degree of sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of sCJD. The accuracy of this test has led the World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Union’s Programme for the Surveillance of CJD to revise their clinical criteria for the diagnosis of sCJD to include a positive 14-3-3. In patients who have a progressive dementia of less than two years’ duration, a positive CSF test for 14-3-3 protein is considered to have a diagnostic weight equal to that of specific EEG findings in sCJD. Helpfully, the protein seems to be stable even at room temperature for prolonged periods and CSF samples can be easily sent by mail to reference centres for testing.

In the appropriate clinical context 14-3-3 has a high specificity in distinguishing vCJD and sCJD from other dementing illnesses, but in vCJD it is less sensitive than in sCJD. For example, in the UK CJD Surveillance Unit, the sensitivity of CSF 14-3-3 detection in the diagnosis of vCJD has been reported to be between 50-60% and its specificity appears to be up to 94% (Table 4).
Classification according to UK surveillance criteria & Number of samples positive 14-3-3 /total samples investigated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Number of samples positive 14-3-3 /total samples investigated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definite vCJD</td>
<td>28/53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable vCJD</td>
<td>2/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not CJD</td>
<td>3/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sensitivity</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specificity</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Sensitivity and specificity of 14-3-3 in vCJD in qualified clinical setting (i.e. patients referred to the CJD unit for assessment)

Consequently, the finding of a positive CSF 14-3-3 in a patient with clinical features of vCJD is supportive, but not absolutely confirmatory, of the diagnosis, while a negative result cannot be used to exclude it. At present, although 14-3-3 is potentially clinically useful, it is not part of the internationally accepted diagnostic clinical criteria for vCJD. There is evidence to suggest that a positive CSF 14-3-3 is more likely to be found in the mid-stage of the disease; however this finding should not be used to determine the timing of lumbar puncture as CSF 14-3-3 has been detected at all points in the disease process.

As there is no difference between the electrophoretic pattern of the CSF 14-3-3 found in vCJD and that found in sCJD (although lower concentrations tend to be found in vCJD) CSF 14-3-3 cannot be used to distinguish between the two forms. This is particularly relevant as sCJD is the main differential diagnosis of vCJD. Finally, in some other neurological diseases CSF may be positive for 14-3-3 protein.

The analysis of CSF 14-3-3 requires specialized technical and interpretative skills and it is strongly recommended that samples are sent to the centres listed in Annex VII for analysis.

At present no other brain-specific proteins found in the CSF (i.e. S100b, NSE) should be used in the routine diagnostic investigation of patients with vCJD. However CSF tau protein may be useful and current studies are under way evaluating its diagnostic value.

The role of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the diagnosis of vCJD

A characteristic distribution of symmetrical hyperintensity (relative to the cortical and other deep grey matter nuclei signal intensity) of the pulvinar nucleus (posterior nucleus) of the thalamus is seen on axial images in the majority of patients with confirmed vCJD. These changes have been named the ‘pulvinar sign’ and this sign is 90% sensitive for the diagnosis of vCJD. In the appropriate group of patients, (i.e. those with clinical features consistent with vCJD), the specificity of the pulvinar sign is over 95%. The pulvinar sign is currently the best non-invasive in vivo diagnostic test of vCJD.

The changes of the pulvinar sign are best seen on axial images but may be seen in other planes. The pulvinar sign of vCJD has been described on T2-weighted images, proton density-weighted images, FLAIR and Diffusion Weighted Images (DWI), but not T1-
weighted images. Contrast-enhancement is not a feature. To date, the changes have been most consistently seen on proton density and FLAIR images.

High signal in the pulvinar has been described in one single case report of benign intracranial hypertension, cat-scratch disease, Alpers’ syndrome and post-infectious encephalitis, but the clinical and/or radiological features of these cases are clearly distinguishable from vCJD.

The ‘pulvinar sign’ is consistent with a diagnosis of Probable vCJD in the appropriate clinical context and can reliably distinguish vCJD from sCJD. Although other conditions may cause thalamic and other grey matter hyperintensity, in vCJD the highest signal is always in the pulvinar of the thalamus, and this is an important distinguishing feature.

Characteristic hyperintensity changes are seen in other grey matter structures in vCJD. High signal changes are seen in the dorsomedial nuclei of the thalamus in 56% of cases, and also less often in the periaqueductal grey matter and in the white matter.

Additionally, cerebral atrophy is seen in only a minority of patients with vCJD even with advanced disease, in contrast to the diffuse atrophy seen in sCJD.

One of the most important clinical differential diagnoses of vCJD is sCJD. In sCJD high signal is seen in the putamen and caudate nuclei in two-thirds of cases. High signal sometimes may be seen also in the thalamus in sCJD, but the signal intensity of the pulvinar is always less than the signal intensity of the putamen in sCJD.

The consultation recommended that when undertaking brain imaging for suspect cases of vCJD, the study should include T2-weighted, proton density-weighted, FLAIR and, where available, DWI sequences in the axial (anterior commissure-posterior commissure) plane to demonstrate the changes to best advantage.

Although the pulvinar sign is commonly present in vCJD, it is often overlooked during primary reporting, and has also been over-reported by clinicians with limited experience of the MRI changes of vCJD. Therefore it is recommended that MRI scans of suspected cases should be reviewed at a recognized reference centre (see Annex VII). Digital archiving of all images is recommended also to allow retrospective analysis in difficult cases.

The role of tonsil biopsy and lymphoreticular tissues in the diagnosis of vCJD

Although the precise role of lymphoreticular tissues in the pathogenesis of TSEs remains unclear, the pre-clinical involvement of various lymphoid organs has long been recognized. The diagnostic implications of this feature have been investigated in vCJD, sporadic, familial and iatrogenic CJD.

Tonsillar Biopsy

Positive results were first demonstrated in tonsil tissue taken at necropsy from a neuropathologically confirmed vCJD patient. A subsequent analysis of various lymphoid tissues, including palatine tonsil obtained at necropsy did not test positive for PrPSc in a
small number of patients with sCJD or Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker disease. In a study using post-mortem tonsillar tissue, all nine of the vCJD cases tested showed the presence of PrPSc, but none of 16 sporadic sCJD cases nor the single iatrogenic CJD case. Table 5 shows the results of ante-mortem tonsil biopsies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive Tonsil Biopsy</th>
<th>Negative Tonsil Biopsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definite vCJD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable vCJD*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible* or suspected vCJD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 familial CJD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 sporadic CJD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically unlikely CJD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 5. Ante-mortem tonsil biopsy results

The reason tonsillar tissue contains PrPSc positivity in vCJD but not in other forms of CJD is unknown, but presumably reflects either a property of the agent strain in humans or the peripheral route of infection.

The above results suggested that ante-mortem tonsil biopsy could be used as a diagnostic test in patients suspected to have vCJD and a positive result has now been incorporated into the criteria produced by the UK authorities for a Probable case of vCJD. However, the use of this procedure in patients suspected to have vCJD is controversial and concern has been expressed regarding its potential morbidity (e.g. bleeding, infection and the risk of general anaesthesia). Unlike MRI, tonsil biopsy cannot provide specific information on any of the differential diagnostic possibilities for vCJD. Data to exclude the possibility of a false negative or false positive tonsil biopsy should be sought in future publications. Expertise is required in the accurate assessment of lymphoreticular tissues to diagnose vCJD and it is suggested that such samples should be sent to one of the reference centres listed in Appendix VII for review. On the basis of the published data, tonsil biopsy cannot be recommended as a routine diagnostic procedure in the investigation of vCJD, and it is not recommended as a screening procedure, not least because most suspect cases will not have vCJD. For suspect cases without bilateral pulvinar high signal on MRI, tonsil biopsy has a role in the classification of Probable but not Definite vCJD.

Lymphoreticular tissues

Other lymphoreticular tissues of vCJD patients contain PrPSc, with deposition in the germinal centres and apparently involving follicular dendritic cells and macrophages. Germinal centre involvement occurs in all lymphoid tissues, but is most evident in the tonsil and spleen. All adequate samples of tonsil, spleen and lymph node taken from confirmed
vCJD cases have tested positive for PrPSc whereas negative results have been obtained in those sCJD and iatrogenic cases studied.

Consequently immunocytochemistry of lymphoreticular tissue samples can be used to diagnose Probable vCJD. Various lymphoreticular tissues can be utilized, but tonsils are by far the best candidate due to the large number of germinal centres. If tonsils are not available, the next best candidate is spleen tissue; if spleen is unavailable, then peripheral lymph nodes can be sampled in which case, multiple sampling is necessary in order to increase the chances of detecting PrP. In this situation an extremely careful examination is required, since the germinal centres of lymph nodes are small. Some additional frozen material should always be saved for Western blot examination; a Western blot negative for PrP will be useful to confirm a negative immunohistochemistry finding, and can also rule out a false positive due to incomplete digestion of PrPSc. In countries where post-mortem examination is not accepted or cannot be performed, post-mortem needle biopsy of the spleen, which is not disfiguring, is suggested.

It is to be emphasized that a lymphoid tissue positive for PrP together with the characteristic clinical symptoms and signs can only support a diagnosis of Probable vCJD. The finding of negative lymphatic tissues cannot exclude the diagnosis of vCJD, but if multiple large samples are all negative, then the likelihood of vCJD is reduced. As stated earlier, lymphoreticular samples should be sent for confirmation to the reference centres listed in Annex VII.

III. Definite vCJD

The role of neuropathology in the diagnosis of vCJD

All forms of CJD display the characteristic neuropathological findings of spongiform lesions, reactive astrocytosis and neuronal loss. The pathological form of the prion protein (PrPSc) accumulates in infected brains and in some cases (kuru, Gerstmann-Straüssler-Scheinker, 10% of familial CJD and always in vCJD) forms 'amyloid' plaques that are birefringent when stained with Congo red.

The neuropathology of vCJD is significantly different from sCJD. In particular, a large number of PrP amyloid plaques surrounded by a halo of spongiform change (‘florid plaques’) are seen, particularly in the cerebral and cerebellar cortical grey matter. The ‘florid plaques’ are not specific for vCJD but their widespread distribution is characteristic of the disease.

In addition to amyloid plaques, vCJD displays some other neuropathological features that make it distinguishable from other human prion diseases. For a detailed description see Annex V.

The extent and intensity of pathological features increase as the disease progresses and therefore, the pathological characteristics become clearly evident in patients with a disease of long duration. Posterior thalamic astrocytosis is more extensive in vCJD than in other forms of the disease (i.e. the total number of astrocytes is higher in vCJD than in sCJD or Fatal Familial Insomnia). This severe astrocytosis is accompanied by marked neuronal loss in vCJD, and the anatomical distribution of these changes correlates with the abnormal hyperintensity on MRI.
Other neural tissues, including the trigeminal ganglia, dorsal root ganglia and retina are also positive for PrPSc.

According to the case definition for vCJD, neuropathological examination remains essential for the diagnosis of Definite vCJD. (Neuropathological criteria are described in Annex V).

Considering the extremely serious implications of a diagnosis of vCJD in countries not known to have the disease, neuropathology is recommended in such situations. A Definite diagnosis of vCJD requires neuropathological confirmation, and furthermore, it was recommended that sample materials (frozen and fixed brain) are sent to one of the reference centres listed in Annex VII. This will reduce the risk of a false diagnosis3 due to possible technical difficulties from performing the test infrequently.

**Suggested approaches to post-mortem examination**

In countries where post-mortem examination is not accepted or cannot be performed, post-mortem biopsy can be used as an alternative, but in this case multiple sampling (4 to 5 large pieces) from different brain regions is required in order to perform an adequate histopathological evaluation. Nevertheless, in cases where only brain biopsy is available, a negative result does not exclude the diagnosis of vCJD.

It is emphasized that the only rationale for a brain biopsy in life remains the diagnosis of another treatable disease; brain biopsy in a living person is not recommended for the diagnosis of vCJD or sCJD. A hierarchy for histopathologic diagnosis of vCJD is provided in Table 6.

1. Full autopsy with fixed and frozen tissue (for Western blot examination) retained from Central Nervous System (CNS), lymphoid and other tissues
2. Limited autopsy with fixed and frozen tissue retained from CNS tissues
3. Limited autopsy with fixed CNS tissue only
4. Brain biopsy with fixed and frozen tissue retained
5. Brain biopsy with fixed tissue only
6. Lymphoreticular tissue ‘biopsy’ post-mortem with fixed and frozen tissue retained
7. Lymphoreticular tissue ‘biopsy’ post-mortem with fixed tissue only

**Table 6. Hierarchy for tissue diagnosis of vCJD**

**Western blot analysis of PrP isotype in the diagnosis of vCJD**

Distinct strains of naturally occurring sheep scrapie have been isolated in mice. To explain how a single polypeptide chain (PrPSc) can encode multiple disease phenotypes, it is necessary to assume that the protein itself can adopt different pathological conformations.

---

3 False negative results related to problems in PrPSc detection are possible, as well as false positive results related to PrPSc detection.
Recently, several human PrPSc types associated with different clinical phenotypes of CJD have been identified. The fragment size after limited proteolysis, and the ratio of the three PrP glycoforms (diglycosylated, monoglycosylate and unglycosylated PrP) visible after electrophoresis on polyacrilamide gel, is maintained after passage in transgenic mice expressing human PrP. According to these data, possible molecular classifications of CJD have been published, but the results are still preliminary and some discrepancies between different laboratories have been reported.

In extracts taken from the brain tissue of persons with vCJD, the ratio of glycosylated to non-glycosylated PrP differs from sCJD and is similar to experimentally transmitted BSE. Although the use of PrP strain typing in the differential diagnosis of sCJD and vCJD is promising, it was not recommended by this consultation as a routine marker in clinical settings.

**Handling of instruments used to diagnose vCJD**


In the past, sporadic CJD has been transmitted from person-to-person by different medical procedures including grafts/transplants of dura mater, treatment with human-derived pituitary hormone and contaminated neurosurgical instruments. This, together with the knowledge that PrPSc has been demonstrated in lymphoid tissues (spleen, tonsils, gut-associated lymphoid tissue including appendix, and lymph nodes) of patients with established vCJD, raise the possibility of transmission of the disease through cross-contamination by lymphoid tissues of pre-symptomatic patients. Therefore, in addition to the above guidelines, instruments used for lymphoid tissue biopsies in suspected vCJD cases must be handled as per the recommendations for the brain tissue in the WHO Infection Control Guideline. For economic reasons the instruments can be quarantined until the final results are available. If the diagnosis of a TSE is excluded, the instruments may be sterilized as per routine procedures and returned to circulation.
Annex I – Case definition for vCJD

I  A  Progressive neuropsychiatric disorder
   B  Duration of illness > 6 months
   C  Routine investigations do not suggest an alternative diagnosis
   D  No history of potential iatrogenic exposure
   E  No evidence of a familial form of TSE

II  A  Early psychiatric symptoms \(^1\)
    B  Persistent painful sensory symptoms \(^2\)
    C  Ataxia
    D  Myoclonus or chorea or dystonia
    E  Dementia

III A  EEG does not show the typical appearance of sporadic CJD \(^3\)
       (or no EEG performed)
    B  MRI brain scan shows bilateral symmetrical pulvinar high signal \(^4\)

IV  A  Positive tonsil biopsy \(^5\)

**DEFINITE:**  I A and neuropathological confirmation of vCJD \(^6\)

**PROBABLE:**  I and 4/5 of II and III A and III B

**OR**

I and IV A

**POSSIBLE:**  I and 4/5 of II and III A

---

\(^1\) depression, anxiety, apathy, withdrawal, delusions; see Annex VIII.
\(^2\) this includes both frank pain and/or dysesthesia.
\(^3\) generalized triphasic periodic complexes at approximately one per second; see Annex VI.
\(^4\) relative to the signal intensity of other deep grey matter nuclei and cortical grey matter.
\(^5\) tonsil biopsy is not recommended routinely, nor in cases with EEG appearances typical of sporadic CJD, but may be useful in suspect cases in which the clinical features are compatible with vCJD and where MRI does not show bilateral pulvinar high signal.
\(^6\) spongiform change and extensive PrP deposition with florid plaques, throughout the cerebrum and cerebellum, see Annex V.
Annex II – Agenda for the consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08.30</td>
<td>Introduction and selection of chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.45</td>
<td>Revision of the case definition for vCJD - experience in the United Kingdom</td>
<td>Professor Robert Will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.15</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>Neuropathology of vCJD</td>
<td>Professor James Ironside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.50</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>Use of the EEG to diagnose vCJD</td>
<td>Dr Richard Knight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30-11.00</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>14-3-3 to diagnose vCJD</td>
<td>Dr Alison Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>Scans (MRI) to diagnose vCJD</td>
<td>Dr Don Collie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>Tonsil biopsy to diagnose vCJD</td>
<td>Dr Martin Zeidler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30-13.30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>Working Group discussion of problems in diagnosis of vCJD in developing countries</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>Development of case definition</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30-15:00</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>Meeting adjourns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex III – List of scientific presentations to the consultation

Professor Robert Will
Revision of the case definition for vCJD - experience in the United Kingdom

Professor James Ironside
Neuropathology of vCJD

Dr Richard Knight
Use of the EEG to diagnose vCJD

Dr Alison Green
14-3-3 to diagnose vCJD

Dr Don Collie
Scans (MRI) to diagnose vCJD

Dr Martin Zeidler
Tonsil biopsy to diagnose vCJD
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Annex V - Pathological characteristics of vCJD

The pathological aspects typical of TSE (spongiosis, neuronal loss, reactive astrocytosis and the accumulation in the affected brain of PrP$^{Sc}$) are present in vCJD as well.

However, the following key features are characteristic of vCJD:

1. Abundant kuru-type fibrillary PrP plaques, often surrounded by a halo of spongiform change (the “florid” plaque).
2. Multiple small PrP plaques occurring in cluster within the cerebral and cerebellar cortex, not related to spongiform changes.
3. Amorphous PrP deposits around neurons and blood vessels in the cerebral and cerebellar cortex.

Immunocytochemistry for PrP is an invaluable aid to diagnosis, although the large fibrillary plaques are easily visualized by haematoxylin/eosin stain. Plaques can also be identified by periodic acid/Schiff or Gallyas silver stains, but the amorphous PrP deposits are best visualized by immunocytochemistry.

Additional neuropathologic characteristics are:

1. Spongiform change most prominent in the basal ganglia, with dense perineuronal and periaxonal PrP deposition.
2. Severe thalamic astrocytosis and neuronal loss, particularly involving the dorsomedial and posterior nuclei (including the pulvinar).
3. Massive accumulation of PrP, often with focal distribution, in the cerebellar cortex including the molecular and granular layer with occasional plaques in the white matter.
4. Punctate neuronal staining for PrP in the pontine nuclei.
Annex VI - EEG criteria for sporadic CJD

- Pseudo periodic activity
  - Variability of intercomplex intervals is <500ms
  - Continuous periodic activity for at least one 10 second period.
- Bi- or tri- phasic morphology of periodic complexes.
- Duration of majority of complexes ranges from 100 to 600 ms.
- Periodic complexes can be generalized or lateralized, but not regional or asynchronous.
Annex VII – List of reference centres

The following centres have kindly agreed to perform tests on suspected vCJD cases if required. Please contact the named person for more information before sending samples.

For clinical evaluation or any diagnostic test

Professor Robert G. Will, National CJD Disease Surveillance Unit, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.

Dr Richard Knight, National CJD Surveillance Unit, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.

Professor John Collinge, MRC Prion Unit, The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square, GB-London WC1N 3BG, United Kingdom.

Professor Colin Masters, Department of Pathology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia.

For MRI evaluation

Dr Donald Collie, Department of Neuroradiology, D.C.N., Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, South Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom

For pathology and Western blot

Professor James Ironside, National CJD Surveillance Unit, University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.

Professor Herbert Budka, Institute of Neurology, University of Vienna, AKH 4J, POB 48, A-1097 Vienna, Austria

Professor Nicolas Kopp, Neuropathologie, Hopital Neurologique, 59 Boul Pinel, 69003 Lyon, France.

Professor Pierluigi Gambetti, Institute of Pathology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, 10900 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, OH 44106, USA.

For 14-3-3 detection

Dr Alison JE Green, National CJD Surveillance Unit, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, United Kingdom.

Dr Inga Zerr, Prionforschungsgruppe, Neurologische Klinik und Poliklinik, POB 37 42, Rotert-Koch-Strs.40, 370 75 Gottingen, Germany.
### Annex VIII - Clinical features during illness and at onset, of variant CJD, sporadic CJD and suspect vCJD cases with an alternative final diagnosis (non-cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>vCJD</th>
<th>SCJD</th>
<th>Non-cases*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric symptoms</td>
<td>98 (69)</td>
<td>55 (40)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory disturbance</td>
<td>70 (19)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxia</td>
<td>100 (9)</td>
<td>85 (40)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgetfulness</td>
<td>85 (17)</td>
<td>&gt;95 (50)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involuntary movements</td>
<td>100 (5)</td>
<td>90 (15)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoclonus</td>
<td>70 (0)</td>
<td>80 (&lt;2)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorea</td>
<td>55 (0)</td>
<td>10 (1)</td>
<td>25†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystonia</td>
<td>30 (4)</td>
<td>15 (0)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgaze paresis</td>
<td>36 (0)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>100 (0)</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinetic mutism</td>
<td>51 (0)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentage of patients with the feature during the illness course and at onset in parenthesis.

*Suspect vCJD cases with an alternative final diagnosis (n=27)

†Includes patients described as fidgety.
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This document provides the newly adopted definition for variant Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease (vCJD), the form of human prion disease linked to the epidemic of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle. The document is based upon the scientific presentations and discussions of a WHO consultation held in Edinburgh UK, on 17 May 2001.

In issuing this document, WHO aims to help public health departments and clinicians, particularly neurologists, in the surveillance and diagnosis of this new disease. The organization of the document reflects the clinical hierarchy used in the diagnosis of human diseases - symptoms, signs found in clinical examination, and clinical investigations of increasing invasiveness ending in neuropathology. The addition of each successive class of information increases the likelihood that the correct diagnosis is being made, as seen in the revised case definition.

In order to help countries with limited resources, the revised case definition provides specific information to allow for the classification of suspected cases as Possible vCJD. To achieve this aim, clinical characteristics that are described in the document should be considered.

Annexes to the document include the case definition, location of reference centres to assist in the diagnosis of CJD, a bibliography and technical information regarding specific diagnostic procedures such as CSF testing, MRI, tonsil biopsy, EEG and pathology.