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1. Introduction

The value of chemotherapy with regard to malaria eradication by residual insecticides can be envisaged from two main angles: (1) as an important safeguard after the cessation of residual spraying, for elimination of actual or potential foci of infection maintained by remaining carriers of malaria parasites, (2) as an adjuvant method capable of speeding up the success of a residual insecticidal campaign, especially when a development of resistance by the local vector is likely to occur.

There is no need to point out that in Africa we are still far away from contemplating the first of the two possibilities.

It is painfully true that no large area in Tropical Africa has seen the elimination of malaria by residual spraying alone (Bernard, 1955) and it seems premature to plan in terms of continent-wide eradication of this disease (UNICEF/WHO, 1955).

No wonder that many an African malariologist suffers from an acute inferiority complex tinged with envy, and in his desire to improve the present situation is now looking for methods that will speed up the beginning of malaria eradication from Africa.

2. General aspects of mass chemotherapy in rural areas of Africa

Following in the steps of the Expert Committee on Malaria, Fifth Session (1953) and of the Second Asian Malaria Conference in Baguio (1954), the Second African Malaria Conference in Lagos (1955), justly alarmed by the recent finding of dieldrin
resistance in *A. gambiae* in Nigeria (Elliott & Ramakrishna, 1955), emphasized the importance of carrying out malaria control schemes with the object of obtaining a complete local interruption of transmission as soon as possible. The Conference recommended that chemotherapeutic methods be used in conjunction with residual insecticides wherever this combined attack may lead to the rapid elimination of malaria.

This recommendation, however logical and right, was accepted by some of us with mixed feelings. It would be an exaggeration to say that it constituted a veiled admission of defeat of residual insecticides so brilliantly successful in many other parts of the world.

It is true however that the advocated use of combined methods of control of the vector and of the parasite greatly increases the difficulty of our task in vast rural areas of Africa.

It appears that in addition to dealing with the relatively inaccessible but at least static rural dwellings one will have now to find, to pin down, to persuade, to treat repeatedly and regularly the far more numerous, varied, widely dispersed, extremely mobile and often elusive human element with its particular socio-economic background of an under-developed area.

One must not forget that in the holoendemic African tropics epidemics of malaria do not occur and that the steady wastage of young lives and human assets due to this disease has few elements of personal drama, unlike that of yaws, leprosy or sleeping sickness which are obvious in all groups of the population.

Holoendemic malaria is an insidious, ever-present enemy, killing infants and young children, sapping the energy and strength of the people, interfering with education, preventing or slowing down the economic development, but all this is obvious chiefly from the perspective of an investigation on large samples of the population.

A general disadvantage of large-scale chemotherapy of malaria rests partly on the fact that a proportion of the population in Africa has established a precarious, short-term balance in its specific host-parasite relationship and thus might not eagerly seek treatment in the absence of clinical symptoms. The other and probably more
disappointing feature of mass chemotherapy of malaria is linked with the particular pharmacological aspects of such an enterprise.

In the application of residual insecticides for malaria control one of the most important characteristics of the toxicant itself or its formulation is the duration of its residual activity. Similarly in any large-scale administration of antimalarials the question of the "residual" action of the drug will always be in the foreground. And yet our present drugs are excreted rapidly and thus have a short "carry over" value. There is little doubt that this is the main drawback of all known antimalarials and the main practical difficulty in their mass administration. The frequency of the distribution of antimalarials for mass treatment in rural areas varies usually between once a week and once a month. The more frequent the regimen, the greater the chances of its irregularity, and the greater the difficulties of correct administration in large areas with a low population density, poor communications, primitive educational level and inadequate rural health service organization. What we need for mass chemotherapy is an antimalarial similar to pentamidine for trypanosomiasis, which when administered at a dose of 200-250 mg will protect an individual for three to six months.

All this is certainly not new. It has been well recognized that collective drug prophylaxis "sensu stricto" in rural communities "can seldom be efficiently applied and is beset with administrative and other difficulties" (Covell, Coatney, Field & Singh, 1955). But it is more than probable that the intermittent or short-term "mass treatment" will present a problem of nearly equal magnitude in rural areas of Tropical Africa.

A large-scale programme of regular drug distribution has never been tried out in malaria control in Tropical Africa. Nevertheless the experience of modern leprosy control in French and British African territories is already available and a great deal can be learned from it. Leprosy control is based today on chemotherapy by sulfone drugs with an average three years' duration of treatment. For the greater part of this period the administration of the drug is usually on a weekly basis. This high frequency of drug-taking necessitated either a relatively small number of extremely mobile large units (as it is the case in French territories) or a relatively
large number of more static small units (leprosy clinics) as is the case in British West Africa. According to the French system the mobile units go into the field to seek out patients and to treat them regularly, using if necessary a certain amount of administrative pressure. The British system attempts to make the lepers come to the clinics as voluntary out-patients. The large French mobile unit can deal with 4000 lepers, while the small British static unit is designed for the treatment of 100 lepers.

In both methods the organization is complicated and the respective values of each system undergo now the trial of time. On one side there are the problems of communications handicapped by the absence of roads, short life of vehicles, cost of running and maintaining the transport; on the other side there is the decentralization with its difficulty of supervising, maintaining, supplying and manning the numerous small units.

Two new trends are already obvious with regard to the organization of mass leprosy control and they illustrate the difficulties of the task in Tropical Africa. One instituted in French Gabon, is the attempt to devise a once-monthly treatment to replace the weekly or fortnightly cycle. The other is to devolve the leprosy treatment on the "polyvalent" (as the French call it) Medical Field Units which deal with all endemic diseases. The advantages and disadvantages of this transfer of responsibility for dealing with a specific disease from a specialized to a general health unit are obvious and need not be discussed here in detail.

When trying to apply the logistics of mass leprosy control to malaria control by chemotherapy one should remember that the prevalence of leprosy averages 4 per cent. in Nigeria. The intensive effort needed for regular treatment of 4 per cent. of the rural population will place in a proper perspective the difficulties of chemotherapy of malaria applied to such an area as our Pilot Project in Western Sokoto with its population of 125 000 composed of about 45 per cent. of children with a crude parasite rate of 75 per cent. and adults with a parasite rate of about 20 per cent.

There is another element contributing to the uneasiness felt at the thought of combining large-scale chemotherapy with large-scale vector control. Of all the criteria of a successful malaria control by residual insecticides, the use of
malirometry applied to the protected population is the most appropriate, the most logical and emotionally the most satisfying to the medical man. There is no greater thrill than to watch from month to month the steady decrease of spleen rates, parasite rates and other indices showing how the community is slowly relieved of the burden of malaria infection through the control of its vector!

Association of chemotherapy with vector control has the obvious disadvantage of depriving us of this sensitive gauge. Though we shall certainly see an impressive drop of all malirometrical indices we shall not be able to distinguish clearly between the specific cause and the actual effect. In order to assess the results of residual spraying we shall have to depend entirely on entomological data with their indirect significance and with numerous not easily standardized variables in their composition.

Criteria of demographic trends so impressively brought to light in Madagascar (Joncour, 1955) could be obtained only where the system of vital registry is already available or from a small area where it can be set up ad hoc by the malaria control organization. In every other case in rural areas of Tropical Africa this method of assessment of the state of public health will be either exceedingly difficult to obtain or exceedingly unreliable or both.

Undoubtedly the use of chemotherapy either alone or in association with residual spraying was successful in several rural areas though in rather special circumstances.

In Viet Nam, Farinaud & Chounara (1954) reported excellent results obtained by a weekly distribution of antimalarials for a few months together with spraying of residual insecticides. It should be remembered, however, that this careful work was carried out during the period of two years on a total population of about 10 000.

In Belgian Congo, Vincke (1954) used the method of weekly administration on a total population of 5500 during a period of three years.

In East Africa, Jones (1954) and Clyde & Shute (1955) reported on interesting results of chemotherapy in rural areas based on samples of population not exceeding 2000 individuals. On the West Coast, Miller (1955) in Liberia investigated samples of population of about 200.
It is only in Madagascar (Bernard, 1953; Joncour, 1955) that one finds a unique example of a successful, large-scale chemoprophylaxis combined with residual spraying and protecting a population of over 3.6 million. It must be pointed out, however, that Madagascar with its tradition of chemoprophylaxis enforced by law since 1949, with its wide coverage of rural dispensaries, school centres, "Gouttes de lait" etc. is hardly comparable with any rural area of Tropical Africa that I know. It can be used, however, as an excellent example of the possibilities of endemic disease control on the basis of a pre-existing advanced public health service organization.

One more point should perhaps be mentioned. Mass treatment in Madagascar and Viet Nam was limited to children and pregnant women, thus reducing the proportion of the treated population by about one-half. It remains to be seen whether this method would work in Tropical Africa where the parasite rate of the adult population averages 20 per cent. with seasonal peaks of 30 per cent. and where the gametocyte rate in the adults, admittedly very low, might nevertheless constitute a small reservoir for the infection of the vector.

Thus it is true that the mass administration of antimalaria drugs gave impressive results in several relatively small areas and/or in special circumstances. It is, however, equally true that logistic difficulties of supervised, frequent drug administration in Tropical Africa will increase in direct proportion to the frequency of drug administration and in inverse proportion to the population density of any area. It was suggested that occasionally ways could be found of utilizing the spraying personnel for distributing drugs to all or to selected groups of population (Pampana, 1955). Had we a chemotherapeutic drug with a long "residual" action it is very likely that this idea could be fully utilized by treating the population at the time of spraying. However, even with spraying cycles repeated as often as every three months the duration of the chemotherapeutic action of drugs at our disposal would not have a sufficient "carry over" effect.

Mention should be made of the possibilities of Pinotti's method of addition of an antimalarial to common salt. It appears that this method cannot be standardized in Nigeria where in the South the consumption of salt is well over 15 grammes per diem, while in the North it often does not exceed 5 grammes. This uneven consumption
of salt by different tribal groups in Africa would make the use of a steady concentration of chloroquine difficult. Moreover a considerable proportion of the population, particularly in Northern territories, prefers to use the cheaper "native" salt obtained from the Sahara instead of the imported, refined salt.

Considerations outlined above must not be interpreted as a reluctance to combine large-scale chemotherapy with residual spraying in rural areas of West Africa. We should realize, however, that the application of this method faces us with an entirely new situation, which must be soberly assessed in the light of experience to allow for sound planning.

Pointing out the difficulties of large-scale chemotherapy in Tropical Africa should not prevent us from using it in some special conditions, more numerous than it seems at a cursory glance.

The case of Freetown in Sierra Leone may perhaps be quoted as an example of a possible solution to substitute eradication for control. In the capital of Sierra Leone malaria control by the use of larvicides and (recently) residual insecticides was carried out with a commendable energy and thoroughness during the past ten years and reduced the anopheline density to a very low level of 0.02 per room per day during the whole rainy season, (Thomas, pers. comm.). It seems, however, that this very low anopheline density is still possible for some amount of transmission, resulting in a parasite rate in children of the order of 10 per cent. With an anopheline daily survival rate of 0.97 the low density of the vector is very close to the theoretical minimum density at which transmission is possible. It appears that in this particular circumstance the use of short-term mass chemotherapy would be fully justified and not too difficult to carry out in an urban population, particularly if limited to children. Another possibility of successful organization of large-scale chemotherapy with residual spraying is in the densely populated and fairly accessible areas of South Eastern Nigeria where the present mass campaign against yaws is producing excellent results and where the local population is suitably prepared for extension of modern control methods of endemic diseases.
3. Selection of drugs

Leaving aside general considerations we might perhaps take stock of the existing drugs that could be used for short-term mass chemotherapy of malaria in Tropical Africa. No discussion of chemotherapy of malaria can improve on the admirable summary of our contemporary knowledge of this subject, produced by Covell, Coatney, Field & Singh (1955) in their superb monograph.

Any points raised here must be considered as mere reflections on a specific theme of chemotherapy from the point of view of mass administration in rural Tropical Africa.

The ideal antimalarial combining the virtues of causal prophylaxis, suppression, rapid and complete curative action, sporontocidal effect and impossibility to create parasite resistance, together with low toxicity, very slow excretion, palatability and (last but not least) low cost, is still waiting to be discovered.

Of the modern synthetic drugs five groups might be mentioned here. Their relative values with regard to the specific action on the malaria parasite are tabulated below (Table 1).

Amino-acridines (mepacrine) have been superseded by newer drugs and it is unlikely that they will ever be manufactured on the same scale as before. Drugs of the 8-amino-quinoline series (type Primaquine) are of little importance in the equatorial belt of Tropical Africa where *P. vivax* is very rare, though north of the 15th degree N. latitude and south of the 10th degree S. latitude this parasite is far more common.

*P. malariae* is, however, frequently found in Tropical Africa and in the 3-7 age group of West African children this parasite may attain a proportional frequency of 20 per cent. or more of all infections.

The part that might be played by relapsing quartan malaria in an African population freed from active transmission by vector control is quite unknown although it is doubtful if it would be of great importance (Pampana, 1955). Nevertheless, it would be wise to keep this point in mind and to assess it in any future investigation. Apart from the fact that they are poor schizontocides, drugs of the 8-amino-quinoline
series have a narrow margin of toxicity and would not be suited for mass distribution without a close medical supervision.

Dismissing for the time being this group of drugs we are left with four antimalarials viz. two representatives of the group of 4-amino-quinolines, (chloroquine and amodiaquine), proguanil and pyrimethamine.

There is no doubt that chloroquine and amodiaquine are by far the best modern drugs for treatment of acute malaria and are powerful suppressants. They have a relatively low toxicity and there is no evidence that they induce drug resistance. According to Coatey (1955) amodiaquine is slightly less active than chloroquine and somewhat more toxic though the difference is immaterial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug group</th>
<th>Early tissue phase (during the incubation period)</th>
<th>Late tissue phase latency followed by release</th>
<th>Development of gametocytes in mosquito (anti-sporogonic action)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amino-derivatives</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-aminoquinolines</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>Some evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-aminoquinolines</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biguanides</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>Active but relatively slow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaminopyrimidines</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>No action</td>
<td>Active and mainly against P. falciparum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sexual forms (Gametocytes)</th>
<th>No action</th>
<th>Fast action</th>
<th>Fast action</th>
<th>No direct action</th>
<th>Some evidence</th>
<th>Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. vivax</td>
<td>No direct action</td>
<td>No direct action</td>
<td>No direct action</td>
<td>No direct action</td>
<td>Some evidence</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Action of synthetic antimalarial drugs
Davey (1955) pointed out how few really critical experiments have been made for comparative assessment of chloroquine and amodiaquine. He believes that amodiaquine cannot be used in any particular dosage regimen significantly different from the way chloroquine might be used and wonders whether one is really superior to the other. The results of our own field trials (Bruce-Chwatt & Archibald, 1953) suggest that, although the clearance time of P. falciparum infections with amodiaquine is slightly shorter than with chloroquine, the difference of 3-5 hours between the two drugs is not of practical importance. Neither of the two drugs has any direct gametocidal action. Similar opinion was expressed by a research group in Malaya (Edeson, Wilson, Turner & Laing, 1955). A more recent investigation designed specifically for comparison in groups of African schoolchildren of the two drugs at identical dosage gave the following clearance times for P. falciparum (Charles & Bruce-Chwatt, unpublished data).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single dose</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Clearance time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 mg</td>
<td>Amodiaquine</td>
<td>2.02 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>2.40 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>Amodiaquine</td>
<td>2.33 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>2.54 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These preliminary data suggest that for treatment of sub-clinical malaria in semi-immunes the possible superiority of amodiaquine over chloroquine is of slight degree and of no practical importance.

Proguanil and pyrimethamine are good though rather slow schizontocides with a pronounced sporontocidal action preventing the future development of gametocytes in the body of the mosquito. They are also active against exo-erythrocytic forms of P. falciparum. Both are causal prophylactics and have a low toxicity at the usual dosage.

Their recommended regimen for semi-immune communities though different in dosage is the same as far as the frequency of administration is concerned (once a week). Yet while it is unlikely that once-monthly doses would be of value with proguanil they might be practicable with pyrimethamine.
Unfortunately both drugs are under a cloud for inducing resistance in malaria parasites. The problem of drug resistance was fully dealt with by Covell, Coatney, Field & Singh (1955) and need not be repeated here. The main factors of its causation are administration of small and/or widely spaced doses and high parasitaemia operating together, conditions which undoubtedly would be met with in any mass administration in Africa.

Theoretically this danger should be less marked with proguanil than with pyrimethamine, since the first drug is quickly eliminated, while with the second the parasite might be exposed for a long time to very low concentration of the drug or some active principle of it. Considering how easy it is to produce experimental resistance in malaria parasites to proguanil and pyrimethamine it is surprising that cases of natural resistance to both drugs have not been reported more often from the field.

Although the development of resistance to proguanil has undoubtedly occurred in Malaya, there is as yet no clear proof that it has occurred in Africa though indirect evidence is suggestive.

On the other hand resistance to pyrimethamine was definitely recorded in East Africa by Jones (1954) and by Clyde & Shute (1954). In the latter investigation the resistance has been shown to develop rapidly after three rather high doses of pyrimethamine once a month. Preliminary report on a new investigation by Clyde & Shute (1955) seems to show that despite an unbroken weekly administration of a standard dose of pyrimethamine a number of East Africans retain a low level of parasitaemia due to P. falciparum. The tentative conclusion is that in this particular area strains of P. falciparum are inherently more tolerant of pyrimethamine, though the action of the drug on the sporogonic cycle remains to be assessed.

And yet neither Vincke (1955) in the Belgian Congo nor Miller (1955) in Liberia showed that pyrimethamine given at half the dose given in East Africa at weekly or at monthly intervals produced any resistant strains. A similar experience can be quoted on the basis of our own investigation (Archibald & Bruce-Chwatt, 1955) carried out for two years in Southern Nigeria. A small proportion of African school-children maintained an occasional low level parasitaemia while on a weekly
pyrimethamine regimen. Nevertheless the next dose of pyrimethamine cleared the infection with ease.

It is possible that West African strains are less tolerant of pyrimethamine than East African strains though there might be other variables such as the degree of immunity of the population. In the light of present experience the decision as to the choice between 4-amino-quinolines (represented by chloroquine) and one or the other of the other drugs (proguanil or pyrimethamine) is difficult when it comes to mass administration. While chloroquine has a faster schizontocidal effect its gametocidal action due to attrition is slow and probably irregular in *P. malariae* infections. Proguanil and pyrimethamine (particularly the latter) are almost as rapid schizontocides in malaria of semi-immunes and bring into action their sporontocidal effect. Unfortunately the two latter drugs might induce resistance in the parasite.

Attempts to combine two drugs were encouraging, (Miller, 1955) and the results obtained by chloroquine and pyrimethamine were better than when each of the two drugs was used singly.

Problems of dosage and of frequency of administering antimalarials is of considerable importance. For mass administration to African populations a simplified regimen is justified since the infection is often held in check by forces of immunity (premunition). Dosages quoted by Covell, Coatney, Field & Singh (1955) are adequate also for African conditions.

Our own unpublished data (Charles & Bruce-Chwatt) indicate that a single dose of 300 mg of chloroquine or camoquine will prevent re-infection in an African school-child for about four weeks. A somewhat shorter period of protection was found by us for pyrimethamine given at 25 mg (Archibald & Bruce-Chwatt, 1955) though Miller (1955) reported with the same dose a satisfactory protection for at least one month.

In smaller pilot projects the bi-weekly frequency of drug-taking might be successful but will require a very thorough organization. However, it seems (on the basis of past experience with a large-scale pilot control project by residual spraying) that an adequate administration of drugs in an area with a rural population between
50,000 and 100,000 and with an average density of about 200 per sq. mile would be exceedingly difficult if based on any greater frequency of supervised distribution than once a month. This consideration if confirmed is of great importance as it severely limits the use of a drug rapidly eliminated from the body such as, for example, proguanil.

The cost of the mass administration of antimalarials cannot be estimated in terms of total expenditure since there are too few data based on actual field trials. The relative costs of drugs given below are based on present (May, 1956) wholesale prices f.o.b. Lagos and calculated in terms of a unit of African population (1000) taking into account the average age composition of the group, containing about 40% of children. The standard dose per month is that quoted by Covell, Coatney, Field & Singh (1955) for collective prophylaxis of communities in endemic areas.

### Table 2. Comparative costs of some antimalarial drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Single adult dose p. month (mgm base)</th>
<th>Wholesale price f.o.b. Lagos May, 1956</th>
<th>Cost per adult per month</th>
<th>Cost per 1000 population per month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>300-600 mg (2-4 tablets)</td>
<td>1.68 pence (1.98 cents USA) per tablet</td>
<td>3.36-6.73 pence (3.90-7.80 cents USA)</td>
<td>£11.4-£22.8 (S11.36-$22.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amodiaquine</td>
<td>400-800 mg (2-4 tablets)</td>
<td>2.88 pence (3.34 cents USA) per tablet</td>
<td>5.76-11.52 pence (6.70-13.40 cents USA)</td>
<td>£19.4-£38.8 (S53.76-$107.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proguanil</td>
<td>600-1200 mg (6-12 tablets)</td>
<td>0.44 pence (0.51 cents USA) per tablet</td>
<td>2.64-5.28 pence (3.07-6.14 cents USA)</td>
<td>£8.16-£17.12 (S24.64-$49.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td>50-100 mg (2-4 tablets)</td>
<td>0.84 pence (0.98 cents USA) per tablet</td>
<td>1.68-3.36 pence (1.96-3.92 cents USA)</td>
<td>£5.12-£11.4 (S15.68-$31.36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It might be of interest to quote for comparison that the cost of residual insecticides alone, calculated per 1000 Nigerian population per month of protection, (based on 2 spraying cycles per annum) would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DDT at 2 g/m²</td>
<td>£ 4.6s. 0d. or $12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin at 0.5 g/m²</td>
<td>£ 4.12s. 0d. or $12.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHC gamma at 0.25 g/m²</td>
<td>£ 3.18s. 0d. or $10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Lindane quality 50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is possible that association of chemotherapy with residual spraying will be the only way of eliminating malaria from those tropical areas where the degree of transmission is extremely high. Any predictions of success or failure of this combined method are impossible on the basis of existing knowledge and practical experience. We shall not gain this knowledge until a vigorous effort is made at field trials in rural areas and until our will and ingenuity have been wholeheartedly applied to the solution of the problem.

If we succeed there is hope that eradication of malaria from the African continent is practicable now without waiting for more powerful weapons to be placed at our disposal.
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