Report on financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of resolutions proposed for adoption by the Executive Board or Health Assembly

1. Resolution
Reassignment of South Sudan from the Eastern Mediterranean Region to the African Region

2. Linkage to programme budget 2012–2013 (see document A64/7 http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA64/A64_7-en.pdf)

   Strategic objective(s): All
   Organization-wide expected result(s): All

   How would this resolution contribute to the achievement of the Organization-wide expected result(s)?
   It would maintain existing contributions as ongoing activities would continue. However, the establishment of a fully-fledged WHO Country Office would increase the profile of WHO in South Sudan.

   Does the Programme budget already include the products or services requested in this resolution?
   (Yes/no)
   Yes

3. Estimated cost and staffing implications in relation to the Programme budget

   (a) Total cost
       Indicate (i) the lifespan of the resolution during which the Secretariat’s activities would be required for implementation and (ii) the cost of those activities (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000).

       (i) No time limit
       (ii) Total: US$ nil (staff: US$ nil; activities: US$ nil)

       Implementation would involve a redistribution of resources

   (b) Cost for the biennium 2012–2013
       Indicate how much of the cost indicated in 3 (a) is for the biennium 2012–2013 (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000).

       Total: US$ nil (staff: US$ nil; activities: US$ nil)
       Implementation would involve a redistribution of resources
Indicate at which levels of the Organization the costs would be incurred, identifying specific regions where relevant.

At the Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean during May 2013 and at the Regional Office for Africa thereafter. Funding for South Sudan must be reflected within the allocation to the Regional Office for Africa in the Programme budget 2014–2015.

Is the estimated cost fully included within the approved Programme budget 2012–2013? (Yes/no)

Yes, the US$ 54 million programme budget allocation for South Sudan should be sufficient. However, current funding is US$ 5 million short of the allocation, and additional resources may need to be mobilized and allocated before the end of the biennium. The allocation of US$ 616,000 for strategic objectives 12 and 13 may be adequate for the current biennium; however, it is insufficient for the operating costs and administrative and management staff needed under Category 6 of the Programme budget 2014–2015 for the fully operational WHO Country Office that will be in place for the biennium 2014–2015.

If “no”, indicate how much is not included.

(c) Staffing implications

Could the resolution be implemented by existing staff? (Yes/no).

Yes, although a WHO Representative may be appointed, and there will be a need to establish other positions and regularize staffing.

If “no”, indicate how many additional staff – full-time equivalents – would be required, identifying specific regions and noting the necessary skills profile(s), where relevant.

To be determined.

4. Funding

Is the estimated cost for the biennium 2012–2013 indicated in 3 (b) fully funded? (Yes/no)

Yes, but re-allocation of funding may be required for one-time office start-up and operating costs.

If “no”, indicate the funding gap and how the funds would be mobilized (provide details of expected source(s) of funds).

US$ n/a; source(s) of funds: n/a.