Predatory marketing of breast-milk substitutes continues to be highly prevalent worldwide. As documented in a recent multi-country study on the reach and influence of marketing on infant feeding conducted by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), marketing of breast-milk substitutes diminishes the perceived value of breastfeeding and undermines women’s confidence in their ability to breastfeed. Marketing plays on expectations and anxieties around feeding and positions formula milk as a better alternative to breast milk.

This brief summarizes data for the Asia/Oceania region* based on the global Code status report 2022.** The report presents the national legal status of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes and subsequent relevant World Health Assembly (WHA) resolutions (“the Code”), including the extent to which its provisions have been incorporated in national legal measures.

Methodology

WHO, UNICEF and International Baby Foods Action Network (IBFAN) routinely collect information on legal measures adopted by countries to implement the Code. The legal measures are analysed on scope and content by using a standardized checklist of Code provisions. A scoring algorithm is then applied to classify countries’ legislation into categories. The algorithm assigns points values for each Code provision, with a maximum total of 100 points for measures that reflect all provisions in the Code. Countries with legal measures that scored 75 or greater are considered to be “substantially aligned with the Code”, those with scores of 50 – < 75 are considered to be “moderately aligned with the Code”, and those with scores < 50 are considered to have “some provisions of the Code included”. This algorithm facilitates a systematic and objective classification of countries and their legal measures.

* For this report, the Asia/Oceania region includes all countries that are part of the WHO Southeast Asia Region, WHO Western Pacific Region, UNICEF South Asia region, or UNICEF East Asia and Pacific region.

Findings: legal status of the Code

Over the past two years, protections against inappropriate marketing of breast-milk substitutes were strengthened in Viet Nam.

Of the 40 countries in the Asia/Oceania region, 25 countries have adopted legal measures to implement at least some of the provisions in the Code. Of these, 10 countries have measures in place that are substantially aligned with the Code. Unfortunately, 15 countries have yet to enact legal measures on the Code.

Findings: characteristics of legal measures

Of the 25 countries with Code laws, only 8 have measures clearly covering the full breadth of breast-milk substitutes, which includes milk products targeted for use up to at least 36 months (see Figure 3).

While only some Asia/Oceania countries (7) prohibit the distribution of informational or educational materials from manufacturers or distributors, many more have prohibitions on advertising (21) and the use of promotional devices at points of sale (17).

The health system has been a traditional conduit for promoting products falling under the scope of the Code, and promotion in health facilities persists in many countries. In spite of this, too few countries have robust measures in place to reduce these promotional practices. While many countries (18) prohibit the use of facilities for promotion, a surprisingly low number of countries (11) prohibit gifts or incentives to health workers and only some (13) have provisions in place that prohibit the distribution of free or low-cost supplies in the health care system. In addition, only 9 countries have legal restrictions on industry sponsorship of meetings of health professionals or scientific groups.

More countries (13) prohibit the inclusion of nutrition and health claims on labels, and even more (21) prohibit the use of pictures on labels that may idealize the use of infant formula.

For national Code legislation or regulations to be effective, responsible government agencies must be empowered to monitor compliance with national legal measures, identify Code violations, and take corrective action when violations are identified, through administrative, legal or other sanctions. Therefore, legal measures must include clear provisions which enable and empower authorized agencies to take the corrective action needed. Almost all of the Asia/Oceania countries with Code legislation (23)
have measures that clearly spell out who in government is responsible for monitoring compliance, and all 25 define sanctions for violations.

Conclusions

While promotion of breast-milk substitutes using unethical marketing practices continues throughout the world, many countries are fighting back. A majority of Asia/Oceania countries have legislation prohibiting at least some forms of promotion.

But significant gaps in national legislation remain. Provisions to prevent conflicts of interest are notably lacking and even the most obvious form of promotion, public advertisements, is not adequately covered. High-level political will, constraints on industry lobbying, accountability measures, monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, education on the Code, and investment in human and financial resources are desperately needed across Asia/Oceania to accelerate progress in protecting the health of mothers and babies through breastfeeding.

Recommendations

1. Countries that have not revised their laws or regulations on the marketing of breast-milk substitutes in the past few years should use this report to identify gaps in coverage of all Code provisions and take action to update their legal measures. The WHO/EURO model law is a tool to help to strengthen national regulatory frameworks to protect infants and young children from the harmful effects of food marketing.

2. Countries that have not yet enacted legal measures on the Code should recognize their obligations, both under international human rights law and international agreements, to eliminate inappropriate marketing practices through regulatory action.

3. Countries should examine the new promotional techniques being used in digital media and explore how legal channels can be better utilized to stop this type of promotion. While many digital strategies are already covered in existing legal provisions and simply need stronger monitoring and enforcement, some online and social media promotional approaches will require adaptations to existing regulations.

4. Governments must allocate adequate budgets and human resources to ensure that national Code legislation is monitored and fully enforced, guaranteeing that deterrent sanctions are routinely applied in the case of violations.

5. Health professional bodies and health care workers should carry out their responsibilities under the Code and national legislation to avoid conflicts of interest and fully protect, promote and support optimal infant and young child feeding.
Endnotes

1 The countries with legal measures substantially aligned with the Code are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Fiji, India, Kiribati, Maldives, Mongolia, Palau, Philippines, and Viet Nam.

2 The countries with no legal measures on the Code are Bhutan, Brunei Darussalam, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Japan, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Nauru, Niue, Samoa, Singapore, Timor-Leste, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu.

3 The countries with measures covering the full breadth of breast-milk substitutes are Bangladesh, Fiji, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Palau, Philippines, and Thailand.

4 The countries that prohibit the distribution of informational or educational materials from manufacturers or distributors are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Fiji, Kiribati, Mongolia, Pakistan, and Philippines.

5 The countries that prohibit the use of health care facilities for promotion are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Republic of Korea, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Thailand, and Viet Nam.

6 The countries that prohibit promotional devices at points of sale are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Fiji, India, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Palau, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Thailand, and Viet Nam.

7 The countries that prohibit the use of health care facilities for promotion are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Cambodia, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Palau, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, and Viet Nam.

8 The countries that prohibit gifts or incentives to health workers or health systems are Afghanistan, Fiji, India, Kiribati, Maldives, Mongolia, Nepal, Pakistan, Palau, Philippines, and Thailand.

9 The countries that prohibit the distribution of free or low-cost supplies in the health care system are Afghanistan, Cambodia, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Maldives, Pakistan, Palau, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, and Thailand.

10 The countries that have legal restrictions on industry sponsorship of meetings of health professionals or scientific groups are Afghanistan, Fiji, India, Kiribati, Maldives, Mongolia, Palau, Philippines, Viet Nam.

11 The countries that prohibit the inclusion of nutrition and health claims on labels are Afghanistan, Australia, Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, New Zealand, Palau, Philippines, Solomon Islands, and Thailand.

12 The countries that prohibit the inclusion of nutrition and health claims on labels are Afghanistan, Australia, Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, New Zealand, Palau, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, and Viet Nam.

13 The countries that prohibit the distribution of free or low-cost supplies in the health care system are Afghanistan, Cambodia, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Maldives, Mongolia, Palau, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, and Thailand.

14 The countries that prohibit the use of health care facilities for promotion are Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan, Palau, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Thailand, and Viet Nam.

15 The countries that define sanctions for violations are Afghanistan, Australia, Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, Cook Islands, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Maldives, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, New Zealand, Pakistan, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Thailand, and Viet Nam.
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