Screening for neonatal hearing loss in the Eastern region of United Arab Emirates
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ABSTRACT The incidence of sensorineural hearing loss among infants in the neonatal intensive unit (NICU) is higher than in normal infants. This study determined the rate of hearing loss in healthy newborns and in NICU patients before hospital discharge at a single institution in the Eastern region of the United Arab Emirates; 96.5% of all eligible infants were screened. Hearing deficit was diagnosed in 25/13 854 healthy newborns (0.18%; 95% CI: 0.12%–0.27%) and 14/826 infants in the NICU (1.7%; 95% CI: 0.9%–2.8%). Although hearing impairment was significantly more common in those admitted to the NICU (RR = 9.4; 95% CI: 4.9–17.9), healthy newborns accounted for 25 of the 39 cases with hearing loss. The rate of congenital hearing deficit was comparable to international data. Universal screening is recommended since selective screening of high-risk infants missed two-thirds of newborns with hearing loss.
Introduction

The prevalence of permanent hearing impairment in infants and young children ranges from 0.1% to 0.2% [1–3]. The incidence of sensorineural hearing loss among infants who have been in a neonatal intensive unit (NICU) varies from 2.1%–17.5% depending upon the presence of different risk factors [4–6]. However, lower rates have been reported (0.7%–1.5%) in more recent published studies [7–9].

Even a mild and unilateral auditory deficit can lead to delay in the development of a child’s speech and language. The rate of hearing loss in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) is not yet known. The hearing screening programme was introduced at Tawam Hospital in Al Ain in July 2007 for early identification of high-risk infants and intervention to avoid delays in speech and cognitive development. The present study determined the rate of hearing loss at Tawam Hospital among well newborns and infants who needed NICU care.

Methods

Study design and subjects

The results of hearing screening for consecutive healthy newborns admitted to the well-baby nursery and all newborns admitted to the NICU from 1 July 2007 to 31 December 2010 were reviewed. Babies who died or were transferred or came from other hospitals were excluded from the study.

Data collection

The screening was done prior to infants’ discharge from the hospital. Measurements were done using distortion product oto-acoustic emission with the interacoustic instrument at 30–40 dB. If any infant failed at 40 dB then a diagnostic auditory brainstem response test (ABR) was used to assess the amount of hearing loss. Both tests were performed by the Vivosonic integrity ABR TM V500.

Those infants who failed the initial screening were followed at monthly intervals at the audiology clinic and the ABR was repeated to confirm the final diagnosis of hearing deficit and to plan further management. All babies who were admitted to the NICU and passed the hearing test were given an appointment after 6 months for re-screening.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS, version 18.0. The proportion of infants with hearing loss was reported and the 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated using the Poisson distribution for rare independent events. The rate of hearing loss of healthy newborns and NICU graduates were compared using the Fisher exact test. The relative risk (RR) for sensorineural hearing loss was calculated.

Results

Between 1 July 2007 and 31 December 2010, 13 854 healthy infants and 856 from the NICU were screened, which was 96.5% of all infants eligible for hearing screening. Of these, 25 infants (0.18%; 95% CI: 0.12%–0.27%) from the well-baby nursery and 14 (1.7%; 95% CI: 0.9%–2.8%) from the NICU had confirmed sensorineural hearing loss. The rate of hearing screening in the NICU cases was significantly higher than healthy infants (RR = 9.4; 95% CI: 4.9–17.9) (P < 0.001), although 25/39 (64.1%) of the cases of hearing loss were identified among infants at the well-baby nursery.

Discussion

It is very important to identify infants with a hearing impairment within an appropriate period of time [10]. Definite diagnosis for hearing impairment within the first 6 months of life increases the possibility of appropriate speech and language development and reduces neurodevelopmental problems [11]. The hearing screening programme was started at Tawam hospital in Al Ain Emirate in July 2007 with the aim of identifying the rate of hearing loss among well newborns and high-risk infants who needed NICU care. Recently, it was included in the expanded neonatal screening in Abu Dhabi Emirate [12].

The rate of sensorineural hearing loss among well infants at our hospital (0.18%) was very similar to reported incidences from Saudi Arabia (0.18%) [13] and Oman (0.12%) [14] but was considerably lower than in Kuwait (2.0%) and Jordan (1.37%) [7]. The international figures for hearing deficit among well babies has been reported to range between 0.1% and 0.2% [1–3].

The rate of hearing loss among high-risk infants admitted to our NICU (1.7%) was also comparable to the international data (0.7%–1.5%) [8,9], and well below the reported results from Saudi Arabia (13.5%) [13]. Al Kandari and Alshuaib from Kuwait reported a very high rate of hearing loss of 46.6% in NICU patients [7], but the sample size was very small (n = 15). The implementation of a preventive strategy, including noise control, infection preventive strategies and routine measurement of serum aminoglycoside concentration and close monitoring of oxygen supplementation might partly explain the lower rate of hearing loss in our population. In spite of concerted efforts to minimize the exposure to known risk factors, hearing loss in our NICU patients remained 10 times higher than in healthy newborns. Limiting the screening to NICU patients would have failed to detect two-thirds of patients affected with hearing loss in our study population. Infants who pass newborn hearing screening...
at discharge from NICU should be rescreened after 24 to 36 months to diagnose delayed onset hearing loss [8,15].

The coverage of our hearing screening programme (96.5%) could be improved by checking the hearing screening results of all babies at the time of discharge and first neonatal follow-up visit.

**Conclusion**

This study from the UAE reveals a rate of hearing deficit comparable to other Gulf countries and illustrates the importance of implementing universal hearing screening programme in both healthy neonates and high-risk newborns admitted to the NICU. Limiting the screening to the high-risk group would fail to identify healthy newborns with hearing deficit, which accounts for two-third of cases in our study.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Hachem Jammal and Celine Chedid for their contribution in collecting the data and reviewing the manuscript.

**References**