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FOREWORD

Concise International Chemical Assessment Documents (CICADs) are published by the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) — a cooperative programme of the World Health Organization (WHO), the International Labour Organization (ILO), and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). CICADs have been developed from the Environmental Health Criteria documents (EHCs), more than 200 of which have been published since 1976 as authoritative documents on the risk assessment of chemicals.

International Chemical Safety Cards on the relevant chemical(s) are attached at the end of the CICAD, to provide the reader with concise information on the protection of human health and on emergency action. They are produced in a separate peer-reviewed procedure at IPCS. They may be complemented by information from IPCS Poison Information Monographs (PIM), similarly produced separately from the CICAD process.

CICADs are concise documents that provide summaries of the relevant scientific information concerning the potential effects of chemicals upon human health and/or the environment. They are usually based on selected national or regional evaluation documents or on existing EHCs. Before acceptance for publication as CICADs by IPCS, these documents undergo extensive peer review by internationally selected experts to ensure their completeness, accuracy in the way in which the original data are represented, and the validity of the conclusions drawn.

The primary objective of CICADs is characterization of hazard and dose–response from exposure to a chemical. CICADs are not a summary of all available data on a particular chemical; rather, they include only that information considered critical for characterization of the risk posed by the chemical. The critical studies are, however, presented in sufficient detail to support the conclusions drawn. For additional information, the reader should consult the identified source documents upon which the CICAD has been based.

Risks to human health and the environment will vary considerably depending upon the type and extent of exposure. Responsible authorities are strongly encouraged to characterize risk on the basis of locally measured or predicted exposure scenarios. To assist the reader, examples of exposure estimation and risk characterization are provided in CICADs, whenever possible. These examples cannot be considered as representing all possible exposure situations, but are provided as guidance only. The reader is referred to EHC 170.1

While every effort is made to ensure that CICADs represent the current status of knowledge, new information is being developed constantly. Unless otherwise stated, CICADs are based on a search of the scientific literature to the date shown in the executive summary. In the event that a reader becomes aware of new information that would change the conclusions drawn in a CICAD, the reader is requested to contact IPCS to inform it of the new information.

Procedures

The flow chart on page 2 shows the procedures followed to produce a CICAD. These procedures are designed to take advantage of the expertise that exists around the world — expertise that is required to produce the high-quality evaluations of toxicological, exposure, and other data that are necessary for assessing risks to human health and/or the environment. The IPCS Risk Assessment Steering Group advises the Coordinator, IPCS, on the selection of chemicals for an IPCS risk assessment based on the following criteria:

- there is the probability of exposure; and/or
- there is significant toxicity/ecotoxicity.

Thus, it is typical of a priority chemical that:

- it is of transboundary concern;
- it is of concern to a range of countries (developed, developing, and those with economies in transition) for possible risk management;
- there is significant international trade;
- it has high production volume;
- it has dispersive use.

The Steering Group will also advise IPCS on the appropriate form of the document (i.e. a standard CICAD or a de novo CICAD) and which institution bears the responsibility of the document production, as well as on the type and extent of the international peer review.

The first draft is usually based on an existing national, regional, or international review. When no appropriate source document is available, a CICAD may be produced de novo. Authors of the first draft are usually, but not necessarily, from the institution that developed the original review. A standard outline has been developed to encourage consistency in form. The

**CICAD PREPARATION FLOW CHART**

- Selection of priority chemical, author institution, and agreement on CICAD format
  
  ↓

- Preparation of first draft
  
  ↓

- Primary acceptance review by IPCS and revisions as necessary
  
  ↓

- Selection of review process
  
  ↓

- Peer review
  
  ↓

- Review of the comments and revision of the document
  
  ↓

- Final Review Board: Verification of revisions due to peer review comments, revision, and approval of the document
  
  ↓

- Editing Approval by Coordinator, IPCS
  
  ↓

- Publication of CICAD on web and as printed text

---

**Advice from Risk Assessment Steering Group**

Criteria of priority:

- there is the probability of exposure; and/or
- there is significant toxicity/ecotoxicity.

Thus, it is typical of a priority chemical that:

- it is of transboundary concern;
- it is of concern to a range of countries (developed, developing, and those with economies in transition) for possible risk management;
- there is significant international trade;
- the production volume is high;
- the use is dispersive.

Special emphasis is placed on avoiding duplication of effort by WHO and other international organizations.

A usual prerequisite of the production of a CICAD is the availability of a recent high-quality national/regional risk assessment document = source document. The source document and the CICAD may be produced in parallel. If the source document does not contain an environmental section, this may be produced de novo, provided it is not controversial. If no source document is available, IPCS may produce a de novo risk assessment document if the cost is justified.

Depending on the complexity and extent of controversy of the issues involved, the steering group may advise on different levels of peer review:

- standard IPCS Contact Points;
- above + specialized experts;
- above + consultative group.
first draft undergoes primary review by IPCS to ensure that it meets the specified criteria for CICADs.

The second stage involves international peer review by scientists known for their particular expertise and by scientists selected from an international roster compiled by IPCS through recommendations from IPCS national Contact Points and from IPCS Participating Institutions. Adequate time is allowed for the selected experts to undertake a thorough review. Authors are required to take reviewers’ comments into account and revise their draft, if necessary. The resulting second draft is submitted to a Final Review Board together with the reviewers’ comments. At any stage in the international review process, a consultative group may be necessary to address specific areas of the science. When a CICAD is prepared de novo, a consultative group is normally convened.

The CICAD Final Review Board has several important functions:

- to ensure that each CICAD has been subjected to an appropriate and thorough peer review;
- to verify that the peer reviewers’ comments have been addressed appropriately;
- to provide guidance to those responsible for the preparation of CICADs on how to resolve any remaining issues if, in the opinion of the Board, the author has not adequately addressed all comments of the reviewers; and
- to approve CICADs as international assessments.

Board members serve in their personal capacity, not as representatives of any organization, government, or industry. They are selected because of their expertise in human and environmental toxicology or because of their experience in the regulation of chemicals. Boards are chosen according to the range of expertise required for a meeting and the need for balanced geographic representation.

Board members, authors, reviewers, consultants, and advisers who participate in the preparation of a CICAD are required to declare any real or potential conflict of interest in relation to the subjects under discussion at any stage of the process. Representatives of nongovernmental organizations may be invited to observe the proceedings of the Final Review Board. Observers may participate in Board discussions only at the invitation of the Chairperson, and they may not participate in the final decision-making process.
Cobalt (atomic number 27) is a naturally occurring element with one stable isotope (59Co) and 26 known radioactive isotopes. There are three valence states of cobalt (0, +2, and +3). Because cobalt may occur as a radioactive isotope, it can produce ionizing radiation. This document focuses primarily on stable cobalt. The reader should consult other sources, such as ATSDR (2004), for information on the effects of ionizing radiation from radioactive cobalt isotopes.

Cobalt (CAS No. 7440-48-4) is a silvery grey solid at room temperature. It is the 33rd most abundant element and has been found in a variety of media, including air, surface water, leachate from hazardous waste sites, groundwater, soil, and sediment. Sources of exposure to cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds are both natural and anthropogenic. Natural sources include wind-blown dust, seawater spray, volcanoes, forest fires, and continental and marine biogenic emissions. Anthropogenic sources include the burning of fossil fuels, sewage sludge, phosphate fertilizers, mining and smelting of cobalt ores, processing of cobalt alloys, and industries that use or process cobalt compounds.

Cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds are non-volatile and released into the atmosphere in particulate form. Anthropogenic cobalt from combustion sources is assumed to be primarily in the form of oxides. Sulfide and arsine oxide forms are also released into the atmosphere during ore extraction and refining processes.

Cobalt released into the atmosphere is deposited on soil, and cobalt released to water may sorb to particles and settle into sediment or sorb directly to sediment. The distribution coefficient of cobalt (e.g. from water to sediment) varies due to pH, redox conditions, ionic strength, and dissolved organic matter concentrations. Factors affecting the speciation and fate of cobalt in water, sediments, and soil include organic ligands such as humic acids, anions, pH, and redox potential. The soil mobility of cobalt is inversely related to the strength of adsorption by soil constituents. Although plants may take up cobalt from the soil, the translocation of cobalt from the roots to other parts of the plant is not significant.

Measured atmospheric concentrations of cobalt are about 1 ng/m³ or less in non-source areas and generally less than 10 ng/m³ in source areas, although higher concentrations in source areas have been reported. Surface water and groundwater concentrations of cobalt are low, below 1 µg/l in pristine areas and 1–10 µg/l in populated areas. Surface water and groundwater concentrations can be much higher in mining and agricultural areas — as much as several hundred milligrams per litre. Mean cobalt concentrations in seawater have been reported to be less than 1 µg/l. Cobalt concentrations in drinking-water are generally <1–2 µg/l. In rainwater, mean concentrations are 0.3–1.7 µg/l. The earth’s crust contains an average cobalt concentration of 20–25 mg/kg. Near some anthropogenic sources, the concentration of cobalt in soil may be several hundred milligrams per kilogram.

The largest source of exposure to cobalt for the general population is the food supply. The estimated intake from food is 5–40 µg/day, most of which is inorganic cobalt. Occupational exposure to cobalt occurs in several industries. Levels of cobalt in tobacco range from <0.3 to 2.3 µg/g dry weight, and approximately 0.5% of this cobalt is present in mainstream smoke. Cobalt concentrations in coal, crude oil, fuel oil, and gasoline in the United States were found to be 5 mg/kg, 0.001–10 mg/kg, 0.03–0.3 mg/kg, and <0.1 mg/kg, respectively.

Inhalation of cobalt particles results in deposition in the upper and lower respiratory tract, where they can be retained or absorbed into the blood after dissolution or mechanically transferred to the gastrointestinal tract by mucociliary action and swallowing. Approximately 50% of the cobalt that enters the gastrointestinal tract will be absorbed. Cobalt absorption is increased among individuals who are iron deficient. Water-soluble forms are

---

1 For a list of acronyms and abbreviations used in this report, please refer to Appendix 1.
better absorbed than insoluble forms. Cobalt is essential as a component of vitamin B₁₂; therefore, it is found in most tissues. Total body burden is estimated as 1.1–1.5 mg, with 0.11 mg in the liver. After inhalation exposure, higher levels of cobalt have been found in the lung. No studies describe the distribution of cobalt in humans following ingestion, but animal studies indicate that cobalt is retained primarily in the liver. In a controlled human aerosol exposure study, 40% of the initial lung burden of cobalt oxide was retained at 6 months after exposure. Urinary excretion increases with time following inhalation exposure. Particle size affects elimination of inhaled cobalt, since more cobalt is mechanically cleared to the gastrointestinal tract when particles are larger. Faecal elimination is the primary route of excretion following oral exposure in humans.

The inhalation LC₅₀ for cobalt hydrocarbonyl in rats was found to be 165 mg/m³ for a 30-min exposure. Oral LD₅₀ for soluble cobalt compounds have been reported to range from 42.4 to 317 mg/kg body weight, depending on the compound and species tested. Tricobalt tetroxide, an insoluble cobalt compound, is reported to have an LD₅₀ of 3672 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight in rats.

Rats and mice exposed short term (16 days) to cobalt sulfate by inhalation at cobalt concentrations of 19 mg/m³ and 1.9 mg/m³, respectively, exhibited necrosis and inflammation of the respiratory tract epithelium. Rats also developed thymus necrosis and testicular atrophy. Male rats exposed orally to cobalt chloride at a cobalt concentration of 12.4 mg/kg body weight per day for 3 weeks exhibited cardiac damage. Rats, rabbits, and mice exposed by inhalation to cobalt compounds at concentrations of ≥0.3 mg/m³ (cobalt concentrations of ≥0.11 mg/m³) for 3–4 months exhibited lesions of the respiratory tract. Rats exposed for 2–3 months to cobalt sulfate in the diet or to cobalt chloride in the drinking-water at cobalt doses of 26–30.2 mg/kg body weight per day for 3 weeks exhibited heart damage. Rats exposed to cobalt sulfate at a cobalt dose of 8.4 mg/kg body weight per day in the diet for 24 weeks had significant reductions in heart enzyme activity levels. Rats exposed to cobalt chloride for 4–5 months at cobalt doses of 10–18 mg/kg body weight per day exhibited kidney damage.

Hamsters exposed by inhalation to cobalt oxide for a lifetime developed emphysema. Mice and rats exposed to cobalt sulfate by inhalation for 105 weeks developed lung tumours in a dose-related manner. Cobalt (as cobalt metal powder) produces tumours such as sarcomas in rats when injected intramuscularly.

Many cobalt compounds are genotoxic in mammals and in mammalian and bacterial test systems. Cobalt(III) compounds are positive in bacterial test systems.

Cobalt(II) compounds were positive for genetic conversions in Saccharomyces cerevisiae but otherwise demonstrated little genotoxic activity.

Cobalt has been found to have reproductive and developmental effects in animals. Rats exposed to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 13.3–58.9 mg/kg body weight per day for 2–3 months and mice exposed to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 43.4 mg/kg body weight per day for 13 weeks exhibited testicular degeneration and atrophy. Male mice exposed to cobalt chloride at doses of 46.9 or 93.0 mg/kg body weight per day and mated with unexposed female mice displayed decreased epididymal weight, sperm count, testes weight, and fertility, as measured by the number of successful matings. In developmental studies, pregnant rats exposed to maternally toxic doses of cobalt chloride (5.4 or 21.8 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight per day) produced newborn pups with stunted growth and decreased survival, but no teratogenic effects were observed. Rats exposed to cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) at 7.6 mg/kg body weight per day had increased fetal resorption and an increased number of fetuses with retarded body weight.

Inhalation and dermal exposure to cobalt in humans can result in sensitization. Bronchial asthma has been described in workers exposed to various forms of cobalt.

Humans ingesting cobalt chloride at 150 mg/day for 22 days experienced polycythaemia and an increase in haemoglobin. Studies have also reported cardiomyopathy in humans who had consumed large quantities of beer that contained cobalt sulfate.

Interstitial lung disease caused by metallic cobalt-containing particles is an occupational lung disease generally referred to as hard metal lung disease.

Mortality studies of the hard metal industry suggest an increase in lung cancer mortality. Cobalt is used as a binder in this industry, and exposures to other compounds, including tungsten carbide and other metallic compounds, such as titanium carbide, tantalum carbide, and niobium carbide, also occur.

A cross-sectional study of diamond polishers exposed to cobalt was used to derive an inhalation tolerable concentration of 1 × 10⁻⁴ mg/m³ based on lung function decrement. The difference between the tolerable concentration and the cobalt concentrations found in the ambient air near anthropogenic sources is generally about 10-fold.

A 96-h EC₅₀ for cobalt based on growth of the freshwater green alga Chlorella vulgaris was reported as 0.6 mg/l, whereas EC₅₀ for aquatic vascular plants were 0.1 and 0.2 mg/l. The 5-day EC₅₀ for cobalt based on growth of the marine diatom Ditylum brightwellii was 0.3 mg/l.
For freshwater invertebrates, acute LC50 (24–96 h) range from 1.1 to 239 mg/l. Several studies on Daphnia magna reproduction were reported, with a 21-day EC50 at 0.01 mg/l and a 28-day NOEC of 0.003 mg/l; however, later studies found 21-day NOECs ranging from 0.03 to 0.05 mg/l for varying levels of calcium carbonate. The lowest reported NOEC for aquatic organisms was for the water flea Ceriodaphnia dubia in a 7-day test, at <0.003 mg/l. The most sensitive marine invertebrates were lobster larvae, with 96-h LC50 ranging from 4.5 to 22.7 mg/l. Ninety-six-hour LC50 for freshwater fish range from 1.4 to 333 mg/l. A 16-day NOEC based on survival was reported at 0.06 mg/l. Test results for marine fish suggest that at least the species tested are relatively insensitive to cobalt, with 96-h LC50 ranging from 52.5 to >1000 mg/l. Ca2+ competition and dissolved organic matter complexation were the most important factors preventing Co2+ from binding at the gills in natural water tests. However, the effect of Ca2+ ions on the uptake and potential toxicity of cobalt occurs at very low Ca2+ concentrations, probably lower than those used in any of the reported toxicity tests.

Moderate-reliability guidance values were determined for the marine environment at 20 µg/l (for the protection of 99% of marine species with 50% confidence) and for the freshwater environment at 8 µg/l (for the protection of 95% of freshwater species with 50% confidence). A comparison of the guidance values with environmental concentrations would suggest that effects are likely only in the vicinity of major anthropogenic releases. There is some evidence that under freshwater conditions of extremely low Ca2+ there is less competition for cobalt at fish gill binding sites and therefore greater uptake of cobalt. Therefore, the greatest risk to aquatic organisms might be in very soft water areas (where the Ca2+ ion concentration is extremely low) close to sources of anthropogenic release.

Data regarding the toxicity of cobalt to soil micro-organisms are limited. There is little evidence of cobalt toxicity to plants due to elevated concentrations in soil. Cobalt tolerance, along with tolerance to other metals, has been found in plant populations growing on soils high in particular metals. Exclusion of the metal has been demonstrated in the cobalt tolerance of some species, whereas others growing on cobalt-rich copper clearings are hyperaccumulators of cobalt. Adverse effects on earthworm growth and springtail reproduction have been reported at 300–400 mg/kg dry weight. In the terrestrial environment, adverse effects of cobalt on birds and wild mammals would appear unlikely, with cobalt deficiency in ruminants more likely than cobalt toxicity.

2. IDENTIFY AND PHYSICAL/CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Cobalt (CAS No. 7440-48-4) is a naturally occurring element (atomic number 27) in the first transition series of Group 9 of the periodic chart of elements. 59Co is the only stable isotope. There are 26 known radioactive isotopes, of which only 57Co and 60Co are commercially important.

Cobalt occurs in the 0, +2, and +3 valence states. Cobalt(II) is more stable than cobalt(III), which is a powerful oxidizing agent that can oxidize water and liberate oxygen. Metallic cobalt(0) occurs in two allotropic forms, hexagonal and cubic, which are stable at room temperature. Cobalt has a relative molecular mass of 58.93 and is a silvery grey solid at room temperature. Its melting point is 1493 °C. At room temperature (20 °C), the density of cobalt is 8.9 g/cm³. Cobalt is soluble in dilute acids, and ultrafine metal cobalt powder is soluble in water at 1.1 mg/l.

Selected chemical and physical properties of cobalt and several inorganic cobalt compounds are presented in Table 1, with further details contained in the International Chemical Safety Cards reproduced at the end of this document.

3. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Cobalt can be analysed in human biological samples, such as urine, blood, serum, and tissues. Analysis of cobalt in urine usually involves sample chelation and/or acid digestion, followed by GF-AAS (Heinrick & Angerer, 1984; Ichikawa et al., 1985; Bouman et al., 1986; Kimberly et al., 1987; Alexandersson, 1988; Sunderman et al., 1989; Templeton, 1996). Detection limits range from 0.1 to 2.4 µg/l. Analysis in whole blood can be done by GF-AAS, by acid digestion, chelation, preconcentration, and extraction followed by differential pulse cathodic stripping voltammetry, or by a colorimetric method (Heinrick & Angerer, 1984; Afeworki & Chandravanshi, 1987). GF-AAS and differential pulse cathodic stripping voltammetry have detection limits of 2 µg/l and 0.8 µg/l, respectively. The colorimetric method has a detection limit of 150 µg/l. Analysis of cobalt in serum also uses the GF-AAS method, with a detection limit of 0.02 µg/l (Sunderman et al., 1989). NIOSH method 8005 utilizes ICP-AES, with detection limits of 10 µg/l for blood and 0.2 µg/g for tissue (NIOSH, 1994b). ICP-MS is more widely available since the 1990s and is used for multi-elemental analysis of human blood, serum, and urine.
Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of selected cobalt compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>Relative molecular mass</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Melting point</th>
<th>Solubility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>7440-48-4</td>
<td>58.93</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1493 °C</td>
<td>Insoluble in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) acetate</td>
<td>71-48-7</td>
<td>177.03</td>
<td>Co(C₂H₄O₂)₂</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Soluble in water, 2.1 g/100 g methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate</td>
<td>6147-53-1</td>
<td>249.1</td>
<td>Co(C₂H₄O₂)₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>140 °C</td>
<td>Very soluble in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(III) acetate</td>
<td>917-69-1</td>
<td>236.07</td>
<td>Co(C₂H₄O₂)₃</td>
<td>Decomposes at 100 °C</td>
<td>Soluble in water, alcohol, acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) carbonate</td>
<td>513-79-1</td>
<td>118.95</td>
<td>CoCO₃</td>
<td>Decomposes</td>
<td>0.18 g/100 g water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt acetate</td>
<td>21041-93-0</td>
<td>92.95</td>
<td>Co(OH)₂</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0.0032 g/l water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) naphthenate</td>
<td>21158-51-0</td>
<td>621.2</td>
<td>C₃H₁₂CoN₄O₄</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Insoluble in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) nitrate</td>
<td>10141-05-6</td>
<td>182.96</td>
<td>Co(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Decomposes at 100–105 °C</td>
<td>Soluble in water (133.8 g/l), ethanol, acetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate</td>
<td>10026-22-9</td>
<td>291.03</td>
<td>Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td>133.8 g/100 ml water at 0 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) oxide</td>
<td>1307-96-6</td>
<td>74.93</td>
<td>CoO</td>
<td>1935 °C</td>
<td>Insoluble in water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(III) oxide</td>
<td>1308-04-9</td>
<td>165.86</td>
<td>Co₂O₃</td>
<td>Decomposes at 895 °C</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II,III) oxide</td>
<td>1308-06-1</td>
<td>250.80</td>
<td>Co₃O₄</td>
<td>~O₂ at 900–950 °C</td>
<td>Insoluble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) sulfate</td>
<td>10124-43-3</td>
<td>154.99</td>
<td>CoSO₄</td>
<td>Decomposes at 735 °C</td>
<td>36.2 g/100 ml water at 20 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt(II) sulfate heptahydrate</td>
<td>10026-24-1</td>
<td>281.1</td>
<td>CoSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>96.8 °C</td>
<td>60.4 g/100 ml water at 3 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt sulfide</td>
<td>1317-42-6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>&gt;1116 °C</td>
<td>Insoluble in water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental samples are analysed by atomic absorption spectrometry, instrumental neutron activation analysis, and mass spectrometry (USEPA, 1982, 1986; Haddad & Zikovskiy, 1985; Nojiri et al., 1985; Fishman et al., 1986; Hansson et al., 1988; Nakashima et al., 1988; NIOSH, 1994a). Using these methods, the detection limits for cobalt in air range from 0.17 to 0.5 µg/m³. A more recent NIOSH method for the analysis of cobalt in workplace air utilizes sample collection on cellulose or PVC membrane and analysis by ICP-AES; the limit of detection for a 2-m³ sample is 6 ng/m³ (NIOSH, 2003). Detection limits for cobalt in water range from 0.004 µg/l (from lake water using ICP-AES) to 0.05 mg/l (using flame atomic absorption spectrometry).

4. SOURCES OF HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE

Cobalt comprises 0.0025% of the weight of the earth’s crust and is the 33rd most abundant element (Smith & Carson, 1981; Merian, 1985; Abbasi et al., 1989). Cobalt does not occur naturally as a base metal, but is a component of more than 70 naturally occurring minerals, including various sulfides, arsenides, sulfosalts, hydrates, and oxides. The most common cobalt minerals are the arsenide Co₅As₃₂₋₃ (smeltite), the arsenosulfide Co₅AsS (cobaltine), and the sulfide Co₃S₄ (linneite) (IARC, 1991). Identified world cobalt resources are about 14 million tonnes. The vast majority of these resources are in nickel-bearing laterite deposits, with most of the rest occurring in nickel–copper sulfide deposits hosted in mafic and ultramafic rocks in Australia, Canada, and the Russian Federation and in the
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sedimentary copper deposits in Kinshasha, Democratic Republic of Congo, and Zambia (USGS, 2005). Significant resources of cobalt are also present in the deep-sea nodules and crusts that occur in the mid-Pacific Ocean and are estimated to contain anywhere from 2.5 to 10 million tonnes of cobalt (Cobalt Development Institute, undated). Encrustation deposits (“cobalt-rich crusts”) in shallow waters close to the Hawaiian Islands are believed to contain up to 2.5% cobalt and constitute an important potential source of cobalt (Cobalt Development Institute, 2004).

Sources of environmental cobalt are both natural and anthropogenic (Barceloux, 1999). Natural sources include erosion (wind-blown continental dusts), weathering of rocks and soil, seawater spray, volcanic, forest fires, extraction by plants, and continental and marine biogeneric emissions. The worldwide estimate for atmospheric cobalt emissions is 5350–6170 tonnes per year (Lantzy & Mackenzie, 1979; Nriagu, 1989). Cobalt compounds have been found to occur naturally in seawater, surface water, spring water, and groundwater (Smith & Carson, 1981).

Cobalt is normally associated with copper or nickel; mined ore often contains only 0.1% elemental cobalt. About 44% of world production of cobalt comes from nickel ores. Cobalt is extracted from the metals in the ore by both flotation (sulfide ores) and gravity (arsenide ores); roasting or acid leaching is necessary to concentrate the cobalt (Barceloux, 1999). Cobalt is also extracted from the ore and concentrated by pyrometallurgical, hydrometallurgical, and electrolytic processes alone or in combination (Donaldson et al., 1986). Cobalt is currently mined in 12 countries and refined in 23 countries. The global mine production of cobalt in 2003 totalled 46 900 tonnes, with the principal nine producing countries as follows (production in tonnes): Democratic Republic of Congo, 11 000; Zambia, 9000; Australia, 7000; Canada, 5200; Russian Federation, 4800; Cuba, 3400; New Caledonia, 1500; Brazil, 1300; Morocco, 1300; and other countries, 2400 (USGS, 2005). The approximate refined quantity of cobalt in 2004 was 43 000 tonnes, with the largest amounts (in tonnes) produced in Finland (8000), Zambia (6500), Canada, China, Russian Federation, and Norway (4500 each), Australia (3900), Belgium, Morocco, New Caledonia, and Democratic Republic of Congo (1200 each) (Cobalt Development Institute, 2004). A significant source of cobalt is the recycling of scrap metal. In 1998, an estimated 32% of cobalt supply in the United States was derived from scrap, and the ratio of cobalt derived from new scrap to that derived from old scrap was estimated to be 50:50. Of all the cobalt in old scrap available for recycling, an estimated 68% was either consumed in the United States or exported to be recycled (Shedd, 2004). In 2003, 2200 tonnes of cobalt were recycled in the United States (USGS, 2004).

In 2002, consumption of cobalt metal, organic and inorganic cobalt compounds, and cobalt scrap in the United States was 3870, 1270, and 2800 tonnes, respectively (Shedd, 2002). The use pattern (end use: tonnes) was as follows: superalloys: 3700; steel alloys: 555; other alloys including magnetic alloys: 1050; cemented carbides: 617; chemical and ceramic use: 1950; and miscellaneous: 63 (Shedd, 2002). Cobalt metal is used in alloys with iron, nickel, and other metals to make Alnico, an alloy of unusual magnetic strength; and in Stellite alloys, which contain cobalt, chromium, and tungsten and are used for high-speed, heavy-duty, high-temperature cutting tools (Cobalt Development Institute, 2004). Cobalt metal has three major uses in the petrochemical and plastic industries as both heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysts: (1) hydro-treating and desulfurization catalysts for oil and gas; these catalysts are typically 3–5% cobalt oxide (Co3O4), 14% manganese trioxide (MnO2), and the balance aluminium oxide (Al2O3); (2) mixed cobalt acetate/manganese–sodium bromide homogeneous catalyst for the production of terephthalic acid and dimethyl terephthalate; and (3) cobalt catalyst in the o xo synthesis (hydroformylation) for the production of alcohols and aldehydes for plastic and detergent production, employing freshly reduced cobalt metal, carbynols, or cobalt salts (transformed in situ to carbyon) (Cobalt Development Institute, undated b; USGS, 2005).

The major anthropogenic sources of environmental cobalt include mining and processing (smelting) of cobalt-bearing ores, the use of cobalt-containing sludge or phosphate fertilizers on soil, the disposal of cobalt-containing waste, and atmospheric deposition from activities such as the burning of fossil fuels and smelting and refining of metals (Smith & Carson, 1981). Cobalt-containing sewage sludge, phosphate fertilizers, processing of cobalt alloys, and industries that use or process cobalt compounds are estimated to emit an estimated 4000 tonnes per year of atmospheric cobalt (Lantzy & Mackenzie, 1979). More than 2000 tonnes of cobalt are released annually from mining and mineral processing in the United States, including 480 tonnes of cobalt in coal produced; losses generated during cobalt chemical and powder processing were estimated at 50–80 tonnes annually, whereas losses from alloy processing and manufacture of parts and products were estimated to be 360 tonnes and 120 tonnes, respectively (Donaldson, 1986; Donaldson et al., 1986; Shedd, 1993). The total environmental release of cobalt by industrial sources in the United States that was reportable to the Toxics Release Inventory for 2000 was approximately 228 400 kg, which included air release (16 150 kg), water release (1633 kg), and land release (210 600 kg). Additionally, the total off-site waste transfer of cobalt was 2 967 000 kg (USEPA, 2002).
5. ENVIRONMENTAL TRANSPORT, DISTRIBUTION, AND TRANSFORMATION

Cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds are non-volatile. Therefore, they are released into the atmosphere in particulate form. Atmospheric transport depends on particle size and density and meteorological conditions. Coarse particles with diameters >2 µm may deposit within 10 km from the point of emission, while smaller particles may travel longer distances. The mass median diameter of atmospheric cobalt was found to be 2.6 µm in one study (Milford & Davidson, 1985). Data on the transformations of cobalt in the atmosphere are limited. Anthropogenic cobalt from combustion processes is assumed to be primarily oxides (Schroeder et al., 1987). Arsenide and sulfide forms are also released into the atmosphere during ore extraction processes. It is unclear whether these forms of cobalt are transformed in the atmosphere. If oxides are transformed into more soluble species such as sulfates, then these may be washed out of the atmosphere in rain.

Ultimately, the final repository for cobalt is soil and sediment. Released into water, cobalt may sorb to particles and settle into sediment or sorb directly to sediment. Complexation of cobalt to dissolved organic substances can reduce sediment sorption (Albrecht, 2003). Interparticle migration of cobalt can affect the transport of metal ions in sediments (Jackman et al., 2001). In addition, cobalt can be transported in dissolved form or as suspended sediment by rivers and by sea and ocean currents. Concentration profiles of cobalt in deep water suggest that dissolved amounts decrease with increasing depth and that dissolved cobalt is precipitated in the adsorbed state with oxides of iron and manganese and with crystalline sediments such as aluminosilicate and goethite. In the deep sea, formation of manganese nodules removes cobalt by interaction with manganese oxide (MnO) (Barceloux, 1999). Polluted water with higher concentrations of organic pollutants may result in higher concentrations of soluble organic cobalt complexes (Nriagu & Coker, 1980; Glooschenko et al., 1981; Smith & Carson, 1981; Knauer et al., 1982; Brügmann, 1988; Finney & Huh, 1989; Windom et al., 1989; Shine et al., 1995; Szefer et al., 1996; Bargagli, 2000). Humic substances/humic acids are naturally present in aquatic environments and bind strongly to cobalt (Burba et al., 1994). Over time, these complexes may transform into stronger complexes where cobalt is less readily disassociated (Zhang et al., 1990).

The distribution coefficient of cobalt in water varies due to pH, redox conditions, ionic strength, and dissolved organic matter concentrations (Mahara & Kudo, 1981). For example, as pH is increased from 5 to 7.5, the uptake of $^{60}$Co from the water to sediment increased rapidly (Benes et al., 1989a, 1989b). Liquid-to-solids ratio and ionic strength did not affect $^{60}$Co uptake by sediment. $^{60}$Co has also been found to be more mobile in anaerobic aquatic environments than in aerobic freshwater environments (Mahara & Kudo, 1981). For example, in anaerobic seawater–sediment systems, $^{60}$Co was 250 times more mobile than in aerobic freshwater–sediment systems. In anaerobic conditions, 30% of $^{60}$Co added to a freshwater–sediment system was mobile, whereas in aerobic conditions, 98% was permanently fixed. In anaerobic seawater systems, mobile $^{60}$Co consisted of non-ionic forms associated with low molecular weight organic substances that were stable as pH changed. Mobile $^{60}$Co was mostly ionic.

Factors that affect the speciation and fate of cobalt in water and sediments include organic ligands such as humic acids and EDTA, anions such as Cl$^{-}$, OH$^{-}$, CO$_3^{2-}$, HCO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$, pH, and redox potential. The mole percentages of cobalt species in a Welsh lake were 76% free Co$^{2+}$, 9.8% CoCO$_3$, 9.6% CoHCO$_3^-$, 4.0% humate complexes, and 0.5% CoSO$_4$ based on stability constant data used in conjunction with the HALTAFALL program (Mantoura et al., 1978). Similarly, Smith & Carson (1981) reported the rank concentrations of cobalt species in fresh water as free Co$^{2+}$ > CoCO$_3$ > CoSO$_4$. In the Rhone River in France, where organic wastes are present in high levels, cobalt is almost completely complexed. The distribution of $^{60}$Co in the Rhone River at Arles, France, was 45% particulate phase, 30% dissolved phase, and 25% colloidal phase (Eyrolle & Charmasson, 2001). As pH decreases, adsorption of cobalt by particulate matter also decreases, since increasing H$^+$ concentrations compete with metal binding sites. Therefore, levels of dissolved cobalt will be increased at low pH (ATSDR, 2004). In a study of riverine, estuarine, and marine surface water in England, cobalt carbonate complexes (HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$) constituted 70% of dissolved cobalt, whereas free Co$^{2+}$ was a major species at 25% (Tipping et al., 1998). As water alkalinity increases, the proportion of cobalt carbonate complexes increases as free Co$^{2+}$ decreases. In seawater, the proportion of carbonate and free cobalt species is similar. Sulfate complexes are estimated to make up 20% of cobalt in seawater (Tipping et al., 1998). Smith & Carson (1981) estimated the rank concentrations of cobalt species in seawater to be CoCl$^-$ > free Co$^{2+}$ > CoCO$_3$ > CoSO$_4$ whereas Mantoura et al. (1978) reported the rank concentrations of cobalt species in seawater (35‰) as CoCO$_3$ > free Co$^{2+}$ > CoSO$_4$ > CoHCO$_3^-$ > CoCl$^-$ > CoOH$^-$. Redox potential can also affect speciation of cobalt. For example, the concentration of dissolved cobalt has been found to increase by several orders of magnitude with increasing depth in Baltic waters. This is because of the formation of soluble bisulfide and polysulfide complexes in anoxic zones (ATSDR, 2004).

Soil mobility of cobalt is inversely related to the strength of adsorption by soil constituents. The
adsorption of cobalt to soil occurs rapidly, within 1–2 h. Mineral oxides such as iron and manganese oxide, crystalline materials such as aluminosilicate and goethite, and organic substances can retain cobalt. Soil oxides adsorb larger levels of cobalt than do other materials. Clay minerals adsorb relatively smaller amounts of cobalt (McLaren et al., 1986). Desorption of cobalt from soil oxides is low, although humic acids and montmorillonite desorb substantial amounts. Adsorption in clay soils is most likely due to ion exchange at cationic sites of clay with simple ionic cobalt or hydrolysed ionic species such as CoOH\(^+\). Adsorption of cobalt with iron or manganese increases with pH (Brooks et al., 1998). As pH increases, insoluble hydroxides and carbonates may form that also reduce cobalt mobility. In contrast, adsorption to mobile colloids would enhance cobalt mobility. Typically, cobalt is more mobile than other metals, such as lead, chromium(II), zinc, and nickel, in soil, but less than than cadmium (Mahrma & Kudo, 1981; Smith & Carson, 1981; Baes & Sharp, 1983; King, 1988). The partition coefficient, \(K_D\), of cobalt ranged from 0.2 to 3800 l/kg in a wide variety of soils. In 36 Japanese agricultural soils, the mean \(K_D\) was 1840 l/kg (minimum 130 l/kg, maximum 104 000 l/kg, median 1735 l/kg) (Yasuda et al., 1995). Soil properties that exhibited the highest correlation with \(K_D\) were exchangeable calcium, pH, water content, and cation exchange capacity. The mean Freundlich adsorption constant, \(K_F\), and isotherm exponent, \(n\), values in 11 soils in the United States were 37 l/kg and 0.754, respectively (Buchter et al., 1989). The \(K_F\) values ranged from 2.6 to 363 l/kg and correlated with soil pH and cation exchange capacity. In another study, 13 soils from the southeastern United States had soil pH values that ranged from 3.9 to 6.5, and cobalt sorption ranged from 15% to 93% (King, 1988). Soil pH accounted for 84–95% of sorption variation.

Decontamination at nuclear facilities involves the use of organic complexing agents such as EDTA, which greatly enhances cobalt mobility in soil (Killey et al., 1984; Toste et al., 1984; McLaren et al., 1986). Cobalt has been found to leach from municipal and low-level radioactive waste sites (Czyczinski et al., 1982; Cyr et al., 1987; Friedman & Kelmers, 1988). In soils from two sites in Nevada, USA, cobalt was sorbed at >90% when the pH was above 7 and the solids concentration was 20 g/l (USDOE, 1996). Only under extreme conditions, such as pH ≤4 or high ionic strength soil (0.1 mol/l), would cobalt be capable of migrating.

Factors that affect cobalt speciation in soil and sediment include the nature of the soil and sediment, the concentration of chelating and complexing agents, pH, and redox potential. Dissolved cobalt may form complexes with fulvic acid, humic acid, or other organic ligands, or it may be absorbed by ion exchange mechanisms. However, humic and fulvic cobalt complexes are not as stable as those of copper, lead, iron, and nickel. Sediment from nine sites in the Red Sea was assessed for cobalt speciation using a sequential extraction technique: 5.5% exchangeable, 5% carbonate, 24% iron/manganese oxides, 30.4% organic, 13% sulfides, and 22% lithogenous (Hanna, 1992). The Red Sea is unique, since no permanent streams flow into it. Mean cobalt concentrations increased from 3 mg/kg in 1934 to 6 mg/kg in 1984, although the cobalt distribution was not altered. A reduction of soil redox potential may occur when soil is flooded or in deeper oxygen-depleted layers. This may result in the reduction of iron and manganese and the release of adsorbed cobalt from mineral oxides. A decrease in soil pH may also cause a solubilization of precipitated cobalt, desorption of cobalt, and an increase in cobalt mobility (Smith & Carson, 1981).

**60**Co is taken up by unicellular algae with reported concentration factors (dry weight) of 40 000 for Scene-desmus obliquus and 18 000 for Selenastrum capricornutum (Nacho et al., 1988; Corisco & Carreiro, 1999). Freshwater molluscs have concentration factors of 100–14 000 (~1–300 in soft tissue). Much of the cobalt taken up by molluscs and crustaceans from water or sediment is adsorbed to the shell or exoskeleton; very little cobalt is generally accumulated in the edible parts (Amiard & Amiard-Triquet, 1979; Smith & Carson, 1981). Similarly, in laboratory studies with *Daphnia magna*, adsorption to the exoskeleton was the major contamination process (Adam et al., 2001). In studies with starfish (*Asterias rubens*), accumulation of **57**Co was found to be predominately from seawater rather than from food (Warnau et al., 1999). Bioaccumulation factors for marine fish and freshwater fish are 100–4000 and <10–1000, respectively (Smith & Carson, 1981). However, accumulation is mostly in the viscera and skin of the fish, not the edible parts of the fish (Smith & Carson, 1981). In carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), accumulation from water accounted for 75% of **60**Co accumulated from both water and food; accumulation from water and food was additive (Baudin & Fritsch, 1989). Depuration half-lives were 53 and 87 days for fish contaminated from food and water, respectively (Baudin & Fritsch, 1989). Biomagnification of cobalt up the food-chain does not occur (Smith & Carson, 1981).
6. ENVIRONMENTAL LEVELS AND HUMAN EXPOSURE

6.1 Environmental levels

Atmospheric cobalt is associated with particulate matter principally to the extent to which particles of soil are dispersed by the wind. At unpolluted sites, mean cobalt levels are typically <1–2 ng/m³ (Smith & Carson, 1981; Hamilton, 1994). At the South Pole, the concentration of cobalt was 0.000 49 ± 0.000 15 ng/m³ in 1974–1975 (Maenhaut et al., 1979). In open-ocean environments, mean cobalt concentrations ranged from 0.0004 to 0.08 ng/m³ (Chester et al., 1991). As examples of cobalt concentrations in urban areas, the annual average cobalt concentration at Nahant, Massachusetts (near Boston), USA, in 1992–1993 was 1.7 ng/m³ (Golomb et al., 1997), whereas in Seville, Spain, during 1996 it was 0.5 ng/m³ (Espinosa et al., 2001). In southern Norway, the mean cobalt level was 0.10 ng/m³ in 1985–1986 (Amundsen et al., 1992). In source areas, cobalt concentrations may exceed 10 ng/m³. The highest average atmospheric cobalt concentration was recorded near a nickel refinery in Wales, at 48 ng/m³ (Smith & Carson, 1981).

Surface water and groundwater concentrations of stable cobalt are low: <1 µg/l in pristine areas and 1–10 µg/l in populated areas (Smith & Carson, 1981; Hamilton, 1994). In 1962–1967, cobalt was detected in 2.8% of 1577 raw surface waters in the United States, with a detection limit of 1 µg/l and a maximum level of 48 µg/l (NAS, 1977). United States Geological Survey data for 6805 ambient surface water stations reported mean and median cobalt levels of 2.9 and 2.0 µg/l, respectively (Eckel & Jacob, 1988). Mean dissolved cobalt concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1.1 µg/l were reported for rivers in the United Kingdom sampled between 1993 and 1998 (Neal et al., 1996, 1998, 2000). Water concentrations can be much higher in mining and agricultural areas. For example, surface water and groundwater samples collected near the Blackbird Mine in Idaho, USA, where lead and silver mining was conducted from the 1880s to 1982, exhibited cobalt concentrations that ranged from <1 to 625 000 µg/l and from not detected to 315 000 µg/l, respectively (ATSDR, 1995). Levels in Mineral Creek, Arizona, USA (which is near a copper mine and smelter), were recorded at 4500 µg/l, and levels in the Little St. Francis River, Missouri, USA (which receives cobalt mining and milling effluent), were 6500 µg/l (Smith & Carson, 1981).

Mean cobalt levels in seawater were reported as 0.078 µg/l in the Caribbean Sea and 0.17–0.39 µg/l in the Indian Ocean (Hamilton, 1994).

Cobalt is rarely detected in drinking-water. The concentration of cobalt in drinking-water is low and ranges from 0.1 to 5 µg/l (Barceloux, 1999). Only 0.5% of 380 finished drinking-waters in the United States were found to contain cobalt at concentrations above 1 µg/l, with a maximum concentration of 29 µg/l (NAS, 1977). In finished drinking-water in Canada, the median and maximum cobalt concentrations were <2.0 µg/l and 6.0 µg/l, respectively (Meranger et al., 1981). Household tap water in the United States from 35 geographical areas had cobalt concentrations ranging from 2.6 to 107 µg/l in 9.8% of 3834 grab samples (Greathouse & Craun, 1978). In the National Community Water Supply Study in the United States, 62% of 2500 samples contained <1 µg/l, whereas the average and maximum cobalt concentrations were 2.2 and 19 µg/l, respectively (Smith & Carson, 1981).

In rainwater, mean cobalt concentrations are 0.3–1.7 µg/l, with ranges from 0.002 µg/l at Enewetak Atoll to 2.9 µg/l at Swansea Valley, Wales (Smith & Carson, 1981; Arimoto et al., 1985; Hannson et al., 1988; Dasch & Wolff, 1989; Heaton et al., 1990; Nimmo & Chester, 1993; Helmers & Schrems, 1995; Nimmo & Fones, 1997). The highest recorded concentration was 68.9 µg/l in the vicinity of a nickel smelter at Monchegorsk in the Russian Arctic (Reimann et al., 1997). Data on rain from the Mediterranean and the United Kingdom demonstrated that 33–44% of the cobalt occurred as stable organic complexes (Nimmo & Chester, 1993; Nimmo & Fones, 1997).

The earth’s crust contains an average cobalt concentration of 20–25 mg/kg (Smith & Carson, 1981; Merian, 1985; Abbasi et al., 1989). The average concentration of cobalt in soil in the United States is 7.2 mg/kg, with a range of 1–40 mg/kg (Smith & Carson, 1981). Soils that contain cobalt at <0.5–3 mg/kg are considered deficient, since vegetation growing on such soils has insufficient cobalt (<0.08–0.1 mg/kg) to meet the dietary requirements of cattle and sheep. Generally, concentrations of up to 800 mg/kg have been reported in soils near ore deposits, phosphate rocks, ore smelting facilities, and soils contaminated by airport traffic, highway traffic, or other industrial pollution (Smith & Carson, 1981; Klok et al., 1984). However, soils near the aforementioned Blackbird Mine in Idaho, USA, had cobalt concentrations ranging from 26.5 to 7410 mg/kg (ATSDR, 1995). Cobalt levels in surface soils from two active volcano islands of Sicily ranged from 5.1 to 59.0 mg/kg (Bargagli et al., 1993). Soils surrounding large copper–nickel smelters in Sudbury, Ontario, Canada, illustrate the increasing concentrations of cobalt with closer proximity: 42–154 mg/kg between 0.8 and 1.3 km from the smelter, 33 mg/kg at 10 km, 48 mg/kg at 19 km, and 19 mg/kg at 50 km (Smith & Carson, 1981). Soils surrounding a tungsten carbide tool grinding factory had cobalt levels as high as 12 700 mg/kg; however, neighbourhood
soils located 30 and 160 m from the factory had 12–18 mg/kg (Abraham & Hunt, 1995).

Unpolluted freshwater sediment contains about the same levels of cobalt as does cobalt-sufficient soil, generally <20 mg/kg. Cobalt concentrations in polluted lake and river sediment ranged from 0.16 to 133 mg/kg (Smith & Carson, 1981). Knutson et al. (1987) reported cobalt concentrations of up to 700 mg/kg in surficial sediment (Hudson River, New York, USA) near a disused nickel–cadmium battery plant (4 years after closure). In the Hudson River estuary, cobalt levels were an order of magnitude higher in suspended sediment than in bottom sediment (Gibbs, 1994). This can be attributed to the finer grain size of suspended sediment or local sources. Cobalt levels in core samples (surface to 42 cm deep) from the Upper St. Lawrence River estuary in Canada were independent of depth, indicating the lack of any recent significant anthropogenic releases (Coakley et al., 1993).

The cobalt content of living plants depends on the species, the cobalt content of the soil, and numerous environmental factors. The mean cobalt concentration reported for terrestrial plants was 0.48 µg/g (Bowen, 1966). Median cobalt concentrations in freshwater vascular plants of 0.32 and 0.37 µg/g dry weight were reported for unpolluted and polluted environments, respectively (Outridge & Noller, 1991). Grasses normally contain cobalt concentrations of 0.2–0.35 µg/g, but grasses from cobalt-deficient regions contain only 0.02–0.06 µg/g (Hamilton, 1994). Cobalt tolerance, along with tolerance to other metals, has been found in plant populations growing on soils high in particular metals. For example, some plants growing on cobalt-rich soils in Zaire are hyperaccumulators of cobalt, with the plant Haumaniastrum robertii containing a mean concentration of 4304 mg/kg dry weight (1368–10 222 mg/kg) (Brooks, 1977).

Cobalt concentrations have been reported in various aquatic animals. Fish from three Dutch polder lakes contained cobalt at 2.5–25 mg/kg wet weight (Badsha & Goldspink, 1988). Muscle tissue of ocean fish and rock crabs caught near dump sites off New York City, New Haven, Connecticut, and Delaware Bay, USA, contained 10–40 µg/kg and 16.0 µg/kg, respectively (Greig & Jones, 1976). Cobalt has also been detected at remote sites; mean cobalt levels in fish and amphipods in Antarctica were 0.11–0.14 µg/g dry weight and 1.01 µg/g dry weight, respectively (Szefer et al., 1993). The concentration of cobalt in the tissue of 14 bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) caught by various commercial fishing vessels off Newfoundland, Canada, was essentially the same, 0.01 ± 0.004 µg/g (Hellou et al., 1992). In a broad survey of contaminant levels in nine species of fish and fiddler crabs from 11 sites in the lower Savannah River, Georgia, and the Savannah National Wildlife Refuge, USA, mean cobalt levels (0.1–2.5 mg/kg wet weight) among different species and sites were statistically indistinguishable (Winger et al., 1990). These studies suggest that cobalt does not biomagnify up the food-chain (Smith & Carson, 1981).

In a study of the levels and distribution of 14 elements in oceanic seabirds, the concentration of cobalt, an essential element, appeared to be highly regulated, with over 80% of the body burden residing in the skeleton. The mean cobalt concentration in the livers of 11 seabird species ranged from 0.048 to 0.078 µg/g dry weight; of the elements studied, cobalt had the lowest coefficient of variation in the different species (Kim et al., 1998). Mean cobalt levels in the tissues of penguin and other Antarctic seabirds ranged from 0.09 to 0.11 µg/g (Szefer et al., 1993). The geometric mean concentrations of cobalt in tern eggs collected from coastal New Jersey, USA, in 1971 and 1982 were 0.48 mg/kg and 0.50 mg/kg, respectively. Unlike the levels of many other metals, the level of cobalt showed no decline over the 11-year period (Burger & Gochfeld, 1988).

Cobalt concentrations in coal, crude oil, fuel oil, and gasoline in the United States are 5 mg/kg, 0.001–10 mg/kg, 0.03–0.3 mg/kg, and <0.1 mg/kg, respectively (Smith & Carson, 1981).

### 6.2 Human exposure

The largest potential source of cobalt exposure for the general population is food. Most of the cobalt that is ingested is inorganic. Vitamin B₁₂ contains cobalt but occurs in foods of animal origin and represents only a small fraction of cobalt intake. Green vegetables and fresh cereals are the richest sources of cobalt (0.2–0.6 µg/g dry mass), whereas dairy products, refined cereals, and sugar contain the least cobalt (0.01–0.03 µg/g dry mass) (IARC, 1991; Cobalt Development Institute, 2003). Plant products have been estimated to contribute up to 88% of the total cobalt in the Japanese diet (Yamagata et al., 1963; IARC, 1991). The Total Diet Study in the United Kingdom in 1994 estimated the population average intake of cobalt to be 0.12 mg/day (MAFF, 1997; EVM, 2002). Cobalt intake in the United States has been estimated to be 5–40 µg/day (Jenkins, 1980), with relatively high concentrations of cobalt occurring in fish and vegetables (Barceloux, 1999). In Canada, the estimated average daily intake is 11 µg/day (Dabeka & McKenzie, 1995). Bakery goods/cereals and vegetables contributed most to this daily intake, at 29.8% and 21.9%, respectively. The cobalt intake of Canadian children (age 1–19 years) has been estimated to range from 7 to 14 µg/day (Dabeka & McKenzie, 1995). In France, the estimated average daily intake is 29 µg/day (Biego et al., 1998). Foodstuffs that contributed most to this intake were milk and dairy products (32%), fish/crustaceans (20%), and condiments/sugar/oil (16%). A
study in Sweden from 1983 to 1990 evaluated cobalt levels in various foodstuffs (Jorhem & Sundström, 1993). Cobalt levels were highest in seeds (alfalfa seeds, 0.86 µg/g fresh weight; linseed, 0.56 µg/g), beef liver (0.043 µg/g), and milk chocolate (0.34 µg/g), whereas fish, fruit, and leafy vegetables contained <0.01 µg/g fresh weight. In Spain, cobalt concentrations in 20 brands of beer ranged from 0.16 to 0.56 µg/l, with a median concentration of 0.39 µg/l (Cameán et al., 1998). The cobalt content of five brewed teas averaged 0.2 µg/g (range 0.16–0.34 µg/g), and that of seven brewed coffees, 0.75 µg/g (range 0.42–2.0 µg/g) (Horwitz & van der Linden, 1974).

Tobacco contains cobalt at <0.3–2.3 µg/g dry weight, and 0.5% of the cobalt is present in mainstream smoke (Munita & Mazzilli, 1986; Ostapczuk et al., 1987; Stebbins et al., 1992; Barceloux, 1999).

Occupational exposure to cobalt occurs in several industries, including hard metal manufacturing, welding, and grinding. Air concentrations of cobalt in occupational settings generally range from 1.0 × 10^4 to 1.7 × 10^6 ng/m^3 (IARC, 1991; Barceloux, 1999).

7. COMPARATIVE KINETICS AND METABOLISM IN LABORATORY ANIMALS AND HUMANS

7.1 Absorption

Inhalation of cobalt particles results in deposition in the upper and lower respiratory tract (Casarett & Doull, 1986). Particle size is the primary factor determining deposition patterns. Large particles (diameter >2 µm) deposit in the upper respiratory tract. High airstream velocities promote the inertial impaction of these large particles. Smaller particles tend to escape this inertial impaction and deposit in the lower respiratory tract, where sedimentation and diffusion can occur. Fractional deposition varies due to particle size and the age and breathing patterns of the exposed individual. Fractional deposition of cobalt oxide in humans varied from approximately 50% of the inhaled dose for particles with a geometric mean diameter of 0.8 µm to approximately 75% for particles with a geometric mean diameter of 1.7 µm (Foster et al., 1989). Studies in hamsters suggest that the lungs absorb approximately 30% of an inhaled dose of cobalt oxide (Wehner et al., 1977).

Transfer pathways in humans and laboratory animals have been studied using ^57Co in the form of cobalt oxide (Bailey et al., 1989). Cobalt particles deposited in the respiratory tract can be absorbed into the blood after dissolution or mechanically transferred to the gastrointestinal tract by mucociliary action and swallowing. Approximately 50% of the cobalt that enters the gastrointestinal tract will be absorbed. Large particles (>2 µm) tend to deposit in the upper respiratory tract, where mechanical clearance processes occur more readily than translocation. Smaller particles that deposit in the lower respiratory tract will usually remain dissolved or be phagocytosed by macrophages and then translocated. The ratio of translocation to mechanical clearance in humans is 5:1 for particle sizes ranging from 0.8 to 1.7 µm (Foster et al., 1989).

Cobalt oxide (using ^57Co tracer) was found to persist in the respiratory tracts of humans at half the original lung burden after 6 months of exposure (Bailey et al., 1989). In contrast, rats exhibited nearly complete clearance after 6 months. Since cobalt may bind to cellular components in human lung, the elimination half-time in human lung increases with increasing times after exposure (Sedlet et al., 1958; Foster et al., 1989).

Gastrointestinal absorption of cobalt in humans has been found to vary from 18% to 97% of the administered dose, depending on the type and dose of the cobalt compound and the nutritional status of the individual (Harp & Scoular, 1952; Valberg et al., 1969; Sorbie et al., 1971; Smith et al., 1972). Studies of the absorption of cobalt chloride in volunteers indicate that the absorption rate from the gastrointestinal tract ranges from 5% to >20% between doses of <1 µg and 1.2 mg cobalt (Smith et al., 1972). Cobalt absorption was increased among individuals who were iron deficient (31–71% absorption in iron-deficient subjects, 18–44% in controls) (Valberg et al., 1969; Sorbie et al., 1971). The absorption of vitamin B₁₂ occurs by a complex, yet specific pathway that involves the interaction of the molecule with factors in the stomach and intestine that facilitate absorption (Russell-Jones & Alpers, 1999).

Data on absorption via the gastrointestinal tract are available from animal experiments. Several rat studies have found that soluble cobalt chloride was 13–34% absorbed, whereas insoluble cobalt oxides were only 1–3% absorbed (Taylor, 1962; Barnaby et al., 1968; Schade et al., 1970; Hollins & McCullough, 1971; Bailey et al., 1989; Collier et al., 1989; Patrick et al., 1989; Kirchgesner et al., 1994; Ayala-Fierro et al., 1999). Particle size did not affect gastrointestinal absorption in baboons, guinea-pigs, HMT rats, F-344 rats, hamsters, or CBA/H mice (Bailey et al., 1989). In rats, cobalt chloride (with ^57Co tracer) that was complexed with histidine, lysine, glycerylglycine, EDTA, casein, or glycine was absorbed less than free cobalt chloride (Taylor, 1962). Cobalt chloride administered in conjunction with cow’s milk resulted in significantly greater gastrointestinal absorption (~40%) (Taylor, 1962). Water-soluble cobalt compounds have been found to exhibit greater absorption
than non-water-soluble forms (Kinoshita & Fujita, 1972; Inaba et al., 1980; Deka et al., 1981; Firriolo et al., 1999). As in humans, iron deficiency in animals increased cobalt absorption, while simultaneous administration of cobalt and iron resulted in less cobalt absorption (Schade et al., 1970; Reuber et al., 1994). As oral cobalt doses increase, fractional absorption decreases (Houk et al., 1946; Taylor, 1962; Kirchgessner et al., 1994). Rats and guinea-pigs aged 1–60 days have 3- to 15-fold greater absorption than adult animals aged 200 days or more (Naylor & Harrison, 1995). Species differences in absorption rates have not been observed; however, absorption of soluble cobalt compounds is greater in rats (13–34%) than in cows (1–2%) or guinea-pigs (4–5%) (Taylor, 1962; Barnaby et al., 1968; Schade et al., 1970; Hollins & McCullough, 1971; van Bruijne et al., 1984; Bailey et al., 1989; Kirchgessner et al., 1994; Naylor & Harrison, 1995; Ayala-Fierro et al., 1999).

### 7.2 Distribution

Since cobalt is an essential metal and a component of vitamin B₁₂, it has been found in most tissues, such as muscle, lung, lymph nodes, heart, skin, bone, hair, stomach, brain, pancreatic juice, kidneys, plasma, urinary bladder, and liver (highest levels), of non-occupationally exposed subjects (Forbes et al., 1954; Yamagata et al., 1962; Yokawa et al., 1980; Teraoka, 1981; Collecchi et al., 1986; Ishihara et al., 1987; Hewitt, 1988; Muramatsu & Parr, 1988). These tissue levels reflect exposure from all routes and all sources. Total body burden in humans has been estimated as 1.1–1.5 mg, with 0.11 mg in the liver (Yamagata et al., 1962; ICRP, 1979).

Workers exposed occupationally to airborne cobalt had higher tissue levels of cobalt when examined at death. Lung concentrations of cobalt are significantly higher in copper smelter workers, metal workers, and coal miners who were occupationally exposed compared with non-occupationally exposed workers (Teraoka, 1981; Hillerdal & Hartung, 1983; Gerhardsson et al., 1984; Hewitt, 1988). In copper smelter workers, no increases in liver or kidney cobalt levels were observed compared with controls (Gerhardsson et al., 1984). However, metal workers had increased cobalt levels in lymph nodes, liver, spleen, and kidneys (Teraoka, 1981; Hillerdal & Hartung, 1983).

Tissue distribution of cobalt in laboratory animals is similar to that in humans. After inhalation exposure, marked increases of cobalt have been found in the lung (Barnes et al., 1976; Brune et al., 1980; Kreyling et al., 1986; Patrick et al., 1989; Talbot & Morgan, 1989; Collier et al., 1991; Kyono et al., 1992). Histological analysis revealed that cobalt particles were localized to macrophages within the bronchial wall or in the interstitium close to the terminal bronchioli (Brune et al., 1980). Cobalt has been found in significant amounts in the liver, kidney, trachea, spleen, bones, and heart, with the highest levels in the liver and kidney (Wehner & Craig, 1972; Kerfoot, 1975; Barnes et al., 1976; Brune et al., 1980; Kreyling et al., 1986).

Although no studies describe the distribution of cobalt after oral exposure in humans, laboratory animal studies indicate that cobalt absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract is primarily retained in the liver (Simesen, 1939; Greenberg et al., 1943; Ayala-Fierro et al., 1999). Cobalt was also found in the kidneys, heart, stomach, and intestines (Simesen, 1939; Persson et al., 1992; Ayala-Fierro et al., 1999). In pregnant rats, oral exposure to cobalt caused a dose-dependent increase in fetal blood and amniotic fluid (Szakmary et al., 2001). Long-term oral exposure of rats caused significantly increased cobalt levels in the liver, kidney, muscle, brain, and testes (Barnaby et al., 1968; Thomas et al., 1976; Bourg et al., 1985).

Cobalt (as $^{55}$CoCl$_2$ and $^{56}$CoCl$_2$) administered to two human volunteers by intravenous injection was found to be distributed primarily to the liver and kidneys (Jansen et al., 1996). In rats, intravenous injection of $^{55}$CoCl$_2$ resulted in cobalt accumulation in the liver (22.8%), kidneys (10.2%), and intestines (3.16%) 2 h after exposure (Gregus & Klaassen, 1986). When rats were intracardially injected with cobalt nitrate, similar results were observed: 29% accumulation in the liver, 10% in the kidneys, and 4.6% in the intestines (Patrick et al., 1989). In a rat study in which tissue cobalt levels were determined 100 days after intravenous injection of $^{60}$CoCl$_2$, the highest levels were found in spleen, followed by heart and then bone (Thomas et al., 1976). Liver and kidney had the highest initial cobalt concentrations, but concentrations were comparatively low at 100 days. Intramuscular injection of cobalt mesoporphyrin in rats resulted in the highest levels in liver and blood, followed by kidneys, lung, spleen, adrenal glands, and heart, at 7 days after exposure (Feng et al., 1998). Subcutaneous injection of cobalt protoporphyrin resulted in the highest levels in kidney, followed by spleen, liver, lung, thymus, and gonads, at 4 weeks after exposure (Rosenberg, 1993).

### 7.3 Elimination

In humans, there are no available data on the elimination of soluble cobalt particles after inhalation exposure. Elimination of insoluble cobalt particles after inhalation exposure appears to follow three-phase kinetics. The first phase is the mucociliary clearance of particles deposited in the tracheobronchial region and has a halftime of 2–44 h (Apostoli et al., 1994; Mosconi et al., 1994). The second phase is the macrophage-mediated clearance of lung cobalt particles and has a half-time of 10–78 days (Beleznay & Osvay, 1994; Mosconi et al., 1994). The third phase represents long-term lung clearance and has a half-time on the order of years (Newton et al., 1994).
Faecal elimination is the primary route of excretion following oral exposure. Faecal clearance has been noted to decrease as cobalt particle solubility increases. In several species, oral exposure to cobalt(II,III) oxide (with $^{57}$Co tracer) resulted in little gastrointestinal absorption and a rapid elimination in faeces (>96%) (Bailey et al., 1989). No significant differences in cobalt(II,III) oxide elimination were observed among species (Andre et al., 1989; Bailey et al., 1989; Collier et al., 1989; Patrick et al., 1989; Talbot & Morgan, 1989). Cobalt(II) chloride, which is more soluble, was excreted primarily via faeces (70–83% of the administered dose) in rats, with urinary excretion accounting for the remainder of the dose (Barnaby et al., 1968; Hollins & McCullough, 1971; Ayala-Fierro et al., 1999). In lactating dairy cows, 97% of oral dose of cobalt chloride was recovered in the faeces by 70 days after exposure, whereas urine and milk contained 0.26% and 0.012% of the dose, respectively (van Bruwaene et al., 1984). Single exposures in beagle dogs demonstrated that insoluble cobalt(II,III) oxide was eliminated in the faeces and urine at 90% and 5%, respectively, while the more soluble cobalt nitrate was eliminated at 70% in the faeces and 25% in the urine (Kreyling et al., 1986). Similar to humans, iron deficiency in rats also caused less elimination in the faeces, whereas co-administration of iron caused an increase in faecal elimination (Schade et al., 1970; Reuber et al., 1994).

After an intravenous injection of cobalt chloride in humans, 30% of the dose was excreted in urine within 24 h, 56–73% within 48 h, and 57% within 2 weeks (Kent & McCance, 1941; Paley et al., 1958; Smith et al., 1972). In various animal species, urinary excretion has also been shown to be the primary elimination route following intravenous injection of cobalt nitrate (Andre et al., 1989; Bailey et al., 1989; Collier et al., 1989; Patrick et al., 1989; Talbot & Morgan, 1989). In animals, 80% of the dose was excreted via urine within 21 days. Most of the remaining dose (5–30% of the total dose) was excreted in the faeces, with little long-term retention. Biliary excretion has also been reported in animals, at 2–7% of the injected dose (Sheline et al., 1945; Cikrt & Tich, 1981; Gregus & Klaassen, 1986).

### 7.4 Pharmacokinetic models

The ICRP has developed two physiologically based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic models that are applicable to cobalt: a human respiratory tract model for radiological protection (ICRP, 1994) and a biokinetic model of ingested cobalt in humans (ICRP, 1979, 1994). The PBPK model for the human respiratory tract was developed for a wide variety of radionuclides and their chemical forms. It models the behaviour of aerosols and vapours in the respiratory tract. It provides inhalation dose coefficients for estimating the committed dose equivalents and the effective doses to organs and tissues based on a unit intake of radioactive material, the distribution and retention of the material, the radioactive...
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...decay, and the energy of radiation emitted from the material and absorbed by tissues. This model applies to various particle sizes (0.0005–100 µm in diameter) and can be adjusted for population characteristics such as sex, age, and level of physical activity. Inhaled particles may be redistributed either upwards into the respiratory tract or to the lymph and blood by particle removal mechanisms. Deposition of vapours and gases is modelled as a partitioning process and is based on physiological parameters and the solubility and reactivity of the compound of interest. The solubility and reactivity of compounds are classified into three categories: SR-0, insoluble and non-reactive gases; SR-1, soluble and reactive gases and vapours that are expected to be taken up and deposited on respiratory tract tissues; and SR-2, soluble and reactive gases and vapours that are completely retained in the extrathoracic regions of the respiratory tract. This model also accounts for mechanical clearance and is based primarily on human data, although particle retention in airway walls is based on data from experimental animals. This model assumes that blood absorption occurs at equivalent rates in all areas of the respiratory tract, except for the anterior nasal passages, where absorption does not occur. Particles undergo dissociation, after which the dissolved molecules diffuse across capillary walls into the blood. Absorption is classified into four types: Type V (complete and instantaneous absorption), Type F (fast, 100% absorption within 10 min), Type M (medium, 70% absorption within 10 min), and Type S (slow, 0.1% absorption within 10 min). Cobalt compounds have been classified as follows: Type F, cobalt chloride and nitrate; Type M or S, cobalt oxides, cobalt metal, and metal alloys; Type M, cobalt in mineral dusts, such as fly ash and volcano ash, and all cobalt aerosols in the absence of specific information; and Type S, cobalt-infused aluminosilicate or polystyrene.

The ICRP’s cobalt biokinetics model (ICRP, 1994) is a three-compartment model for ingested cobalt that is applicable to infants, children, adolescents, and adults. Absorption of ingested cobalt is assumed to be 60% in infants up to 3 months of age, 30% from 3 months to 15 years of age, and 10% after 15 years of age. The distribution of cobalt is assumed to be 50% excreted in the urine and faeces at a 6:1 ratio, 5% in the liver, and 45% in other tissues. Elimination from tissues is assumed to follow three first-order rate constants that represent slow, medium, and fast, with half-times of 6, 80, and 600 days, respectively. These half-times are assumed to be independent of age. The validation of this model is not described by the ICRP, but the model has been used to establish radiation dose equivalents (Sv/Bq) of ingested 57Co, 58Co, and 60Co for ages 3 months to 70 years (ICRP, 1994). This model is designed for human dosimetry and would need modification for other species. Radiation doses from cobalt radionuclides to all major organs can be estimated using this model and can be used to assess environmental and occupational exposures to radioactive cobalt.

7.5 Biological monitoring

Analysis of urinary cobalt has been recommended for biological monitoring of exposure to cobalt at work (Templeton, 1996), and different institutions have proposed biomonitoring action limits for acceptable exposure (ACGIH, 1999; FIOH, 1999). The concentration of cobalt in a urine specimen collected at the end of the last work shift of the week reflects exposure over the preceding work week, and that collected on Monday morning reflects chronic occupational exposure in comparison with the reference population (Templeton, 1996).

Urine and blood cobalt levels correlate positively with occupational exposure to cobalt. Unexposed humans have blood cobalt levels ranging from 0.05 to 0.19 µg/dl and urine cobalt levels ranging from 0.04 to 2 µg/l (Ichikawa et al., 1985; Alexandersson, 1988). Workers exposed to 0.1 mg/m³ reported blood levels ranging from 0.57 to 0.79 µg/dl (95% CI), compared with 0.19 µg/dl in unexposed subjects (Ichikawa et al., 1985). This study also reported urine cobalt levels of 59–78 µg/l in the workers compared with 2 µg/l in unexposed subjects (Ichikawa et al., 1985).

8. EFFECTS ON LABORATORY MAMMALS AND IN VITRO TEST SYSTEMS

8.1 Single exposure

The LC₅₀ for a 30-min inhalation exposure of rats was 165 mg/m³ as cobalt hydrocarbonyl (Palmez et al., 1959). One of 14 Syrian golden hamsters exposed by inhalation to cobalt oxide at 106 mg/m³ for 3 h died within 24 h; after a 6-h exposure, the 24-h mortality rate was 2/44 (Wehner & Craig, 1972). Oral LD₅₀ values are dependent on the type of cobalt compound tested and the test species. Wistar rats and Sprague-Dawley rats had LD₅₀ values ranging from 42.4 mg of cobalt (as cobalt chloride) per kilogram body weight to 317 mg of cobalt (as cobalt carbonate) per kilogram body weight (FDRL, 1984a, 1984b, 1984c; Singh & Junnarkar, 1991). Tricobalt tetraoxide, an insoluble compound, had an LD₅₀ in Sprague-Dawley rats of 3672 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight (FDRL, 1984c). Speijers et al. (1982) reported an LD₅₀ of 418 mg/kg body weight for cobalt chloride in Wistar rats. In male Swiss mice, LD₅₀ values ranged from 89.3 mg of cobalt (as cobalt chloride) per kilogram body weight to 123 mg of cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) per kilogram body weight (Singh & Junnarkar, 1991).
8.2 Short-term exposure

Rats and mice exposed by inhalation to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate at cobalt concentrations of 19 and 1.9 mg/m³, respectively, for 16 days exhibited necrosis and inflammation of the respiratory tract epithelium. Rats also developed thymus necrosis and testicular atrophy (Bucher et al., 1990; NTP, 1991). Male CFY rats exposed orally to cobalt chloride at 50 mg/kg body weight per day (equivalent to 12.4 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight per day) for 3 weeks and co-exposed to drinking-water that contained 10% ethanol and 5% sugar exhibited cardiac damage that presented as myofibrils (Morvai et al., 1993). Rats exposed to ultra-fine cobalt particles (diameter 20 nm) at concentrations of 2.72 mg/m³ for 5 h or 2.12 mg/m³ for 5 h/day for 4 days displayed focal hypertrophy or proliferation of lower airway epithelium, macrophage damage, intra-cellular oedema of type I alveolar epithelium, interstitial oedema, and proliferation of type II alveolar epithelium (Kyono et al., 1992).

8.3 Medium-term exposure

Rats (strain not specified), guinea-pigs (strain not specified), and beagle dogs exposed to cobalt (as cobalt hydrocarbonyl) at 9 mg/m³ for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for 3 months displayed foam cell aggregates (Palmer et al., 1959). These foam cell aggregates were composed of nodules of large macrophages with foamy cytoplasm, accompanied by moderate interstitial and peribronchial fibrosis, mild emphysema, and moderate peribronchial lymphoid hyperplasia. These aggregates were not present when animals were sacrificed and evaluated at 3 months or 6 months post-exposure. Rabbits exposed by inhalation for 1–4 months to cobalt chloride (0.4–2 mg/m³) exhibited lesions of the alveolar region of the respiratory tract that were characterized by nodular accumulation of Type II epithelial cells and interstitial inflammation (Johansson et al., 1984, 1987, 1991, 1992).

F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice exposed by inhalation to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate (0, 0.3, 1, 3, 10, and 30 mg/m³; equivalent to cobalt concentrations of 0.11, 0.38, 1.14, 3.80, and 11.38 mg/m³) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for 13 weeks developed adverse effects throughout the respiratory tract (Bucher et al., 1990; NTP, 1991). At concentrations ≥0.3 mg/m³ (cobalt concentrations ≥0.11 mg/m³), both rats and mice developed squamous metaplasia of the larynx (the most sensitive tissue), such that a NOAEC could not be determined. Rats developed chronic inflammation of the larynx at ≥1 mg/m³ and more severe effects in the nose, larynx, and lung at higher exposures. Mice exhibited acute inflammation of the nose at ≥3 mg/m³ and more severe effects in the nose, larynx, and lung at higher exposures. At 30 mg/m³, mice exhibited hyperplasia of the medias-tinal lymph nodes and testicular atrophy and increased estrous cycle length in females. Both rats and mice exhibited histiocytic infiltrates of the lung at similar exposure levels. Sperm motility was decreased in mice exposed to 3 mg/m³ or higher (lower exposures not assessed), and increased abnormal sperm and decreased testis and epididymal weights were observed in mice exposed to 30 mg/m³.

Rats exposed for 2–3 months to cobalt at 26–30.2 mg/kg body weight per day in the diet (as cobalt sulfate) or in drinking-water (as cobalt chloride) exhibited increased heart weight and degenerative heart lesions (Grice et al., 1969; Domingo et al., 1984). Rats exposed to cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) in the diet at 8.4 mg/kg body weight per day for 24 weeks experienced significant reductions in cardiac enzyme activity levels, such as manganese superoxide dismutase, succinate cytochrome c oxidase, NADH cytochrome c reductase, and cytochrome c oxidase, and a reduction in mitochondrial ATP production (Clay et al., 2001). Rats exposed to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 10–18 mg/kg body weight per day for 4–5 months exhibited renal injury, such as histological alteration of proximal tubules (Holly, 1955; Murdoch, 1959).

8.4 Long-term exposure and carcinogenicity

A study by the NTP examined the carcinogenicity of cobalt by inhalation in mice (NTP, 1998; Bucher et al., 1999). Groups of 50 male and 50 female B6C3F1 mice were exposed to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate at 0, 0.3, 1, or 3 mg/m³ for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for 105 weeks. Cobalt concentrations in this study were 0, 0.11, 0.38, 1.14, and 3.80 mg/m³. Mean body weights were increased in all treated females and decreased only in the high-dose males. Survival was not adversely affected by treatment. The incidences of benign and malignant alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms were increased in a concentration-dependent manner: males, 11/50, 14/50, 19/50, and 28/50 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively; females, 4/50, 7/50, 13/50, and 18/50 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively. There were no increased incidences of neoplasms in other tissues. The NTP concluded that there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity.

Another study by the NTP examined the carcinogenicity of cobalt by inhalation in rats (NTP, 1998; Bucher et al., 1999). Groups of 50 male and 50 female Fischer 344/N rats were exposed to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate at 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³ (equivalent to cobalt concentrations of 0, 0.11, 0.38, 1.14, and 3.80 mg/m³) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for 105 weeks. Mean body weights and survival were unaffected by treatment. Rats exhibited a concentration-related increase in the incidence of benign and malignant alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms in male and female rats and benign and malignant pheochromocytomas in female rats. The
incidences of benign and malignant alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms were 1/50, 4/50, 4/48, and 7/50 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively, in males and 0/50, 3/49, 16/50, and 16/50 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively, in females. Although many of the alveolar/bronchiolar lesions were morphologically similar to those that arise spontaneously, the lesions in rats, unlike those in mice, were predominantly fibrotic, squamous, or mixtures of alveolar/bronchiolar epithelium and squamous or fibrous components. Squamous metaplasia of alveolar/bronchiolar epithelium, which is a common response to pulmonary injury, was observed in a number of rats. In females, incidences of benign and malignant pheochromocytomas of the adrenal medulla were 2/48, 1/49, 4/50, and 10/48 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively. In males, the incidences of benign and malignant pheochromocytomas of the adrenal medulla were 15/50, 19/50, 25/50, and 20/50 for 0, 0.3, 1, and 3 mg/m³, respectively. Pheochromocytomas are common spontaneous neoplasms in male Fischer F344/N rats, but have a lower spontaneous occurrence in females. There were no increased incidences of neoplasms in other tissues. The NTP concluded that there was some evidence of carcinogenic activity in male rats, but clear evidence in female rats.

Steinhoff & Mohr (1991) conducted a study of rats exposed to a cobalt–aluminium–chromium spinel, with an empirical formula Co(II) 0.66, Al 0.7, Cr(III) 0.3, and O 3.66 (80% of the particles < 1.5 µm), or to cobalt(II) oxide. Groups of 50 male and 50 female Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed by intratracheal instillations of the spinel in saline at 10 mg/kg body weight every 2 weeks for 18 treatments, followed by every 4 weeks from the 19th to the 30th treatments for a total of 2 years. The rats were allowed to live until a natural death, or they were sacrificed when moribund. Alveolar/bronchiolar proliferation was not observed in 100 untreated controls and 100 saline controls; however, 61/100 rats exhibited this effect in the spinel treatment group. Likewise, no pulmonary tumours were observed in the untreated or saline controls. In the spinel-treated groups, one male and two female rats exhibited squamous cell carcinomas. When cobalt(II) oxide was administered by intratracheal instillation at doses of 2 mg/kg body weight (total dose 78 mg/kg body weight) or 10 mg/kg body weight (total dose 390 mg/kg body weight), there were two benign pulmonary tumours among the 100 rats in the low-dose group and two benign and four malignant pulmonary tumours among the 100 rats in the high-dose group. Steinhoff & Mohr (1991) also administered subcutaneous doses of 5 x 2 and 1 x 10 mg of cobalt(II) oxide per kilogram body weight per week, and 5/10 and 4/10 rats, respectively, developed local malignant tumours in a lifetime study. In a related study (Steinhoff & Mohr, 1991), groups of 10 male and 10 female rats were administered three intraperitoneal injections of saline or cobalt–aluminium–chromium spinel powder at 2-month intervals for a total dose of 600 mg/kg body weight. The rats were observed for their natural life span or were sacrificed when moribund. Malignant peritoneal tumours occurred in 1/20 controls (histiocytoma) and 2/20 spinel-treated rats (one histiocytoma and one sarcoma). After intraperitoneal administration of 3 x 200 mg of cobalt(II) oxide per kilogram body weight, 14/20 rats developed malignant intraperitoneal tumours.

Heath (1954, 1956, 1960) treated groups of 10 male and 10 female hooded rats with a single intramuscular injection of 28 mg of cobalt metal powder. The cobalt metal particles ranged in size from 3.5 µm × 3.5 µm to 17 µm × 12 µm, with large numbers of long narrow particles 10 µm × 4 µm. The rats were injected in the thigh. The observation period was 122 weeks, during which 4/10 male and 5/10 female rats developed sarcomas, mostly rhabdomyosarcomas, at the injection site. In a related study, 80 female hooded rats (divided into three groups of 16, 14, and 50) were intramuscularly injected with 28 mg of wear particles (ground artificial hip or knee prostheses composed of a cobalt–chromium–molybdenum alloy) (Heath et al., 1971; Swanson et al., 1973). No control group was reported. Animals were observed for up to 29 months. The incidences of sarcomas at the injection site were 3/16, 4/14, and 16/50. Half the tumours were rhabdomyosarcomas, and the remainder were fibrosarcomas. In a related study, Heath & Daniel (1962) injected two groups of 10 female hooded rats with 28 mg cobalt metal powder (3.5 µm × 3.5 µm to 17 µm × 12 µm, with large numbers of long narrow particles 10 µm × 4 µm) through the right dome of the diaphragm (first group) or through the fourth left intercostal space (second group). Animals were observed for up to 28 months. Of the diaphragm-treated rats, 6/10 died within 3 days, and in the rats injected through the intercostal space, 2/10 died within 3 days. Of the 12 rats that survived the injection, 4 developed intrathoracic sarcomas. Three of these sarcomas were of mixed origin and included rhabdomyosarcomatous elements, while the fourth rhabdomyosarcoma arose in the intercostal muscle.

Meachim et al. (1982) conducted a follow-up study to Heath et al. (1971) and Swanson et al. (1973). Female Wistar rats (n = 51) received intramuscular implants of 28 mg coarse particles (100–250 µm diameter) of ground cobalt–chromium–molybdenum alloy, and 61 Wistar and 53 hooded rats received implants of fine particles (0.5–50 µm). The rats were observed for life. Survival at 2
years was 11/41 for Wistar rats receiving coarse particles, 7/61 for Wistar rats receiving fine particles, 0/53 for hooded rats receiving fine particles, and 5/50 for Wistar controls. No tumours were observed at the implantation sites. Meachim et al. (1982) conducted a similar study in a group of 46 female Dunkin Hartley guinea-pigs that received intramuscular implants of 28 mg of fine particles of ground cobalt–chromium–molybdenum alloy. At 3 years, 12/46 animals were alive. No tumours were reported; however, nodular fibroblastic hyperplasia was observed in eight animals at the implantation site.

Mitchell et al. (1960) implanted a cobalt–chromium–molybdenum pellet (Vitallium alloy) subcutaneously into five male and five female Wistar rats. Rats were observed for up to 27 months, and no sarcomas were reported.

Memoli et al. (1986) implanted seven different test materials containing cobalt alloyed with chromium and nickel, molybdenum, tungsten, and/or zirconium into the femoral bone of groups of 10–17 male and 8–15 female Sprague-Dawley rats. The test materials were small rods (1.6 mm diameter and 4 mm length), powders, or porous compacted wire. The rats were observed for up to 30 months. Untreated and sham-operated controls, consisting of groups of 13 male and 13 female rats, were also studied. Sarcomas at the site of implantation were observed in 1/18 rats given a cobalt alloy powder (41% cobalt) and 3/26 rats given a nickel–cobalt-based powder (51% cobalt). No tumours were observed in two groups of 25 rats given rods with 69% or 47% cobalt, in two groups of 26 rats given rods with 0.11% or 33% cobalt, or in the untreated and sham-operated controls.

Vollmann (1938) implanted metallic cobalt dust into the femoral cavity of two groups of 15–20 rabbits. No tumours were observed at 3 years post-implantation. A follow-up study of these survivors at 6 years revealed sarcomas at the site of implantation in two cobalt-treated rabbits (Schinz & Uehlinger, 1942).

Jasmin & Riopelle (1976) injected groups of 20 and 18 female Sprague-Dawley rats with 5 mg of metallic cobalt powder or cobalt sulfide powder, respectively, into each pole of the right kidney. After 12 months, necropsies were conducted, and no tumours were observed in the kidneys of treated or control rats.

8.5 Genotoxicity and related end-points

There are no available studies on genotoxic effects in animals exposed by inhalation. Male Swiss mice administered a single oral dose of cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 0, 4.96, 9.92, or 19.8 mg/kg body weight exhibited a dose–response increase in percentages of chromosomal breaks and chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells (Palit et al., 1991a, 1991b, 1991c, 1991d). A single intraperitoneal injection of cobalt (as cobalt(II) chloride) at 12.4 or 22.3 but not 6.19 mg/kg body weight in BALB/c mice caused an increase in micronucleus formation after 30 h (Suzuki et al., 1993). F344 rats injected intraperitoneally with cobalt at 3 or 6 mg/kg body weight exhibited increased levels of oxidatively damaged DNA bases in the liver, kidney, and lung at 2 and 10 days following injection (Kasprzak et al., 1994).

Cobalt, in compounds with a valence state of +2, was mostly negative in mutagenicity tests conducted in Salmonella typhimurium, Escherichia coli, and yeast, but weakly positive in Bacillus subtilis (Kanematsu et al., 1980; Tso & Fung, 1981; Fukunaga et al., 1982; Singh, 1983; Arlauskas et al., 1985; Kharab & Singh, 1985; Ogawa et al., 1986). The only positive report for cobalt(II) is from S. typhimurium TA100 both with and without liver S9 metabolic enzymes (NTP, 1998). S. typhimurium strains TA98 and TA1535 were negative. Cobalt(II) compounds caused genetic conversions in S. cerevisiae (Fukunaga et al., 1982; Singh, 1983; Kharab & Singh, 1985). The reasons for this dichotomy in yeast are unknown. Cobalt in compounds with a valence state of +3 was positive in S. typhimurium and E. coli (Schultz et al., 1982).

In mammalian test systems, many cobalt compounds and metals are genotoxic. Cobalt compounds and cobalt metals have been reported to cause clastogenic effects in mammalian cells such as human lymphocytes (Painter & Howard, 1982; Hamilton-Koch et al., 1986; Anard et al., 1997), transformation in hamster cells (Costa et al., 1982), sister chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes (Andersen, 1983), and micronucleus formation in mouse bone marrow cells (Suzuki et al., 1993), human lymphocytes (Capomazza & Botta, 1991; Olivero et al., 1995; van Goethem et al., 1997), and rat type II epithelial lung cells (DeBoeck et al., 2003). Cobalt particles are genotoxic in vitro in human peripheral blood mononucleated cells (Anard et al., 1997; van Goethem et al., 1997; De Boeck et al., 1998, 2003). In general, hard cobalt metal is more genotoxic than other cobalt compounds in in vitro test systems.

A study by the NTP that examined the carcinogenicity of cobalt sulfate heptahydrate by inhalation in B6C3F1 mice (NTP, 1998; Bucher et al., 1999) (see section 8.4) also evaluated K-ras mutation frequency and spectra in lung tumours. A higher frequency (5/9; 55%) of G to T transversions was detected in codon 12 of K-ras compared with chamber controls (0/1) or historical controls (1/24). G to T transversions are common DNA changes associated with active oxygen species. This provides supportive evidence that cobalt sulfate heptahydrate may indirectly damage DNA by oxidative stress.
8.6 Reproductive toxicity

8.6.1 Effects on fertility

Both rats exposed to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 13.3–58.9 mg/kg body weight per day for 2–3 months in drinking-water or diet (Nation et al., 1983; Domingo et al., 1984; Corrier et al., 1985; Mollenhauer et al., 1985; Pedigo et al., 1988; Pedigo & Vernon, 1993) and mice exposed to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 43.4 mg/kg body weight per day for 13 weeks in drinking-water exhibited testicular degeneration and atrophy (Anderson et al., 1992, 1993).

In an abstract reported by Elbetieha et al. (2004), sexually mature male mice exposed to cobalt(II) chloride at 200, 400, or 800 mg/l in their drinking-water for 12 weeks were assessed for effects on fertility by breeding these exposed males to unexposed females. Fertility, as measured by successful matings, was reduced in mice exposed to cobalt chloride at 400 and 800 mg/l (internal doses of 46.91 ± 4.78 and 93.01 ± 6.76 mg/kg body weight per day, respectively). The number of implantation sites was significantly reduced in females mated with exposed males at 400 and 800 mg/l. The number of viable fetuses was decreased in females mated with males at all three exposure levels. In the 800 mg/l males, absolute epididymal weight was significantly decreased, whereas relative and absolute testes weights were decreased in males exposed to both 400 and 800 mg/l. Epididymal sperm count was decreased in males of all three exposure levels. At 400 and 800 mg/l, males also exhibited reduced testicular sperm counts and daily sperm production. The testes displayed severe abnormalities, including hypertrophy of the interstitial Leydig cells, congested blood vessels, degeneration of the spermatogonial cells, and necrosis of seminiferous tubules and interstitial tissue.

In a study in which B6C3F1 mice were exposed by inhalation to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate (0, 0.3, 1, 3, 10, and 30 mg/m³; equivalent to cobalt concentrations of 0, 0.11, 0.38, 1.14, 3.80, and 11.38 mg/m³) for 6 h/day, 5 days/week, for 13 weeks, testicular atrophy in males and increased estrous cycle length in females were observed at 30 mg/m³. Sperm motility was decreased in mice exposed to 3 mg/m³ or higher (lower exposures not assessed), and increased abnormal sperm and decreased testis and epididymal weights were observed in mice exposed to 30 mg/m³ (Bucher et al., 1990; NTP, 1991) (see also section 8.3).

8.6.2 Developmental toxicity

Oral exposure of female rats to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at doses of 5.4 or 21.8 mg/kg body weight per day from gestation day 14 to lactation day 21 caused newborn pups to exhibit stunted growth and decreased survival. However, these effects occurred at exposures that also caused maternal toxicity, such as reduced body weight, reduced food consumption, and altered haematological measurements. No teratogenic effects were observed (Domingo et al., 1985). Another study reported that exposure of pregnant rats to cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) at 0–38 mg/kg body weight per day did not affect fetal death rates, maternal body weight gain, average litter size, or average fetal and placental weights. However, a dose-related increase was noted in the percentage of fetuses with retarded body weights (Szakmary et al., 2001). In contrast, Paternain et al. (1988) found no effects on fetal growth or survival after exposing rats to cobalt (as cobalt chloride) at 24.8 mg/kg body weight per day during gestation days 6–15. Exposure of pregnant mice to cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) at 19 mg/kg body weight per day also did not affect litter size, postimplantation loss, or average fetal and placental weights (Szakmary et al., 2001). Rabbits exposed to cobalt (as cobalt sulfate) at doses of ≥38 mg/kg body weight per day exhibited complete maternal lethality and fetal loss. At 7.6 mg/kg body weight per day, rabbits had increased mortality, fetal resorption, and number of fetuses with retarded body weight (Szakmary et al., 2001).

8.7 Other toxicity

Dermal exposures on 3 consecutive days to cobalt(II) chloride (in dimethylsulfoxide) caused an increase in cellular proliferation in the lymph node assay in mice (10.8, 27, or 54.1 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight per day), rats (9.6 or 19.2 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight per day), and guinea-pigs (14.7 mg of cobalt per kilogram body weight per day) (Ikarashi et al., 1992a, 1992b).

8.8 Mode of action

Several studies have demonstrated that a hard metal alloy of tungsten carbide and cobalt matrix is more toxic than either tungsten carbide or cobalt alone. In a proposed mechanism, tungsten carbide facilitates the oxidation of cobalt metal to ionic cobalt (Co²⁺) by transferring electrons from the cobalt atom to molecular oxygen (Lison et al., 1995, 1996). This causes an increase in the solubility of cobalt, relative to cobalt metal, and the generation of reactive oxygen species. The ionic cobalt may be transported by blood throughout the body, causing adverse effects by the generation of reactive oxygen species. In vitro evidence consists of the ability of hard metal particles to generate substantial levels of oxidant species and cause lipid peroxidation (Lison et al., 1995; Zanetti & Fubini, 1997), which does not occur by cobalt or tungsten carbide alone. In addition, hard metal particles have been shown to increase inducible nitric oxide synthase levels, which is responsive to oxidant stress (Rengasamy et al., 1999).
Cobalt toxicity may also be caused through oxidant-based and free radical-based processes. Exposure to soluble cobalt leads to increased indices of oxidative stress, diminished levels of reduced glutathione, increased levels of oxidized glutathione, activation of the hexose monophosphate shunt, and free radical-induced DNA damage (Lewis et al., 1991; Kasprzak et al., 1994; Zhang et al., 1998; Hoet et al., 2002). In the presence of hydrogen peroxide, cobalt(II) stimulates in vitro formation of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (Ivancsits et al., 2002). A Fenton-type mechanism causes cobalt to generate oxygen radicals, such as superoxide, in both in vitro and in vivo studies (Moorhouse et al., 1985; Kadiiska et al., 1989; Kawanishi et al., 1994; Lloyd et al., 1997). Exposure of rats and guinea-pigs to cobalt results in liver lipid peroxidation and reduced levels of glutathione, superoxide dismutase, catalase, haem oxygenase, and glutathione peroxidase (Sunderman & Zarharia, 1988; Christova et al., 2001, 2002). Cobalt accumulation in cardiac tissues is believed to stimulate carotid body chemoreceptors, which mimics the action of hypoxia (Di Giulio et al., 1990, 1991; Hatori et al., 1993; Morelli et al., 1994). Cobalt exposure also affects genes that are sensitive to oxidant status, such as hypoxia-inducible factor 1, erythropoietin, vascular endothelial growth factor, catalase, and monooxygenase enzymes (Yasukochi et al., 1974; Dalvi & Robbins, 1978; Legrum et al., 1979; Goldberg et al., 1988; Di Giulio et al., 1991; Goldberg & Schneider, 1994; Ladoux & Frelin, 1994; Semenza et al., 1994; Ho & Bunn, 1996; Bunn et al., 1998; Daghammer et al., 1999; Hoet et al., 2002). These effects may also lead to the induction of apoptosis, through either these genes or other pathways (Zou et al., 2001).

Soluble cobalt has been shown to block inorganic calcium channels (Henquin et al., 1983; Moger, 1983; Yamatani et al., 1998). This has been shown to reduce steroidogenesis in isolated mouse Leydig cells (Moger, 1983). Calcium influx in liver cells, pancreatic β cells, and isolated rat islets is altered by soluble cobalt (Henquin & Lambert, 1975; Henquin et al., 1983; Yamatani et al., 1998). By antagonizing calcium, cobalt may also affect neuromuscular transmissions (Weakly, 1973).

In the past, cobalt used to be added to beer as a defoaming agent. Cobalt was found to accumulate in the hearts of heavy beer drinkers and result in cardiomyopathy (see section 9 below). Microscopic analysis found fragmentation and degeneration of myofibres and aggregates of abnormal mitochondria (Ferrans et al., 1964). Mitochondrial effects result in disturbances in energy production and utilization and may be related to the irreversible chelation of lipoic acids under aerobic conditions by cobalt (Webb, 1962). Lipoic acid is a co-factor for the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl CoA and of α-ketoglutarate to succinate (Lehninger, 1982). In rats treated with cobalt, the myocardium exhibits an impairment of pyruvate and fatty acid oxidation (Wiberg, 1968).

Cobalt ions, in the presence of oxidants such as UV radiation or hydrogen peroxide, can cause increased levels of DNA damage in vitro (Hartwig et al., 1991; Nackerdien et al., 1991; De Boeck et al., 1998). Cobalt is hypothesized to inhibit DNA repair, particularly the steps of incision and polymerization, by interacting with zinc finger DNA repair proteins (Sarkar, 1995; Kasten et al., 1997; Asmuß et al., 2000).

Cobalt is hypothesized to affect haem and haem-containing enzymes. Two sites of the biosynthetic pathway are thought to be the target for cobalt: synthesis of 5-aminolevulinate and conversion of 5-aminolevulinate to haem (de Matteis & Gibbs, 1977). This could result in the formation of cobalt protoporphyrin instead of haem (Sinclair et al., 1979). Cobalt may also act by inducing haem oxygenase and causing haem oxidation in organs (Sunderman, 1987). Haem-containing proteins that would be affected include monooxygenase enzymes (cytochrome P450) and catalase (Yasukochi et al., 1974; Legrum et al., 1979). Cobalt may also increase erythropoietin, which results in the increased production of red blood cells (Smith & Fisher, 1973; Goldberg et al., 1988; Di Giulio et al., 1991).

Glucose metabolism has also been demonstrated to be affected by cobalt. Animals treated with cobalt exhibit depressed serum and tissue glucose levels (Wiberg, 1968; Eaton & Pommer, 1973; Ybarra et al., 1997). Cobalt-induced glucose depression was persistent in diabetic rats (by pretreatment with streptozotocin) but transient in normal rats (Ybarra et al., 1997). Cobalt may alter the expression of the GLUT family of glucose transport proteins, which are Na⁺-independent proteins that mediate non-insulin-dependent glucose transport. Soluble cobalt has been found to increase the expression of these genes, particularly GLUT-1, in the liver, kidney cortex, myocardium, skeletal muscle, and cerebrum (Behrooz & Ismail-Beigi, 1997; Ybarra et al., 1997). Cobalt has also been found to reduce the amount of glucose produced in liver cells that were stimulated by glucagon and reduce insulin release in isolated rat islets (Eaton & Pommer, 1973; Henquin & Lambert, 1975; Yamatani et al., 1998).

9. EFFECTS ON HUMANS

During the early to mid-1960s, breweries in the United States, Canada, and Europe added cobalt sulfate to beer as a foam stabilizer. Several studies reported lethal cardiomyopathy in people who consumed large quantities of beer with cobalt sulfate (Morin & Daniel,
1989). Bronchial asthma has been described in workers exposed to various forms of cobalt — i.e. not only in workers exposed to cobalt metal, salts, or oxides (mean concentration 0.125 mg/m^3 in air, range 0.001–7.7 mg/m^3) displayed a statistically significant increase in the prevalence of dyspnoea and wheezing and also had significantly more skin lesions, such as eczema and erythema, compared with controls. A dose–response relationship between decreased FEV₁ and cobalt exposure assessed by blood, urine, or air cobalt levels was observed. Verougstraete et al. (2004) examined lung function among 122 workers in a cobalt-producing plant in a 13-year (1988–2001) follow-up study. The FEV₁ was found to decrease over time, but only in association with smoking.

A cross-sectional study of 194 diamond polishers from 10 diamond-polishing workshops in Belgium and 59 workers from three other workshops in the diamond industry (control subjects) examined cobalt exposure and respiratory effects (Nemery et al., 1992). Cobalt exposure of the diamond polishers was a result of the generation of airborne cobalt from use of the cobalt-containing polishing discs. Analysis of air samples showed the presence of cobalt and no tungsten. Occasionally there were traces of other metals. Questionnaires inquiring about work history, working conditions, medical history, respiratory symptoms, and smoking habits were administered to the workers. Urine samples were collected from the workers and analysed for cobalt. Both area and personal air samples were collected. There was a good correlation between the results of area and personal samples at all workshops with the exception of one. When this workshop was excluded, a good correlation was also found between urinary cobalt and cobalt in the air. The workers were divided into three exposure categories: control (mean personal sample concentration of 0.0004 ± 0.0006 mg/m^3), low (mean personal sample concentration of 0.0053 ± 0.0032 mg/m^3), and high (mean personal sample concentration of 0.0151 ± 0.0117 mg/m^3). The high exposure group was more likely to complain about respiratory symptoms and had significantly higher prevalence of eye, nose, and throat irritation and cough. The prevalence of some symptoms (e.g. cough, phlegm) was elevated in the low exposure group compared with the control group, but they were not significantly (P < 0.05) elevated. Lung function, assessed by FVC, FEV₁, MMEF (forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the FVC), and mean PEFR, was significantly reduced in workers in the high exposure group compared with workers in the lower exposure and control groups. The effect on women was greater than that on men, although the interaction of gender and exposure was not statistically significant. Lung function was not decreased in the low exposure group compared with the control group. Smoking habits were similar in the high

A study by Davis & Fields (1958) demonstrated that six normal men aged 20–47 exposed to a daily oral dose of cobalt chloride (150 mg/day) for up to 22 days experienced polycythaemia. Red blood cell numbers increased by 5–1.19 million over initial values, approximately a 16–20% increase over pretreatment levels. Haemoglobin levels were also increased, by 6–11% over pretreatment levels.

In humans, inhalation and dermal exposure have been observed to result in sensitization to cobalt (Marcussen, 1963; Valer et al., 1967; Dooms-Goossens et al., 1980; Bencko et al., 1983; Fischer & Rystedt, 1983; Alomar et al., 1985; Goh et al., 1986; Kanerva et al., 1988; Shirakawa et al., 1988, 1989). Contact allergy was reported in 22 of 223 (9.9%) nurses who were tested with a patch test of 1.0% cobalt chloride (Kiec-Swierczyńska & Krcisz, 2000), as well as 16 of 79 (20.3%) of examined dentists (Kiec-Swierczyńska & Krcisz, 2002). Nielsen et al. (2000) demonstrated that daily repeated exposure to aqueous cobalt salts did not result in hand eczema in patients known to have cobalt allergy, suggesting that the allergic properties of cobalt result mainly from exposure to the metal itself, rather than to a cobalt salt. Shirakawa et al. (1989) reported that inhalation of cobalt chloride aerosols can precipitate an asthmatic attack in sensitized individuals. Sensitization has been observed in hard metal workers with work-related asthma and exposures ranging from 0.007 to 0.893 mg/m^3 for 3 years or more (Shirakawa et al., 1988, 1989). Bronchial asthma has been described in workers exposed to various forms of cobalt — i.e. not only in workers exposed to hard metal dust, but also in those exposed to “pure” cobalt particles (Swennen et al., 1993; Linna et al., 2003). Cobalt-specific IgE and IgA antibodies have been reported in humans (Bencko et al., 1983; Shirakawa et al., 1988, 1989).

A cross-sectional study of 82 workers in a cobalt refinery examined cobalt in blood and urine, erythropoietic variables, thyroid metabolism, pulmonary function, skin lesions, and several serum enzymes (Swennen et al., 1993). Cobalt concentrations in blood and urine were significantly correlated with airborne cobalt levels. Workers exposed to cobalt metal, salts, or oxides (mean concentration 0.125 mg/m^3 in air, range 0.001–7.7 mg/m^3) displayed a statistically significant increase in the prevalence of dyspnoea and wheezing and also had significantly more skin lesions, such as eczema and erythema.
exposure, low exposure, and control groups. The average concentration in the low exposure group was determined to be the NOAEC (0.0053 mg/m³).

A group of female workers occupationally exposed to a semisoluble cobalt glaze (cobalt–zinc silicate, estimated cobalt concentration of 0.05 mg/m³) showed significantly elevated levels of serum thyroxine and free thyroxine, but no change in triiodothyronine levels (Prescott et al., 1992). In contrast, Swennen et al. (1993) reported no significant change in serum thyroxine levels but a significant reduction in serum triiodothyronine in workers occupationally exposed to cobalt oxides, cobalt salts, and cobalt metal.

Interstitial lung disease caused by metallic cobalt-containing particles is a rare occupational lung disease. Several reviews are available on this fibrosing alveolitis, which is generally called hard metal lung disease (Bech et al., 1962; Anthoine et al., 1982; Hartung, 1986; Balmes, 1987; Van Den Eeckhout et al., 1988; Cugell, 1992; Seghizzi et al., 1994; Lison, 1996; Newman et al., 1998; Nemery et al., 2001a, 2001b). Potolicchio et al. (1997, 1999) suggested that individuals with a polymorphism in the HLA-DP gene (presence of glutamate 69 in the β chain) may be more susceptible to hard metal lung disease. Individuals with ongoing respiratory illness may also be more susceptible to the effects of inhaled cobalt.

Hard metals are manufactured by a process of powder metallurgy from tungsten and carbon (tungsten carbide) and small amounts of a few other metallic compounds (titanium carbide, tantalum carbide, niobium carbide, etc.) using cobalt as a binder. Four mortality studies of the hard metal industry have been conducted in Sweden and France. Hogstedt & Alexandersson (1990) reported on 3163 male workers, each with at least 1 year of occupational exposure at hard metal manufacturing plants in Sweden during 1940–1982 and followed from 1951 to 1982. Exposures included a number of other substances used in the production of hard metal, such as tungsten carbide. The lung cancer SMR was 1.34 (95% CI = 0.77–2.13); the all-cause mortality SMR was slightly less than unity. Among workers with more than 10 years of employment and more than 20 years since first exposure, a significant excess of lung cancer mortality was observed (SMR = 2.78, 95% CI = 1.11–5.72). Smoking habits among hard metal workers were reported to be similar to those of the male Swedish population.

Lasfargues et al. (1994) conducted a cohort mortality study of 709 male workers employed for ≥1 year at a hard metal manufacturing plant (including two workshops) in central France. Follow-up was from 1956 to 1989. Categories of exposure were defined based on dust and urinary measurements of cobalt taken in 1983. Workers who had been employed in jobs with different degrees of exposure were categorized according to their highest exposure. Job histories were obtained from company records; before 1970, however, the records were often missing. The overall mortality did not differ from expected (SMR = 1.05, 95% CI = 0.82–1.31). Mortality due to lung cancer was in excess (SMR = 2.13, 95% CI = 1.02–3.93), and the excess was highest among workers in the areas with highest exposures to cobalt (SMR = 5.03, 95% CI = 1.85–10.95).

An industry-wide cohort mortality study of the French hard metal industry was conducted by Moulin et al. (1998) to further evaluate the potential association of lung cancer risk with occupational exposure to cobalt and tungsten carbide. The cohort included 5777 men and 1682 women (total = 7459 workers) from 10 factories (most of which were in eastern France), including the factory studied by Lasfargues et al. (1994). Workers were included in the cohort if they had 3 months of employment in nine factories or 1 year of employment in the factory studied by Lasfargues et al. (1994) and were first employed between the date each factory opened (1945–1965) and December 31, 1991. The follow-up period was 1968–1991. There were 1131 workers lost to follow-up (15%). The all-cause mortality SMR was 0.93; the lung cancer SMR was 1.30 (95% CI = 1.00–1.66). Sixty-one of the 63 lung cancer deaths in the cohort were included in a nested case–control study. Three controls that were alive on the date the case died were matched to each case based on gender and age. Occupational exposure of the cases and controls was evaluated based on a job–exposure matrix involving 320 job periods and exposure intensity scores from 0 to 9. Data on smoking were available for 80% of the cases and controls. The odds ratio for workers exposed to cobalt and tungsten carbide was 1.93 (95% CI = 1.03–3.62) for exposure levels 2–9 versus levels 0–1. The odds ratio for cobalt with tungsten carbide increased with duration of exposure and cumulative dose, but less so for level of exposure. Adjustments for exposure to known or suspected carcinogens and smoking did not change the results.

A study of the largest plant in the multicentre cohort of Moulin et al. (1998) was conducted by Wild et al. (2000). The authors used the same job–exposure matrix of Moulin et al. (1998) but made use of the more detailed job histories available. Follow-up was from 1968 to 1992. The SMR for the all-cause mortality was 1.02 (95% CI = 0.92–1.13). The SMR for lung cancer among men was increased (SMR = 1.70, 95% CI = 1.24–2.26). The lung cancer SMR for exposure to hard metal dust at an intensity score of ≥2 was 2.02 (95% CI = 1.32–2.96). In a Poisson regression model including terms for smoking and other occupational carcinogens, the risk for lung cancer increased with duration of exposure to cobalt with tungsten before sintering; there was no evidence of risk from exposure to sintered hard metal dust.
Moulin et al. (1993) studied the mortality of a cohort of 1148 workers in a cobalt electrochemical plant in France that produced cobalt and sodium by electrochemistry, extending the follow-up of an earlier study by Mur et al. (1987). The cohort included all men who had worked at the plant for a minimum of 1 year between 1950 and 1980. Follow-up was to the end of 1988 and was obtained for 99% of French-born workers. Because of difficulty in follow-up of non-French workers, results were presented only for the 870 French-born (i.e. a loss to follow-up of 24%). The SMR for all causes of death was 0.95 (95% CI = 0.78–1.26). The SMR for lung cancer was 1.16 (95% CI = 0.24–3.40) among workers exclusively employed in cobalt production and 1.18 (95% CI = 0.32–3.03) for workers ever employed in cobalt production.

Tüchsen et al. (1996) did not find evidence of an increased risk of lung cancer among a cohort of 874 women occupationally exposed to poorly soluble cobalt–aluminate spinel in two porcelain production factories in Denmark compared with that expected based on national rates for Danish women.

There are no available studies on genotoxic effects in humans exposed to cobalt by the oral and dermal routes of exposure. A cohort of 26 male workers who were occupationally exposed to cobalt, chromium, nickel, and iron exhibited increased sister chromatid exchange rank values (by analysis of variance) that were related to metal exposures and smoking habits (Gennart et al., 1993). De Boeck et al. (2000) performed a comet assay on lymphocytes from non-smoking workers occupationally exposed to cobalt or hard metal dusts and reported no significant effects. This study reported a positive association between hard metal exposure and increased micronucleus formation in smokers only. Hengstler et al. (2003) determined DNA single-strand break induction from mononuclear blood cells of 78 workers occupationally exposed to cadmium (range of concentrations in air, 0.05–138 µg/m³), cobalt (range, 0–10 µg/m³), and lead (range, 0–125 µg/m³), compared with 22 unexposed control workers. Non-parametric correlation analysis demonstrated significant correlations between DNA single-strand breaks and cobalt ($P < 0.001$; $r = 0.401$) and cadmium ($P < 0.001$; $r = 0.371$), but not lead.

10. EFFECTS ON OTHER ORGANISMS IN THE LABORATORY AND FIELD

10.1 Essentiality

Cobalt is essential for nitrogen fixation by free-living bacteria, blue-green algae, and symbiotic systems (e.g. Rhizobium in the root nodules of legumes) (Adriano, 1986). Cobalt is an essential element for the growth of many marine algal species, including diatoms, chrysophytes, and dinoflagellates (McLachlan, 1973; Bruland et al., 1991). In higher plants, cobalt has been shown to be an essential element for legumes, which have nodules containing nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Ozanne et al., 1963; Gladstones et al., 1977). In non-leguminous plants, cobalt is reported to be beneficial rather than essential. Smith & Carson (1981) reported inconclusive evidence of low concentrations of cobalt being beneficial to non-leguminous plants, whereas cobalt supplements have been reported to increase growth of rubber plants and tomatoes and length of pea stem sections (Adriano, 1986).

Studies with earthworms (Eisenia fetida) involving the addition of cobalt chloride supplements to a food source low in cobalt indicated that total cobalt concentrations of 17.6 and 25.9 mg/kg dry weight resulted in significantly increased maximum weights and numbers of cocoons produced compared with control worms exposed to 9.4 mg/kg dry weight (Neuhauser et al., 1984). Although cobalt is essential for animal nutrition, the metal is not required by animals in ionic form. It is, however, a dietary essential element for ruminants and horses, in which it is incorporated into vitamin B₁₂ molecules by gastrointestinal microbes (Smith, 1987). Low levels of cobalt in feedstuff can cause nutritional diseases in ruminants — e.g. “bush sickness” in cattle or sheep or “pining” in sheep (Adriano, 1986). In the natural environment, NAS (1980) noted that cobalt deficiency in ruminants is more likely than cobalt toxicosis. Suttle et al. (2003), using acetic acid-extractable cobalt as a predictor of “plant availability” and therefore of potentially deficient soils, found that 29% of 103 soils analysed in the United Kingdom were deficient (<0.4 mg of acetic acid-extractable cobalt per kilogram dry weight) for grazing livestock. Frank et al. (2004) suggested that a wasting, debilitating disease affecting a wild population of moose (Alces alces americana) in eastern North America might be due to cobalt/vitamin B₁₂ deficiency.

10.2 Aquatic environment

Acute exposure ($≤96$ h) to cobalt concentrations in the range of 5–20 mg/l has been shown to result in a reduction in growth of the cyanobacterium Anabaena variabilis (Ahluwalia & Kaur, 1988). A delay in the onset of the $log$ phase of growth of Anacystis nidulans has been reported following a 17-day exposure to cobalt concentrations of 15 mg/l; concentrations of 30 mg/l caused complete cessation of growth (Lee et al., 1992). Toxicity of cobalt to aquatic organisms is summarized in Table 2. A 96-h EC₅₀ based on growth of the freshwater green alga Chlorella vulgaris was reported at 0.6 mg/l (Rachlin & Grosso, 1993), whereas EC₅₀ for aquatic
Table 2: Toxicity of cobalt to aquatic organisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>Cobalt concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microorganisms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freshwater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue-green alga (<em>Spirulina platensis</em>)</td>
<td>96-h EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (biomass)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>Sharma et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green alga (<em>Chlorella vulgaris</em>)</td>
<td>96-h EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Rachlin &amp; Gross (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-day NOEC (growth)</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Coleman et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-day LOEC (growth)</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Coleman et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green alga (<em>Euglena viridis</em>)</td>
<td>21-day LOEC (growth)</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Coleman et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green alga (<em>Pediastrum tetras</em>)</td>
<td>21-day LOEC (growth)</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Coleman et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoan (<em>Tetrahymena pyriformis</em>)</td>
<td>9-h IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Sauvant et al. (1995b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36-h IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Sauvant et al. (1995a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliated protozoan (<em>Spirostomum ambiguum</em>)</td>
<td>24-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>Nalecz-Jawecki &amp; Sawicki (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatom (<em>Ditylum brightwellii</em>)</td>
<td>5-day EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Canterford &amp; Canterford (1980)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatom (<em>Nitzschia closterium</em>)</td>
<td>96-h EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Rosko &amp; Rachlin (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vascular plants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater duckweed (<em>Spirodela polyrhiza</em>)</td>
<td>96-h EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Gaur et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water velvet (<em>Azolla pinnata</em>)</td>
<td>96-h EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Gaur et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invertebrates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Freshwater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (<em>Daphnia magna</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Khangarot &amp; Ray (1989a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Biesinger &amp; Christensen (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kimball (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-day LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Biesinger &amp; Christensen (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-day EC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; (reproduction)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Biesinger &amp; Christensen (1972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-day NOEC (reproduction and survival)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>0.03–0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nagpal (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-day LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Kimball (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-day NOEC (reproduction)</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Kimball (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (<em>Daphnia hyalina</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Baudouin &amp; Scoppa (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (<em>Ceriodaphnia dubia</em>)</td>
<td>24-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>2.4→5.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Diamond et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-day NOEC (reproduction)</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>&lt;0.003–0.013&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nagpal (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifer (<em>Philodina acuticornis</em>)</td>
<td>24-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>Biukema et al. (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (<em>Diaptomus forbesi</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Das &amp; Kaviraj (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (<em>Cyclops abyssorum</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>Baudouin &amp; Scoppa (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (<em>Eudiaptomus padanus</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Baudouin &amp; Scoppa (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crayfish (<em>Austropotamobius pallipes</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Boutet &amp; Chaisemartin (1973)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 (Contd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>Cobalt concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crayfish (<em>Orconectes limosus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Boutet &amp; Chaisemartin (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipod (<em>Cragononyx pseudogracilis</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Martin &amp; Holdich (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatworm (<em>Dugesia tigrina</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail (<em>Helisoma trivolvis</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sideswimmer (<em>Gammarus fasciatus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillbug (<em>Asellus intermedius</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmented worm (<em>Lumbriculus variegatus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubifid worm (<em>Tubifex tubifex</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>95.4–239</td>
<td>Rathore &amp; Khangarot (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligochaete (<em>Branchiura sowerbyi</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Das &amp; Kaviraj (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midge (<em>Chironomus tentans</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Khangarot &amp; Ray (1989b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayfly (<em>Ephemerella subvaria</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Warrick &amp; Bell (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematode (<em>Monhystera disjuncta</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Not given</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Vranken et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown mussel (<em>Perna perna</em>)</td>
<td>1-h EC$_{50}$ (filtering rate)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Watling &amp; Watling (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine shrimp (<em>Artemia salina</em>)</td>
<td>48-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Kissa et al. (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-h EC$_{50}$ (hatching rate)</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>Kissa et al. (1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common prawn (<em>Palaemon serratus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>227–454 (adult)</td>
<td>Amiard (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore crab (<em>Carcinus maenus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>227–454 (adult)</td>
<td>Amiard (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobster (<em>Homarus vulgaris</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>4.5–22.7 (larva)</td>
<td>Amiard (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopod (<em>Idotea baltica</em>)</td>
<td>52-day LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>El-Nady &amp; Atta (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout (<em>Oncorhynchus mykiss</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Marr et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-day NOEC (growth)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Marr et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-day LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Birge et al. (1980)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathead minnow (<em>Pimephales promelas</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Formate</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>Curtis &amp; Ward (1981)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Bromide</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>Curtis &amp; Ward (1981)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Kimball (1978)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>Ewell et al. (1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-day NOEC$^{d}$ (survival)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>1.2–3.8$^{b}$</td>
<td>Diamond et al. (1992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish (<em>Carassius auratus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>Das &amp; Kaviraj (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-day LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Birge et al. (1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish (<em>Danio rerio</em>)</td>
<td>16-day NOEC$^{d}$ (hatching rate)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Dave &amp; Xiu (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-day NOEC$^{d}$ (survival)</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Dave &amp; Xiu (1991)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant gourami (<em>Colisa fasciata</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Srivastava &amp; Agrawal (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaice (<em>Pleuronectes platessa</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>454–681</td>
<td>Amiard (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanny (<em>Blennius pholis</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>454–681</td>
<td>Amiard (1976)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds

Table 2 (Contd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>Cobalt concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mummichog (<em>Fundulus heteroclitus</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Dorfman (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>Carbonic acid</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>Dorfman (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent perch (<em>Therapon jarbua</em>)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>Krishnakumari et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amphibians**

**Freshwater**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frog (<em>Rana hexadactyla</em>)</th>
<th>96-h LC₅₀</th>
<th>Chloride</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>Khangarot et al. (1985)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-mouthed toad (<em>Gastrophryne carolinensis</em></td>
<td>7-day LC₅₀</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Birge et al. (1979)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- a Hardness ranging from 50 to 200 mg of calcium carbonate per litre.
- b Hardness ranging from 50 to 800 mg of calcium carbonate per litre.
- c Temperature ranging from 30 °C to 15 °C.
- d Embryo–larval toxicity test.
- e Early life stage toxicity test (larvae <24 h old).
- f Salinity 5–25‰.
- g Salinity 8–19‰.

vascular plants were 0.1 and 0.2 mg/l (Gaur et al., 1994). The 5-day EC₅₀ based on growth of the marine diatom *Ditylum brightwellii* was reported at 0.3 mg/l (Canterford & Canterford, 1980).

For freshwater invertebrates, acute LC₅₀s (24–96 h) range from 1.1 mg/l (water flea *Daphnia magna*) to 239 mg/l (tubificid worm *Tubifex tubifex*). Several studies on *D. magna* reproduction were reported, with a 21-day EC₅₀ at 0.01 mg/l and a 28-day NOEC at 0.003 mg/l (Biesinger & Christensen, 1972; Kimball, 1978); later studies found 21-day NOECs ranging from 0.03 to 0.05 mg/l for varying levels of calcium carbonate (Nagpal, 2004). The lowest reported NOEC for aquatic organisms was for the water flea *Ceriodaphnia dubia* in a 7-day test, at <0.003 mg/l (Nagpal, 2004). The most sensitive marine invertebrates were lobster larvae (*Homarus vulgaris*), with 96-h LC₅₀s ranging from 4.5 to 22.7 mg/l (Amiard, 1976). Ninety-six-hour LC₅₀s for freshwater fish range from 1.4 to 333 mg/l. A 16-day NOEC based on survival of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) was reported at 0.06 mg/l (Dave & Xiu, 1991). Test results for marine fish suggest that at least the species tested are relatively insensitive to cobalt, with 96-h LC₅₀s ranging from 52.5 to >1000 mg/l.

Marr et al. (1998) reported a temporal pattern to cobalt toxicity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Cobalt concentrations that would eventually cause 100% lethality caused no lethality until at least 72 h of exposure. A one-compartment uptake–depuration model was used to estimate the incipient lethal level for 50% mortality (time-independent concentration); the authors noted that the majority of the lethality occurred between 72 and 192 h, suggesting that the standard short-term 96-h LC₅₀ could underpredict cobalt toxicity substantially. It should be noted that the 96-h LC₅₀ was 1.4 mg/l and the incipient lethal level for 50% mortality was 0.4 mg/l.

Under most environmental conditions, including both fresh water and marine water, much of cobalt is dissolved either as cobalt carbonate or as Co²⁺ ions (Tippling et al., 1998). However, the actual bioavailability appears to depend on the water chemistry and particularly the concentration of Ca²⁺ ions and dissolved organic matter complexation. Diamond et al. (1992) suggested that there might be an effect of water hardness on aquatic toxicity. They reported that the 24-h LC₅₀ for *Ceriodaphnia dubia* varied from 2.4 mg/l to greater than 5.3 mg/l in water with hardness ranging from 50 to 800 mg/l as calcium carbonate. The 7-day NOECs, based on survival, for *C. dubia* were <0.05 mg/l at a water hardness of 50 mg of calcium carbonate per litre and 0.6 mg/l at 800 mg of calcium carbonate per litre. The 48-h NOECs, based on survival, for fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) varied from 1.3 mg/l at a water hardness of 50 mg of calcium carbonate per litre to 13.7 mg/l at 400 mg of calcium carbonate per litre, whereas 7-day NOECs ranged from 1.2 to 3.8 mg/l (Diamond et al., 1992). However, the results of a 7-day *C. dubia* partial life cycle toxicity test and a 21-day *D. magna* partial life cycle toxicity test reported by Nagpal (2004) did not support the cobalt toxicity–water hardness relationship suggested by Diamond et al. (1992). The 95% confidence limits for test end-points were overlapping for each of the three water hardnesses tested (50, 100, and 200 mg of calcium carbonate per litre).

Further studies on the interaction between Ca²⁺ ions and cobalt uptake suggest that cobalt ions compete with Ca²⁺ ions at the fish gill–water interface (Comhaire et al.,...
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1994; Richards & Playle, 1998). Comhaire et al. (1994) found a clear decrease in the uptake of Co2+ by common carp (Cyprinus carpio) at Ca2+ concentrations of 0.4 and 14 mg/l; however, there was no further effect at ≥40 mg/l. The rate of Ca2+ uptake in gills and blood did not depend on the amount of calcium present in the water, and the results suggested that the effect of calcium on Co2+ uptake involves a direct interaction with the systems involved in the translocation of these metal ions across the gill epithelium. Richards & Playle (1998) found significant uptake of cobalt in artificial soft water (<1 mg of Ca2+ per litre); however, there was no significant uptake of cobalt in natural soft waters with Ca2+ concentrations ranging from 20 to 100 mg/l. Using a gill–cobalt binding model, they were able to predict an absence of gill cobalt accumulation in natural waters from nine different water bodies across Ontario, Canada, spanning a wide range of Ca2+ and Na+, pH (4.2–7.6), and dissolved organic matter levels. Overall, the model analysis indicated that Ca2+ competition and dissolved organic matter complexation were the most important factors preventing Co2+ from binding at the gills in these natural water tests. However, the effect of Ca2+ ions on the uptake and potential toxicity of cobalt occurs at very low Ca2+ concentrations, probably lower than those used in any of the reported toxicity tests. The data indicate that Co2+ binds to gill sites >1000 times more weakly than Cd2+, 10 times more weakly than Pb2+, and about 6 times more weakly than Zn2+ (Niyogi & Wood, 2004).

Rathore & Khangarot (2002) reported effects of temperature on the sensitivity of the sludge worm Tubifex tubifex to cobalt. In 96-h tests, worms were more sensitive at 30 °C than at 15 °C; overall, however, there was no clear relationship between temperature and acute toxicity — i.e. LC50s were 239, 180, 247, and 95.4 mg/l at 15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C, and 30°C, respectively. Furthermore, at shorter exposure times, the pattern of sensitivity with temperature varied.

Behavioural avoidance of cobalt in soft water differed greatly between rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha). Chinook salmon avoided cobalt concentrations of at least 0.02 mg/l, whereas rainbow trout avoided at least 0.2 mg/l (Hansen et al., 1999).

10.3 Terrestrial environment

Data regarding the toxicity of cobalt to soil microorganisms are limited. Lighthart et al. (1977) studied the effects of several metals, including cobalt, at single concentrations on respiration of native soil microflora in soil/litter microcosms. A 1362 mg/l solution of cobalt mixed into the soil and litter in the microcosm resulted in a reduction in respiration of 23%.

There is little evidence of cobalt toxicity to plants due to elevated concentrations in soil. Vanselow (1966) reported that concentrations of cobalt in soil of up to 100 mg/kg have little effect on citrus crops. USEPA (2005) reported mean EC20 values, based on growth of alfalfa (Medicago sativa), barley (Hordeum vulgare), and radish (Raphanus sativus), ranging from 0.6 to 45.2 mg/kg dry weight.

Data from a number of nutrient solution studies were used to evaluate the potential for toxicity to plants from irrigation water containing cobalt. Wallace et al. (1977) reported reduction of leaf dry weight in bush beans (Phaseolus vulgaris) grown in nutrient solution containing a cobalt concentration of 0.06 mg/l for 21 days. A reduction of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium) seedling root weight after 21 days of growth in nutrient solution containing cobalt at 0.06 mg/l was reported by Patel et al. (1976). Inhibition of mung bean (Vigna radiata) seedling growth occurred at 295 mg/l and was associated with chlorosis of the younger leaves (Liu et al., 2000). Misra et al. (1994) studied the effects of heavy metals, including cobalt, alone and in combination, on germination and root elongation of broad bean (Vicia faba). They found that seed germination was not affected by exposure to cobalt; however, root elongation was reduced, but not significantly, at concentrations of 8000 and 10 000 mg/l. Further, an increase in root elongation was observed at lower cobalt concentrations, with a significant increase at the lowest concentration studied (2000 mg/l). Patterson & Olsen (1983) assessed the toxicity of cobalt in solution to seedlings of white spruce (Picea glauca), black spruce (Picea mariana), paper hirch (Betula papyrifera), jack pine (Pinus banksiana), white pine (Pinus strobus), red pine (Pinus resinosa), and honeysuckle (Lonicera tatarica). Toxic concentrations ranged from 5 mg/l for honeysuckle and paper hirch to 100 mg/l for white pine. NAS/NAE (1973) reported that toxicity to a variety of food crops has been observed due to the application of nutrient solution containing cobalt at concentrations of approximately 0.1–5 mg/l.

Cobalt tolerance, along with tolerance to other metals, has been found in plant populations growing on soils high in particular metals: for example, populations of bladder campion (Silene vulgaris) and redtop (Agrostis gigantea) on mine tailings in Ontario, Canada (Hogan & Rausser, 1979; Paliouris & Hutchinson, 1991), tufted hair grass (Deschampisia cespitosa) around the Sudbury smelters, Ontario, Canada (Cox & Hutchinson, 1979), and Silene cobalticola (a campion species) in Zaire (Baker et al., 1983). Exclusion of the metal has been demonstrated in the cobalt tolerance of Silene cobalticola (Baker et al., 1983), whereas other species growing on cobalt-rich copper clearings are hyperaccumulators of cobalt (Brooks, 1977; Malaisse et al., 1979; Morrison et al., 1979).
Hartenstein et al. (1981) exposed the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in a silt loam covered with activated sludge spiked at different cobalt concentrations and observed a significant effect on growth after 8 weeks of exposure at 300 mg/kg but not at 30 mg/kg. Neuhauser et al. (1984) exposed *E. fetida* to soil covered with horse manure spiked at different cobalt concentrations and found no significant effect on growth after 4 weeks of exposure at concentrations up to 91.9 mg/kg dry weight. Fischer & Molnár (1997) exposed *E. foetida* to a mixture of peaty marshland soil and horse manure spiked at different cobalt concentrations for 10 weeks. They found a total inhibition of reproduction and 77% mortality at 4720 mg/kg dry weight. The 28-day EC₅₀, based on reproduction, of the springtail *Folsomia candida* was 1480 mg/kg dry weight in standard OECD artificial soil and 409 mg/kg in standard field soil. The difference in toxicity was reported to be due to the pH and cation exchange capacity of the two soils (Lock et al., 2004). Tatara et al. (1998) reported the 24-h LC₅₀ for total cobalt at 1274 mg/l and for the free ion at 1210 mg/l for the free-living soil nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* exposed to cobalt nitrate.

Dietary levels of 125, 250, and 500 mg of cobalt per kilogram of feed were given to 1-day-old broiler chicks for 14 days. All levels of cobalt reduced feed intake, body weight gain, and gain:feed ratio and caused a dose-dependent increase in mortality (Diaz et al., 1994). Hill (1974) reported a significant adverse effect on growth of 2-week-old chickens at a cobalt (as cobalt chloride) concentration of 100 mg/kg diet; no effect was found at 50 mg/kg. After 5 weeks, there was significant mortality at 200 mg/kg. Van Vleet et al. (1981) exposed white peking ducklings (*Anas sp.*) to dietary cobalt (as cobalt chloride) concentrations of 200 or 500 mg/kg for 15–28 days. Ducklings fed cobalt at 200 mg/kg for 15 days developed lesions characteristic of selenium–vitamin E deficiency, such as necrosis of skeletal and cardiac muscle and of smooth muscle of the gizzard and intestine; no significant mortality was reported. Significant mortality was reported at 500 mg/kg during a 28-day exposure.

11. EFFECTS EVALUATION

11.1 Evaluation of health effects

11.1.1 Hazard identification and dose–response assessment

Inhalation of cobalt metal is associated with respiratory effects in humans, including respiratory symptoms and effects on lung function as measured by FVC, FEV₁, MMEF, and mean PEFR in a cross-sectional study of workers exposed to cobalt. Short-term (22 days) ingestion of cobalt at 150 mg/day in human volunteers produced polycythaemia and an increase in haemoglobin.

Cobalt has been shown to be mutagenic in somatic and germ cells in in vivo and in vitro experiments. Increased sister chromatid exchange was observed in male workers exposed to cobalt and other metals. Clastogenic effects in bone marrow cells were observed in mice orally exposed to cobalt. Intraperitoneal injection of cobalt produced an increase in micronuclei in mice and oxidatively damaged DNA in rats. Cobalt has been found to cause genotoxic effects in mammalian test systems. Cobalt(III) was positive, but cobalt(II) produced mixed responses, in bacterial mutagenicity tests. Cobalt ions in the presence of oxidants can cause increased levels of DNA damage in vitro.

Mice and rats exposed to high oral doses of cobalt chloride for 2–3 months experienced testicular degeneration and atrophy. Stunted growth and decreased survival were observed among newborn rats at doses that caused maternal toxicity in one study. Similar doses did not cause testicular damage in adult rats.

Inhalation and dermal exposure to cobalt are known to result in sensitization. Bronchial asthma has been described in workers exposed to various forms of cobalt.

Interstitial lung disease caused by metallic cobalt-containing particles is an occupational lung disease generally referred to as hard metal lung disease. Four mortality studies, one in Sweden and three in France, of hard metal industry workers exposed to tungsten carbide, cobalt, and small amounts of other metals found an increased risk of death from lung cancer. The three French studies were not independent. Mortality studies of cobalt production workers and of workers exposed to cobalt during porcelain production found no increased lung cancer risk. Rats and mice exposed to cobalt sulfate heptahydrate by inhalation developed a dose-related lung tumour response, and cobalt metal administered by injection was found to produce injection-site sarcomas.

In the early to mid-1960s, cobalt sulfate was added to beer as a foam stabilizer. Ingestion of cobalt sulfate at 0.04–0.14 mg/kg body weight per day (8–30 pints per day) over several years was found to be associated with cardiomyopathy in humans. This effect may have been confounded by poor diet and high alcohol consumption. Rats exposed to cobalt sulfate in the diet at higher doses also experienced adverse cardiac effects.

No long-term feeding studies have been conducted with cobalt, and there are no long-term studies of humans ingesting cobalt. Short-term (22 days) ingestion of cobalt at 150 mg/day in human volunteers produced polycythaemia and an increase in haemoglobin.
produce such effects in another study of rats or in a study of mice. Rabbits exposed at high doses were found to have increased mortality, fetal resorption, and number of fetuses with decreased body weight. No teratogenic effects were reported in any of the studies.

Cobalt has been found to decrease glucose metabolism in animals.

Cobalt toxicity is hypothesized to be the result of oxidant-based and free radical-based processes. Cobalt exposure affects genes that are sensitive to oxidant status, potentially leading to apoptosis. Soluble cobalt has also been shown to block inorganic calcium channels, which can affect neuromuscular transmissions. Cobalt is hypothesized to affect haem synthesis.

11.1.2 Criteria for setting tolerable intakes and concentrations

The study of diamond polishers by Nemery et al. (1992) provides an adequate basis for setting a tolerable concentration for inhaled cobalt. The NOAEC in the study was $0.0053 \text{ mg/m}^3$. Assuming an 8-h workday and a 5 days/week exposure, the NOAEC in the study is adjusted to derive a NOAEC for the general population of $0.0013 \text{ mg/m}^3$. This NOAEC is divided by an uncertainty factor of 10 for human variability to give a tolerable concentration of $0.00013 \text{ mg/m}^3$, which is rounded to $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/m}^3$, for the general population.

No previous peer-reviewed documents have done a quantitative cancer risk estimate for cobalt. The tolerable concentration is based on a non-cancer end-point. To provide some assurance, at least with respect to cancer, that the tolerable concentration is protective, a BMC approach (USEPA, 2003) was used to estimate the lung cancer risk at the tolerable concentration of $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/m}^3$. Using this approach, the lifetime cancer risk at the tolerable concentration derived from the Nemery et al. (1992) study was estimated to be $3 \times 10^{-5}$.

1 BMCL10s were estimated based on the rat and mice data from the NTP study (NTP, 1998; Bucher et al., 1999) using a multistage model for dichotomous data with a confidence level of 95% and betas restricted to greater than or equal to zero. The BMCL10 is the lower limit of a one-sided 95% confidence interval on the concentration of a substance associated with a 10% incidence of an effect. The BMCL10 showing the greatest risk was that for male mouse tumours (BMCL10 = 0.358 361 mg/m3). The tolerable concentration from the Nemery et al. (1992) study is $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/m}^3$. Solving for x in the equation $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/m}^3 = 0.358 361 \text{ mg/m}^3 - 0.10 + x$ produces a lifetime cancer risk estimate of $3 \times 10^{-5}$.

11.1.3 Sample risk characterization

11.1.3.1 Exposure of the sample population

At unpolluted sites, mean cobalt air concentrations are typically <1–2 ng/m3. The cobalt concentration in the air near Boston, Massachusetts, USA, in 1992–1993 was 1.7 ng/m3; in southern Norway, the mean cobalt concentration was 0.10 ng/m3 in 1985–1986. In source areas, cobalt concentrations may exceed 10 ng/m3.

The general population is exposed to cobalt primarily through the food supply, with estimated intake of 5–40 µg/day through the diet. Most of the ingested cobalt is inorganic.

11.1.3.2 Health risks in the sample population

The margin of exposure between ambient cobalt concentrations near anthropogenic sources of cobalt and the tolerable concentration of $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/m}^3$ is a factor of about 10-fold.

11.1.4 Uncertainties in the evaluation of health risks

The study used to derive an inhalation tolerable concentration is a cross-sectional study of lung function and respiratory symptoms. The effects may be a reflection of recent, not chronic, exposure, and thus it is unknown if the tolerable concentration is protective for chronic effects. Studies of cohorts of hard metal workers exposed to cobalt and tungsten carbide have consistently found an increased risk of lung cancer. Cobalt sulfate has been found to induce pulmonary tumours in rats and mice. Cobalt is also known to be a sensitizing agent and genotoxic. Given that cobalt is an animal carcinogen, a sensitizing agent, and genotoxic, health risks may be present at exposures lower than the tolerable concentration.

The inhalation tolerable concentration was based on a cohort of 192 workers (among many thousands worldwide), in one industry (of many), which uses cobalt in a specific form, and may not be reflective of the form of cobalt to which workers are exposed in all industries that use cobalt.

2 From a short-term study in six human volunteers, ATSDR (2004) derived an intermediate-term (15–364 days) minimal risk level of 50 µg/kg body weight per day.
11.2 Evaluation of environmental effects

Cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds are non-volatile and released into the atmosphere in particulate form. Anthropogenic cobalt from combustion sources is assumed to be primarily in the form of oxides. Arsenide and sulfide forms are also released into the atmosphere during ore extraction and refining processes.

Cobalt released into the atmosphere is deposited on soil, and cobalt released into water may sorb to particles and settle into sediment or sorb directly to sediment. The distribution coefficient of cobalt (e.g. from water to sediment) varies due to pH, redox conditions, ionic strength, and dissolved organic matter concentrations. Factors affecting the speciation and fate of cobalt in water, sediments, and soil include organic ligands such as humic acids, anions, pH, and redox potential. In fresh water, cobalt complexed with carbonate (HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$) constituted about 70% of dissolved cobalt, whereas a further 25% was present as the free Co$^{2+}$ ion. The proportion of cobalt complexed with carbonate increases at the expense of free Co$^{2+}$ as the alkalinity of the water increases. The proportions, but not the concentrations, of cobalt that exist as the free ion and as carbonate complexes in river water are independent of the level of fulvic acid in the water. In seawater, the carbonate species and the free aqua species assume roughly equal importance. The proportion of dissolved cobalt complexed with fulvic acid decreases with increasing salinity. About 20% of cobalt in seawater was estimated to be present as sulfate complexes. Soil mobility of cobalt is inversely related to the strength of adsorption by soil constituents. Although plants may take up cobalt from the soil, the translocation of cobalt from the roots to other parts of the plant is not significant.

Measured atmospheric concentrations of cobalt are approximately 1 ng/m$^3$ or less in non-source areas and approximately 10 ng/m$^3$ in source areas. Surface water and groundwater concentrations of stable cobalt are less than 1 µg/l in pristine areas and 1–10 µg/l in populated areas. Surface water and groundwater concentrations can be much higher in mining and agricultural areas, with values of up to several hundred milligrams per litre. Mean cobalt concentrations in seawater have been reported to be less than 1 µg/l. In rainwater, mean concentrations are 0.3–1.7 µg/l. The earth’s crust contains an average cobalt concentration of 20–25 mg/kg. Near some anthropogenic sources, the concentration of cobalt in soil may be several hundred milligrams per kilogram.

Cobalt is essential for nitrogen fixation by free-living bacteria, blue-green algae, and symbiotic systems (e.g. Rhizobium in the root nodules of legumes). Although cobalt is essential for animal nutrition, the metal is not required by animals in the ionic form. It is, however, a dietary essential element for ruminants and horses, in which it is incorporated into vitamin B$_{12}$ molecules by gastrointestinal microbes.

A 96-h EC$_{50}$ based on growth of the freshwater green alga *Chlorella vulgaris* was reported at 0.6 mg/l, whereas EC$_{50}$s for vascular plants were 0.1 and 0.2 mg/l. The 5-day EC$_{50}$ based on growth of the marine diatom *Ditylum brightwellii* was 0.3 mg/l. For freshwater invertebrates, acute LC$_{50}$s (24–96 h) range from 1.1 to 239 mg/l. Several studies on *Daphnia magna* reproduction were reported, with a 21-day EC$_{50}$ of 0.01 mg/l and a 28-day NOEC of 0.003 mg/l; however, later studies found 21-day NOECs ranging from 0.03 to 0.05 mg/l for varying levels of calcium carbonate. The lowest reported NOEC for aquatic organisms was for the water flea *Ceriodaphnia dubia* in a 7-day test, at <0.003 mg/l. The most sensitive marine invertebrates were lobster larvae, with 96-h LC$_{50}$s ranging from 4.5 to 22.7 mg/l. Ninety-six-hour LC$_{50}$s for freshwater fish range from 1.4 to 333 mg/l. A 16-day NOEC based on survival was reported at 0.06 mg/l. Test results for marine fish suggest that at least the species tested are relatively insensitive to cobalt, with 96-h LC$_{50}$s ranging from 52.5 mg/l (as sulfate) to >1000 mg/l (as carbonate).

Ca$^{2+}$ competition and dissolved organic matter complexation were the most important factors preventing Co$^{2+}$ from binding at the gills in natural water tests. However, the effect of Ca$^{2+}$ ions on the uptake and potential toxicity of cobalt occurs at very low Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations, probably lower than those used in any of the reported toxicity tests. While the gill–cobalt binding model developed by Richards & Playle (1998) provides a basic framework, Niyogi & Wood (2004) suggest that a biotic ligand model should be developed to enhance the understanding of cobalt uptake by aquatic organisms. They recommended that future focus should be on correlating the model-simulated influence of water chemistry on gill cobalt accumulations with measured acute toxicity (96-h LC$_{50}$) in fish under well defined water chemistry so as to quantify the critical gill cobalt burdens. Additional water chemistry variables such as alkalinity and Mg$^{2+}$ should be investigated in this context. The approach could then be extended to model aquatic invertebrates such as daphnids.

Guidance values for cobalt toxicity in the marine and freshwater environments can be derived using a probabilistic approach, since the data set is sufficiently large to warrant it. Appendix 5 details the methodology used as an example.

For the marine environment, 12 toxicity values were chosen to derive a guidance value. The criteria for choosing the toxicity values and the values are presented in Appendix 5. These acute values have been converted to chronic estimates (see Table A5-1, Appendix 5). A moderate-reliability guidance value for the protection of
99% of marine species with 50% confidence was derived at 0.02 mg/l (20 µg/l) (see Figure A5-2, Appendix 5). A comparison of this value with environmental concentrations would suggest that effects are likely only in the vicinity of major anthropogenic releases.

For the freshwater environment, 28 data points were used in the derivation in the same way. For further details, the reader should refer to Appendix 5 and Table A5-2. A moderate-reliability guidance value for the protection of 95% of freshwater species with 50% confidence was derived at 0.008 mg/l (8 µg/l) (see Figure A5-3, Appendix 5). This value is based on mean values for studies carried out on the same species over the same test period but under differing test conditions. A comparison of this value with environmental concentrations would suggest that effects are likely only in the vicinity of major anthropogenic releases. There is some evidence that under conditions of extremely low Ca²⁺ ion concentrations, there is less competition for cobalt at binding sites and therefore greater uptake. Therefore, the greatest risk to aquatic organisms would be in very soft water areas (where the Ca²⁺ ion concentration is <10 mg/l) close to sources of anthropogenic release.

Data regarding the toxicity of cobalt to soil microorganisms are limited. There is little evidence of cobalt toxicity to plants due to elevated concentrations in soil. Cobalt tolerance, along with tolerance to other metals, has been found in plant populations growing on soils high in particular metals. Exclusion of the metal has been demonstrated in the cobalt tolerance of some species, whereas others growing on cobalt-rich copper clearings are hyperaccumulators of cobalt.

A significant adverse effect on the growth of earthworms (Eisenia fetida) was reported at 300 mg/kg; however, no effects were found at concentrations up to 91.9 mg/kg dry weight. Total inhibition of reproduction and ultimately 77% mortality were reported at 4720 mg/kg dry weight. The 28-day EC₅₀, based on reproduction, for the springtail Folsomia candida was 409 mg/kg in standard field soil, whereas the 24-h LC₅₀ for the free-living soil nematode Caenorhabditis elegans was 1210 mg/l based on the free cobalt ion.

In the terrestrial environment, adverse effects of cobalt on birds and wild mammals would appear unlikely, with cobalt deficiency in ruminants more likely than cobalt toxicosis.

11.2.1 Uncertainties in the evaluation of environmental effects

The Australian protocol was used as an example of a probabilistic approach. Alternative probabilistic and deterministic approaches are available, such as those in the OECD guidelines, which might give different guidance values.

12. PREVIOUS EVALUATIONS BY IOMC BODIES

IARC (2005) evaluated the carcinogenic hazards of cobalt and cobalt compounds and concluded that:

- There is limited evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of cobalt metal with tungsten carbide.
- There is inadequate evidence in humans for the carcinogenicity of cobalt metal without tungsten carbide.
- There is sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of cobalt sulfate.
- There is sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of cobalt metal powder.
- There is limited evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of metal alloys containing cobalt.
- There is inadequate evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of cobalt–aluminium–chromium spinel.

The overall evaluation was that:

- Cobalt metal with tungsten carbide is probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A). A number of working group members supported an evaluation in Group 1 because (1) they judged the epidemiological evidence to be sufficient, leading to an overall evaluation in Group 1; and/or (2) they judged the mechanistic evidence to be strong enough to justify upgrading the default evaluation from 2A to 1. The majority of working group members who supported the Group 2A evaluation cited the need for either sufficient evidence in humans or strong mechanistic evidence in exposed humans.
- Cobalt metal without tungsten carbide is possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B).
- Cobalt sulfate and other soluble cobalt(II) salts are possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B).
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APPENDIX 1 — ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSDR</td>
<td>Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC</td>
<td>benchmark concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMCL_{10}</td>
<td>lower limit of the benchmark concentration associated with a 10% incidence of an effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Chemical Abstracts Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CICAD</td>
<td>Concise International Chemical Assessment Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoA</td>
<td>coenzyme A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC_{50}</td>
<td>median effective concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV_{1}</td>
<td>forced expiratory volume in 1 second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>forced vital capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-AAS</td>
<td>graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC_{p}</td>
<td>hazardous concentration for p% of the species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC_{50}(p)</td>
<td>hazardous concentration for p% of the species with a 50% confidence level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IARC</td>
<td>International Agency for Research on Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC_{50}</td>
<td>median inhibitory concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
<td>inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRP</td>
<td>International Commission on Radiological Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOMC</td>
<td>Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCS</td>
<td>International Programme on Chemical Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_D</td>
<td>partition coefficient in soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_F</td>
<td>Freundlich adsorption constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC_{50}</td>
<td>median lethal concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD_{50}</td>
<td>median lethal dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOEC</td>
<td>lowest-observed-effect concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMEF</td>
<td>maximal mid-expiratory flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIOSH</td>
<td>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAEC</td>
<td>no-observed-adverse-effect concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOEC</td>
<td>no-observed-effect concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>National Toxicology Program (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBPK</td>
<td>physiologically based pharmacokinetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFR</td>
<td>peak expiratory flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>polyvinyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>standardized mortality ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX 2 — SOURCE DOCUMENTS

**Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry**

Copies of the ATSDR toxicological profile for cobalt (ATSDR, 2004) can be obtained from:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Division of Toxicology/Toxicology Information Branch 1600 Clifton Road NE Mailstop F-32 Atlanta, Georgia 30333 USA

The document is also available on the web at: [http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp33.html](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp33.html)

The profile has undergone the following ATSDR internal reviews: Health Effects Review, Minimal Risk Level Review, and Data Needs Review. In addition, a peer review panel, which included Dr Herman Cember (Purdue University, USA), Dr James Hansen (United States Fish and Wildlife Service), Dr Dominique Lison (Catholic University of Louvain, Belgium), and Dr Nancy Pedigo (University of Kentucky Medical Center, USA), was assembled.

**International Agency for Research on Cancer**

Copies of the IARC (2005) monograph for cobalt particles may be obtained from:

IARC Press 150 Cours Albert Thomas 69008 Lyon, France
APPENDIX 3 — CICAD PEER REVIEW

The draft CICAD on cobalt and inorganic cobalt compounds was sent for review to institutions and organizations identified by IPCS after contact with IPCS national Contact Points and Participating Institutions, as well as to identified experts. Comments were received from:

H. Ahlers, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, WV, USA
L. Alessio, Institute of Occupational Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
M. Baril, Institut de recherche Robert Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail, Montreal, Canada
R. Benson, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, Denver, CO, USA
T. Brock, Cobalt Development Institute, Surrey, United Kingdom
J. Caley, Environment Agency, Wallingford, United Kingdom
J. Chapman, Department of Environment & Conservation, Lidcombe, New South Wales, Australia
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APPENDIX 5 — OUTLINE OF THE SPECIES SENSITIVITY DISTRIBUTION METHOD (DUTCH STATISTICAL EXTRAPOLATION METHOD) USED TO DERIVE GUIDANCE VALUES FOR COBALT FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC SPECIES

Introduction

The traditional approach to using single-species toxicity data to protect field ecosystems has been to apply standardized assessment factors, safety factors, or application factors to the lowest toxicity figure for a particular chemical. The magnitude of these safety factors depends on whether acute or chronic toxicity figures are available and the degree of confidence that one has in whether the figures reflect the field situation. Most of the factors are multiples of 10, and larger factors are applied where there is less certainty in the data. For example, a factor of 1000 is generally used for acute data, except for essential elements, including cobalt, where a factor of 200 is applied. This factor of 200 includes a factor of 10 for extrapolating from laboratory to field, a further factor of 10 for a limited data set, and a factor of 2 for conversion of an acute end-point to a chronic end-point for an essential metal.

Concerns have often been raised as to the arbitrary nature of assessment factors (Chapman et al., 1998) and the fact that they do not conform to risk assessment principles. OECD (1992) recommended that assessment factors be used only when there are inadequate data to allow statistical extrapolation methods to be used.

The following sections briefly outline the statistical extrapolation method used to derive the cobalt guidance values for the protection of marine and freshwater aquatic organisms for this CICAD. Much of the text is taken directly from the Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000).

Use of statistical extrapolation methods

New methods using statistical risk-based approaches have been developed over the last decade for deriving guideline (trigger) values. These are based on calculations of a statistical distribution of laboratory ecotoxicity data and attempt to offer a predetermined level of protection, usually 95%. The approach of Aldenberg & Slob (1993) has been adopted in the Netherlands, Australia, and New Zealand for guideline derivation and is recommended for use by the OECD. It was chosen because of its theoretical basis, its ease of use, and the fact that it has been extensively evaluated. Warne (1998) compared in detail the risk-based and assessment factor approaches used in various countries.

The Aldenberg & Slob (1993) method uses a statistical approach to protect 95% of species with a predetermined level of confidence, provided there is an adequate data set. This approach uses available data from all tested species (not just the most sensitive species) and considers these data to be a subsample of the range of concentrations at which effects would occur in all species in the environment. The method may be applied if toxicity data, usually chronic NOEC values, are available for at least five different species from at least four taxonomic groups. Data are entered into a computer program and generally fitted to a log-logistic distribution. A hazardous concentration for p per cent of the species (HC_p) is derived. HC_p is a value such that the probability of selecting a species from the community with a NOEC lower than HC_p is equal to p (e.g. 5%, HC_5). HC_p is the estimated concentration that should protect 95% of species. A level of uncertainty is associated with this derived value, and so values with a given confidence level (e.g. 50% or 95%) are computed in the program by attaching a distribution to the error in the tail (Figure A5-1). The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines use the median of 50% confidence.

HC_p is estimated by dividing the geometric mean of the NOEC values for m species by an extrapolation factor K (OECD, 1995), where:

\[ K = \exp^{m \times \ln(1\%)} \]

and where:

- \( s_m \) is the sample standard deviation of the natural logarithm of the NOEC values for m species,
- \( k \) is the one-sided tolerance limit factor for a logistic or normal distribution (from computer simulations).

The Aldenberg & Slob (1993) extrapolation method is based on several critical assumptions, outlined below. Many of these are common to other statistical distribution methods:

- The ecosystem is sufficiently protected if theoretically 95% of the species in the system are fully protected.
- The distribution of the NOECs is symmetrical (not required in the ANZECC/ARMCANZ [2000] modification).
- The available data are derived from independent random trials of the total distribution of sensitivities in the ecosystem.
- Toxicity data are distributed log-logistically, i.e. a logistic distribution is the most appropriate to use.
- There are no interactions between species in the ecosystem.
- NOEC data are the most appropriate data to use to set ambient environmental guidelines.
- NOEC data for five species are a sufficient data set.

Modification of the Aldenberg & Slob (1993) approach

The Aldenberg & Slob (1993) approach assumes that the data are best fitted to a log-logistic distribution. For some data sets, however, a better fit is obtained with other models. By using a program developed by CSIRO Biometrics, the data are compared with a range of statistical distributions called the Burr family of distributions, of which the log-logistic distribution is one case. The program determines the distribution that best fits the available toxicity data and calculates the HC_p with 50% confidence (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000); this method has been used to calculate the HC_p for cobalt.
Table A5-1: Toxicity end-points and calculated chronic NOECs used in the derivation of a marine guidance value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Cobalt concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Calculated chronic NOEC (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatom (Dictyotum brightwelli)</td>
<td>5-day EC₅₀</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatom (Nitzschia closterium)</td>
<td>96-h EC₅₀</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invertebrates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematode (Monhystera disjuncta)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brine shrimp (Artemia salina)</td>
<td>48-h EC₅₀</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common prawn (Palaemon serratus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀ (larvae)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore crab (Carcinus maenus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobster (Homarus vulgaris)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopod (Idotea baltica)</td>
<td>52-day LC₅₀</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanny (Blennius pholis)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mummichog (Fundulus heteroclitus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent perch (Therapon jarbua)</td>
<td>96-h LC₅₀</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application to the data set for cobalt

For both the marine and freshwater risk assessments, acute LC₅₀ values were converted to chronic values using a default acute to chronic ratio of 10. In cases where chronic values were reported as EC₅₀s, these were then converted to chronic NOECs by applying a factor of 5, according to ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines, prior to the species sensitivity distribution being undertaken. It would be better to use experimentally derived acute to chronic conversion factors, but these were not available for cobalt.

Marine guidance value

Twelve marine data were used from Table 2 (see section 10.2), and from these data were calculated chronic NOECs (see Table A5-1). Non-standard test end-points or end-points of uncertain significance were not included.

Using the calculated chronic NOECs, the HC₅₀(50), i.e. the hazardous concentration to protect 95% of species with 50% confidence, was 0.14 mg/l. However, a guidance value of 0.14 mg/l is not sufficiently protective of the most sensitive marine species. To account for this, the HC50 value has been used to recalculate a moderate-reliability guidance value. Using the calculated chronic NOECs, the HC₅₀(50) — i.e. the hazardous concentration to protect 99% of species with 50% confidence — was 0.02 mg/l. This is a “safe” value to ensure protection against chronic toxicity for most species (see Figure A5-2).

Fig. A5-2: Probability curve for cobalt in the marine environment using actual and derived data from Table A5-1
Table A5-2: Toxicity end-points and calculated chronic NOECs used in the derivation of a freshwater guidance value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Cobalt concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>Calculated chronic NOEC (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microalgae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green alga (Chlorella vulgaris)</td>
<td>21-day NOEC</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protozoa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliated protozoan (Spirostomum ambiguum)</td>
<td>24-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invertebrates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (Daphnia magna)</td>
<td>28-day NOEC</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (Daphnia hyalina)</td>
<td>48-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water flea (Ceriodaphnia dubia)</td>
<td>7-day NOEC</td>
<td>0.007&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifer (Philodina acuticornis)</td>
<td>24-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (Diaptomus forbesi)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (Cyclops abyssorum)</td>
<td>48-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod (Eudiaptomus padanus)</td>
<td>48-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crayfish (Austrototamobius pallipes)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crayfish (Orconectes limosus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipod (Crangonyx pseudogracilis)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatworm (Dugesia tigrina)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail (Helisoma trivolvis)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillbug (Asellus intermedius)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sideswimmer (Gammarus fasciatus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmented worm (Lumbriculus variegatus)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubificid worm (Tubifex tubifex)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>178.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligochaete (Branchiura sowerbyi)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midge (Chironomus tentans)</td>
<td>48-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayfly (Ephemerella subvaria)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)</td>
<td>14-day NOEC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)</td>
<td>7-day NOEC</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish (Carassius auratus)</td>
<td>7-day LC₉₀</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish (Danio rerio)</td>
<td>16-day NOEC (survival)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant gourami (Colisa fasciata)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphibians</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog (Rana hexadactyla)</td>
<td>96-h LC₉₀</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow-mouthed toad (Gastrophyne carolinensis)</td>
<td>7-day LC₉₀</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Geometric mean of NOECs for this species for the same time period.

<sup>b</sup> Geometric mean of LC₉₀ values for this species for the same time period.

**Freshwater guidance value**

Twenty-eight freshwater data were used from Table 2 (see section 10.2), and from these data, chronic NOECs were calculated (see Table A5-2). Non-standard test end-points or end-points of uncertain significance were not included.

Using the calculated chronic NOECs, the HC₅₀(50), i.e. the hazardous concentration to protect 95% of species with 50% confidence — a “safe” value to ensure protection against chronic toxicity for most freshwater species — was 0.008 mg/l (see Figure A5-3).
Fig. A5-3: Probability curve for cobalt in the freshwater environment using actual and derived data from Table A5-2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Dust may ignite on contact with air or oxygen.</td>
<td>NO contact with oxidants.</td>
<td>Special powder, dry sand, NO other agents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td>Finely dispersed particles form explosive mixtures in air. Risk of fire and explosion on contact with oxidants or acetylene.</td>
<td>Prevent deposition of dust; closed system, dust explosion-proof electrical equipment and lighting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>PREVENT DISPERSION OF DUST! AVOID ALL CONTACT!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inhalation</strong></td>
<td>Cough. Shortness of breath. Sore throat. Wheezing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eyes</strong></td>
<td>Redness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ingestion</strong></td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Vomiting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPILLAGE DISPOSAL</th>
<th>PACKAGING &amp; LABELLING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. Personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.</td>
<td>EU Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symbol: Xn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 42/43-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S: (2-)22-24-37-61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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|----------------------------|---------| International Programme on Chemical Safety
|                            |         | UNEP
|                            |         | OECD
|                            |         | European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005
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SEE IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON BACK
**ICSC: 0782**

**COBALT**

### IMPORTANT DATA

#### PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE
Silver-grey powder.

#### PHYSICAL DANGERS
Dust explosion possible if in powder or granular form, mixed with air.

#### CHEMICAL DANGERS
The substance may spontaneously ignite on contact with air or acetylene, when finely divided. Reacts with strong oxidants, causing fire and explosion hazard.

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS
- TLV: 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3; BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004).
- MAK: (inhalable fraction) Sah; Carcinogen category: 2; Germ cell mutagen group: 3A; (DFG 2003).

### ROUTES OF EXPOSURE
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation.

### INHALATION RISK
A harmful concentration of airborne particles can be reached quickly when dispersed.

### EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE
The substance (as fume or dust) is mildly irritating to the respiratory tract.

### EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. Lungs may be affected by repeated or prolonged exposure. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans.

### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point</td>
<td>2870°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>1493°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>8.9 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ENVIRONMENTAL DATA
The substance is toxic to aquatic organisms. Bioaccumulation of this chemical may occur in fish and in molluscs.

### NOTES
Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. The symptoms of asthma often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation are therefore essential. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Do NOT take working clothes home.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

---

**LEGAL NOTICE**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.

© IPCS, CEC 2005
### COBALT (II) CHLORIDE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>7646-79-9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>GF9800000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN #</td>
<td>3288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC Index #</td>
<td>027-004-00-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>231-589-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICSC: 0783**

April 2004

**Cobalt dichloride**

**Cobalt muriate**

**Cobaltous chloride**

**CoCl₂**

Molecular mass: 129.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>PREVENT DISPERSION OF DUST! STRICT HYGIENE!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Cough. Shortness of breath. Wheezing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Diarrhoea. Nausea. Vomiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPILLAGE DISPOSAL

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. Personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.

### PACKAGING & LABELLING

Do not transport with food and feedstuffs.

**EU Classification**

Symbol: T, N

R: 49-22-42/43-50/53

S: (2-)22-53-45-60-61

Note: E, 1

**UN Classification**

UN Hazard Class: 6.1

UN Pack Group: III

### EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Transport Emergency Card: TEC (R)-61GT5-III

### STORAGE

Dry. Separated from strong oxidants.

---
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**ICSC: 0783**

**Cobalt (II) Chloride**

## Important Data

**Physical State; Appearance**
Pale-blue, hygroscopic powder. Turns pink on exposure to air and moisture.

**Chemical Dangers**
Reacts with oxidants causing fire and explosion hazard.

**Occupational Exposure Limits**
TLV: (as Co) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3; BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004). MAK not established.

**Routes of Exposure**
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

**Inhalation Risk**
A harmful concentration of airborne particles can be reached quickly when dispersed.

**Effects of Short-Term Exposure**
The substance is irritating to the eyes.

**Effects of Long-Term or Repeated Exposure**
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid and bone marrow. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes toxic effects upon human reproduction.

### Physical Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boiling Point</td>
<td>1049°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Point</td>
<td>735°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>3.4 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water, g/100 ml at 20°C</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octanol/water partition coefficient as log Pow</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Environmental Data

The substance is toxic to aquatic organisms.

### Notes

Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. The symptoms of asthma often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation are therefore essential. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Do NOT take working clothes home. The recommendations on this Card also apply to Cobalt (II) chloride hydrates: Cobalt (II) chloride hexahydrate (CAS 7791-13-1), Cobalt (II) chloride dihydrate (CAS 14216-74-1).

### Additional Information

**Legal Notice**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
# Cobalt(II) Nitrate Hexahydrate

**CAS #** 10026-22-9  
**RTECS #** QU7355500  
**EINECS #** 233-402-1  

**Cobaltous nitrate hexahydrate**  
**Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O**  
**Molecular mass: 291.03**

## Types of Hazard / Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Acute Hazards / Symptoms</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>First Aid / Fire Fighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fire</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible but enhances combustion of other substances. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td>NO contact with combustibles and reducing agents.</td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: all extinguishing agents allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explosion</strong></td>
<td>Risk of fire and explosion on contact with combustible substances.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Avoid All Contact!</th>
<th>In All Cases Consult a Doctor!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Sore throat. Cough. Shortness of breath.</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Redness.</td>
<td>Protective gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Spillage Disposal

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT absorb in saw-dust or other combustible absorbents. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. (Extra personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.)

## Packaging & Labelling

## Emergency Response

Separated from combustible and reducing substances. Well closed.

## Storage

Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005
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ICSC: 0784  COBALT(II) NITRATE HEXAHYDRATE

**IMPORTANT DATA**

**PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE**
RED CRYSTALS.

**CHEMICAL DANGERS**
The substance decomposes on heating producing toxic gases, including nitrogen oxides. Reacts with combustible substances causing fire hazard.

**OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS**
TLV (as Co): 0.02 mg/m³ (as TWA) A3 (ACGIH 2000). MAK: class 2 (2000)

**ROUTES OF EXPOSURE**
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

**INHALATION RISK**
Evaporation at 20°C is negligible; a harmful concentration of airborne particles can, however, be reached quickly when dispersed.

**EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE**
The substance is irritating to the eyes, the skin and the respiratory tract.

**EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE**
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid and bone marrow, resulting in cardiomyopathy, goiter and polycythemia. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes toxic effects upon human reproduction. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes malformations in human babies.

**PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**
Decomposes below boiling point at 74°C
Melting point: 55°C
Density: 1.88 g/cm³
Solubility in water, g/100 ml at 0°C: 133.8

**ENVIRONMENTAL DATA**
See Notes.

**NOTES**
Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is indicated. Environmental effects from the substance have not been investigated but data on cobalt ion suggest that it may be hazardous to aquatic organisms. Also consult ICSC on cobalt salts such as 0783 - Cobalt(II) chloride.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**LEGAL NOTICE**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information

© IPCS, CEC 2005
### COBALT (III) OXIDE

**CAS #** 1308-04-9  
**RTECS #** GG2900000  
**EINECS #** 215-156-7

- **Dicobalt trioxide**  
- **Cobalt sesquioxide**  
- **Cobalt trioxide**  
- **Cobaltic oxide**  
- **$\text{Co}_2\text{O}_3$**  
  **Molecular mass:** 165.9

### TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>PREVENT DISPERSION OF DUST! STRICT HYGIENE!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Protective gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Redness. Pain. Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Nausea. Do not eat, drink, or smoke during work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPILLAGE DISPOSAL

Sweep spilled substance into covered containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Personal protection: P2 filter respirator for harmful particles.

### PACKAGING & LABELLING

### EMERGENCY RESPONSE

### STORAGE

Separated from reducing agents and hydrogen peroxide.
## IMPORTANT DATA

**PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE**
BLACK-GREY CRYSTALLINE POWDER.

**CHEMICAL DANGERS**
Reacts violently with hydrogen peroxide. Reacts with reducing agents.

**OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS**
TLV: (as Co) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3; BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004). MAK not established.

## ROUTES OF EXPOSURE
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

**INHALATION RISK**
A harmful concentration of airborne particles can be reached quickly when dispersed.

**EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE**
May cause mechanical irritation.

**EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE**
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. This specific compound has not been studied for carcinogenicity, but data from similar cobalt compounds indicate that it should be considered as being possibly carcinogenic to humans.

## PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melting point (decomposes)</td>
<td>895°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>5.2 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

## NOTES

Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

---

**LEGAL NOTICE**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
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**COBALT CARBONYL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>10210-68-1</th>
<th>Dicobalt octacarbonyl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>GG0300000</td>
<td>Cobalt tetracarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN #</td>
<td>3281</td>
<td>Octacarbonyldicobalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>233-514-0</td>
<td>$C_8O_8Co_2 / (OC)_3Co(CO)_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Molecular mass: 341.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Molecular mass:** 341.9

**Types of hazard / exposure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of hazard / exposure</th>
<th>Acute hazards / symptoms</th>
<th>Prevention</th>
<th>First aid / fire fighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fire</strong></td>
<td>Combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td>NO open flames. NO contact with oxidants.</td>
<td>Powder, water spray, foam, carbon dioxide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explosion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exposure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Acute hazards / symptoms</th>
<th>Prevent dispersion of dust! Strict hygiene!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Cough, Sore throat, Shortness of breath. Laboured breathing. Symptoms may be delayed (see Notes).</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Pain. Redness.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Burning sensation in the throat and chest. Nausea.</td>
<td>Do not eat, drink, or smoke during work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Spillage disposal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spillage disposal</th>
<th>Packaging &amp; labelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweep spilled substance into sealable containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Then remove to safe place. Personal protection: self-contained breathing apparatus.</td>
<td>Airtight. Unbreakable packaging; put breakable packaging into closed unbreakable container. Do not transport with food and feedstuffs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UN Classification**

UN Hazard Class: 6.1
UN Pack Group: II

**Emergency response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emergency response</th>
<th>Storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Inhalation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>Acute hazards / symptoms</th>
<th>Prevent dispersion of dust! Strict hygiene!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Cough, Sore throat, Shortness of breath. Laboured breathing. Symptoms may be delayed (see Notes).</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Pain. Redness.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Burning sensation in the throat and chest. Nausea.</td>
<td>Do not eat, drink, or smoke during work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005**
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**ICSC: 0976**

**COBALT CARBONYL**

### Important Data

**Physical State; Appearance**
Orange crystals.

**Chemical Dangers**
The substance decomposes on warming or under the influence of air producing toxic fumes of carbon monoxide and cobalt (see ICSC 0023, ICSC 0785). Reacts with oxidants causing fire hazard.

**Occupational Exposure Limits**
TLV: (as Co) 0.1 mg/m³ as TWA; (ACGIH 2004). MAK not established.

**Routes of Exposure**
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation and by ingestion.

**Inhalation Risk**
A harmful contamination of the air will be reached rather slowly on evaporation of this substance at 20°C.

**Effects of Short-Term Exposure**
The substance is irritating to the eyes and the skin. The substance is severely irritating to the respiratory tract. Inhalation of may cause lung oedema (see Notes). The effects may be delayed. Medical observation is indicated.

### Physical Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decomposes below boiling point at 52°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>51°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.7 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapour pressure, Pa at 20°C</td>
<td>about 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Environmental Data

### Notes
Do NOT take working clothes home. The symptoms of lung oedema often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation is therefore essential. Immediate administration of an appropriate inhalation therapy by a doctor or a person authorized by him/her, should be considered. Environmental effects from the substance have not been investigated.

### Additional Information

**Legal Notice**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
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# Cobalt Naphthenate

**ICSC:** 1093  
**December 2000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>61789-51-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>QK8925000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN #</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>263-064-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Naphthenic acid, cobalt salt**  
**Naftolite**  
**Co[C_{11}H_{10}O_2]_2**  
**Molecular mass:** 407

## Types of Hazard / Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire. See Notes.</td>
<td>NO open flames.</td>
<td>Water spray, powder.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPLOSION</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finely dispersed particles form explosive mixtures in air.</td>
<td>Prevent deposition of dust; closed system, dust explosion-proof electrical equipment and lighting.</td>
<td>In case of fire: keep drums, etc., cool by spraying with water.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough. Shortness of breath. Sore throat. Wheezing.</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
<td>Fresh air, rest. Refer for medical attention.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eyes</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder.</td>
<td>First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingestion</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Spillage Disposal

Remove all ignition sources. Sweep spilled substance into sealable containers. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place.  
(Extra personal protection: P2 filter respirator for harmful particles).

## Packaging & Labelling

**UN Classification**  
**UN Hazard Class:** 4.1  
**UN Pack Group:** III

## Emergency Response

Transport Emergency Card: TEC (R)-41G15.  
NFPA Code: **H 1; F 2; R 0;**

## Storage

Separated from strong oxidants. Well closed.

---

**Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005**
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ICSC: 1093

IMPORTANT DATA

PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE
Brown amorphous or bluish-red solid.

PHYSICAL DANGERS
Dust explosion possible if in powder or granular form, mixed with air.

CHEMICAL DANGERS
Upon heating, toxic fumes are formed. Reacts with strong oxidants.

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS
TLV not established.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>140°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>0.9 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-ignition temperature</td>
<td>276°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

NOTES

Cobalt naphthenate is used as a solution usually in mineral oils and spirits: 6% (cobalt) solution; boiling point: >150°C; specific gravity (water=1): 0.94-0.98; vapour density (air=1): 4.9. Health effects of exposure to the substance have not been investigated adequately.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LEGAL NOTICE

Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
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**COBALT SULFATE**

**CAS #** 10124-43-3  
**RTECS #** GG3100000  
**EC Index #** 027-005-00-0  
**EINECS #** 233-334-2  
**ICSC:** 1127  
**March 2001**

**Cobaltous sulfate**  
**Cobalt (II) sulfate**  
**Sulfuric acid, cobalt (2+) salt**  
**CoSO₄**  
**Molecular mass:** 155.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPOUSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>Avoid all contact!</th>
<th>In all cases consult a doctor!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough. Laboured breathing. Shortness of breath. Sore throat.</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
<td>Fresh air, rest. Artificial respiration may be needed. Refer for medical attention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Skin | Redness. Pain. | Protective gloves. Protective clothing. | Remove contaminated clothes. Rinse and then wash skin with water and soap. |
| Eyes | Redness. Pain. | Safety goggles or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder. | First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor. |


**SPILLAGE DISPOSAL**

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. Personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.

**PACKAGING & LABELLING**

EU Classification  
**Symbol:** T, N  
R: 49-22-42/43-50/53  
S: (2-)22-53-45-60-61  
**Note:** E

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE**

**STORAGE**

Separated from strong oxidants.

Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005
ICSC: 1127 COBALT SULFATE

IMPORTANT DATA

PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE
LAVENDER TO DARK BLUE CRYSTALS.

CHEMICAL DANGERS
The substance decomposes on heating to 735°C, producing toxic fumes of sulfur oxides. Reacts as a dust with strong oxidants causing fire and explosion hazard.

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS
TLV: (as Co) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3 (confirmed animal carcinogen with unknown relevance to humans); BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004). MAK: sensitization of respiratory tract and skin (Sah); Carcinogen category: 2; Germ cell mutagen group: 3A; (DFG 2004).

ROUTES OF EXPOSURE
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

INHALATION RISK
Evaporation at 20°C is negligible; a harmful concentration of airborne particles can, however, be reached quickly when dispersed.

EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE
The substance is irritating to the eyes, the skin and the respiratory tract.

EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid and bone marrow, resulting in cardiomyopathy, goiter and polycythemia. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes toxic effects upon human reproduction. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes malformations in human babies.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES
Melting point (decomposes): 735°C
Density: 3.71 g/cm³
Solubility in water, g/100 ml at 20°C: 36.2

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA
See Notes.

NOTES
Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. Environmental effects from the substance have not been investigated but data on cobalt suggest that it may be hazardous to aquatic organisms. Also consult ICSC on cobalt salts such as 0783 - Cobalt(II) chloride. Card has been partly updated in April 2005. See section Occupational Exposure Limits.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LEGAL NOTICE
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information

© IPCS, CEC 2005
**COBALT(II) ACETATE TETRAHYDRATE**

**ICSC: 1128**  
October 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>6147-53-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>AG3325000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>200-755-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acetic acid, cobalt(+2) salt**  
Cobaltous acetate (tetrahydrate)  
Cobaltous diacetate tetrahydrate  
$C_4H_6CoO_4_4H_2O$  
Molecular mass: 249.1

### TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing media.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>Cough. Shortness of breath. Sore throat.</th>
<th>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</th>
<th>Fresh air, rest. Refer for medical attention.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skin</strong></td>
<td>Redness.</td>
<td>Protective gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
<td>Remove contaminated clothes. Rinse skin with plenty of water or shower. Refer for medical attention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eyes</strong></td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection.</td>
<td>First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPILLAGE DISPOSAL

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. (Extra personal protection: P2 filter respirator for harmful particles.) Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment.

### PACKAGING & LABELLING

**STORAGE**

Separated from strong oxidants. Well closed.

---

Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005

**SEE IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON BACK**
## ICSC: 1128

### COBALT(II) ACETATE TETRAHYDRATE

### IMPORTANT DATA

#### PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE

**RED CRYSTALS**

#### CHEMICAL DANGERS

The substance decomposes on heating producing irritating fumes. Reacts with strong oxidants causing fire and explosion hazard.

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS

**TLV:** (as Cobalt) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA A3 (ACGIH 2001).

**BEI** 15 ug/l urine, B, 1 ug/l blood, B, Sq.

### ROUTES OF EXPOSURE

The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

### INHALATION RISK

Evaporation at 20°C is negligible; a harmful concentration of airborne particles can, however, be reached quickly when dispersed.

### EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE

The substance is irritating to the eyes, the skin and the respiratory tract.

### EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE

Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. Lungs may be affected by repeated or prolonged exposure. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid, bone marrow, when ingested. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans.

### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>140°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.7 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

Bioaccumulation of this chemical may occur in seafood.

### NOTES

Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is indicated. Do NOT take working clothes home. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact. The symptoms of asthma often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation are therefore essential. The apparent melting point caused by loss of crystal water is given. The recommendations on this Card also apply to Cobalt (II) acetate anhydrous (CAS 71-48-7).

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

---

**LEGAL NOTICE**

Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
COBALT(II) SULFATE HEPTAHYDRATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>10026-24-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>GG3200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC Index #</td>
<td>027-005-00-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>233-334-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>Molecular mass: 281.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing media.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPLOSION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPLOSION</th>
<th>AVOID ALL CONTACT!</th>
<th>IN ALL CASES CONSULT A DOCTOR!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPOSURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>Cough. Laboured breathing. Shortness of breath. Sore throat.</th>
<th>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</th>
<th>Fresh air, rest. Artificial respiration may be needed. Refer for medical attention.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Protective gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
<td>Remove contaminated clothes. Rinse and then wash skin with water and soap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles or eye protection in combination with breathing protection if powder.</td>
<td>First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPILLAGE DISPOSAL**

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. Personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.

**PACKAGING & LABELLING**

EU Classification
- Symbol: T, N
- R: 49-22-42/43-50/53
- S: (2-)22-53-45-60-61
- Note: E

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE**

Separated from strong oxidants.

**STORAGE**

Prepared in the context of cooperation between the International Programme on Chemical Safety and the Commission of the European Communities © IPCS, CEC 2005

SEE IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON BACK
**ICSC: 1396**

**COBALT(II) SULFATE HEPTAHYDRATE**

**IMPORTANT DATA**

**PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE**
Pink to red crystals.

**CHEMICAL DANGERS**
The substance decomposes on heating above 100°C, producing toxic fumes of sulfur oxides. Reacts as a dust with strong oxidants causing fire and explosion hazard.

**OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS**
TLV: (as Co) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3 (confirmed animal carcinogen with unknown relevance to humans); BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004). MAK: sensitization of respiratory tract and skin (Sah); Carcinogen category: 2; Germ cell mutagen group: 3A; (DFG 2004).

**ROUTES OF EXPOSURE**
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

**INHALATION RISK**
Evaporation at 20°C is negligible; a harmful concentration of airborne particles can, however, be reached quickly when dispersed.

**EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE**
The substance is irritating to the eyes, the skin and the respiratory tract.

**EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE**
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid and bone marrow, resulting in cardiomyopathy, goiter and polycythemia. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes toxic effects upon human reproduction. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes malformations in human babies.

**PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

- Boiling point: 420°C
- Melting point: 96.8°C
- Density: 1.95 g/cm³
- Solubility in water, g/100 ml at 3°C: 60.4

**ENVIRONMENTAL DATA**
See Notes.

**NOTES**
Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. Environmental effects from the substance have not been investigated but data on cobalt ion suggest that it may be hazardous to aquatic organisms. Also consult ICSC on cobalt salts such as ICSC 0783 - Cobalt(II) chloride. Card has been partly updated in April 2005. See sections Occupational Exposure Limits, EU classification.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**LEGAL NOTICE**
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.

© IPCS, CEC 2005
## COBALT(II) NITRATE

**CAS #** 10141-05-6  
**RTECS #** GG1109000  
Cobaltous nitrate  
Cobalt dinitrate  
Nitric acid, cobalt(2+) salt  
Co(NO$_3$)$_2$

**Molecular mass:** 182.96

### TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRE</strong></td>
<td>Not combustible but enhances combustion of other substances. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.</td>
<td>NO contact with combustibles and reducing agents.</td>
<td>In case of fire in the surroundings: all extinguishing agents allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPLOSION</strong></td>
<td>Risk of fire and explosion on contact with combustible substances.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>AVOID ALL CONTACT!</th>
<th>IN ALL CASES CONSULT A DOCTOR!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Sore throat. Cough. Shortness of breath.</td>
<td>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Redness.</td>
<td>Protective gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SPILLAGE DISPOSAL

Sweep spilled substance into containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place. Do NOT absorb in saw-dust or other combustible absorbents. Do NOT let this chemical enter the environment. (Extra personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.)

### PACKAGING & LABELLING

### STORAGE

Separated from combustible and reducing substances. Well closed.

---
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SEE IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON BACK
**ICSC: 1397**

**COBALT(II) NITRATE**

---

## IMPORTANT DATA

### PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE

**PALE RED POWDER.**

### CHEMICAL DANGERS

The substance decomposes on heating producing toxic gases, including nitrogen oxides. Reacts with combustible substances causing fire hazard.

### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLV (as Co)</th>
<th>0.02 mg/m³ (as TWA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**A3 (ACGIH 2000).**


### ROUTES OF EXPOSURE

The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation of its aerosol and by ingestion.

### INHALATION RISK

Evaporation at 20°C is negligible; a nuisance-causing concentration of airborne particles can, however, be reached quickly.

### EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE

The substance is irritating to the eyes, the skin, and the respiratory tract.

### EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE

Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma. The substance may have effects on the heart, thyroid and bone marrow, resulting in cardiomyopathy, goiter, and polycythemia. This substance is possibly carcinogenic to humans. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes toxic effects upon human reproduction. Animal tests show that this substance possibly causes malformations in human babies.

---

## PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melting point (decomposes)</td>
<td>100-105°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2.49 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>soluble</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

See Notes.

---

## NOTES

Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact with this substance. Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is indicated. Environmental effects from the substance have not been investigated but data on cobalt ion suggest that it may be hazardous to aquatic organisms. Also consult ICSC on cobalt salts such as 0783 - Cobalt(II) chloride.

---

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

---

**LEGAL NOTICE**

Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.

© IPCS, CEC 2005
**COBALT SULFIDE**

**ICSC: 1529**

April 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>1317-42-6</th>
<th>RTECS #</th>
<th>GG3325000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC Index #</td>
<td>027-003-00-X</td>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>215-273-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cobalt monosulfide
Cobalt(II) sulfide
CoS
Molecular mass: 91.0

**TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPOSURE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**FIRE**

Not combustible. Gives off irritating or toxic fumes (or gases) in a fire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In case of fire in the surroundings: use appropriate extinguishing agent.

**EXPLOSION**

PREVENT DISPERSION OF DUST! STRICT HYGIENE!

**INHALATION**


Local exhaust or breathing protection.

Fresh air, rest. Refer for medical attention.

**SKIN**

Redness.

Protective gloves. Protective clothing.

Remove contaminated clothes. Rinse skin with plenty of water or shower.

**EYES**

Redness. Pain.

Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection.

First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor.

**INGESTION**


Do not eat, drink, or smoke during work.

Rinse mouth. Refer for medical attention.

**SPILLAGE DISPOSAL**

Sweep spilled substance into covered containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Personal protection: P3 filter respirator for toxic particles.

**PACKAGING & LABELLING**

EU Classification
Symbol: Xi, N
R: 43-50/53
S: (2-)24-37-60-61

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE**

Separated from strong oxidants.
**ICSC: 1529**

**COBALT SULFIDE**

### IMPORTANT DATA

**PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE**
GREY POWDER OR REDDISH-SILVERY CRYSTALS.

**CHEMICAL DANGERS**
The substance decomposes on heating producing toxic gases and irritating fumes including hydrogen sulfide and sulfur oxides. Reacts with strong oxidants.

**OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS**
TLV: (as cobalt) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; BEI issued; A3; MAK: (inhalable fraction) Sah; Carcinogen category: 2; Germ cell mutagen group: 3A; (DFG 2003).

**ROUTES OF EXPOSURE**
The substance can be absorbed into the body by inhalation.

**INHALATION RISK**
A harmful concentration of airborne particles can be reached quickly when dispersed, especially if powdered.

**EFFECTS OF SHORT-TERM EXPOSURE**
May cause mechanical irritation.

**EFFECTS OF LONG-TERM OR REPEATED EXPOSURE**
Repeated or prolonged contact may cause skin sensitization. Repeated or prolonged inhalation exposure may cause asthma.

### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>&gt;1116°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>5.5 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in water</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

### NOTES
Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is indicated. Do NOT take working clothes home. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact. The symptoms of asthma often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation are therefore essential.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

### LEGAL NOTICE
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information.
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**COBALT(II) OXIDE**

**ICSC: 1551**

October 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>1307-96-6</th>
<th>Cobaltous oxide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTECS #</td>
<td>GGG2800000</td>
<td>CI Pigment black 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN #</td>
<td>3288</td>
<td>CoO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC Index #</td>
<td>027-002-00-4</td>
<td>Molecular mass: 74,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EINECS #</td>
<td>215-154-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TYPES OF HAZARD / EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRE</th>
<th>ACUTE HAZARDS / SYMPTOMS</th>
<th>PREVENTION</th>
<th>FIRST AID / FIRE FIGHTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not combustible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## EXPLOSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPLOSION</th>
<th>PREVENT DISPERSION OF DUST! AVOID ALL CONTACT!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## EXPOSURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation</th>
<th>Cough, Sore throat, Laboured breathing, Shortness of breath.</th>
<th>Local exhaust or breathing protection.</th>
<th>Fresh air, rest. Refer for medical attention.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Protectives gloves. Protective clothing.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remove contaminated clothes. Rinse and then wash skin with water and soap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Redness. Pain.</td>
<td>Safety goggles, or eye protection in combination with breathing protection.</td>
<td>First rinse with plenty of water for several minutes (remove contact lenses if easily possible), then take to a doctor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Abdominal pain. Nausea.</td>
<td>Do not eat, drink, or smoke during work.</td>
<td>Rinse mouth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SPILLAGE DISPOSAL

Personal protection: P2 filter respirator for harmful particles. Sweep spilled substance into covered containers; if appropriate, moisten first to prevent dusting. Carefully collect remainder, then remove to safe place.

## PACKAGING & LABELLING

- EU Classification
  - Symbol: Xn, N
  - R: 22-43-50/53
  - S: (2-)24-37-60-61
- UN Classification
  - UN Hazard Class: 6.1
  - UN Pack Group: II

## EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Transport Emergency Card: TEC (R)-61GT5-II

## STORAGE

Separated from hydrogen peroxide.
ICSC: 1551

COBALT(II) OXIDE

IMPORTANT DATA

PHYSICAL STATE; APPEARANCE
BLACK TO GREEN CRYSTALS OR POWDER.

CHEMICAL DANGERS
Reacts with hydrogen peroxide.

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS
TLV: (as Co) 0.02 mg/m³ as TWA; A3; BEI issued; (ACGIH 2004).
MAK: (inhalable fraction) Sah; Carcinogen category: 2; Germ cell mutagen group: 3A; (DFG 2004).

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES
Melting point: 1935°C
Density: 5.7-6.7 g/cm³
Solubility in water: none

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

NOTES
Depending on the degree of exposure, periodic medical examination is suggested. Anyone who has shown symptoms of asthma due to this substance should avoid all further contact. The symptoms of asthma often do not become manifest until a few hours have passed and they are aggravated by physical effort. Rest and medical observation are therefore essential. Do NOT take working clothes home.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LEGAL NOTICE
Neither the CEC nor the IPCS nor any person acting on behalf of the CEC or the IPCS is responsible for the use which might be made of this information

© IPCS, CEC 2005
RÉSUMÉ D’ORIENTATION


Le cobalt (No CAS 7440-48-4) se présente à la température ambiante sous l’aspect d’un solide gris argent. Il vient au 33ème rang par ordre d’abondance et il est présent dans divers milieux tels que l’air, les eaux superficielles, les produits de lessivage de zones de décharge classées comme dangereuses, les eaux souterraines, le sol et les sédiments. Les sources d’exposition au cobalt et à ses dérivés minéraux peuvent être naturelles ou anthropogéniques. Parmi les sources naturelles figurent les poussières soulevées par le vent, les embruns, les volcans, les feux de forêt ainsi que émissions continentales et marines d’origine biologique. Au nombre des sources anthropogéniques de cobalt on peut citer la combustion de combustibles fossiles, les boues d’égout, les engrais phosphatés, l’extraction minière et la fonte des minerais de cobalt, la préparation des alliages à base de cobalt et les industries qui utilisent ou transforment des dérivés du cobalt.

Le cobalt et ses dérivés minéraux ne sont pas volatils et passent dans l’atmosphère sous la forme de particules. On estime que le cobalt anthropogénique issu de la combustion se trouve principalement sous forme d’oxydes. Au cours de l’extraction des minerais et du raffinage, du cobalt est également libéré dans l’atmosphère sous forme de sulfate et d’arséniure.

Le cobalt libéré dans l’atmosphère vient se déposer sur le sol; lorsqu’il est déchargé dans l’eau, il peut subir une sorption sur des particules puis se déposer dans les sédiments ou se fixer directement à eux par sorption. Le coefficient de distribution du cobalt (par exemple de l’eau aux sédiments) varie en fonction du pH, des conditions rédox, de la force ionique et de la concentration en matières organiques dissoutes. Les facteurs qui influent sur la spéciation et le devenir du cobalt dans l’eau, les sédiments et le sol sont, entre autres, la présence de ligands organiques tels que les acides humiques, les anions, le pH et le potentiel rédox. La mobilité du cobalt dans le sol est inversement proportionnelle à l’intensité de son adsorption par les constituants du sol. Les végétaux peuvent capter le cobalt présent dans le sol, mais il n’est guère transporté de l’appareil racinaire vers d’autres parties de la plante.

Dans l’atmosphère, la concentration mesurée est d’environ un 1 ng/m³ où moins dans les zones dépouyvues de sources de cobalt et généralement inférieure à 10 ng/m³ dans les zones où de telles sources sont présentes, encore que des teneurs plus élevées aient été signalées dans ces zones. La teneur en cobalt des eaux superficielles ou souterraines est faible, inférieure à 1 µg/l dans les territoires vierges et comprise entre 1 et 10 µg/l dans les zones de peuplement. La teneur en cobalt des eaux superficielles ou souterraines peut être beaucoup plus élevée en zone minière ou agricole et atteindre plusieurs centaines de milligrammes par litre. Dans l’eau de mer, les concentrations relevées sont inférieures à 1 µg/l. Dans l’eau destinée à la boisson, la concentration est généralement inférieure à 1-2 µg/l. Des concentrations comprises entre 0,3 et 1,7 µg/l ont été mesurées dans l’eau de pluie. La concentration moyenne du cobalt dans l’écorce terrestre est de 20 à 25 mg/kg. A proximité d’un certain nombre de sources anthropogéniques, la concentration du cobalt dans le sol peut atteindre plusieurs centaines de milligrammes par kg.

---

1 On trouvera à l’appendice 1 la liste des acronymes et abréviations utilisés dans le présent rapport.
Pour la population dans son ensemble, la principale source d’exposition au cobalt est constituée par la nourriture. L’apport de cobalt par la voie alimentaire est estimé à 5-40 µg par jour, en majorité sous forme minérale. Il y a exposition professionnelle au cobalt dans un certain nombre d’industries. Dans le tabac, la concentration du cobalt va de moins de 0,3 à 2,3 µg/g de poids sec et ce cobalt est présent à environ 0,5 % dans le courant principal de la fumée de tabac. Aux Etats-Unis, on a relevé des concentrations en cobalt dans le charbon, le pétrole brut, le mazout et l’essence respectivement égales à 5 mg/kg, 0,001-10 mg/kg, 0,03-0,3 mg/kg et moins de 0,1 mg/kg.

Une fois inhalées, les particules de cobalt se déposent dans les voies respiratoires supérieures et inférieures où elles peuvent rester prisonnières ou au contraire passer de là dans le sang après dissolution ou transport mécanique vers les voies digestives par l’action de l’ascenseur mucociliaire ou par déglutition. Le cobalt qui pénètre dans les voies digestives est absorbé dans la proportion d’environ 50 %. L’absorption est plus importante chez les sujets qui présentent une carence martiale. Les formes solubles dans l’eau sont mieux absorbées que les formes insolubles. Le cobalt est un constituant essentiel de la vitamine B₁₂, c’est pourquoi on le retrouve dans la plupart des tissus. La quantité totale de cobalt présente dans l’organisme est estimée à 5-40 µg/kg de poids corporel selon la nature du composé et l’espèce animale soumise à l’expérimentation. Dans le cas de poids corporel, on a trouvé des concentrations en cobalt dans le rat, le mazout et le charbon respectivement égales à 19 mg/m³ et 1,9 mg/m³ pendant une courte période (16 jours) à des doses de cobalt respectivement égales à 19 mg/m³ et 1,9 mg/m³ sous la forme de sulfate de cobalt ayant présenté une nécrose et une inflammation de l’ épithélium respiratoire. Chez les rats, on a également observé une nécrose du thymus et une atrophie des testicules. Des rats mâles exposés par voie orale pendant 3 semaines à une concentration quotidienne de cobalt de 12,4 mg/kg de poids corporel sous la forme de chlorure de cobalt ont présenté des lésions cardiaques. Exposés par la voie respiratoire à des dérivés du cobalt dont la concentration était supérieure ou égale à 0,3 mg/m³ (soit une concentration de cobalt ≥ 0,11 mg/m³) pendant une durée de 3 à 4 mois, des rats, des lapins et des souris ont présenté des lésions au niveau des voies respiratoires. Chez des rats exposés pendant 2 à 3 mois à du sulfate de cobalt ajouté à leur alimentation ou à du chlorure de cobalt ajouté à leur eau de boisson, les doses journalières de cobalt correspondantes étant égales à 26-30,2 mg/kg de poids corporel, on a observé une augmentation du poids du myocarde et des lésions cardiaques dégénératives. Une réduction sensible de l’activité des enzymes cardiaques a été observée chez des rats exposés pendant 24 semaines à une dose journalière de cobalt égale à 8,4 mg/kg de poids corporel sous la forme de sulfate de cobalt ajouté à leur alimentation. Des rats exposés quotidiennement pendant 4 à 5 mois à des doses de cobalt égales à 10-18 mg/kg de poids corporel sous la forme de chlorure de cobalt, on a observé des lésions rénales.

Un emphysème a été observé chez des hamsters exposés pendant toute leur vie à de l’oxyde de cobalt par la voie respiratoire. Chez des souris et des rats exposés pendant 105 semaines à du sulfate de cobalt par la voie respiratoire, on a constaté des tumeurs pulmonaires dont la formation était liée à la dose. Sous la forme de poudre métallique injectée par voie intramusculaire, le cobalt provoque l’apparition de tumeurs sarcomateuses chez le rat.

De nombreux composés du cobalt sont génotoxiques chez les mammifères, cette génotoxicité se manifestant également dans des systèmes d’épreuve mammaliens ou bactériens. Les dérivés du cobalt (III) se révèlent positifs à cet égard dans les systèmes bactériens. Les dérivés du cobalt (II) provoquent des conversions géniques chez Saccharomyces cerevisiae, mais se révèlent peu génotoxiques par ailleurs.

On a constaté que le cobalt avait des effets sur la reproduction et le développement de l’animal. Des rats exposés à cet élément sous la forme de chlorure de cobalt aux doses quotidiennes de 13,3 à 58,9 mg/kg de poids corporel pendant 2 à 3 mois et des souris également exposés à ce composé à raison de 43,4 mg/kg de poids corporel par jour pendant 13 semaines, on a observé une dégénérescence et une atrophie testiculaires.
Egalement exposés à du chlorure de cobalt à des doses égales à 46,9 ou 93,0 mg/kg de poids corporel par jour, puis accouplés avec des femelles non exposées, des souris mâles ont présenté une diminution du poids de l’épididyme, du nombre de spermatozoïdes, du poids des testicules et de la fécondité, cette dernière étant évaluée par le nombre d’accouplements entraînant la gravidité des femelles. Lors d’études sur le développement, des rattes gravides ont été exposées à des doses de chlorure de cobalt toxiques pour elles (soit 5,4 ou 21,8 mg de cobalt par kg de poids corporel et par jour); ces rattes ont mis bas des ratons présentant un retard de croissance et une moindre survie mais aucun effet tératogène n’a été relevé. Après exposition de lapines à du sulfate de cobalt à la dose de 7,6 mg/kg de poids corporel par jour, on a observé une augmentation des résorptions fœtales et un nombre plus élevé de fœtus présentant un retard pondéral.

Chez l’Homme, l’inhalation de dérivés du cobalt et l’exposition cutanée à ces produits peut provoquer une sensibilisation. Des cas d’asthme bronchique ont été décrits chez des ouvriers exposés au cobalt sous diverses formes.

Des sujets humains qui avaient ingéré du chlorure de cobalt à raison de 150 mg par jour pendant 22 jours ont présenté une polycythémie en une augmentation du taux d’hémoglobine. Selon certaines études, des cas de myocardopathies ont été observés chez des sujets humains qui avaient consommé de grandes quantités de bière contenant du sulfate de cobalt.

Les particules contenant du cobalt métallique sont à l’origine d’une maladie professionnelle appelée fibrose pulmonaire interstitielle, qui touche les travailleurs employés à la fabrication des carbone métalliques.

Les études de mortalité effectuées dans les industries produisant des carbures métalliques font état d’une augmentation de la mortalité par cancer du poumon. Le cobalt est utilisé comme liant dans ces industries et ce type de fabrication entraîne également une exposition à d’autres substances comme le carbone de tungstène et d’autres composés métalliques tels que les carbures de titane, de tantale et de niobium.

A partir des résultats d’une étude transversale effectuée chez des polisseurs de diamants exposés au cobalt on a évalué à $1 \times 10^{-7}$ mg/m³ la concentration tolérable par inhalation, le critère retenu étant la diminution de la fonction respiratoire. Il y a généralement une différence d’un facteur 10 entre la concentration tolérable et les concentrations de cobalt mesurées dans l’air ambiant à proximité de sources anthropogéniques.

En prenant comme critère la croissance d’une algue verte dulçaquicoque, Chlorella vulgaris, on a trouvé la valeur de 0,6 mg/l pour la CE₅₀ à 96 heures du cobalt, alors que pour des plantes aquatiques vasculaires, ces valeurs étaient égales à 0,1 et 0,2 mg/l. La CE₅₀ à cinq jours basée sur la croissance de la diatomée marine Ditylum brightwellii a été trouvée égale à 0,3 mg/l. Dans le cas d’invertébrés d’eau douce, les valeurs de la CL₅₀ (24-96 h) allaient de 1,1 à 239 mg/l. Plusieurs études relatives à la reproduction de Daphnia magna ont été publiées; elles font état d’une CE₅₀ à 21 jours égale à 0,01 mg/l et d’une NOEC (concentration sans effet observable) à 28 jours de 0,003 mg/l; cependant, selon des travaux ultérieurs, la valeur de la NOEC à 21 jours irait de 0,03 à 0,05 mg/l pour diverses valeurs de la teneur en carbonate de calcium. Dans le cas des organismes aquatiques, la valeur la plus faible trouvée pour la NOEC est inférieure à 0,003 mg/l. Elle a été mesurée lors d’un essai de 7 jours sur la puce d’eau Ceriodaphnia dubia. Chez les invertébrés marins, c’est dans le cas des larves de homard que la sensibilité la plus forte a été relevée, avec une valeur de la CL₅₀ à 96 h allant de 4,5 à 22,7 mg/l. Pour les poissons d’eau douce, la CL₅₀ à 96 h va de 1,4 à 333 mg/l. En prenant la survie comme critère, on a trouvé une valeur de 0,06 mg/l pour la NOEC à 16 jours. D’après les résultats des tests effectués sur des poissons de mer, il semblerait que les diverses espèces - tout au moins celles qui ont été étudiées - soient relativement insensibles au cobalt, avec des valeurs de la CL₅₀ à 96 h allant de 52,5 à plus de 1000 mg/l. Les facteurs les plus importants qui empêchent la fixation des ions Co²⁺ aux branchies sont la compétition avec les ions calcium (Ca²⁺) et la complexation du cobalt par les matières organiques dissoutes. Toutefois, l’effet des ions calcium sur la fixation et la toxicité potentielle du cobalt s’exerce à de très faibles concentrations en Ca²⁺, probablement plus faibles que celles qui ont été utilisées dans les épreuves toxicologiques rapportées.

En ce qui concerne le milieu marin, on a proposé une valeur-guide de fiabilité moyenne égale à 20 µg/l (protection de 99 % des espèces marines avec une confiance de 50 %); pour les eaux douces, la valeur est fixée à 8 µg/l (protection de 95 % des espèces dulçaquicoles avec une confiance de 50 %). Si l’on compare ces valeurs-guides aux concentrations relevées dans l’environnement, il semblerait que c’est uniquement à proximité des sites importants de pollution anthropogénique que des effets seraient susceptibles de se produire. On possède quelques données selon lesquelles, dans les eaux douces extrêmement pauvres en ions calcium, le calcium est moins à même d’entrer en compétition avec le cobalt pour se fixer sur les récepteurs branchiaux, ce qui conduit à une fixation plus importante du cobalt. C’est par conséquent dans les eaux particulièrement douces (à très faible teneur en ions calcium) proches des sources de pollution anthropogéniques que les espèces aquatiques sont les plus menacées.
Les données relatives à la toxicité du cobalt pour les microorganismes terricoles sont limitées. On ne possède guère de preuves d’une toxicité du cobalt pour les végétaux poussant sur des sols à forte teneur en cet élément. Une tolérance au cobalt et à d’autres métaux a été constatée chez des plantes poussant sur des sols où ces métaux sont présent à forte concentration. Chez certaines espèces, on a pu montrer que la tolérance était due à une exclusion du cobalt mais chez d’autres, présentes dans des clairières cuprifères au sol riche en cobalt, il y a suraccumulation de ce métal. Des effets indésirables sur la croissance des lombrics et sur la reproduction du collembole nivicole ont été observés à des concentrations de 300 à 400 mg/kg de poids sec. Dans l’environnement terrestre, il semblerait peu probable que le cobalt ait des effets nocifs sur les oiseaux et les mammifères sauvages, et chez les ruminants, la carence est plus vraisemblable que l’intoxication.

RESUMEN DE ORIENTACIÓN

Este CICAD1 sobre el cobalto y sus compuestos inorgánicos, preparado por Sciences International, Inc. de los Estados Unidos y el Centro de Ecología e Hidrología del Reino Unido, se basó en los exámenes realizados por la Agencia para el Registro de Sustancias Tóxicas y Enfermedades (ATSDR, 2004) y el Centro Internacional de Investigaciones sobre el Cáncer (IARC, 2005). Para incluir las citas bibliográficas que no figuraban en ninguno de estos exámenes, se realizó una búsqueda bibliográfica amplia en diversas bases de datos en línea en abril de 2005. La información sobre los documentos originales y su examen colegiado se presenta en el apéndice 2. La información sobre el examen colegiado de este CICAD aparece en el apéndice 3. Este CICAD se examinó y aprobó como evaluación internacional en una reunión de la Junta de Evaluación Final, celebrada en Nagpur (India) del 31 de octubre al 3 de noviembre de 2005. La lista de participantes en esta reunión figura en el apéndice 4. También se reproducen en el presente documento las Fichas internacionales de seguridad química para el cobalto, el óxido de cobalto (II), el óxido de cobalto (III), el sulfuro de cobalto (II), el cloruro de cobalto (II), el sulfato de cobalto (II), el sulfato de cobalto (II) heptahidratado, el nitrato de cobalto (II), el nitrato de cobalto (II) hexahidratado, el acetato de cobalto (II) tetrahidratado, el naftalenato de cobalto y el carbonilo de cobalto, preparadas por el Programa Internacional de Seguridad de las Sustancias Químicas (IPCS, 2000, 2001a–e, 2004a–f) en un proceso separado de examen colegiado.

1 Véase el apéndice 1 para la lista de siglas y abreviaturas utilizadas en este informe.

El cobalto (número atómico 27) es un elemento presente en la naturaleza con un isótopo estable (59Co) y 26 isótopos radiactivos conocidos. Tiene tres valencias (0, +2 y +3). Debido a su posible condición de isótopo radiactivo, puede producir radiaciones ionizantes. Este documento se concentra fundamentalmente en el cobalto estable. El lector debe consultar otras fuentes, por ejemplo ATSDR (2004), para buscar información sobre los efectos de las radiaciones ionizantes de los isótopos radiactivos de cobalto.

El cobalto (CAS N° 7440-48-4) es una sustancia sólida de color gris plateado a temperatura ambiente. Es el 33er elemento más abundante y se ha encontrado en diversos medios, como el aire, el agua superficial, las filtraciones de vertederos de desechos peligrosos, el agua freática, el suelo y los sedimentos. Las fuentes de exposición al cobalto y a sus compuestos inorgánicos son tanto naturales como antropogénicas. Son fuentes naturales el polvo arrastrado por el viento, el agua...
marina pulverizada, los volcanes, los incendios forestales y las emisiones biogénicas continentales y marinas. Entre las fuentes antropogénicas cabe mencionar la quema de combustibles fósiles, los fangos de alcantarillado, los fertilizantes fosfatados, la extracción y fusión de menas de cobalto, la preparación de aleaciones de cobalto y las industrias que utilizan o elaboran compuestos de cobalto.

El cobalto y sus compuestos inorgánicos no son volátiles y se liberan en la atmósfera como partículas. Se supone que el cobalto antropogénico procedente de la combustión se encuentra fundamentalmente en forma de óxidos. Durante los procesos de extracción y refinado también se liberan en la atmósfera las formas de sulfuro y arsénico.

El cobalto liberado en la atmósfera se deposita en el suelo y el liberado en el agua se puede adsorter en partículas y pasar al sedimento o adsorberse directamente en él. Su coeficiente de distribución (por ejemplo, del agua al sedimento) varía en función del pH, las condiciones redox, la fuerza iónica y las concentraciones de materia orgánica disuelta. Los factores que afectan a la especiación y el destino del cobalto en el agua, los sedimentos y el suelo incluyen ligandos orgánicos como los ácidos húmicos, los aniones, el pH y el potencial redox. Su movilidad en el suelo es inversamente proporcional a su grado de adsorción en los componentes del suelo. Aunque las plantas pueden absorber cobalto del suelo, su translocación desde las raíces hasta otras partes de la planta no es significativa.

Las concentraciones de cobalto medidas en la atmósfera son de alrededor de 1 ng/m³ o menos en zonas que carecen de fuentes de este elemento y en general son de menos de 10 ng/m³ en las zonas que sí las tienen, aunque también se han notificado concentraciones más altas en estas últimas. Las concentraciones de cobalto en las aguas superficiales y freáticas son bajas, inferiores a 1 µg/l en zonas vírgenes y de 1–10 µg/l en zonas pobladas, pudiendo alcanzar valores mucho más elevados en zonas mineras y agrícolas, de hasta varios cientos de mg por litro. Las concentraciones medias de cobalto notificados en el agua marina son inferiores a 1 µg/l, en el agua de bebida suelen ser <1–2 µg/l y en el agua de lluvia de 0,3–1,7 µg/l. La corteza terrestre contiene como promedio una concentración de cobalto de 20–25 mg/kg. Cerca de fuentes antropogénicas, sus concentraciones en el suelo pueden ser de varios cientos de mg por kg.

La fuente más importante de exposición al cobalto para la población general es el suministro de alimentos. La ingesta estimada a partir de los alimentos es de 5–40 µg/día, en su mayor parte como cobalto inorgánico. Son diversas las industrias en las que hay exposición profesional a este elemento. Sus niveles en el tabaco varían entre <0,3 y 2,3 µg/g de peso seco y alrededor del 0,5% de esa cantidad está presente en la corriente principal de humo. Se encontró que sus concentraciones en el carbón, el petróleo bruto, el combustible y la gasolina en los Estados Unidos eran de 5 mg/kg, 0,001–10 mg/kg, 0,03–0,3 mg/kg y <0,1 mg/kg, respectivamente.

La inhalación de partículas de cobalto da lugar a su deposición en las vías respiratorias superiores e inferiores, donde pueden quedar retenidas o pasar a la sangre tras la disolución, o bien transferirse de forma mecánica al tracto gastrointestinal por acción mucociliar y deglución. Se absorbe alrededor del 50% del cobalto que entra en el sistema gastrointestinal. La absorción es mayor en las personas con deficiencia de hierro. Las formas solubles en agua se absorben mejor que las insolubles. El cobalto es esencial como componente de la vitamina B₁₂ por consiguiente, se encuentra en la mayoría de las tejidos. Su acumulación total en el organismo se estima en 1,1–1,5 mg, con 0,11 mg en el hígado. Se han observado concentraciones más altas de cobalto en los pulmones tras la exposición por inhalación. No hay estudios que describan su distribución en las personas tras la ingestión, pero diversos estudios en animales indican que se retiene fundamentalmente en el hígado. En un estudio de exposición humana controlada a aerosoles, el 40% de la acumulación inicial de óxido de cobalto en los pulmones se retuvo seis meses después de la exposición. La excreción urinaria aumenta con el tiempo tras la exposición por inhalación. El tamaño de las partículas influye en la eliminación del cobalto inhalado, puesto que cuanto más grandes son las partículas más cobalto pasa mecánicamente al tracto gastrointestinal. La eliminación fecal es la principal vía de excreción en las personas tras la exposición oral.

La CL₅₀ del hidrocarbonilo de cobalto por inhalación en ratas fue de 165 mg/m³ para una exposición de 30 minutos. Se ha señalado que la DL₅₀ de los compuestos solubles de cobalto por vía oral es del orden de 42,4 a 317 mg/kg de peso corporal, en función del compuesto y la especie sometida a prueba. Se ha notificado que el tetraóxido de tricobalto, un compuesto insoluble de cobalto, tiene una DL₅₀ de 3672 mg de cobalto por kg de peso corporal en ratas.

En ratas y ratones expuestos durante un periodo breve (16 días) a sulfato de cobalto por inhalación en concentraciones de 19 mg/m³ y 1,9 mg/m³, respectivamente, se observó necrosis e inflamación del epitelio del tracto respiratorio. En ratas se detectó asimismo necrosis del timo y atrofia testicular. Las ratas macho expuestas a cloro de cobalto por vía oral con una concentración de cobalto de 12,4 mg/kg de peso corporal al día durante tres semanas mostraron lesiones cardiacas. Las ratas, conejos y ratones expuestos a compuestos de cobalto por inhalación en concentraciones de ≥0,3 mg/m³ (concentraciones de cobalto de ≥0,11 mg/m³) durante 3–4 meses mostraron lesiones del tracto respiratorio. En ratas
La inhalación y la exposición cutánea al cobalto en el peso corporal retardado. La resorción fetal y un aumento del número de fetos con 7,6 mg/kg de peso corporal al día se produjo mayor cobalto (en forma de sulfato de cobalto) con dosis de ningún efecto teratogénico. En los conejos expuestos a disminución de la supervivencia, pero no se observó reproducción y el desarrollo de los animales. Las ratas expuestas a cobalto (en forma de polvo metálico de cobalto) por vía intramuscular, producen tumores del tipo de sarcomas.

Muchos compuestos de cobalto son genotóxicos en mamíferos y en sistemas de prueba de mamíferos y bacterianos. Los compuestos de cobalto(III) dan positivo en los sistemas de prueba bacterianos. Los compuestos de cobalto(II) fueron positivos para las conversiones genéticas en 

Saccharomyces cerevisiae, pero por lo demás demostraron escasa actividad genotóxica.

Se ha comprobado que el cobalto tiene efectos en la reproducción y el desarrollo de los animales. Las ratas expuestas a cobalto (en forma de cloruro de cobalto) en concentraciones de 13,3–58,9 mg/kg de peso corporal día durante 2–3 meses y los ratones expuestos a cobalto (en forma de cloruro de cobalto) en concentraciones de 43,4 mg/kg de peso corporal al día durante 13 semanas mostraron degradación y atrofia testiculares. En los ratones macho expuestos a cloruro de cobalto con dosis de 46,9 ó 93,0 mg/kg de peso corporal al día y apareados con ratones hembras no expuestos se registró una disminución del peso del epidífido, el recuento de espermatozoides, el peso de los testículos y la fecundidad, valores medidos por el número de apareamientos con éxito. En estudios de desarrollo, las ratas preñadas expuestas a cobalto (en forma de cloruro de cobalto con toxicidad materna (5,4 ó 21,8 mg de cobalto por kg de peso corporal al día) produjeron crías recién nacidas con crecimiento retardado y disminución de la supervivencia, pero no se observó ningún efecto teratogénico. En los conejos expuestos a cobalto (en forma de sulfato de cobalto) con dosis de 7,6 mg/kg de peso corporal al día se produjo mayor resorción fetal y un aumento del número de fetos con peso corporal retardado.

La inhalación y la exposición cutánea al cobalto en personas puede dar lugar a sensibilización. En trabajadores expuestos a diversas formas de cobalto se ha descrito asma bronquial.

Las personas que ingirieron cloruro de cobalto en concentraciones de 150 mg/día durante 22 días sufrieron policitemia y un aumento de la hemoglobina. También hay estudios en los que se ha descrito cardiomiopatía en personas que habían consumido grandes cantidades de cerveza que contenía sulfato de cobalto.

La neumopatía intersticial ocasionada por partículas metálicas con cobalto es una enfermedad pulmonar ocupacional que recibe también el nombre de enfermedad pulmonar por metales duros.

Los estudios de mortalidad en la industria de los metales duros parecen indicar un aumento de la mortalidad por cáncer de pulmón. El cobalto se utiliza como aglutinante en esta industria y también se produce exposición a otras sustancias, entre ellas el carburó de tungsteno y otros compuestos metálicos, como el carburó de titanio, el carburó de tantalio y el carburó de niobio.

Se utilizó un estudio transversal de pulidores de diamantes expuestos al cobalto para derivar una concentración tolerable por inhalación de 1 × 10−4 mg/m³, basada en la disminución del funcionamiento pulmonar. La concentración tolerable suele ser 10 veces superior a las concentraciones de cobalto que se encuentran en el aire ambiente cerca de fuentes antropogénicas.

La CE50 notificada para el cobalto a las 96 horas basada en el crecimiento del alga de agua dulce Chlorella vulgaris fue de 0,6 mg/l, mientras que la CE50 para las plantas vasculares acuáticas fue de 0,1 y 0,2 mg/l. La CE50 del cobalto a los cinco días basada en el crecimiento de la diatomea marina Ditylum brightwellii fue de 0,3 mg/l. Para los invertebrados de agua dulce, la CL50 (24–96 horas) aguda oscila entre 1,1 y 239 mg/l. Se describieron varios estudios sobre la reproducción de Daphnia magna, con una CE50 a los 21 días de 0,0 mg/l y una NOEC a los 28 días de 0,003 mg/l; sin embargo, en estudios posteriores se encontró una NOEC a los 21 días que iba de 0,03 a 0,05 mg/l para diversos niveles de carbonato cálcico. La NOEC más baja notificada para organismos acuáticos fue la de la pulga de agua Ceriodaphnia dubia en una prueba de siete días, con <0,003 mg/l. Los invertebrados marinos más sensibles fueron las larvas de langosta, con una CL50 a las 96 horas comprendida entre 4,5 y 22,7 mg/l. Los invertebrados para los peces de agua dulce oscila entre 1,4 y 333 mg/l. Se notificó una NOEC a los 16 días basada en la supervivencia de 0,06 mg/l. Los resultados de las pruebas realizadas con peces marinos parecen indicar que por lo menos las especies sometidas a prueba son relativamente insensibles al cobalto, con una CL50 a las 96 horas que va de 52,5 a >1000 mg/l. En pruebas realizadas en aguas naturales, la competencia del Ca2+ y la formación de...
complejos con materia orgánica disuelta fueron los factores más importantes que impidieron la unión del Co\(^{2+}\) a las agallas. Sin embargo, el efecto de los iones Ca\(^{2+}\) en la absorción y la posible toxicidad del cobalto se produce con concentraciones muy bajas de Ca\(^{2+}\), probablemente inferiores a las utilizadas en cualquiera de las pruebas de toxicidad descritas.

Los valores de orientación de una fiabilidad moderada fueron para el medio marino de 20 µg/l (para la protección del 99% de las especies marinas con una confianza del 50%) y para el medio de agua dulce de 8 µg/l (para la protección del 95% de las especies de agua dulce con una confianza del 50%). La comparación de los valores de orientación con las concentraciones en el medio ambiente parece indicar que probablemente sólo se producirán efectos en las proximidades de zonas con una liberación antropogénica importante. Hay algunas pruebas de que, en condiciones de agua dulce con una concentración extraordinariamente baja de Ca\(^{2+}\), el cobalto tiene menos competencia por los lugares de unión en las agallas de los peces y, en consecuencia, hay mayor absorción de cobalto. Por consiguiente, el mayor riesgo para los organismos acuáticos podría estar en las zonas de aguas muy blandas (con una concentración extraordinariamente baja de ión Ca\(^{2+}\)) cercanas a fuentes de liberación antropogénica.

Los datos relativos a la toxicidad del cobalto para los microorganismos del suelo son limitados. Son escasas las pruebas de toxicidad del cobalto para las plantas debida a concentraciones elevadas en el suelo. Se ha encontrado tolerancia al cobalto, junto con tolerancia a otros metales, en poblaciones de plantas que crecen en suelos con una concentración elevada de metales concretos. Se ha demostrado que el metal no interviene en la tolerancia al cobalto de algunas especies, mientras que en otras que crecen en zonas de explotación de cobre ricas en cobalto se produce una hiperacumulación de éste. Se han notificado efectos adversos en el crecimiento de las lombrices de tierra y la reproducción de los colémbolos con 300–400 mg/kg de peso seco. En el medio terrestre, parecen poco probables los efectos adversos del cobalto en las aves y los mamíferos silvestres, siendo más probable en los ruminantes la deficiencia de cobalto que su toxicosis.
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