



REGIONAL OFFICE FOR THE WESTERN PACIFIC  
BUREAU RÉGIONAL DU PACIFIQUE OCCIDENTAL

REGIONAL COMMITTEE

Twenty-fourth session  
Wellington  
28 August - 5 September 1973

WPR/RC24/TD9  
3 September 1973

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS

Attached is a copy of the questionnaire to evaluate the Technical  
Discussions. It is hoped that this will be completed by each Representative.

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

This questionnaire has been prepared for the purpose of making an assessment of the procedures and the results obtained from the Technical Discussions held in connexion with the Regional Committee. Information from the replies to this questionnaire will be useful in planning for the Technical Discussions next year. The form is to be left unsigned and your suggestions, comments and frank criticism, would be appreciated. Kindly indicate your replies with a check under the appropriate boxes below and write your comments in the last column. If the space(s) is/are not adequate, further comments can be written on the back of the page. Please cite the corresponding item number.

ITEM	EVALUATION				COMMENT
1. Did you consider the subject of the Technical Discussion of relevance to your country situation?	Very relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	Not relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Did you receive the working papers and guidelines in sufficient time for reading and study before coming to the RCM?	More than sufficient <input type="checkbox"/>	Sufficient <input type="checkbox"/>	Not sufficient <input type="checkbox"/>	Not received <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Did you feel that the working papers were useful in the plenary sessions and group discussions?	Very useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Not useful <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Was the technical discussion guide useful in pin-pointing the issues and channeling the discussions at the group meetings?	Very useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Not useful <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Was your discussion group adequately constituted in terms of number and composition of participants?	More than adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Not adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	

ITEM	EVALUATION				COMMENT
6. Did you feel the group discussions permitted a balanced exposition of the topics discussed and exchange of views?	Well balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	Balanced balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	Not at all balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	
7. Were the following sessions useful in the proper understanding and consideration of the subject: First plenary session	Very useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly useful <input type="checkbox"/>	Not useful <input type="checkbox"/>	
Discussion group meetings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Second plenary session	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8. Considering the limited time available for its preparation, did you feel that your group report adequately reflected the consensus arrived at during your group discussions?	More than adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Ade- quate <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Not adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Did you feel that the final report adequately reflected the views and conclusions derived from the group reports?	More than adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Ade- quate <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	Not adequate <input type="checkbox"/>	

ITEM	EVALUATION				COMMENT
10. Were you generally satisfied with the organization and conduct of the Technical Discussions?	Very satis- factory  <input type="checkbox"/>	Satis- factory  <input type="checkbox"/>	Partly satis- factory  <input type="checkbox"/>	Not satis- factory  <input type="checkbox"/>	
11. Did you feel that you personally derived new knowledge and acquired new insights from your participation at the meeting?	Much  <input type="checkbox"/>	Some  <input type="checkbox"/>	Little  <input type="checkbox"/>	None  <input type="checkbox"/>	