The **World malaria report 2015** summarizes progress in malaria control and elimination in a milestone year: 2015 marked the end of the era of Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and was the target year for the malaria goals set by the World Health Assembly and other global institutions.

The report shows a dramatic decline in the global malaria burden since 2000. The malaria component of Target 6C of the MDGs – to have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria and other major diseases – was achieved convincingly. Fifty-seven countries reduced their malaria cases by 75%, in line with the World Health Assembly’s target for 2015. For the first time since WHO began keeping track, the WHO European Region reported zero indigenous cases of malaria.

Despite this tremendous progress, much more needs to be done to further reduce the malaria burden. The *Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030*, approved by the World Health Assembly in May 2015, set ambitious but achievable targets for 2030, including a reduction of at least 90% in global malaria incidence and mortality.

**Distribution of malaria**

**The number of countries and territories with ongoing malaria transmission has decreased since 2000**

In 2000, there were 106 countries and territories with ongoing malaria transmission; by the end of 2015, there were 95. Data were assembled from these 95 countries and territories, and from a further six countries that have recently eliminated malaria, to produce the *World malaria report 2015*.

**Countries with ongoing transmission of malaria, 2000 and 2015**

- Malaria endemic
- Endemic in 2000, no longer endemic
- Non-endemic or no ongoing malaria transmission
- Not applicable
There have been large reductions in the number of malaria cases and deaths since 2000

The number of malaria cases fell from an estimated 262 million globally in 2000 (range: 205–316 million), to 214 million in 2015 (range: 149–303 million), a decline of 18%. The number of malaria deaths globally fell from an estimated 839 000 in 2000 (range: 653 000–1.1 million), to 438 000 in 2015 (range: 236 000–635 000), a decline of 48%. Most cases and deaths in 2015 are estimated to have occurred in the WHO African Region (88%), followed by the WHO South-East Asia Region.

### Estimated malaria cases and deaths, by WHO region, 2000–2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO region</th>
<th>Estimated number of malaria cases (000's)</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Estimated number of malaria deaths</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>214 000</td>
<td>217 000</td>
<td>209 000</td>
<td>188 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 500</td>
<td>1 800</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 100</td>
<td>8 600</td>
<td>4 000</td>
<td>3 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European*</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East Asia</td>
<td>33 000</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>28 000</td>
<td>20 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Pacific</td>
<td>3 700</td>
<td>2 300</td>
<td>1 700</td>
<td>1 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>262 000</td>
<td>264 000</td>
<td>243 000</td>
<td>214 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bound</td>
<td>205 000</td>
<td>203 000</td>
<td>190 000</td>
<td>149 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper bound</td>
<td>316 000</td>
<td>313 000</td>
<td>285 000</td>
<td>303 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There were no recorded deaths among indigenous cases in the WHO European Region for the years shown.

**Source:** WHO estimates
Changes in case incidence and mortality rates

**MDG Target 6C “to have halted by 2015 and begun to reverse the incidence of malaria...” has been met**

The incidence of malaria, which takes into account population growth, is estimated to have decreased by 37% globally between 2000 and 2015. Malaria death rates also decreased by 60%. Hence, the malaria component of MDG Target 6C has been met. Also, substantial progress has been made towards the World Health Assembly target of reducing the malaria burden by 75% by 2015, and the Roll Back Malaria (RBM) target of reducing deaths to near zero.

![Estimated malaria case incidence and death rate globally, 2000–2015](image)

*Source: WHO estimates*
57 countries and territories have reduced malaria case incidence by >75%

Substantial reductions in malaria incidence and mortality rates have occurred across the globe.

**Estimated change in malaria case incidence 2000–2015, by WHO region**

AFR, African Region; AMR, Region of the Americas; EMR, Eastern Mediterranean Region; EUR, European Region; SEAR, South-East Asia Region; WPR, Western Pacific Region

*Source: WHO estimates*
Changes in parasite prevalence

**The proportion of children infected with malaria parasites has been halved in endemic areas of Africa since 2000**

Infection prevalence among children aged 2–10 years is estimated to have declined from 33% in 2000 (range: 31–35%) to 16% in 2015 (range: 14–19%).

**Estimated *Plasmodium falciparum* infection prevalence among children aged 2–10 years (PfPR\textsubscript{2–10}) in 2000 and 2015**

API, annual parasite index; PfPR, *P. falciparum* parasite rate

*Source:* Malaria Atlas Project
Leading causes of deaths in sub-Saharan Africa

Malaria is no longer the leading cause of death among children in sub-Saharan Africa

The number of malaria deaths in children aged under 5 years is estimated to have decreased from 723 000 globally in 2000 (range: 563 000–948 000) to 306 000 in 2015 (range: 219 000–421 000), with the bulk of this decrease occurring in sub-Saharan Africa. Reductions in malaria deaths have contributed substantially to progress towards achieving MDG Target 4A, which was to reduce the under-5 mortality rate by two thirds between 1990 and 2015.

Leading causes of death* among children aged under 5 years in sub-Saharan Africa, 2000–2015

*Conditions that are responsible for more than 10 deaths per 1000 live births during any time between 2000 and 2015 are shown.

Source: WHO estimates
Progress to malaria elimination

An increasing number of countries are moving towards elimination of malaria

Whereas only 13 countries were estimated to have fewer than 1000 malaria cases in 2000, 33 countries are estimated to have achieved this milestone in 2015. In 2014, 16 countries reported zero indigenous cases (Argentina, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Costa Rica, Georgia, Iraq, Kyrgyzstan, Morocco, Oman, Paraguay, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan, Turkey, Turkmenistan, United Arab Emirates and Uzbekistan).

Number of countries with fewer than 1000, 100 and 10 cases, 2000–2015

Source: WHO estimates
In 2015, the WHO European Region reported zero indigenous malaria cases for the first time

In 2000, there were 32,394 indigenous cases of malaria in the WHO European Region. By 2005, control efforts had reduced this number to 5072, and the nine affected countries made a commitment through the Tashkent Declaration to eliminate malaria from the region by 2015. The number of indigenous malaria cases decreased to just 179 in six countries in 2010. The last indigenous case of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in the region was reported in Tajikistan in 2009. The years 2011 and 2012 saw renewed malaria transmission – in Georgia (isolated cases), and in Greece and Turkey (localized outbreaks) – but these resurgences were brought under control, and no indigenous cases were detected in 2015.

Source: National malaria control programme reports and WHO estimates
Insecticide-treated mosquito nets (ITNs)

**The proportion of the population at risk of malaria in sub-Saharan Africa with access to and sleeping under an ITN has increased dramatically since 2000**

The proportion of the population sleeping under an ITN increased from less than 2% in 2000 to an estimated 55% in 2015 (range: 50–58%). Ensuring access to ITNs has been critical to increasing the proportion of the population sleeping under an ITN. Nearly 500 million ITNs were delivered to countries in sub-Saharan Africa between 2013 and 2015, and the proportion of the population with access to an ITN increased to an estimated 67% in 2015 (range: 61–71%).

ITN, insecticide-treated mosquito net

*Source:* ITN coverage model from Malaria Atlas Project, with further analysis by WHO
The proportion of the population at risk protected by IRS has declined in recent years

National malaria control programmes (NMCPs) often target only selected populations for IRS. The proportion of the population at risk protected by IRS declined globally from a peak of 5.7% in 2010 to 3.4% in 2014. Decreases were seen in all regions except the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. The WHO African Region had the largest number of persons and the largest proportion of the population at risk protected by IRS in 2014.
Chemoprevention in pregnant women

The proportion of pregnant women receiving three or more doses of preventive therapy for malaria has increased in recent years, but is still less than 20%

Since WHO revised its recommendation in 2012, the proportion of pregnant women receiving at least three doses of intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) has increased. In 2014, an estimated 52% of eligible pregnant women received at least one dose of IPTp, 40% received two or more doses, and 17% received three or more doses.

Proportion of pregnant women receiving IPTp, by number of doses, sub-Saharan Africa, 2007–2014

IPTp, intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy

Source: WHO estimates using national malaria control programme reports and United Nations population estimates
Adoption and implementation of chemoprevention in children has been limited

As of 2014, six of the 15 countries suitable for seasonal malaria chemoprevention, and two outside the Sahel subregion, had adopted the policy (Congo and Togo). One country had adopted intermittent preventive treatment in infants (IPTi). Pilot implementation of the first malaria vaccine (RTS,S/AS01) was recommended by WHO’s Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (SAGE) and the Malaria Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC).

Countries suitable for SMC and where an SMC policy has been adopted (as of 2014)
The proportion of suspected malaria cases receiving a malaria diagnostic test has increased steadily since 2005

The proportion of suspected malaria cases presenting for care in the public sector that receives a malaria diagnostic test increased from 74% in 2005 to 78% in 2014. The global trend is dominated by countries in South-East Asia, particularly India. The WHO African Region has had the largest increase in levels of malaria diagnostic testing, from 36% of suspected malaria cases tested in 2005, to 41% in 2010 and 65% in 2014.

Proportion of suspected malaria cases attending public health facilities that received a diagnostic test, by WHO region, 2005–2014

AFR, African Region; AMR, Region of the Americas; EMR, Eastern Mediterranean Region; EUR, European Region; SEAR, South-East Asia Region; WPR, Western Pacific Region

Source: National malaria control programme reports
The proportion of malaria cases in sub-Saharan Africa receiving treatment with ACTs has increased, but is still less than 20%

The proportion of children in sub-Saharan Africa with *P. falciparum* malaria receiving ACT is estimated to have increased to 16% in 2014 (range: 12–22%). A major reason for this low percentage is that a high proportion of children with fever are not taken for care, or use the informal private sector, where they are less likely to obtain ACTs for treatment.

Although the proportion of malaria cases receiving an ACT has increased, the proportion treated with other antimalarial medicines has decreased since 2000. Hence, an increasing proportion of children with malaria who receive treatment are treated with an ACT (median 47% among 18 household surveys conducted in 2013–2015).

**Estimated proportion of children aged under 5 years with confirmed *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria who received ACTs, sub-Saharan Africa, 2003–2014**

ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy  
**Source:** Malaria treatment model from the Center for Applied Malaria Research and Evaluation (Tulane University), the Global Health Group (University of California, San Francisco) and the Malaria Atlas Project (University of Oxford).
Impact of malaria interventions

Most of the decline in malaria in sub-Saharan Africa since 2000 can be attributed to malaria interventions

Parasite prevalence among children aged 2–10 years is estimated to have decreased from 33% in 2000 (range: 31–35%) to 16% in 2015 (range: 14–19%). It is estimated that malaria control interventions accounted for 76% of this decline, and for 70% of the reduced number of cases.

Proportion of children in sub-Saharan Africa infected with *Plasmodium falciparum* with and without interventions, 2000–2015

ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy; IRS, indoor residual spraying; ITN, insecticide-treated mosquito net; *PfPR*, *P. falciparum* parasite rate.

The red line shows the actual prediction and the dotted red line a counterfactual prediction in a scenario without coverage by ITNs, ACT or IRS. The coloured regions indicate the relative contribution of each intervention in reducing *PfPR* \(_{2–10}\) throughout the period.

*Source:* Malaria Atlas Project
Malaria prevention and treatment measures are estimated to have averted more than 650 million malaria cases between 2001 and 2015

It is estimated that 1.2 billion fewer malaria cases and 6.2 million fewer malaria deaths occurred globally between 2001 and 2015 than would have been the case had incidence and mortality rates remained unchanged since 2000. In sub-Saharan Africa, it is estimated that 943 million fewer malaria cases occurred, and that malaria interventions were responsible for 70% of this reduction, averting 663 million malaria cases (range: 542–753 million).

**Predicted cumulative number of malaria cases averted by interventions, sub-Saharan Africa, 2000–2015**

- **Cases averted due to ITNs**
- **Cases averted due to ACTs**
- **Cases averted due to IRS**
- **Total cases averted not attributable to IRS, ITNs, or ACTs**

*ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy; IRS, indoor residual spraying; ITN, insecticide-treated mosquito net*

*Source: Malaria Atlas Project estimates of cases averted attributable to ITNs, ACTs, and IRS and WHO estimates of total cases averted*
Financing of malaria control programmes

**Investments in malaria control have increased substantially in the past decade**

Global financing for malaria control increased from an estimated US$ 960 million in 2005 to US$ 2.5 billion in 2014. Of the total invested in 2014, international investments accounted for 78% (US$ 1.9 billion) and governments of malaria endemic countries for 22% ($550 million). However, international funding for malaria control decreased by 8% and domestic funding increased by 1% between 2013 and 2014.

![Investments in malaria control activities by funding source, 2005-2014](image)

AMFm, Affordable Medicine Facility-malaria; Global Fund, Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria; NMCP, national malaria control programme; UK, United Kingdom; USA, United States of America.

Annual values have been converted to constant 2014 US$ using the gross domestic product implicit price deflator from the USA in order to measure funding trends in real terms.

**Source:** ForeignAssistance.gov, Global Fund, NMCPs, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development creditor reporting system, the World Bank Data Bank
Cost savings due to malaria interventions

Malaria interventions led to health service savings of US$ 900 million in sub-Saharan Africa between 2001 and 2014, owing to the reduced number of cases requiring treatment

Diagnosis and treatment of malaria incurs significant costs. The amount of money spent on diagnosing and treating malaria patients rose between 2001 and 2014 because of increasing use of diagnostic tests and ACTs. However, the increase has been curtailed by reductions in the number of malaria cases (as a result of malaria interventions). The reductions in malaria case incidence attributable to malaria control activities are estimated to have saved about US$ 900 million on the malaria case management costs in sub-Saharan Africa between 2001 and 2014. These estimates consider only savings to health services, they exclude savings to households.

Provider savings in malaria case management costs attributable to expansion of malaria control activities, 2001–2014

Annual values have been converted to constant 2014 US$ using the gross domestic product implicit price deflator from the USA in order to measure savings trends in real terms.

Source: Data on malaria cases averted as per Section 2.3 of the main report. Data on treatment-seeking behaviour, parasitological diagnosis and treatment coverage as per Sections 3.5 and 3.6 of the main report. WHO-CHOICE database on price estimates for outpatient care visit and inpatient bed stay; Management Science for Health International Drug Price Indicator Guide, and Global Fund Price and Quality Reporting Tool for commodity prices.
Challenges

*Decreases in malaria incidence and mortality were slowest in countries that had the highest number of malaria cases and deaths in 2000*  

Despite the progress in reducing malaria cases and deaths, malaria remains a major public health problem in many countries of the world. It has been slowest to decline in countries that had the highest number of cases and deaths in 2000. Reductions in incidence need to be greatly accelerated in these countries if global progress is to be improved.

**Reduction in malaria incidence in 2000–2015 versus estimated number of cases in a country in 2000**

Two countries with increases (negative decreases) have been excluded from the chart.  

*Source: WHO estimates*
**Millions of people still do not receive the services they need**

In sub-Saharan Africa in 2014, some 269 million of the 834 million people at risk of malaria lived in households without a single ITN or IRS, 15 million of the 28 million pregnant women at risk did not receive a single dose of IPTp, and between 68 and 80 million of the 92 million children with malaria did not receive ACT. The types of gaps and the problems to be addressed vary, depending on the intervention.

---

**Proportion and number of people not receiving an intervention, sub-Saharan Africa, 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Proportion of population needing intervention</th>
<th>Receive intervention</th>
<th>Do not receive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector control: Live in a household with at least one ITN or covered by IRS</td>
<td>269 million people</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTp: Pregnant women receive at least one dose of IPTp</td>
<td>15 million pregnant women</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment for malaria: Children with malaria receive an ACT</td>
<td>68–80 million children with malaria</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy; IPTp, intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy; IRS, indoor residual spraying; ITN, insecticide-treated mosquito net

**Source:** ITN coverage model from the Malaria Atlas Project, with further analysis by WHO; WHO estimates of IPTp coverage using NMCP reports and United Nations population estimates; malaria treatment model from the Malaria Atlas Project (University of Oxford), Center for Applied Malaria Research and Evaluation (Tulane University), Global Health Group (University of California, San Francisco)
Challenges

*Malaria is concentrated in countries with weaker health systems and lower national incomes*

Ratios of staff to population are lower in countries with the highest numbers of malaria cases. The ability to finance an expansion of the health services and to fill coverage gaps is constrained by lower national incomes in countries with the highest malaria burden.

*Number of nurses per 1000 population (malaria endemic countries) versus estimated number of malaria cases*

*Gross national income per capita versus estimated number of malaria cases, by WHO region, 2015*

*Year of observation varies by country, ranging from 2005 to 2012*

AFR, African Region; AMR, Region of the Americas; EMR, Eastern Mediterranean Region; SEAR, South-East Asia Region; WPR, Western Pacific Region

*Source: WHO estimates and the World Bank Data Bank*
**P. vivax malaria is a significant public health issue in many parts of the world, and predominates in countries that are prime candidates for malaria elimination**

*P. vivax* is estimated to have been responsible for 13.8 million malaria cases globally in 2015, and to have accounted for about half the total number of malaria cases outside Africa. Severe cases and deaths due to *P. vivax* malaria have been reported from all endemic regions. Because of the difficulty in controlling *P. vivax*, its incidence has decreased more slowly than that of *P. falciparum* in locations where the two species coexist. *P. vivax* may then persist as the principal cause of malaria and pose the main challenge to malaria elimination. Indeed, *P. vivax* predominates in countries with the lowest incidence of malaria, accounting for more than 70% of cases in countries with fewer than 5000 reported cases each year.

**Proportion of reported malaria cases due to *Plasmodium vivax*, countries with different average caseloads between 2000 and 2014**

![Proportion of reported malaria cases due to *Plasmodium vivax*, countries with different average caseloads between 2000 and 2014](chart.png)

*Source: National malaria control programme reports and WHO estimates*
Challenges

The effectiveness of insecticide-based vector control is threatened as malaria mosquitoes develop resistance to the insecticides used in ITNs and IRS

Of the 78 countries reporting any monitoring data since 2010, 60 reported resistance to at least one insecticide in one malaria vector population, and 49 reported resistance to insecticides from two or more insecticide classes. Pyrethroid resistance was detected in all major malaria vectors, with three quarters of countries that monitored this insecticide class in 2014 reporting resistance. However, long-lasting insecticidal nets remain effective, despite resistance.

Antimalarial drug resistance has substantial implications for malaria control and global public health

*P. falciparum* resistance to artemisinins has now been detected in five countries in the Greater Mekong subregion. Despite the observed changes in parasite sensitivity, patients continue to respond to combination treatment, provided the partner drug remains effective. The efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine in Africa and South America remains high, as does artesunate-amodiaquine in Africa. Artesunate-mefloquine requires vigilant monitoring in South-East Asia and South America. The efficacy of artemunate-sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine is compromised in areas with resistance to SP. The efficacy of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is low in areas with existing piperaquine resistance. Treatment or prophylactic failure with chloroquine for *P. vivax* malaria has been observed in 24 countries.
Insecticide resistance and monitoring status, by insecticide class and WHO region, 2010–2014

Reported use of class for malaria vector control, 2014

|        | AFR | AMR | EMR | EUR | SEAR | WPR | AFR | AMR | EMR | EUR | SEAR | WPR | AFR | AMR | EMR | EUR | SEAR | WPR | AFR | AMR | EMR | EUR | SEAR | WPR |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Pyrethroids | 42 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 10 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Organochlorine (DDT) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | – | – | – | – | – | – |

AFR, African Region; AMR, Region of the Americas; DDT, dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane; EMR, Eastern Mediterranean Region; EUR, European Region; IRS, indoor residual spraying; ITN, insecticide-treated mosquito net; SEAR, South-East Asia Region; WPR, Western Pacific Region

Source: National malaria control programme reports, African Network for Vector Resistance, Malaria Atlas Project, President’s Malaria Initiative (United States), scientific publications
GLOBAL TECHNICAL STRATEGY FOR MALARIA 2016–2030
To address remaining and emerging challenges, WHO developed the Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030

The vision of WHO and the global malaria community is a world free of malaria. The *Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030* sets the most ambitious yet feasible targets for reductions in malaria cases and deaths since the malaria eradication era. It also provides a framework for developing programmes that are tailored to local circumstances, with the aim of accelerating progress towards malaria elimination.

**Goals, milestones and targets of the *Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030* and Action and investment to defeat malaria 2016–2030**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>A world free of malaria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goals</strong></td>
<td><strong>Milestones</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Reduce malaria mortality rates globally compared with 2015</td>
<td>At least 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Reduce malaria case incidence globally compared with 2015</td>
<td>At least 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eliminate malaria from countries in which malaria was transmitted in 2015</td>
<td>At least 10 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Prevent re-establishment of malaria in all countries that are malaria free</td>
<td>Re-establishment prevented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The *Global technical strategy for malaria 2016–2030* can be found at: [http://www.who.int/malaria/areas/global_technical_strategy/en/](http://www.who.int/malaria/areas/global_technical_strategy/en/)
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“Target 6C of the MDGs – halting and beginning to reverse the global incidence of malaria by 2015 – has been achieved [...] Global progress in malaria control over the last 15 years is nothing short of remarkable.”
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