

WHO/HIV/2014.23 ©World Health Organization 2014

Health system and public health evidence to recommendations framework

Problem: [Problem] **Background:** [Background]

Option: [Option]

Comparison: [Comparison]

Setting: [Setting]

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENTS	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
EM	Is the problem a priority?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes □ □ □ □ □	[Evidence]	[Additional information]
PROBLE	Are a large number of people affected?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes	[Evidence]	[Additional information]

Problem: [Problem]Option: [Option]Comparison: [Comparison]Setting: [Setting]

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENTS	RESEARCH EVIDENCE		ADDITIONAL INFORMATION				
MS OF THE OPTIONS	Are the desirable anticipated effects large?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes	-	Summary of findings: [Comparison] Outcome Without With Difference Relative effect Certainty of					
	Are the undesirable anticipated effects small? No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies Yes Varies Varies	Outcome	[intervention] (per [#####]	[intervention] (per [#####])	(per [#####] (95%CI)	(RR) (95%CI)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)		
		No Yes	[Outcome]	[#####]	[#####]	[#####] more/less	RR [#.##] ([#.##] to [#.##])	[Rating]	[Additional information]
S & HARMS		[Outcome]	[#####]	[#####]	[#####] more/less	RR [#.##] ([#.##] to [#.##]	[Rating]		
BENEFITS	What is the No	[Outcome]	[#####]	[#####]	[#####] more/less	RR [#.##] ([#.##] to [#.##]	[Rating]		
	overall certainty of this evidence?	included studies Very low Low Moderate High	Link to detailed evic	lence profile			L		

Problem: [Problem]Option: [Option]Comparison: [Comparison]Setting: [Setting]

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENTS	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
JES	How certain is the relative importance of the desirable and undesirable outcomes?	Possibly Probably no No Important important important important inportant uncertainty uncertainty undesirable or variability o	The relative importance or values of the main outcomes of interest: Outcome Relative importance Certainty of the evidence [Outcome] No evidence - [Outcome] No evidence - [Outcome] No evidence - [Outcome] No evidence -	[Additional information]
VALUES	Are the desirable effects large relative to undesirable effects?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes □ □ □ □ □	[Evidence]	[Additional information]

Problem: [Problem]Option: [Option]Comparison: [Comparison]Setting: [Setting]

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENTS	RESEARCH EVIDENCE	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
RESOURCE USE	Are the resources required small?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes	[Evidence]	[Additional information]
	Is the incremental cost small relative to the net benefits?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes □ □ □ □ □	[Evidence]	[Additional information]
EQUITY	What would be the impact on health inequities?	Increased Probably Uncertain Probably Reduced Varies increased reduced	[Evidence]	[Additional information]
ACCEPTABILITY	Is the option acceptable to key stakeholders?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes □ □ □ □ □	[Evidence]	[Additional information]
FEASIBILITY	Is the option feasible to implement?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No Yes □ □ □ □ □	[Evidence]	[Additional information]

Problem: [Problem]	Option: [Option]		Comparison: [Comparison] Sett		tting: [Setting]	
Balance of consequences	Undesirable consequences clearly outweigh desirable consequences in most settings	Undesirable consequences probably outweigh desirable consequences in most settings	The balance between desirable and undesirable consequences is closely balanced or uncertain	Desirable consequences probably outweigh undesirable consequences in most settings	Desirable consequences clearly outweigh undesirable consequences in most settings	
Type of recommendation	We recommend	against the option	We suggest consid	dering the option	We recommend the option	
	I		☐ Only in the context☐ Only with targeted☐ Only in specific co	monitoring and evaluation		
Recommendation (text)	[Recommendation]					
Justification	[Justification]					
Implementation considerations	[Implementation considerations]					
Monitoring and evaluation	[Monitoring and evaluation]					
Research priorities	[Research priorities]					

 Problem:
 [Problem]
 Comparison:
 [Comparison]
 Setting:
 [Setting]

Evidence profile [title]

Author(s): [Authors]
Date: [YYYY-MM-DD]
Question: [Question]
Settings: [Setting]

Bibliography: [Citation text]

[Insert GRADE evidence profile]

(Return)

 Problem:
 [Problem]
 Comparison:
 [Comparison]
 Setting:
 [Setting]

References

(To make references appear here, place cursor in any text above this page and choose: Insert > Footnote...> Endnote > End of section)

Explanations

Definitions for ratings of the certainty of the evidence (GRADE)**

Ratings	Definitions	Implications	
⊕⊕⊕⊕ High	This research provides a very good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different* is low.	This evidence provides a very good basis for making a decision about whether to implement the intervention. Impact evaluation and monitoring of the impact are unlikely to be needed if it is implemented.	
⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	This research provides a good indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different ⁴ is moderate.	This evidence provides a good basis for making a decision about whether to implement the intervention. Monitoring of the impact is likely to be needed and impact evaluation may be warranted if it is implemented.	
⊕⊕○○ Low	This research provides some indication of the likely effect. However, the likelihood that it will be substantially different ⁴ is high.	This evidence provides some basis for making a decision about whether to implement the intervention. Impact evaluation is likely to be warranted if it is implemented.	
⊕○○○ Very low	This research does not provide a reliable indication of the likely effect. The likelihood that the effect will be substantially different ⁴ is very high.	This evidence does not provide a good basis for making a decision about whether to implement the intervention. Impact evaluation is very likely to be warranted if it is implemented.	

^{*}Substantially different: large enough difference that it might have an effect on a decision

(Return)

For most recent version of this framework (and additional frameworks): www.decide-collaboration.eu/WP5/Strategies/Framework

HSPH EtR framework (Version 2)

^{**}The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) Working Group began in the year 2000 as an informal collaboration of people with an interest in addressing the shortcomings of present grading systems in health care. The working group has developed a common, sensible and transparent approach to grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Many international organizations have provided input into the development of the approach and have started using it.