Prevalence of factor V Leiden mutation in patients with thrombosis in Tunisia
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ABSTRACT This study determined the prevalence of inherited factor V Leiden mutation in a group of 128 thrombosis patients (102 with venous thrombosis and 26 with arterial thrombosis) attending a hospital in Sousse, Tunisia, and a control group of 100 with no history of thrombosis. Using an allele-specific PCR amplification technique, factor V Leiden was found in significantly more patients (20.3%) than controls (6.0%). The higher prevalence was significant in the subgroup of venous thrombosis patients but not in arterial thrombosis patients. The allele frequency was 3.5% in the normal Tunisian population. Screening Tunisian patients with venous thrombosis and their relatives for factor V Leiden may be justified.

Prévalence de la mutation Leiden du facteur V chez des sujets atteints de thrombose en Tunisie

RÉSUMÉ Cette étude a déterminé la prévalence de la mutation Leiden du facteur V héréditaire dans un groupe de 128 sujets atteints de thrombose (102 de thrombose veineuse et 26 de thrombose artérielle) fréquentant un hôpital de Sousse (Tunisie) et dans un groupe témoin de 100 sujets sans antécédents thrombôtiques. Grâce à une technique d’amplification par PCR spécifique de l’allèle, le facteur V Leiden a été détecté chez un nombre de patients significativement plus élevé (20,3 %) que de témoins (6,0 %). La prévalence supérieure était significative dans le sous-groupe des patients présentant une thrombose veineuse mais pas dans celui des patients présentant une thrombose artérielle. La fréquence de l’allèle était de 3,5 % dans la population tunisienne normale. Le dépistage du facteur V Leiden chez les patients tunisiens atteints de thrombose veineuse et les membres de leur famille peut se justifier.
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Introduction

Disorders of the haemostatic mechanisms that contribute towards a predisposition to thrombosis (thrombophilia) may be a consequence of both acquired and inherited or genetic causes. Interest in the genetic basis of thrombosis was accelerated with the discovery of the factor V Leiden (FVL) mutation, which is considered the most common genetic risk factor [1]. FVL is characterized by a single adenine (A) for guanine (G) point mutation at nucleotide 1691 in the gene coding for coagulation factor V. Factor V is a single-chain pro-cofactor that acts in concert with other plasma factors in regulating blood coagulation [2]. FVL is inactivated 10–20 times more slowly than the native form of factor V, leading to excessive thrombin generation and a presumed lifelong prothrombotic tendency [3]. Carriers of the factor V 1691A allele have been shown to have an increased risk for venous thrombosis.

FVL occurs in 20% of patients with deep vein thrombosis compared with 5% in the normal population [7]. Whereas many studies reported FVL as an inherited predisposing factor for venous thrombosis, its association with arterial thrombosis is less clear-cut [4–6]. However, a link between poor obstetric outcome, including pregnancy loss, and FVL has been established [7,8]. Studies on the prevalence of the FVL mutation have revealed an uneven ethnic and geographical distribution. It is relatively frequent in normal individuals in populations of European origin (mean allelic frequency 2.7%), while it is virtually absent in natives of Africa, America, Asia and Australia [9,10]. Furthermore, in Europe an increasing cline of FVL prevalence is observed from west to east [9].

In view of the lack of data in the Tunisian population on the role of FVL in thrombotic disease, we assessed the prevalence of FVL mutation among thrombosis patients and healthy subjects in a hospital in Sousse, Tunisia.

Methods

Subjects

From 2002 to 2004, we investigated 128 patients with a personal history of thrombosis recruited consecutively from those attending Farhat Hached Hospital, Sousse. The mean age of patients was 37 [standard deviation (SD 8)] years, range 1–72 years. Among these patients, 102 had suffered venous thrombosis and 26 arterial thrombosis.

A control group of 100 healthy volunteers, mean age 31 (SD 9) years, range 15–61 years, were randomly recruited from blood bank donors. The subjects were unrelated to the patients and were taken to represent the general Tunisian population. None of the healthy subjects reported any past history of thrombosis, heart problems or a family history of thrombotic disease.

The study was conducted after all institutional ethics requirements were met.

Data collection

EDTA-anticoagulant blood (5 mL sample) was obtained from each participant and processed shortly afterwards.

Using a proteinase K and a saline extraction protocol, genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA anticoagulant blood according to Miller et al. [11]. Briefly, buffy coats of nucleated cells were resuspended with 3 mL nuclear lysis buffer (10 mM tris-HCl, 400 mM NaCl and 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.2). The cell lysates were digested overnight at 37 °C with a proteinase K solution (Sigma, Germany) (1 mg proteinase K, 3% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 2 mM EDTA). After digestion, proteins precipitated by 1 mL
of 6 M NaCl and 2 volumes of absolute ethanol were added to the supernatant. The precipitated DNA was dissolved in 200 μL tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM tris-HCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, pH 7.5).

The mutation was assessed by an allele-specific amplification polymerase chain reaction (ASA-PCR) technique [12], which requires 2 different PCR reactions (2 tubes). The reactions were carried out using the common primer 5′-TGT TATCACACTGGTGCTAA-3′ (127 to 146 in intron 10) and an allele-specific primer differing by the 3′ OH extremity corresponding to the nucleotide 1691 (in exon 10) either specific of the mutated allele (A) 5′-CAGATCCCTGGACAGACA-3′ or specific of the wild allele (G) 5′-CAGATCCCTGGACAGACG-3′. PCR amplification generated a 174 bp fragment.

To validate the negative results, coagulation factor IX was coamplified as a PCR control in the ASA PCR reaction and generated a 250 bp fragment. We used the primers described by Reitsma et al. [13].

The PCR mixture contained 200 ng genomic DNA, 20 pmol each oligonucleotide (Biogene, Tunisia), 200 μM each dNTP (Pharmacia, France), 1 × PCR buffer (10 mM tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton® X-100), 25 mM MgCl2 and 1 unit of taq-polymerase (Promega, France) in a final volume of 50 μL. Reactions were incubated in a DNA thermal cycler (Touchgene gradient). The thermal profile consisted of 4 min denaturation at 94 °C, followed by 32 cycles consisting of 40 s denaturation at 94 °C, 40 s annealing at 56 °C, and 40 s extension at 72 °C. Samples were then maintained at 72 °C for 7 min.

Separation of the DNA fragments was achieved using a conventional horizontal gel electrophoresis apparatus (Biorad, France): 10 μL of the amplified products were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel in the presence of tris-borate-EDTA buffer (100 mM tris-borate, 2 mM EDTA) and visualized by ethidium bromide staining (2 μg/mL) incorporated in the matrix.

**Statistical analysis**

Allelic frequencies were calculated by the gene-counting method. The chi-squared test was used to ascertain whether genotype distributions were in agreement with those expected by the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. The statistical significance of differences in carrier and allele frequencies for FVL between the study groups was determined using the chi-squared test and the Yates corrected chi-squared test. Values below 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

The presence of FVL was investigated in 128 thrombosis patients and 100 healthy subjects. The determination of the normal or mutant genotype is based on the presence or absence of the corresponding fragment (Figure 1).

FVL was found in 26 patients and in 6 healthy controls. The genotype frequencies are given in Table 1. The difference in the prevalence of FVL between the 2 groups was statistically significant (P < 0.01).

Of the 6 healthy subjects who tested positive for FVL, 5 were heterozygous (G/A), giving an overall allele frequency of 3.5% in the normal population. The genotype distribution in healthy individuals was in accordance with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium using the chi-squared test (P > 0.05). Of the 26 patients who tested positive for FVL, 16 were heterozygous, giving an allele frequency of 14.1% in the thrombosis population.

Of the 26 arterial thrombosis patients studied, 1 was heterozygous for the FVL
mutation, giving a prevalence rate of 3.8% which was not statistically different from that in healthy subjects (6.0%) ($P > 0.05$). In contrast, FVL prevalence was significantly higher in venous thrombosis patients (24.5%) compared with healthy subjects ($P < 0.01$). Allele frequencies are given in Table 1.

## Discussion

In order to determine the relation between FVL and thrombotic disorders, we assessed the prevalence of this mutation among Tunisian thrombosis patients and a healthy control group. FVL was found in 25 out of 102 (24.5%) venous thrombosis patients compared with 6 out of 100 (6.0%) healthy subjects.

While our results were in agreement with previously published data documenting the increased prevalence of FVL mutation among venous thrombosis patients [5,14–16], they were in apparent contradiction with others that failed to establish a link between FVL and venous thrombosis [17,18]. This apparent contradiction may be due to the very low prevalence of FVL among healthy subjects in these studies.

The contribution of FVL to the risk of venous thrombosis seems to be higher in patients from areas where the prevalence of FVL mutation is high among the normal population. These data suggest that ethnic considerations are important when testing for FV-Leiden. In this study, the prevalence of the FVL mutation was high in the nor-

![ Allele-specific amplification polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed with mutated allele-specific primer (M) and with wild allele-specific primer (N) in 2 different reactions for each patient. PCR amplification generated a 174 bp fragment for factor V. Coagulation factor IX was amplified as internal control in each tube and generated a 250 bp fragment. Determination of the normal or mutant genotype was based on the presence or absence of the corresponding fragment. Patient 1 = mutated homozygous; patient 2 = normal homozygous; patient 3 = heterozygous; L = DNA ladder.](image)

### Table 1 Distribution of factor V Leiden (FVL) mutation in thrombosis patients attending a hospital in Sousse, Tunisia, and a healthy control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Thrombosis patients</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (no.)</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Venous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriers of FVL (no.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of FVL (%)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Chi-squared test.
mal Tunisian population (3.5%). While the number of subjects was rather small, our data are in agreement with previous findings that showed a high prevalence of FVL among Tunisians [19] compared with other Arab populations [20].

The finding of a very different geographical distribution of FVL could possibly explain, at least in part, the difference in the prevalence of venous thrombosis in different parts of the world. In areas with high FVL prevalence, the relative risk of thrombosis in FVL heterozygotes is 3–8 times higher than in the general population, whereas the increased risk of thrombosis in homozygotes is estimated to be 50–80-fold greater than those without the defect [21]. Hence it is important to identify these high-risk patients to provide adequate counselling about the risk of thrombosis before elective surgical procedures and before taking birth control pills or hormonal replacement. Moreover, the presence of FVL should increase the optimal treatment duration after a first thrombotic event [22]. There are no studies that give clear guidance on the optimum management of clinically unaffected carriers.

In contrast with the results in venous thrombosis patients, the rate of FVL of in arterial thrombosis patients was not statistically significantly different from the rate for control subjects. Therefore FVL did not seem to play a role in arterial disease. Despite the small number of arterial thrombosis patients in this study, our results were in harmony with other reports [5,6,23]. Nevertheless, additional cofactors such as hyperhomocysteinaemia may predispose carriers of FVL mutation to an increased risk for arterial thrombosis [24]. Further studies are necessary for a better understanding of the interaction between FVL and arterial thrombosis.

In view of the high prevalence of the FVL mutation among Tunisian patients with VT, screening for FVL seems to be justified in these patients. Screening for the FVL mutation may also be recommended in high-risk groups such as relatives of FVL carriers and those with additional risk factors.

References

7. Alonso A et al. Acquired and inherited thrombophilia in women with unexplained


