

**Report of the World Health Organization (WHO)
Biosafety Inspection Team of the Variola Virus
Maximum Containment Laboratories to the State
Research Centre of Virology and Biotechnology
("SRC VB VECTOR"),
Koltsovo, Novosibirsk Oblast, Russian Federation,
10-15 October 2016**

April/2018

© World Health Organization 2018

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

Suggested citation. Report of the World Health Organization (WHO) Biosafety Inspection Team of the Variola Virus Maximum Containment Laboratories to the State Research Centre of Virology and Biotechnology ("SRC VB VECTOR"), Koltsovo, Novosibirsk Oblast, Russian Federation, 10-15 October 2016. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <http://www.who.int/about/licensing>.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.



**Report from the World Health Organization Biosafety
Inspection of the Variola Virus Maximum Containment
Laboratories
to the Federal Budgetary Research Institution - State Research
Centre of Virology and Biotechnology "VECTOR" of the
Federal Service for Surveillance on Consumer Rights
Protection and Human Well-being (FBRI SRC VB
"VECTOR", Rospotrebnadzor)**

**Koltsovo, Novosibirsk Region, Russian Federation
10–15 October 2016**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The WHO team of international experts carried out a biosafety inspection at one of the two WHO-authorized variola virus (causative agent of smallpox) repositories: VECTOR*, in October 2016 in accordance with World Health Assembly resolution WHA60.1 (2007). [*the Federal Budgetary Research Institution - State Research Centre of Virology and Biotechnology "VECTOR" of the Federal Service for Surveillance on Consumer Rights Protection and Human Wellbeing (FBRI SRC VB "VECTOR", Rospotrebnadzor)]

The activities of the WHO inspection team included inspection of the physical high-containment facilities, the supporting engineering systems and the long-term secure specimen storage arrangement and the newly renovated isolation hospital. Before entry into the high-containment facility, the inspection team performed a detailed review of the recent decontamination process. VECTOR completed a self-assessment form to identify updates and modifications after the previous inspection, which provided continuity between inspections. The inspection team had interactive discussions with VECTOR staff, requested and reviewed instruction manuals, standard operating procedures (SOPs), logbooks, meeting minutes, floor plans and other documents.

Management and staff at VECTOR described their institutional commitment to biosafety and biosecurity by delivering detailed presentations of their facility systems and operations throughout the inspection. The team presented and discussed with a representative of Rospotrebnadzor's Central Office and with VECTOR staff their findings of the inspection.

Since the last inspection in 2014, VECTOR has made significant improvements with many previous findings addressed and closed. The inspection team delivered a presentation at the end of the meeting related to the status of the various findings. The inspection team did not note any new findings requiring immediate corrective action (Priority 3) during the 2016 WHO inspection, although they have requested further work on some issues.

In conclusion, the VECTOR repository was found to meet international levels of biosafety and biosecurity for variola virus research and storage. This inspection report places no responsibility on the WHO. Continued safe, secure storage and conduct of work with live variola virus remains the responsibility of VECTOR. The WHO requests from VECTOR an action plan to address the issues noted here for further improvement within 30 days of receiving this report.

CONTEXT

1. There are two authorized repositories of variola virus, namely, FBRI SRC VB "VECTOR", Rospotrebnadzor in Russian Federation and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the United States of America. The World Health Assembly resolution WHA60.1 (2007) requests that the WHO maintain inspections of the two laboratories biennially in order to ensure that the conditions of storage of variola virus and research conducted in the laboratories meet the highest requirements for biosafety and biosecurity. In addition, in accordance with resolution WHA60.1, inspection mission reports should be available for public information following appropriate scientific and security redaction.
2. Dates for inspection of both repositories are coordinated with annual maintenance of the facilities, following decontamination. This allows the inspectors to enter areas of the facilities that are difficult to access during the handling of live variola virus. The WHO inspection team, consisting of international experts in a range of fields, visited VECTOR from the 10th to the 15th of October 2016 to meet the biennial inspection requirement of resolution WHA60.1. On the 9th of October, the designated inspectors met for a pre-inspection consultation to review the agenda, inspection practices and inspection protocol.
3. Two representatives of the other repository participated in the inspection as observers, excluding closed discussions among the WHO inspection team and during delivery of the results and recommendations to the inspected repository. This is sharing best practices as well as to ensure parity and impartiality of the inspection.

INSPECTION PROGRAMME

4. By agreement with both repositories, the present inspection included the elements defined in the protocol used in the 2009, 2012 and 2014 inspections. The European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Workshop Agreement (CWA) 15793 (2011) was used exclusively to structure the inspection and to follow up previous "findings". The facilities were not assessed for conformity to the CWA.
5. The inspection team and repository representatives agreed to use a transparent rating scale to categorize the findings at the two repositories. To ensure clarity and a consistent approach, findings are categorized as follows:
 - Observations are either positive remarks, including examples of robust controls or other best practices, or related issues that are not directly associated with biosafety and security.
 - Priority 1 findings indicate that an improvement is advisable.

- Priority 2 findings indicate that a timely remedial measure is required.
- Priority 3 findings indicate that immediate corrective action is required.

Previous findings found to be ongoing at the next inspection will contribute to the prioritization of future findings and issues to be addressed in any subsequent action plans.

6. VECTOR completed a CWA 15793 clause-by-clause self-assessment (form provided by the WHO before the inspection), which contributed to the audit evidence. The self-assessment was a critical tool in providing for systematic, holistic approach between each inspection and this exercise will likely continue.

7. The inspection took place over six days and included a full one-day inspection of the physical high-containment facility designated for research with variola virus, its supporting mechanical systems, the long-term specimen storage repository and the isolation hospital. Two inspection team members with proof of vaccinia vaccination in the preceding five years to meet internal requirements of VECTOR were permitted to enter the restricted-access, long-term variola virus specimen storage area.

8. The WHO inspection team heard presentations from and held interactive discussions with VECTOR staff. The team specifically requested records, regulatory instruments, institutional rules, instruction manuals, and meeting minutes as necessary for detailed review. The inspection team viewed translated manuals, floor plans of the facility, policies and explanations of the hierarchy of documents. The final day provided an opportunity to discuss and confirm the WHO inspection team's understanding, observations and recommendations, which the inspection team presented to VECTOR.

9. The WHO inspection team made every effort to assess the facility, documents and current practices over a limited timeframe. As the facility was not operational due to scheduled maintenance, the team did not observe any practical work during the inspection. The inspection team appreciated the collaborative attitude and committed engagement of the VECTOR management and all responsible staff throughout the inspection. Presented below are the results of the WHO inspection, the aim of which is to reduce risk and encourage further use of international best practices.

1. Biological risk management system

10. VECTOR representatives presented and provided documentation of the policies, processes and procedures supporting their biological risk management system within their facility. The inspection team overviewed the document hierarchy in terms of national and international regulations, resolutions and their interaction, industry-wide, regional and institutional. The team also examined responsibilities and accountability for biological risk management through a variety of manuals, committee meeting minutes, institutional orders and other relevant documents.

11. The biological risk management system and approval processes of VECTOR integrate senior management, the national regulatory authority and dedicated biosafety committee members, which were demonstrated through the provision of documentation including internal inspection audits for biosafety compliance and training records.

12. *Observation:* VECTOR has shown continual development of a comprehensive management system for work with variola virus, which was emphasised by the provision of up-dated and

translated instruction manuals, examples of biosafety committee meeting minutes and SOPs in accordance with its flow charts.

13. *Observation:* Documentation provided by VECTOR and reviewed by the inspection team incorporated a high degree of cross-referencing and evidence of a robust management system.

2. Risk assessment

14. *Observation:* VECTOR representatives presented their risk assessment process and the hierarchy for review and senior management approval. This included the institutional risk assessment policy, policy and SOPs for handling pathogenic biological agents, and provided evidence of best practice including regular review of SOPs and instruction manuals, workshops and refresher courses.

15. The previous inspection report¹ noted the following ongoing finding (paragraph 23): "*While the administrative controls are clearly defined in VECTOR's risk assessment process, estimation of likelihood and consequence could be further developed. Such enhanced assessment would allow formal comparison and prioritization of risks and of the controls of choice. This would better demonstrate how the organization applies the hierarchy of hazard controls. The inspection team considers this a central safety concept and suggests that it be integrated into the VECTOR decision process.*" Responsible VECTOR staff formulated proposals on how to improve the risk assessment procedures and controls and how to integrate these into the decision-making process. The Director General (DG) accepted these proposals and implementation included changes to the instruction manual. VECTOR provided the inspection team with a new risk assessment process reflecting likelihood and consequence and demonstrated evidence of its application. This finding is now closed.

16. The previous inspection reported the following finding (paragraph 24): "*The risk assessment process flow diagram defines the intended flow of information. The inspection team suggests that a feedback loop from senior management to the research group be added.*" VECTOR made appropriate changes to the instruction manual and presented an up-dated process flow diagram for risk assessment to the inspection team, which included a feedback loop from senior management to the research group. This finding is now closed.

3. Pathogen and toxin inventory and information

17. The inspection team examined the working stock and long-term storage areas for variola virus, viral DNA and genome as well as the instruction manual and logbooks of all materials, which included individuals responsible for the accuracy of the collections. The process for recording and inventorying working and archival collections is well established and controlled, which includes spot checks and a twice-yearly inventory carried out by the staff of the Biosafety Department.

18. The previous inspection report noted (paragraph 28): "*The inspection team recommends that VECTOR's instruction manual clarify the WHO requirements for the transfer of full-length viral DNA more explicitly and state that no site other than the two collaborating centres is allowed to acquire more than 20% of the variola virus genome.*" VECTOR added clarifications to the instruction manual to reflect the WHO requirements for transfer and the inspection team noted VECTOR's observance of this rule via presentation of the logbooks. This finding is now closed.

¹ Report of the World Health Organization (WHO) Biosafety Inspection Team of the Variola Virus Maximum Containment Laboratories to the State Research Centre of Virology and Biotechnology ("SRC VB VECTOR"), Koltsovo, Novosibirsk Oblast, Russian Federation, 8-13 December 2014

4. General safety

19. The inspection team reviewed aspects on general safety throughout the visit.

20. Previous finding (paragraph 31): "*The current fumigation process has been validated and is verified regularly with biological indicators. The inspection team noted, however, that the current process requires that an operator enter the space during the gassing phase, which is considered to place the personal safety of the operator at significant risk. In the interest of continuous improvement, VECTOR is requested to explore alternative, safer methods for the gaseous decontamination process.*" VECTOR conducted a series of experiments to explore alternative methods of gaseous fumigation. This identified that less formaldehyde and an improved set-up and delivery procedure could be implemented. The inspection team reviewed an up-dated protocol related to routine decontamination using gaseous formaldehyde and evidence in the form of a modified SOP of improved operator safety. This finding is now closed.

21. Previous finding (paragraph 32): "*VECTOR should ensure that its manuals are updated to reflect changes in policy. For example, they should be updated to reflect the fact that routine use of alcohol burners has been discontinued and they are permitted only with special dispensation if the need arises to open old samples stored in glass ampules.*" The inspection team observed an amended instruction manual, which reflected clarity around the restricted use of Bunsen burners. This finding is now closed.

22. *Priority 1 finding:* The inspection team highlighted concern regarding an open wiring method for telephone communication wires (which nominal voltage value does not exceed 12 V) on a panel noted in the laboratory-clothing cloakroom.

5. Personnel and competence

23. VECTOR staff presented the inspection team with information on occupational health and safety, briefings for newly hired personnel, initial workplace, annual refresher and ad hoc training, training records and competency assessment. Training records (employment record books) requested and reviewed by the inspection team were verified for selected individuals.

24. *Observation:* The inspection team found the induction process at VECTOR to be rigorous and extensive.

6. Good microbiological practices

25. VECTOR provided manuals and processes of safe working practices including a comprehensive training programme reflecting a commitment to good microbiological practices, which the inspection team reviewed.

26. The inspection team also reviewed the risk assessment for the introduction of new SOPs for transportation of small animals and the use of sealed buckets in a new centrifuge.

27. Previous finding (paragraph 38): "*The inspection team recommends that VECTOR use a method to record microbiological practices (e.g. archived CCTV records) for future inspections, so that the team can verify that they are conducted in accordance with written procedures.*" VECTOR highlighted that their captured CCTV footage is a cyclical process that covers a set timeframe to allow for accident and incident investigation. The inspection team therefore recognised that viewing such film footage was not practically feasible as part of the WHO inspections. VECTOR provided

the training regime and detailed SOPs to the inspection team, and highlighted the two-person rule when working in the containment facility. Regular environmental swabbing of the containment laboratory is undertaken and all analysed swabs had been negative. In addition, biosafety staff members undertake periodical monitoring of laboratory CCTV footage. The inspection team considered the combination of these factors satisfactory to close this finding.

7. Clothing and personal protective equipment

28. VECTOR personnel explained in detail the three different categories of personal protective equipment (PPE) for the various areas of the facility. The inspection team observed numerous items of PPE during the on-site facility inspection and a member of the inspection team was given an explanation of the donning and doffing procedures, as the member donned one of the positive pressure suits. VECTOR demonstrated the procedures for suit donning and doffing, testing, use, maintenance, repair and replacement. Details of the procedure for post-use suit decontamination and the processes required for re-use were provided. The inspection team reviewed the logbooks used for signing equipment in and out and for repairs.

29. Previous finding (paragraph 42): "*The inspection team recommends that a better process be used to document suit issues such as tears occurring during use (versus during cleaning and transport).*" The suit-testing logbook was up-dated with additional columns for comments on any tears, decommissioning etc. This finding is now closed.

8. Human factors

30. The inspection team had discussions with VECTOR on this element and the team did not have any concerns relating to human factors.

9. Healthcare

31. The inspection team discussed this element with medical staff during a visit to the newly renovated isolation hospital for highly dangerous infections. This hospital makes it possible to accommodate VECTOR personnel conducting work with variola virus, for quarantine and/or treatment. Discussions included procedures for how potentially exposed staff would enter the facility, caring for staff, the types of equipment including PPE used, and general operation and maintenance of the facility. On this occasion, the team did not have the opportunity to check the engineering system due to scheduled maintenance. It is recommended to take into account extra time for this in the schedules of future inspection visits.

32. Vaccination is mandatory every three years for employees working with variola virus and every five years for all other staff within the facility. Personnel have their antibody titre checked after every vaccination and subsequently every year. A 21-day quarantine period after staff entry into the high containment facility is in place, during which travelling is prohibited for longer than one day outside Novosibirsk. Close monitoring of employee health involves annual medical examinations, daily health checks including twice-daily temperature checks for workers and staff associated with the variola programme. Medical follow-up procedures in case of potential exposure, including differential diagnosis to rule out smallpox also occur.

33. The inspection team did not have any concerns relating to healthcare.

10. Emergency response and contingency planning

34. VECTOR personnel presented their emergency response and contingency planning operations in detail, which are based on national regulations. Civil defence and emergency committee meetings occur regularly and communication exists between local response teams and VECTOR in the event of an emergency. VECTOR personnel receive annual simulation training exercises e.g. sudden failure of supply-air to positive pressure suits. Building system contingencies (e.g. back-up power) and reporting schemes during work and non-work hours are in place. In addition, the biosafety committee reviews emergency measures and scenarios regularly.

35. The inspection team reviewed the instruction manual and did not have any concerns relating to this element.

11. Accident and incident investigation

36. VECTOR staff presented policies and procedures relating to accident investigation. Since the previous WHO inspection there have not been any accidents reported. The Ministry of Labour and Social Security of the Russian Federation prescribes the procedure for accident and incident investigation. The VECTOR policies clearly indicate that its staff can report accidents without fear of recrimination.

37. Whilst there are strict rules relating to the reporting of accidents, there is no legal requirement to report incidents that did not result in a negative outcome i.e. near misses e.g., where infection might have, but did not occur.

38. *Priority 2 finding:* The inspection team recommend the monitoring of exposures (e.g. contact with, or close proximity to infectious material that may result in infection) to improve biological risk management.

12. Facility physical requirements

39. Before entering the facility, the inspection team checked the fumigation records along with documented results of maintenance and testing during shut down of the facility. Visual inspections of the facility are undertaken twice a year and inspection reports to define any requirement for repair or replacement produced. Since the last inspection, several pieces of repair and replacement work had taken place.

40. Previous finding (paragraph 56): *"The inspection team recommends that long-term physical facility and its life cycle management be given the highest priority with replacement or modernization of the facility in sight. An updated biocontainment facility would position VECTOR well to support diverse high-biocontainment research programmes, regardless of any decision on destruction of variola virus. The plans should include consideration of updated containment barrier decontamination systems. If VECTOR considers that its research requires use of non-human primate models, there will be a need to upgrade the vivarium spaces, carry out a risk assessment, train the personnel and install appropriate equipment accordingly."* Engineering systems along with barrier and wall construction are subject to continual modernisation. VECTOR highlighted its annually scheduled maintenance and intensive testing to confirm suitability of the building for undertaking work with variola virus. This finding is now closed.

41. *Observation:* The comprehensive maintenance programme and the condition of the facility of VECTOR are commendable. The inspection team recommends that a written planned capital upgrade

programme for staged replacement/upgrade of critical plant and controller systems in the coming years would be helpful for VECTOR and for future inspections.

13. Equipment and maintenance

42. VECTOR delivered information related to equipment and technical systems to the inspection team. Biosafety systems, including supply and exhaust ventilation and air supply for PPE, are inspected once every four hours during running time; disinfection and waste treatment systems, transfer units, instrumentation devices, emergency lighting are inspected on a daily basis. Any deviations are recorded in logbooks and acted upon where necessary. The inspection team viewed the control panel and logbooks within the control room.

43. Equipment, including that for effluent treatment, power supply systems, air supply for PPE, and ventilation units of main supply and exhaust ventilation, those of emergency ventilation and supply and exhaust ventilation in changing rooms, has a full redundancy as a minimum. Independent back-up power supplies including generators are in place in case of power failure within the facility and tested on an annual basis. Maintenance work takes place when research work is not ongoing with the exception of any emergency scenario. Specialist personnel are on standby in case of such an emergency. The inspection team examined relevant logbooks and instruction manuals.

44. Previous finding (paragraph 59): *"The inspection team recommends that equipment to be used inside the biological safety cabinet be chosen to minimize aerosol production and interference with air currents. If the class III biological safety cabinet is to be used in the future, it should be refurbished and repaired to ensure that it provides appropriate biocontainment. The inspection team recommends that VECTOR upgrade various small pieces of laboratory equipment, such as centrifuges, to take advantage of improved purpose-designed biosafety features such as a sealed rotor or bucket."* The repair of the cabinet line and installation of a new bench top centrifuge housing sealed buckets along with subsequent amendments to the instruction manual closed this finding.

45. *Priority 1 finding:* Previous finding (paragraph 60): *"The inspection team recommends timely decommissioning and removal of all equipment that is not required for laboratory activities as a general rule to be implemented in high containment facilities, including decommissioned components of the ventilation plant and effluent plant, unless VECTOR could demonstrate that there is no compromise to safe work, including airflow disturbance, at the facility owing to this unnecessary equipment"*. VECTOR explained that it had identified items for decommissioning and removal. Subsequently, VECTOR had removed if possible unused equipment from the effluent decontamination area. A local risk assessment needs to be performed and documented for any equipment (e.g. caging) no longer in use. This finding is considered ongoing.

14. Decontamination, disinfection and sterilization

46. VECTOR staff described in detail the workings of the autoclave, small animal waste treatment, room and pass-through box disinfection and fumigation, and sewage waste treatment to the inspection team. This included decontamination procedures for the newly renovated isolation hospital. The inspection team examined records of and newly modified SOPs for fumigation, including raw data from biological indicators used to ensure successful decontamination based on the modified procedures. Before entry into the high-containment facility, the inspection team performed a detailed review of the recent decontamination process. In addition, the inspection team observed and discussed the waste sewage treatment, autoclave, animal waste treatment and disinfectant

titration systems during the on-site visit of the facility, but not during the on-site visit of the isolation hospital.

47. Previous finding (paragraph 63): *"The inspection team remarked that the criteria for passing or failing the tests of concentrations of the disinfectants should be made more explicit, including a clear, documented procedure for adjusting the concentration and determining the reasons for non-conformity."* VECTOR presented modified standard operating procedures (SOPs) and the logbook for recording disinfectant concentration had been up-dated to incorporate pass/fail criteria. This finding is now closed.

48. Previous finding (paragraph 64): *"The inspection team was informed about material flows across the containment barrier, including protective suits, sample material and low-technology tools to transfer scientific data out of containment. The practice for data transfer relies on barrier disinfection for decontamination. It is recommended that data preferably be transferred electronically (with scanners, photos or existing systems such as video cameras) to minimize the human factors in chemical decontamination and barrier fumigation."* VECTOR had installed a networked computer workstation and scanner for transferring data electronically and had made amendments to the associated instruction manual to incorporate this procedural change. This finding is now closed.

49. *Observation:* VECTOR had achieved and validated a reduction of 30-40% in the volumes of chemicals used for fumigation since the last inspection. This highlights that best practice up-dates and validates processes to gain efficiencies and reduce hazards.

Priority 1 finding: The inspection team recommends validation of the use of a non-absorptive surface compared with coarse calico for the biological indicator: *Bacillus thuringiensis*, to ensure a minimum 6-Log reduction in the numbers of viable bacteria (spores) through fumigation.

15. Transport procedures

50. VECTOR has established detailed instructions for the packaging, monitoring and recording of material transfers. Transportation of live variola virus does not occur outside the repository facility at VECTOR. There are strict rules related to the internal transfer of DNA segments within the premises of VECTOR, which includes the requirement of approval from the DG and destruction of the materials after the genomic analysis. The inspection team examined procedures and inventory logbooks.

51. Previous finding (paragraph 67): *"The inspection team recommends that a system or a second layer of containment be used to ensure that no biological materials are dispersed should cages be dropped during transfer between the rooms in the facility. The finding in the previous report (46) is ongoing."* VECTOR showed the inspection team a newly employed secondary containment system for small animal cages. The inspection team examined the instruction manual to ensure it reflected the use of the new secondary containment system. This finding is now closed.

16. Security

52. VECTOR described an extensive system for ensuring the physical security, security of material, information security and personnel security based on national legislation. The inspection team had the opportunity to verify various security access layers during the site visit. There is an effective system for securing the archival stocks as well as for protecting sensitive information and data. VECTOR has developed various documentation covering security risks, which are up-dated every

five years. There are well-documented agreed internal duties and instructions for external authorities as well as procedures for IT personnel and visiting scientists and guests.

53. The inspection team did not have any concerns relating to security.

OVERALL CONCLUSIONS

54. The WHO inspection team found that VECTOR had addressed many of the findings raised from the previous 2014 inspection. The continual efforts and commitment of VECTOR management and staff in ensuring safe and secure processes of work is commendable. The team have made some recommendations from this most recent inspection, which VECTOR should address accordingly to enhance further the safety and security of the facility.

55. In order to ensure continuity between inspections and to strengthen the inspection and reporting process, the use of a self-assessment form for biological risk management will continue. Each repository is requested to update the sections where changes have taken place since the last inspection and submit it in advance to the next visit.

56. The intention of the observations and recommendations described within this report are to recognize best practices and strengthen further the current measures implemented for the safe and secure management of work on variola virus.

57. In conclusion, there were no major findings observed, however the inspection team recommended some improvements. This inspection report places no responsibility on the WHO. Continued safe and secure conduct of work on live variola virus remains the responsibility of VECTOR. As such, the WHO requests that VECTOR propose an action plan to address the issues raised for further improvement. The WHO should receive this action plan within 30 days of receipt of this report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The WHO inspection team is grateful for the cooperative discussions held with VECTOR staff as well as their commitment and hospitality throughout the inspection.