Emergency medical care in developing countries: is it worthwhile?
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Abstract Prevention is a core value of any health system. Nonetheless, many health problems will continue to occur despite preventive services. A significant burden of diseases in developing countries is caused by time-sensitive illnesses and injuries, such as severe infections, hypoxia caused by respiratory infections, dehydration caused by diarrhoea, intentional and unintentional injuries, postpartum bleeding, and acute myocardial infarction. The provision of timely treatment during life-threatening emergencies is not a priority for many health systems in developing countries. This paper reviews evidence indicating the need to develop and/or strengthen emergency medical care systems in these countries. An argument is made for the role of emergency medical care in improving the health of populations and meeting expectations for access to emergency care. We consider emergency medical care in the community, during transportation, and at first-contact and regional referral facilities. Obstacles to developing effective emergency medical care include a lack of structural models, inappropriate training foci, concerns about cost, and sustainability in the face of a high demand for services. A basic but effective level of emergency medical care responds to perceived and actual community needs and improves the health of populations.
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Introduction Historically, global health policy emphasized multiple, vertically oriented programmes that concentrated on maternal and child health and the control of communicable childhood diseases (1). This resulted in major public health agencies focusing their support on selective programmes that address priority diseases and activities (2). Unfortunately, vertical programmes do not encourage the development of strong and efficient health care delivery systems. The weakness of this approach is most apparent during crises, such as medical emergencies or incidents involving large numbers of casualties.

Fortunately, experts in global health are beginning to take a more comprehensive view of health, including the provision of emergency medical care, than was traditionally the case. Thus the World Bank’s minimum package of health services includes six cost-effective interventions, one of which is a series of non-specialized interventions for emergencies, known collectively as limited care (3). WHO and UNICEF are placing substantial emphasis on the strengthening of triage and emergency care within the context of the integrated management of childhood illnesses (4).

Some governments are attempting to provide a basic package of emergency services. For example, shortly after independence in 1979, Mozambique made emergency care one of its four priority areas in health (5). One of the elements of the Health Investment Fund Project in the Republic of Moldova, funded by the World Bank, is the development of basic emergency care services (6). In Romania, the Health Sector Reform Project, supported by the World Bank, aims to improve emergency medical services as a key component of the overall health programme (7).

We make the case in the following paper for developing a simple but comprehensive approach to emergency medical care in developing countries.

Emergency medical care The purpose of emergency medical care is to stabilize patients who have a life-threatening or limb-threatening injury or illness. In contrast to preventive medicine or primary care,
emergency medical care focuses on the provision of immediate or urgent medical interventions. It includes two major components: medical decision-making, and the actions necessary to prevent needless death or disability because of time-critical health problems, irrespective of the patient’s age, gender, location or condition.

Emergency medical care and health system performance

The three fundamental functions of a health system are to improve the health of the population, respond to people’s expectations, and provide financial protection against the costs of ill-health (8). Emergency medical care can contribute positively to these functions. There are no empirical data on the number of lives or disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) saved through emergency medical care. Nevertheless, it is clear that many of the conditions that contribute to the burden of disease in low-income and middle-income countries can be mitigated through prompt treatment (Table 1).

Enhancing a health system’s responsiveness to people’s expectations leads to improved utilization of services and better outcomes (8). Access to medical care for urgent or life-threatening conditions is a key expectation in many communities. A study conducted in rural Nepal revealed that people used their primary health care centre more often for medical emergencies than for preventive services, such as family planning or prenatal care. The population perceived a strong need for accessible emergency medical and surgical services throughout the district (9). A survey conducted in two communities in Sri Lanka revealed that people expected to receive emergency care from the primary care system. In most instances they used traditional home remedies for minor ailments but turned to primary care medical facilities for acute complaints or when a child seemed seriously ill (10). In southern Nigeria, many women expressed a lack of faith in modern medical care for complications of pregnancy. However, they frequently sought hospital treatment for medical emergencies not amenable to cure by traditional methods. When asked to identify their health service priorities, 901 of the 901 women to higher levels of care over a period of two years. Among children aged under 5 years, mortality caused by these conditions fell by 36% and 34%, respectively (15).

There are few data on the ability of lay persons and community health workers to learn to recognize life-threatening emergencies other than maternal and paediatric conditions. However, it is reasonable to assume that if a health worker can be trained to recognize severe blood loss in a postpartum woman, or breathing difficulty in an infant, he or she can also be trained to recognize severe blood loss in a trauma victim or breathing difficulty in an asthmatic adult. Many of the benefits of pre-hospital emergency care could be realized by teaching community volunteers simple but vital interventions, e.g. establishing and maintaining a patent airway, controlling external bleeding, and immobilizing fractures by means of local materials and resources (16).

Core components of emergency medical care

Emergency medical care has three components: care in the community; care during transportation, which is related to the question of access; and care on arrival at the receiving health facility. It is designed to overcome the factors most commonly implicated in preventable mortality, such as delays in seeking care, access to a health facility, and the provision of adequate care at the facility (12).

Emergency medical care in the community

The outcome of acute illness or injury is strongly influenced by early recognition of its severity and the need for medical intervention. Since most emergencies start at home, any system to promote the early recognition of emergency conditions should be based in the community. In order to save the lives of pregnant women it is important to reduce delays in accessing health care (13). In Zimbabwe a significant proportion of maternal deaths is caused by avoidable factors, including the failure of health workers to identify serious complications and to refer promptly women who are seriously ill to higher levels of care (14). Similarly, prompt referral of severely ill children to health services can reduce child mortality. In Mexico the training of mothers and first-level health care workers in the basic principles of triage led to care being sought more promptly and significantly reduced child mortality: deaths attributed to respiratory and diarrhoeal illness among children under 1 year of age decreased by 43% and 39%, respectively. Among children aged under 5 years, mortality caused by these conditions fell by 36% and 34%, respectively (15).

There is empirical evidence that providing emergency transport saves lives. In Sierra Leone, investment in a vehicle and an improved communication system led to a doubling of the utilization of emergency obstetric services and a 50% reduction in case fatalities (19). In Monterrey, Mexico, an increase in the number of sites of ambulance dispatch from two to four and the provision of basic skills training in trauma care reduced deaths among patients en route to hospital (20).

Disease-based interventions in emergency medical care can produce generalized benefits for populations. In Nigeria, for example, an emergency obstetric transport system transferred 29 women to higher levels of care over a period of two years. During the same period the system transported 27 men and children affected by other medical emergencies (21).
The prevailing models of emergency medical transport used in North America and Western Europe are quite costly and would be impractical for most low-income countries. Severe resource constraints, the poor condition of roads or trails, and a lack of fuel may dictate the utilization of a wider range of options. In the United Republic of Tanzania, for example, modes of emergency transportation include motorboats, canoes, bicycles with trailers, tricycles with platforms, tractors with trailers, reconditioned vehicles, and ox carts (22). Emergency medical care at first-contact and referral facilities

The ready availability of treatment on arrival at a formal health care facility is the third component of emergency medical care. Health care facilities differ widely in respect of equipment, staff and resources, and they consequently possess varying capacities to provide emergency care. For this reason the level of care which can reasonably be expected at a primary care centre is significantly lower than that available at a tertiary care hospital. Nevertheless, some capacity to provide emergency care should be available at every level of a country’s health care system.

A health care facility’s capacity is determined by both human and structural factors. Human factors include the number and mix of health care workers and their level of training. Structural factors include space, medications, supplies and specialized equipment. The level of demand placed on the facility by the surrounding population may also dictate which services are offered and whether they can be accessed at short notice.

Health care facilities of poor quality produce poor outcomes (23–27). Initial triage and treatment constitute one of the weakest links in the system. A study in Malawi revealed that the condition of many seriously ill children arriving at clinics had not previously been recognized. Instead of receiving immediate emergency care they were kept waiting for long periods before being given proper treatment. This resulted in avoidable deaths and disability (28). In Mexico, verbal autopsies of 132 children who died revealed that the majority had been seen by a physician within three days of death. Poor selection of medications and late referral to tertiary care were judged to be important contributory factors in more than half the deaths (29). A qualitative study of 21 hospitals in seven developing countries found that poor triage of incoming patients and inadequate provision of emergency care jeopardized the lives of arriving patients (30). Fourteen of the facilities (including 10 of 13 district hospitals) did not have an adequate triage system. A comprehensive review of the management of 131 children treated at these facilities found evidence of inappropriate or delayed triage in 8% of cases, poor clinical assessments in 41%, and potentially harmful delays in treatment in 19%.

Several international health projects aimed at improving initial triage and treatment have been instituted at district health care facilities. Most focus on the strengthening of maternal and child health. One such project produced guidelines for emergency triage and treatment (28). An evaluation of these guidelines demonstrated that they significantly decreased the time required to assess children in need of urgent medical attention (31).

In Sierra Leone a health care facility was upgraded in order to enhance its ability to provide prompt medical and surgical treatment to women with complications of pregnancy. The annual number of obstetric procedures rose from 2 to 38 over a period of five years. During the same period the
number of unscheduled non-obstetric procedures increased from 41 to 173 during the same period. Blood banks intended for use in obstetrical emergencies were used much more frequently for non-obstetric indications, such as surgical emergencies and trauma. The authors referred to these unanticipated benefits as ripple effects (32).

**Challenges to implementation of emergency medical care**

Beyond limited disease-specific or facility-specific interventions there are no successful models for systematically improving the overall provision of emergency medical care in developing countries. Fortunately, many countries already have programmes focused on emergency obstetric care and/or the integrated management of childhood illnesses. Such programmes may provide the necessary framework for creating a more inclusive, all-diseases approach to emergency medical care. It is important to note that, in many developing countries, the private for-profit and not-for-profit sectors are playing increasing roles in health systems (33). A broad programme such as emergency medical care requires wide consultation before it can be successfully implemented.

Despite the paucity of empirical data on emergency medical care in developing countries it is possible to specify the core components of such a system. They include: community education on accessing the emergency care system and administering first aid; simple communication systems for notifying the emergency care system of patients in need; transport, preferably motorized, for moving patients to the nearest health care facility; triage criteria to ensure efficient and timely utilization of existing resources at every level of the health care system; training of health care personnel on the principles of emergency care; basic kits of instruments, supplies and medications enabling trained providers to give appropriate care at each level of the system.

The minimum standards for emergency medical care should be made clear, but it is not easy to define the emergency services to which everyone should have access. This matter should be discussed by communities, health care providers, health system researchers, policy-makers, ethicists and other interested parties. The framework for discussion should include, but not be limited to, the burden of disease, the availability of effective emergency interventions and the cost.

Rather than attempting to create an emergency medical care system de novo, planners should consider the use of established primary care centres. In addition to their traditional missions of providing preventive and primary care, these facilities could serve as casualty collection points for the initial evaluation and management of paediatric, maternal, trauma and medical patients with urgent problems. With proper training in the principles of triage and emergency stabilization, and a simple kit of essential equipment and supplies, the staff should be able to handle most problems on site. When a patient’s condition requires resources not possessed by a primary care centre, he or she could be transferred to the nearest hospital. The involvement of primary health care centres in the provision of emergency medical care should ensure that the greatest possible good is done for the largest possible number of people and should reduce the risk of district and regional hospitals becoming overwhelmed by non-emergency cases.

In addition to supplementing the knowledge and skills of professional providers at community health centres, low-income countries should consider implementing programmes for teaching the fundamentals of first aid to large numbers of volunteers. Initiating a few simple measures at the scene of an accident can do much good. The India Institute of Technology has produced a low-literacy manual for teaching basic first aid to both villagers and urban dwellers. It includes advice on using simple supplies and even local materials in order to accomplish vital tasks such as the control of bleeding and the immobilization of fractures (34). Once volunteers have been identified and trained the most motivated and talented can be recruited to transport victims to the nearest community health centres. A durable vehicle of sufficient size, with a few essential features and supplies, is sufficient for the vast majority of cases.

At the other end of the spectrum, attention should be given to the training received by physicians and other health care professionals. There is a marked disparity between what is taught in medical schools and what is expected of physicians in developing countries (1). Most medical students in developing countries acquire their training and skills on the inpatient wards of large tertiary care hospitals in urban areas, where emphasis is placed on making the right diagnosis rather than on the principles of triage and emergency management. This model may make sense in developed countries, where graduating physicians almost invariably obtain further training before engaging in independent clinical practice. However, it does not prepare physicians in developing countries for work in community health centres. In these facilities the most pressing requirement is to sort sick patients and make appropriate triage and treatment decisions. In order to do this well, doctors and nurses need to be trained to recognize the severity of illnesses and to categorize conditions in relation to the likelihood of a threat to life or limb, treatment priority, and the strategies most likely to maximize outcome, rather than on the basis of precise diagnoses. The training of health care providers in this manner requires a critical mass of physicians, nurses and other paramedical staff who understand the principles of emergency care and are prepared to exert pressure for their inclusion in the curricula of their respective disciplines.

The measures we describe are not particularly expensive and can benefit large numbers of patients. However, cost is still likely to represent a formidable barrier to implementing emergency medical care systems in developing countries. Depending on the extent of a country’s health care infrastructure, the implementation of an effective emergency medical care system may require little more than incremental reforms, or it may demand a major overhaul of the health care system.

Several small-scale experiments have examined the utility of cost recovery systems, private/community partnerships, and emergency loan funds for financing improvements to systems. All have met with some success (35). There are also successful models of private voluntary efforts for the provision of emergency medical transport. In Pakistan the Edhi Ambulance Service, a voluntary organization supported mainly through private and community donations, provides transport services to a large part of the country at minimal or no cost (36). Considerable savings could be achieved by recruiting citizens as volunteers helping to provide their own emergency care. The obstetric transportation system in Nigeria, outlined above, reported start-up costs of US$ 268 and had recurring costs of US$ 5.89 per transport.
Despite the encouraging experiments that have been conducted, doubts remain that investments in emergency medical care may divert resources from other preventive or curative programmes. This may be particularly problematic in countries with very limited resources. It may be difficult to gain public support for improvements in emergency medical care if they are built on funds taken from other worthwhile programmes.

The implementation of even a rudimentary emergency medical care system may have unintended consequences. The limited availability of even primary care services is a major concern, particularly in rural areas and highly impoverished communities. The few facilities that exist in these locations are already overburdened. If emergency medical care leads to an increase in the utilization of services the pressure on such facilities may become unbearable. Alternatively, if ambulance crews do not properly conduct triage by illness severity, people may use the emergency medical care system to bypass their community health centres and seek treatment at higher levels of care. The only way to determine if this is a legitimate concern is to conduct pilot programmes and assess their impact on both health care utilization and clinical outcomes.

**Conclusion**

Health care in developing countries has not traditionally focused on emergency medical care. Although health promotion and disease and injury prevention should be core values of any health system, many acute health problems will continue to occur. The incorporation of a basic level of emergency medical care into health care systems could have a significant impact on the well-being of populations. It would respond to the self-perceived needs of populations and decrease the long-term human and economic costs of illness and injury.

Priority should be placed on developing minimum guidelines for emergency medical care in low-income countries. The efficacy of such care could be assessed by implementing pilot programmes in several low-income and middle-income countries. This would help to determine the degree to which emergency medical care systems save lives and at what cost.
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