Monitoring and enforcement of the salt iodization programme in Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran: a successful experience
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ABSTRACT After a successful programme to prevent iodine deficiency disorders in the Islamic Republic of Iran in the 1990s and early 2000s, evidence emerged that urine iodine levels in the population were falling. This paper reviews efforts to monitor and enforce the iodization of salt production and shows the resulting improvements in iodization levels of factory table salt and in urine iodine concentrations of primary-school children in East Azerbaijan province. Reaching targets for elimination of iodine deficiency disorders requires efficient monitoring of the population’s iodine levels combined with monitoring of the iodine content of table salt and enforcement of iodization regulations.

Contrôle et application du programme d’iodation du sel à Tabriz (République islamique d’Iran) : une expérience réussie

RÉSUMÉ Suite au succès d’un programme visant à prévenir les troubles dus à une carence en iode en République islamique d’Iran dans les années 1990 et au début des années 2000, il est apparu que les taux d’iode urinaire dans la population décroissaient. Le présent article étudie les efforts réalisés pour contrôler et mettre en œuvre l’iodation de la production de sel et fait apparaître les améliorations qui en découlent en termes de taux d’iodation du sel de table sorti d’usine et de concentration d’iode urinaire chez les enfants d’écoles primaires dans la province d’Azerbaïdjan oriental. Afin d’atteindre les objectifs fixés en matière d’élimination des troubles dus à une carence en iode, un contrôle efficace des taux d’iode de la population et de la quantité d’iode contenue dans le sel de table ainsi que l’application d’une législation relative à l’iodation sont nécessaires.
Introduction

Iodine-deficiency disorders (IDD) result from insufficient iodine in the environment and inadequate intake of iodine from food. Lack of iodine is the single most common cause of preventable mental retardation and brain damage in the world today [1,2]. Over 600 million people have goitre and 20 million have some degree of brain damage, 6 million with congenital iodine-deficiency syndrome [3].

The Islamic Republic of Iran has been recognized as an area of iodine deficiency since 1969. Extensive studies in the 1980s found goitre to be hyperendemic in many areas [4]. At that time, IDD was acknowledged as a priority health problem in the country. Because the main factor responsible for iodine deficiency is a low dietary supply of iodine [5], a national programme of iodine supplementation through iodized table salt was implemented in 1989. By 1994 more than 95% of households were consuming iodized table salt [6]. Following this massive intervention effort, the prevalence of goitre in the country fell dramatically from 68% in 1989 to 54.8% in 1996, reaching a level of just 9.8% in 2001 [7].

Later in the 2000s, evidence started to emerge that the IDD prevention programme was failing. In East Azerbaijan province, monitoring of urinary iodine concentrations (UIC) of primary-school children showed a sharp decrease in median UIC from 140 μg/L and 141 μg/L in 2000 and 2001 respectively to 68 μg/L in 2003, while in 2004 it decreased again to reach 65 μg/L, which is less than the optimal level of ≥ 100 μg/L [8]. For this reason the Public Health Deputy initiated an assessment of the causes of the rise in iodine deficiency in November 2003, combined with a public health intervention to achieve optimal levels of urinary iodine in children, including a public awareness campaign with intersectoral collaboration to enforce the salt iodization regulations.

This paper reviews the efforts to monitor and enforce the iodization of salt production and demonstrates the resulting improvements in iodization levels of factory-produced table salt and in the urinary iodine status of primary-school children in East Azerbaijan province.

Methods

Monitoring of children’s urinary iodine status

Monitoring of children’s urinary iodine status was carried out as a cross-sectional study. The sampling frame consisted of a list of primary schools in East Azerbaijan province. Stratified sampling was used to select 48 primary schools from rural and urban areas. We used simple random methods to select 5 schoolchildren aged 8–10 years old from each school, giving a total sample of 240 children. The proportions from rural and urban areas (34% versus 66%) were the same as the urban–rural population distribution in the whole of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

Urine samples were collected in disposable containers and frozen until analysis. UIC was measured by standard colorimetric methods using the speed of colour change of ammonium sulfate with arsenic acid catalysed by iodine [9]. We used the cut-offs for iodine levels recommended by the World Health Organization, United Nations Children’s Fund and International Council for the Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD). The median UIC for a population should be ≥ 100 μg iodine per litre of urine. Mild iodine deficiency is UIC in the range 50–99 μg/L; moderate deficiency 20–49 μg/L; and severe deficiency < 20 μg/L [8].

Children’s urinary iodine status

Analysis of urine samples from children showed that the median UIC was 68 μg/L in 2003 and 65 μg/L in 2004,
indicating mild iodine deficiency according to WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD criteria [8]. In 2005, however, there was a sharp increase in median UIC to 107 μg/L, above the cut-off for optimal levels (100 μg/L), and in 2006 there was a further slight increase to 119 μg/L (Figure 1). There was no significant difference between the median UIC between girls and boys from 2004—2006 (Figure 2) (P > 0.05).

In 2004 74.9% of our sample of children had UIC < 100 μg/L and 27.6% had UIC < 50 μg/L (Figure 3), higher than the cut-offs of 50% and 20% respectively [8]. By 2005 the percentage of children with UIC < 100 μg/L had fallen to 46.5%, while in 2006 it was slightly lower again at 42.8%.

Table salt iodization levels
The mean and median iodine content of iodized salt samples obtained from factories are shown in Table 1. In 2004 the monitoring system had become very weak and only 16 samples of salt were available for analysis from 8 factories; none of which had an optimum level of iodine; 37.5% were adequate, 37.5% were inadequate and 25.0% of samples were completely uniodized, despite the package bearing an iodized label (Table 2). After the intervention in 2005 it is clear that the monitoring system had improved and 107 salt samples from 23 factories were analysed; 22.1% were optimum, 40.7% adequate, 26.0% inadequate and 11.2% were completely uniodized. By 2006 the number of samples obtained for analysis had increased further to 204 from 29 factories; 55.9% had an optimum level of iodine, 25.5% adequate, 14.2% inadequate and only 4.4% of samples were uniodized.

Discussion
Iodized salt prophylaxis is the most effective way to correct iodine deficiency in a population [4]. A nationwide programme of iodine supplementation

![Figure 1: Trend in median urine iodine concentration (UIC) of primary-school pupils before and after the intervention](image1)

![Figure 2: Mean urine iodine concentration (UIC) of boys and girls before and after the intervention](image2)
through iodized table salt was implemented in the Islamic Republic of Iran in 1989 and became mandatory for sale to households throughout the country [5]. Despite evidence that by 1994 more than 95% of households were consuming iodized table salt [6], our monitoring of population levels of UIC, the most reliable indicator of IDD [4], showed mild iodine deficiency had re-emerged in primary-school children in East Azerbaijan in 2003 and 2004. The median UIC of our samples were 68 μg/L in 2003 and 65 μg/L in 2004. Studies have shown that schoolchildren with UIC < 50 μg/L are at significant risk of developing hypothyroidism and mental and physical retardation if iodine deficiencies are not corrected [11]. In 2004, 74.9% of children had UIC < 100 μg/L and 27.6% had UIC < 50 μg/L. Immediate action was needed as these values were higher than the values of 50% < 100 μg/L and 20% < 50 μg/L according to the criteria for assessing IDD and monitoring progress towards eliminating IDD as a public health problem [8].

Concerns about the median UIC of primary-school children were reported to the authorities and an immediate campaign was started with inter-sectional collaboration and public education via the mass media to improve the situation. Our sampling results from

![Figure 3 Distribution of median urine iodine concentration of primary-school pupils before and after the intervention](image)

**Table 1 Iodine concentration in salt samples from factory production batches in the years 2004–06**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of salt samples</th>
<th>Iodine concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.4 (9.3)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>25.2 (24.7)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0–200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>32.4 (16.7)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0–140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimum iodine: 30 ppm; adequate: 15–55 ppm; inadequate: < 15 ppm or > 55 ppm (WHO/UNICEF/ICCIDD guidelines [11]).

SD = standard deviation.

**Table 2 Distribution of iodine concentrations in salt samples from factory production batches in the years 2004–06**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Factories monitored</th>
<th>Salt samples tested</th>
<th>Optimum (30 ppm)</th>
<th>Adequate (15–55 ppm)</th>
<th>Inadequate (&lt; 15 ppm or &gt; 55 ppm)</th>
<th>Uniodized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>No. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6% 37.5</td>
<td>4% 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>83% 40.7</td>
<td>53% 26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>52% 25.5</td>
<td>29% 14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

factories revealed that levels of table salt iodization in the province were inadequate. In 2004 none of the table salt samples from factories had optimum levels of iodine and 25.0% were completely uniodized. Only 8 factories were sampled in that year, demonstrating that the monitoring programme had become weak. Following the campaign, the number of factories tested had tripled and 22.1% of salt batches were found to be optimal and, by 2006, 55.9% were optimal. The median IUC of primary-school children recovered to optimal levels for controlling IDD: 107 μg/L in 2005 and 119 μg/L in 2006.

Conclusions

Our experiences show that reaching the target of eliminating IDD requires efficient monitoring of the iodine content of salt at the production site and the retail level and enforcement of existing laws. Success in raising the mean IUC of children in 2005 and 2006 was largely due to the commitment and cooperation of the Food and Drug Institute and salt producers, together with financial and technical support from the Public Health Deputy. In order to achieve global goals for elimination of IDD, iodine control and monitoring programmes need to be constantly sustained due to the fact that IDD quickly re-appears if salt iodization is interrupted. Appropriate iodized salt production technology should be available to small workplaces to enable them to produce purified salt for iodization.
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