Draft global vaccine action plan

Report by the Secretariat

1. In May 2011, a report by the Secretariat on the global immunization vision and strategy was noted by the Sixty-fourth World Health Assembly. During the discussions the vision for the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020) and the development of a global vaccine action plan were welcomed. Subsequently, the Executive Board at its 130th session in January 2012 considered the draft global vaccine action plan and provided guidance. The Board also adopted resolution EB130.R12 on World Immunization Week. The present document provides an updated draft of the action plan that draws on an extensive consultation process, and invites consideration of a draft resolution in relation to the plan.

INTRODUCTION

2. The draft global vaccine action plan builds on the success of the Global Immunization Vision and Strategy, 2006–2015, which was launched in 2005 as the first 10-year strategic framework to realize the potential of immunization. Developing the plan has brought together multiple stakeholders involved in immunization, including governments and elected officials, health professionals, academia, manufacturers, global agencies, development partners, civil society, media and the private sector, to define collectively what the immunization community wants to achieve over the next decade. In total, the global consultation process reached over 1100 individuals representing more than 140 countries and 290 organizations, and included two special sessions to brief representatives of the Permanent Missions of the United Nations Offices and other Intergovernmental Organizations in Geneva and New York.

3. Immunization is, and should be recognized as, a core component of the human right to health and an individual, community and governmental responsibility. Vaccination prevents an estimated 2.5 million deaths each year. Protected from the threat of vaccine-preventable diseases, immunized children have the opportunity to thrive and a better chance of realizing their full potential. These advantages are further increased by vaccination in adolescence and adulthood. As part of a comprehensive package of interventions for disease prevention and control, vaccines and immunization are an essential investment in a country’s – indeed, in the world’s – future.

---

1 See documents A64/14 and WHA64/2011/REC/2, summary records of the sixth meeting, section 2, the seventh meeting and the eighth meeting, section 2.
2 See documents EB130/21 and EB130/2012/REC/2, summary record of the eleventh meeting.
3 See document EB130/2012/REC/1 for the resolution, and for the financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of the adoption of the resolution.
4. Now is the time for showing commitment to achieving the full potential of immunization. The collective recognition of this opportunity has led the global health community to call for a Decade of Vaccines, in line with the requests made in resolution WHA61.15 on the global immunization strategy. The vision for the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020) is of a world in which all individuals and communities enjoy lives free from vaccine-preventable diseases. The mission of the Decade of Vaccines is to extend, by 2020 and beyond, the full benefit of immunization to all people, regardless of where they are born, who they are or where they live.

5. The draft global vaccine action plan reiterates existing goals and sets new goals for the decade, proposes six strategic objectives and the actions that will support their achievement, and provides an initial estimate of resource requirements and return on investment. Annex 1 summarizes recommended indicators to monitor and evaluate progress. Beyond the action plan, country, regional and global stakeholders need to take responsibility for specific actions, translate the action plan into detailed operational plans (updating both the action plan and the operational plans as new information becomes available), complete the development of an accountability framework for the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020) and mobilize resources to ensure that the vision for the Decade of Vaccines becomes a reality. Accomplishing this will require global and national institutions to innovate and to change the way they work. Annex 2 provides a summary of stakeholder responsibilities.

6. The last century was, in many respects, the century of treatment, resulting in dramatic reductions in morbidity and mortality, with the discovery and use of antibiotics as one of the biggest agents of change in health. This century promises to be the century of vaccines, with the potential to eradicate, eliminate or control a number of serious, life-threatening or debilitating infectious diseases, and with immunization at the core of preventive strategies. Ensuring that the vision for the Decade of Vaccines becomes a reality is a powerful step in that direction.

THE IMMUNIZATION LANDSCAPE TODAY

Important progress in the last decade

7. In the last 10 years, great advances have been made in developing and introducing new vaccines and expanding the reach of immunization programmes. More people than ever before are being vaccinated and access and use of vaccines by age groups other than infants is expanding. As a result of immunization combined with other health care and development interventions – including improved access to clean water and sanitation, better hygiene and education – the annual number of deaths among children under five years of age fell from an estimated 9.6 million in 2000 to 7.6 million in 2010, despite an increase in the number of children born each year.

8. Immunization has helped drive this reduction in child mortality: coverage of vaccines that have been in use since the inception of the Expanded Programme on Immunization has expanded, and new vaccines have been introduced. Vaccines against hepatitis B and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b have become part of national immunization schedules in 179 and 173 countries, respectively; poliomyelitis is nearing eradication; and a large number of deaths from measles are being averted every year. The number of deaths caused by traditional vaccine-preventable diseases (diphtheria, measles, neonatal
tetanus, pertussis and poliomyelitis) has fallen from an estimated 0.9 million in 2000 to 0.4 million in 2010.\(^1\)

9. New and increasingly sophisticated vaccines that have become available in the last decade, including pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and vaccines against infection with rotavirus and human papillomavirus, are currently being rolled out globally. Efforts are being made to shorten the time lag that has historically existed in the introduction of new vaccines between high- and low-income countries. For example, the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine was introduced in a low-income country a little more than a year after it had been introduced in a high-income country.

10. Through an innovative international collaboration, an affordable conjugate vaccine against \textit{Neisseria meningitidis} serogroup A was developed and is now in use in the African meningitis belt. There are now licensed vaccines being used to prevent, or contribute to the prevention and control of, 25 vaccine-preventable infections (Table 1).

Table 1: Vaccine-preventable infectious agents or diseases

| • Anthrax | • Measles | • Rubella |
| • Cholera | • Meningococcal disease | • Influenza |
| • Diphtheria | • Mumps | • Tetanus |
| • Hepatitis A | • Pertussis | • Tuberculosis |
| • Hepatitis B | • Pneumococcal disease | • Typhoid fever |
| • Hepatitis E | • Poliomyelitis | • Tick-borne encephalitis |
| \textit{Haemophilus influenzae} type b | • Rabies | • Varicella and herpes zoster (shingles) |
| • Human papillomavirus | • Rotavirus gastroenteritis | • Yellow fever |
| • Japanese encephalitis |

11. The strengthening by countries of national programmes, aided by improved support from and coordination among local, national, regional and international stakeholders, has succeeded in improving immunization coverage rates. Financing from domestic budgets allocated to immunization programmes has risen over the past decade, as has the flow of international resources dedicated to immunization. According to the immunization programme data for 2010,\(^2\) 154 of the 193 Member States report having a specific budget line item for immunization, and 147 have developed multi-year national plans to sustain the gains achieved, further enhance performance to reach desired goals and introduce appropriate new vaccines.

---


12. Global and regional immunization initiatives have supported countries in building up their systems and introducing new vaccines. Global goals and milestones established through the Global Immunization Vision and Strategy 2006–2015, the United Nations Millennium Declaration, the United Nations World Summit for Children, the United Nations General Assembly Special Session on Children, and, more recently, the United Nations Secretary-General’s Global Strategy for Women’s and Children’s Health have stimulated expansion of national immunization programmes. In low- and middle-income countries these have been supported by initiatives such as the GAVI Alliance, the Global Polio Eradication Initiative, the Measles Initiative, the vaccine procurement services of UNICEF, and PAHO’s Revolving Fund for Vaccine Procurement.

**Significant unmet needs remain**

13. Despite this progress, vaccine-preventable diseases remain a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Adoption of new vaccines by low- and middle-income countries (where disease burdens are often the highest) has been slower than in high-income countries. In 2010, for example, only 13% of the total high-income country birth cohort lived in countries that did not have pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in their immunization schedules. Of the total low-income country birth cohort, 98% lived in countries that did not have pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in their schedules.

14. Coverage gaps persist between countries, as well as within countries. The average coverage with three doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine and with measles-containing vaccine in low-income countries was 16% and 15% below that of high-income countries in 2010, respectively. However, this represents a positive trend in comparison with the coverage gap of 30% for both vaccines in the year 2000.

15. In some countries, coverage of measles-containing vaccine in rural areas is 33% lower than in urban areas. Similarly, the measles vaccine coverage rate for the richest fifth of the population in some countries is up to 58% higher than for the poorest fifth. Coverage can also be very low in settlements of the urban poor, especially in cities with transitory migrant populations, and in indigenous communities.

16. Geographical distance from health centres is not the only determinant of low coverage; inequities are also associated with other socioeconomic determinants, such as income levels and the educational status of the mother. A special geographic focus is needed on lower-middle-income countries with large populations, where the majority of the unvaccinated live. Reaching underserved populations will be especially challenging, but inequities need to be tackled because these populations often carry a heavier disease burden and may lack access to medical care and basic services, with the fragile economies of individuals and their families suffering a severe disease-related impact as a consequence.

**New opportunities and challenges for the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020)**

17. Individuals and communities, governments and health professionals have primary responsibility for exploiting the opportunities and confronting the challenges that this decade will bring. New and improved vaccines are expected to become available, based on a robust pipeline that includes several vaccines for diseases that are not currently preventable through vaccination. The introduction of new vaccines targeted against several important causes of major killer diseases, such as pneumonia, diarrhoea and cervical cancer can be used as a catalyst to scale up complementary interventions. In addition to reducing mortality, these new vaccines will prevent morbidity with resulting economic returns even in countries that have already succeeded in improving mortality rates. Innovations in
existing vaccines will bring additional benefits, such as greater effectiveness, thermostability, easier administration and lower cost.

18. At the same time, the development of vaccines and other immunization innovations is facing increasingly complex manufacturing and regulatory processes, as well as rising research, development and production costs. As new vaccines (for example, against dengue and malaria) become available and underutilized vaccines (for example, those against cholera, human papillomavirus, rabies, rotavirus, rubella and typhoid) are administered more widely, supply and logistics systems – already burdened – will face an even greater need for innovations. Finally, the number of health workers, as well as their knowledge and skills, will need to be enhanced, better coordinated and better supervised. While the challenges are many, the introduction of new vaccines also represents an opportunity to strengthen immunization systems and to act as a catalyst to implement many of the required reforms. As national immunization investments increase, so must government oversight and accountability.

19. Immunization funding needs in the areas of research and development, procurement and delivery are expected to more than double in the coming decade. New and more complex vaccines will bring new funding requirements and countries will be confronted with difficult decisions in dealing with competing health priorities. Resources will need to be allocated more efficiently, with the relevant decisions guided by national priorities, capacity, clear information on the costs and benefits of choices, and improved financial management. Expenditures must be linked to outputs and impacts, showing a clear investment case for immunization.

20. As the economies of many low- and middle-income countries continue to grow, so will their potential to fund immunization. Countries that have relied on development assistance will be able to fund an increasing proportion of their immunization programmes, and may even, eventually, be able to fully sustain them. Some will be able to extend new financial and technical support to global immunization projects. At the same time, vaccine manufacturers in some of these countries will be expected to make an even more significant contribution to the supply of high-quality, affordable vaccines, spreading the sources of production more widely and increasing competition.

21. The growing availability of information and penetration of mobile telephone and social networks can boost public demand for immunization, and ensure that people are made aware of both the benefits derived from vaccines and their potential risks. The immunization community can take advantage of social networks and electronic media to more effectively allay fears, increase awareness and build trust.

22. The lessons learnt from past decades, the unmet needs, and the opportunities and challenges that this decade presents have been carefully considered in the formulation of the guiding principles, measures of success and recommended actions that are articulated in the following sections.

SIX GUIDING PRINCIPLES

23. Six principles have guided the elaboration of the draft global vaccine action plan.

• **Country ownership:** countries have primary ownership and responsibility for establishing good governance and for providing effective and quality immunization services for all.

• **Shared responsibility and partnership:** immunization against vaccine-preventable diseases is an individual, community and governmental responsibility that transcends borders and sectors.
• **Equity:** equitable access to immunization is a core component of the right to health.

• **Integration:** strong immunization systems, as part of broader health systems and closely coordinated with other primary health care delivery programmes, are essential for achieving immunization goals.

• **Sustainability:** informed decisions and implementation strategies, appropriate levels of financial investment, and improved financial management and oversight are critical to ensuring the sustainability of immunization programmes.

• **Innovation:** the full potential of immunization can only be realized through learning, continuous improvement and innovation in research and development, as well as innovation and quality improvement across all aspects of immunization.

24. These six fundamental principles can realistically and effectively guide the full spectrum of immunization activities throughout the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020). Although the draft global vaccine action plan will need to be translated into specific regional, country and community contexts, these guiding principles are universally applicable and relevant to each of the Decade of Vaccines’ goals and strategic objectives described below.

**MEASURES OF SUCCESS**

25. The Decade of Vaccines is about taking action to achieve ambitious goals. Early in the decade, this means achieving already established elimination and eradication goals. It means dealing with the public health emergency constituted by wild poliovirus transmission in order to secure a world free of polio and paralytic poliomyelitis. It also means assuring the global or regional elimination of measles, rubella and neonatal tetanus. Completing this agenda has never been more critical. Success will encourage the achievement of additional ambitious goals. Failure will mean that millions of preventable cases of disease and death will continue to occur.

26. Later in the decade, success will be recorded in terms of the expansion of immunization services to meet vaccination coverage targets in every region, country and community. In 2015, the coverage of target populations should reach the goal of the Global Immunization Vision and Strategy, 2006–2015 of at least 90% national vaccination coverage and at least 80% vaccination coverage in every district or equivalent administrative unit (the marker for this being coverage for diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccines). By 2020, coverage of target populations should reach these levels for all vaccines in national immunization programmes unless alternative targets exist. Vaccine introductions should also be monitored, with the goal of at least 80 low- or middle-income countries introducing one or more appropriate new or underutilized vaccines by 2015. These technical accomplishments will not be sustained unless countries take full ownership of their routine immunization programmes (see strategic objective 1 below).

27. During this decade millions of additional deaths and cases of disease should become preventable as a result of the development, licensure and introduction of new and improved vaccines and technologies for high-burden diseases. Specifically, progress towards the licensure and launch of vaccines should be tracked against one or more major pathogens not currently vaccine preventable

---

1 By 2015, achieve maternal and neonatal tetanus elimination (defined as less than one case of neonatal tetanus per 1000 live births) in every district, measles elimination in at least four WHO regions and rubella elimination in at least two WHO regions. By 2020, achieve measles and rubella elimination in at least five WHO regions.
(such as, cytomegalovirus, dengue virus, group A streptococcus, hepatitis C virus, hookworm, leishmania and respiratory syncytial virus) and at least one new platform delivery technology.

---

**Goals of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020)**

- Achieve a world free of poliomyelitis
- Meet global and regional elimination targets
- Meet vaccination coverage targets in every region, country and community
- Develop and introduce new and improved vaccines and technologies
- Exceed the Millennium Development Goal 4 target for reducing child mortality

---

28. If these immunization-specific goals are achieved, hundreds of millions of cases and millions of future deaths will be averted by the end of the decade, billions of dollars of productivity will be gained, and immunization will contribute to exceeding the Millennium Development Goal 4 target for reducing child mortality (and the target that succeeds it post-2015). For example, it is estimated that if the coverage targets for introduction and/or sustained use of 10 vaccines alone (those against hepatitis B, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, human papillomavirus, Japanese encephalitis, measles, meningococcus A, pneumococcus, rotavirus, rubella and yellow fever) in 94 countries during the decade are met, between 24 and 26 million future deaths could be averted compared with a hypothetical scenario under which these vaccines have zero coverage (see also paragraphs 89–99 below).

---

**Six strategic objectives**

29. Continuous progress towards the following six strategic objectives will enable the achievement of the goals of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020).

(i) **All countries commit to immunization as a priority.** Key indicators to monitor progress towards this strategic objective at the country level are the presence of a legal framework or legislation that guarantees financing for immunization and the presence of an independent technical advisory group that meets defined criteria.

(ii) **Individuals and communities understand the value of vaccines and demand immunization as both their right and responsibility.** Progress towards increased understanding and demand can be evaluated by monitoring the level of public trust in immunization, measured by surveys on knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and practices.¹

(iii) **The benefits of immunization are equitably extended to all people.** Progress towards greater equity can be evaluated by monitoring the percentage of districts with less than 80% coverage with three doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine and coverage gaps between lowest and highest wealth quintile (or another appropriate equity indicator).

(iv) **Strong immunization systems are an integral part of a well-functioning health system.** The strength of health systems can be evaluated based on dropout rates between the

---

¹ The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts working group on vaccine hesitancy will develop a definition of vaccine hesitancy and recommend specific questions from surveys (either existing or new) to fully formulate this indicator.
first dose of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine and the first dose of measles-containing vaccine. The quality of data is important for monitoring the functioning of a health system. Data quality can be evaluated by monitoring whether immunization coverage data are assessed as high quality by WHO and UNICEF.

(v) **Immunization programmes have sustainable access to predictable funding, quality supply and innovative technologies.** Key indicators to monitor progress towards this strategic objective will be the percentage of routine immunization costs financed through government budgets and globally installed capacity for production of universally recommended vaccines within five years of licensure/potential demand.

(vi) **Country, regional and global research and development innovations maximize the benefits of immunization.** Key indicators of progress towards this strategic objective include proof of concept for a vaccine that shows greater or equal to 75% efficacy for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis or malaria and the initiation of phase III trials for a first generation universal influenza vaccine. In addition, country research and development capacity can be measured by the institutional and technical capacity to manufacture vaccines and/or carry out related clinical trials and operational and organizational research.

30. Achieving the vision and goals of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020) will only be possible if all stakeholders involved in immunization commit themselves to, and take action to achieve, the six strategic objectives; uphold the Decade of Vaccines guiding principles when implementing all the actions; and regularly monitor and evaluate progress towards both strategic objectives and goals using the indicators described above (see also Annex 1).

31. An accountability framework is needed that defines the methodology and source of data for these indicators, identifies which stakeholders will be responsible for what actions, and articulates the process and responsibilities for monitoring and evaluating progress over the course of the Decade. The draft global vaccine action plan lays the groundwork for each of these elements. Further development and implementation of the accountability framework at country, regional and global levels could take place over the course of 2012 by leveraging the findings of the Commission on Information and Accountability for Women’s and Children’s Health and aligning work, wherever possible, with other accountability efforts and initiatives by all stakeholders at the country level to deliver and monitor progress.

### ACTIONS TO ACHIEVE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

**Strategic objective 1: all countries commit to immunization as a priority.**

32. Committing to immunization as a priority first and foremost means recognizing the importance of immunization as a critical public health intervention and the value that immunization represents in terms of health and economic returns. Countries demonstrate a commitment to immunization by setting ambitious but attainable national targets and allocating adequate financial and human resources to programmes to achieve these targets; ensuring that their national immunization plans are fully integrated into national health plans, with appropriate budgets and formulated with the participation of all major stakeholders; and demonstrating good stewardship and implementation of their national health plans. Country commitment to immunization does not, however, imply that immunization programmes will be prioritized or funded at the expense of other vital health programmes.

33. National legislation, policies and resource allocation decisions should be informed by credible and current evidence regarding the direct and indirect impact of immunization. Much of the evidence
base exists but does not reach policy-makers, as those who generate the evidence are not always those who interact with these decision-makers. Collaboration between, on the one hand, technical experts who generate the evidence and, on the other, the champions of immunization who construct context-specific messages that highlight the importance of immunization within health and social services, can unequivocally articulate the value of immunization and how immunization supports equity and economic development.

34. Independent bodies, such as regional or national immunization technical advisory groups, that can guide country policies and strategies based on local epidemiology and cost effectiveness should be established or strengthened, thus reducing dependency on external bodies for policy guidance. These bodies can readily be supported by institutions or individuals charged with collating and synthesizing information required for informed decision-making. Regional support systems and initiatives, such as the PAHO ProVac initiative, can be expanded to support countries in strengthening their decision-making. It is important that national immunization technical advisory groups or their regional equivalents, engage with academia, professional societies, and other national agencies and committees, such as the vaccine regulatory agencies, national health sector coordination committees, and interagency coordination committees, in order to ensure a cohesive and coordinated approach to achieving national health priorities. Strong links between ministries of health, education and finance, as well as human resources and legislators are also essential for sustainable programme implementation.

35. Support and formal endorsement of national policies and plans at the highest political and administrative levels, nationally and subnationally, is considered essential for ensuring commitment and sustainability. Governments and elected officials are responsible for putting in place necessary legislation and budget allocations. As immunization is a strong indicator of the overall ability of the health system to deliver services, legislators should be encouraged to scrutinize, defend and closely follow immunization budgets, disbursements and immunization programme activities, both at the national level and within their respective constituencies. Civil society organizations can effectively advocate for greater commitment and hold governments accountable for commitments once they are made. Immunization programmes need to have management structures for programme implementation to be effective. Officials at the national and subnational levels responsible for implementation of the immunization plans can be held accountable for programme performance when they are sufficiently empowered to provide effective leadership and have the required management and programme monitoring skills.

36. For high- and middle-income countries, commitment to immunization should cover the same areas, but may also include maintaining or assuming the role of development partners. Together with global agencies, development partner countries can coordinate the sharing of information and best practices among countries, help bridge temporary funding gaps, and support capacity strengthening by working with stakeholders in different country settings.

---

1 ProVac is a package of tools to support: (i) the estimation of cost-effectiveness and epidemiological and economic impact of new vaccines; (ii) training; and (iii) the strengthening of national infrastructure for decision-making.

2 Especially important for delivering immunization to older children and adolescents through school health programmes and for monitoring school entry requirements with immunization.
### Table 2: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All countries commit to immunization as a priority.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish and sustain commitment to immunization.</td>
<td>• Ensure legislation or legal framework in all countries, including provisions for a budget line for immunization, and for monitoring and reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop comprehensive national immunization plans that are part of overall national health plans through a bottom-up process that includes all stakeholders.</td>
<td>• Set ambitious but attainable country-specific targets within the context of morbidity and mortality reduction goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scrutinize, defend and follow more closely immunization budgets, disbursements and immunization programme activities.</td>
<td>• Support local civil society organizations and professional associations to contribute to national discussions on immunization and health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support local civil society organizations and professional associations to contribute to national discussions on immunization and health.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform and engage opinion leaders on the value of immunization.</td>
<td>• Explore models to promote collaboration between the stakeholders that generate evidence on immunization and those who use it in order to set priorities and formulate policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop and disseminate the evidence base on the public health value of vaccines and immunization and the added value of achieving equity in access and use of immunization.</td>
<td>• Develop and disseminate the evidence base for the broad economic benefits of immunization for individuals, households, communities, and countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop and disseminate the evidence base for the broad economic benefits of immunization for individuals, households, communities, and countries.</td>
<td>• Include immunization in the agendas of governing body meetings at all levels and in other social, health and economic forums.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthen national capacity to formulate evidence-based policies.</td>
<td>• Create, or strengthen existing, independent bodies that formulate national immunization policies (for example, national immunization technical advisory groups or regional technical advisory groups).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Develop more effective ways for national regulatory agencies, health sector coordination committees, and interagency coordination committees to support immunization programmes as part of disease control programmes and preventive health care.
• Create regional forums and peer-to-peer exchange of information, best practices and tools.
• Create expanded and more transparent mechanisms for aggregating, sharing and using information to monitor commitments.

Strategic objective 2: individuals and communities understand the value of vaccines and demand immunization as both their right and responsibility.

37. Significant improvements in coverage and programme sustainability are possible if individuals and communities understand the benefits and risks of immunization; are encouraged to seek services; are empowered to make demands on the health system; and have ownership of the planning and implementation of programmes within their local communities. Although there has generally been a high demand for vaccination services, accessing hard-to-reach populations, attaining higher coverage levels and achieving equity objectives may require additional approaches to stimulate demand for vaccination.

38. Generating individual, household and community demand will require using traditional platforms more effectively as well as new strategies to convey the benefits of immunization, emphasize immunization as a core component of the right to health and encourage greater use of services. New efforts could take advantage of social media and approaches used by commercial and social marketing efforts to promote immunization and address concerns. New mobile and Internet technologies should also be utilized, drawing on the experiences and successes of other innovative public health campaigns. Communications and social research to identify the barriers to and drivers of vaccination should inform the development of context-specific messages. Lessons on vaccines and immunization should be included in the primary school education curriculum. Multisectoral approaches that promote efforts, such as female education and empowerment, will help improve utilization of immunization and health services in general.

39. Where appropriate, programme strategies could also include measures to provide an incentive both to households to seek immunization services and to health care providers to improve their performance in vaccinating children, particularly those that have not been reached previously. At the household level, conditional cash transfer programmes often include vaccination of children as a requirement for receiving household income transfers. There is evidence that such programmes may have a positive impact on immunization coverage rates, even in countries with high coverage rates, and particularly for more marginalized populations. Because conditional cash transfer programmes are often administered in countries as part of a broad package of social protection or poverty alleviation measures, these programmes provide an opportunity to link immunization programmes and health ministries with other broader development initiatives, including those administered by other ministries.

40. At the health facility level, both households and health care providers can be further motivated by in-kind gifts at the time of vaccination, or by giving performance-based financing bonuses to
providers. There is some early evidence to suggest that performance-based financing of immunization services leads to increasing numbers of children being vaccinated, although more rigorous analysis of the impact of performance-based financing on immunization is still being carried out.

41. Providing incentives to health care workers and households through monetary and in-kind gifts has implementation challenges that need to be carefully addressed. These schemes need to respect the autonomy of beneficiaries. Social research is also needed to determine the conditions under which incentives contribute to improved coverage and the types and levels of incentives that are appropriate for a given context. Demand-generation activities must be coupled with mechanisms to ensure reliability of vaccine supply.

42. Some reasons for hesitancy are undoubtedly amenable to improved communications and advocacy initiatives designed to counteract growing anti-vaccination lobby groups and to increase understanding of the value of vaccines or of the danger of diseases. However, others are best addressed by ensuring the quality of the services provided. Individuals will be less hesitant to use services if they perceive the quality of those services to be acceptable. They are more likely to come to vaccination sessions when scheduled services are convenient and predictably available; when practical counselling is offered about where and when to come for vaccination and why, and about what to expect following vaccination; when the health workers have a welcoming attitude; when waiting times are reasonable; and when services are offered without charge. Health care workers should receive training in effective communication to enable them to deal with the media and with local communities when there are reports of serious adverse events following immunization, in order to allay fears and tackle vaccine hesitancy.

43. Bringing about change will require the participation of individuals, households and communities in the development and implementation of all demand-generation strategies. It will also require new and stronger community-based advocates with local knowledge, credibility and the front-line experience necessary to drive change. The participation of in-country civil society organizations will be crucial to develop strong advocacy efforts and should be supported by capacity building. Here again, an effort that promotes collaboration between evidence generators and evidence users could provide training for champions and link with local social and professional networks, which are an important source of grass-roots immunization champions. This will especially be required as country programmes embrace a life-course approach to immunization.

44. Current advocates must recruit new voices – potentially including educators, religious leaders, traditional and social media personalities, family physicians, community health workers and immunization champions. Researchers and technical experts will also have an important role in creating greater community awareness and providing credible responses to misinformation regarding immunization.

45. Generating individual and community demand will reinforce country commitment to vaccines and immunization (strategic objective 1). Activities to generate demand for vaccines and immunization should build on the broader movement in order to help people to hold their governments accountable for access to health services.
Table 3: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 2

| Individual and communities understand the value of vaccines and demand immunization as both their right and responsibility. | • Engage in a dialogue which both transmits information and responds to people’s concerns and fears.  
• Utilize social media tools and lessons learnt from commercial and social marketing efforts.  
• Leverage new mobile and Internet-based technologies.  
• Include immunization in the basic education curriculum.  
• Conduct communications research. |
|---|---|
| Engage individuals and communities on the benefits of immunization and hear their concerns. | • Create incentives for households and health workers in favour of immunization, where appropriate, while respecting the autonomy of beneficiaries (for example, cash or in-kind transfers, bundling of services, media recognition).  
• Conduct social research to improve the delivery of immunization services and the ability to meet the needs of diverse communities. |
| Create incentives to stimulate demand. | • Recruit new voices, including those of educators, religious leaders, traditional and social media personalities, family physicians, community health workers, and trained immunization champions (among others).  
• Train health-care workers in effective communication techniques, especially to address vaccine hesitancy and to respond to reports of serious adverse events following immunization in order to maintain trust and allay fears.  
• Engage, enable and support in-country civil society organizations to advocate the value of vaccines to local communities and policy-makers and local and global media.  
• Create national or regional advocacy plans that involve in-country civil society organizations.  
• Link global, national and community advocacy efforts with professional and academic networks. |
| Build advocacy capacity. | --- |
Strategic objective 3: the benefits of immunization are equitably extended to all people.

46. Today, four out of every five children receive at least a basic set of vaccinations during infancy and are therefore able to lead healthier, more productive lives. Unfortunately, this means one child in every five is not being reached. In this decade, the benefits of immunization should also be more equitably extended to all children, adolescents and adults. Achieving this strategic objective will mean that every eligible individual is immunized with all appropriate vaccines – irrespective of geographic location, age, gender, disability, educational level, socioeconomic level, ethnic group or work condition – thereby reaching underserved populations and reducing disparities in immunization both within and between countries. Because disease burdens tend to be disproportionately concentrated in more marginalized populations, reaching more people will not only achieve a greater degree of equity, but will also achieve a greater health impact and contribute to economic development. Furthermore, disease eradication and elimination goals cannot be met without achieving and sustaining high and equitable coverage.

47. In 2002, WHO, UNICEF and other partners introduced the concept of “Reaching Every District”, a first step toward achieving more equitable coverage. Through its various operational components, which include re-establishing outreach services, providing supportive supervision, engaging with communities, monitoring and use of data and district planning and resource management, the Reaching Every District strategy was able to expand the provision of immunization services. Similarly, initiatives aimed at disease eradication and elimination or rapid mortality reduction have used strategies, such as national or subnational immunization days (for poliomyelitis eradication) and supplementary immunization activities (for measles and rubella elimination, measles mortality reduction and neonatal tetanus elimination). More recently, strategies collectively referred to as periodic intensification of routine immunization have been used to extend immunization to the unreached, packaged together with other primary health care interventions.

48. Even these strategies continue to miss populations, for example those that reside outside traditional social and governmental structures. To sustain the gains of these historical efforts and to achieve and sustain disease control goals, the Reaching Every District strategic approach should be recast as “Reaching Every Community”. To attain more equitable coverage, the definition of community should be expanded beyond geographically defined communities. Reaching every community will mean aiming to encompass every eligible individual, even those beyond typical government outreach.

49. Reaching every community will call for an understanding of the barriers to access and use of immunization; it will also require the underserved to be identified, and micro-plans at the district and community levels to be reviewed and revised in order to ensure that these barriers can be overcome. The rapid expansion of information technology should be leveraged to establish immunization registries and electronic databases that will allow each individual’s immunization status to be tracked, timely reminders to be sent when immunization is due and data to be accessed easily to inform actions. The introduction of unique identification numbers could be a catalyst for the establishment of such systems.

50. Drawing on the experiences of successful poliomyelitis vaccination campaigns, decentralized planning and outreach should be used to reach populations that are remote or nomadic or that have been historically marginalized. New strategies for reaching the urban poor and urban migrants will also be necessary. Given the tenuous and evolving community structures and the inadequate security involved, new approaches to community outreach will be especially critical for reaching these groups. This is all the more true in view of the fact that sometimes the most unifying force in these urban and peri-urban areas is a shared and deep-seated mistrust of outsiders, especially governments.
51. Implementing strategies to reach all underserved populations will require engagement with the nongovernmental sector, including civil society organizations and private sector organizations, and will need to involve all aspects of immunization including advocacy, social mobilization, service delivery and monitoring programme performance. To support such collaboration, governments should allocate increased resources to underserved communities and ensure that programmes have sufficient, well-trained personnel to execute strategies effectively. Partnerships across government sectors (for example, with educational institutions) and coordination with programmes that focus on vulnerable populations will be essential. In addition, efforts to provide high-quality immunization services to all children will need to continue unabated in order to protect gains already recorded.

52. There are other dimensions of equity that merit consideration during the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020), including disparities between countries, adolescent and adult immunization, and immunization during emergencies.

53. Historically, it took decades before new vaccines used in high-income countries became available in low- and middle-income countries. Steps are being taken to address this inequity, including the introduction of new vaccines, with the support of the GAVI Alliance. However, much more needs to be done to sustain and extend these gains, particularly to middle-income countries.

54. A “life-course” approach must also be taken in order to make the benefits of immunization available to all those at risk in every age group. As diseases are being successfully controlled through infant immunization, the need to boost immunity to sustain and extend these gains is increasingly being recognized. In addition, new and existing vaccines that are beneficial for school children, adolescents and adults at special risk – such as health workers, immunocompromised individuals, animal handlers, and the elderly – (for example, vaccines against human papillomavirus, influenza and rabies) are now available and being increasingly used. The success of efforts to eliminate maternal and neonatal tetanus and the benefits to both women and infants of influenza vaccination during pregnancy have increased interest in exploring the development of other vaccines that could be used during pregnancy (for example, group B streptococcus or respiratory syncytial virus vaccines). This will mean creating strategies for reaching individuals throughout their life course, and developing plans for the systems that will monitor and track progress.

55. Likewise, targeted plans are needed to ensure access to immunization during humanitarian crises, outbreaks and in conflict zones. These plans should include a focus on communication and provision for the development of vaccine stockpiles.

56. Social and operational research is needed to inform the design and test the effectiveness of the delivery strategies mentioned above. Key areas of focus for this research could include identifying the main causes of low coverage in particular areas and communities, assessing economic barriers to immunization, understanding the best approaches for reaching individuals of various ages, and assessing the most effective incentives for reaching different groups.
Table 4: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The benefits of immunization are equitably extended to all people.</th>
<th>Develop and implement new strategies to tackle inequities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Recast “Reaching Every District” to “Reaching Every Community” in order to deal with inequities within districts.</td>
<td>• Engage underserved and marginalized groups to develop locally tailored, targeted strategies for reducing inequities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduce appropriate new vaccines into national immunization programmes (see also objective 5).</td>
<td>• Establish a life-course approach to immunization planning and implementation, including new strategies to ensure equity across the life span.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prevent and respond to vaccine-preventable diseases during disease outbreaks and humanitarian crises, and in conflict zones.</td>
<td>• Build knowledge base and capacity for enabling equitable delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Track each individual’s immunization status, leveraging immunization registries, electronic databases and national identification number systems.</td>
<td>• Take advantage of community structures to enhance communication and deliver services (for example, traditional birth attendants, birth registries).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Involve civil society organizations in community outreach and planning.</td>
<td>• Develop new approaches to community engagement for urban and peri-urban areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Train health workers and civil society organizations in engaging communities, in identifying influential people who can assist in planning, organizing and monitoring health and immunization programmes, as well as community needs, and in working with communities to meet those needs.</td>
<td>• Conduct operational and social science research to identify successful strategies to reduce inequities and improve the quality and delivery of immunization services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic objective 4: strong immunization systems are an integral part of a well-functioning health system.

57. The success of national immunization programmes in introducing new vaccines, attaining goals for quality, equity and coverage, and becoming financially sustainable depends upon a well-functioning health system. The many interconnected components of an immunization system require multi-disciplinary attention in order to build a cohesive, non-fragmented and well-functioning programme that coordinates and works in synergy with other primary health care programmes.

58. Health systems encompass a range of functions from policy and regulation to information and supply chain systems, human resources, overall programme management and financing. Health systems include both the public and private sectors, and in some countries the private sector can play a valuable role in educating households about the need for and benefits of vaccination, as well as providing health care. Some of these functions have been dealt with in other sections of this document. This section discusses the actions required to foster greater coordination between immunization and other programmes within health systems and to strengthen the information, human resources, supply chain and logistics components of health systems.

59. Immunization service delivery should continue to serve as a platform for providing other priority public health interventions, such as those for vitamin A supplementation, deworming, and insecticide-treated bednets. Other priority programmes should also serve as a platform for delivering immunization. Every contact with the health sector should be used as an opportunity to verify immunization status and provide immunization where indicated. Furthermore, as new vaccines become available that target some but not all pathogens that cause particular syndromes, such as pneumonia, diarrhoea and cervical cancer, it is important that their introduction be an opportunity to scale up the delivery of complementary interventions. For example, the vaccines against pneumococcus and rotavirus should be complemented with other actions to protect, prevent and treat related respiratory and diarrhoeal diseases.

60. New vaccine deployment should therefore be accompanied by comprehensive disease-control plans both within countries and globally. Coordination of immunization with other services should take place at all levels of a country’s programmes, involve outreach efforts and participation by health centres, and be a part of programme management. Coordinating immunization with integrated primary health-care programmes may also facilitate social mobilization efforts, helping to generate community demand for services (strategic objective 2) and address inequity (strategic objective 3). Additionally, efforts should be made to ensure that global vaccine programmes focused on eradication and elimination goals (for example, poliomyelitis and measles campaigns) do not operate in silos. The choice of mechanisms to promote greater interaction and coordination between different programmes should be made by countries according to their local context. The synergies and efficiencies as a result of integration and coordination will be particularly beneficial in countries with fragile health systems.

61. Access to timely high-quality information is essential for effective immunization. Critical information includes process indicators that allow programmes to monitor their performance and take corrective action, and outcome indicators that measure the impact of programmes. Output and impact indicators need to be analysed along with expenditures in order to identify bottlenecks and best practices and to gauge overall programme efficiency (value for money). Immunization information systems need to be linked to broader health information systems, while remaining readily accessible and meeting immunization programme needs.

62. Monitoring of immunization coverage and dropout rates has been in place since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization to ensure programme effectiveness. Although the quality
and timeliness of data reporting have improved steadily over the years, the quality of administrative coverage data is still inadequate in many countries. Furthermore, the use of data in order to take corrective action at district and community levels is still unsatisfactory. New approaches to immunization tracking through unique identification numbers (discussed in strategic objective 3) can improve the quality of immunization coverage data and facilitate the development of comprehensive immunization registries. New technologies, including hand-held communication devices and mobile phones, can support this effort and facilitate data-sharing. Armed with higher-quality data and new data-analysis tools, programme managers at all administrative levels can use information to improve programme performance, allocate funding appropriately, and track progress more effectively.

63. Disease surveillance is critical for informing decision-making on the adoption of new vaccines and on the strategies for their use in their respective national programmes. Such surveillance is also essential for monitoring the impact of immunization and changes in disease epidemiology, and for supporting sustained use. Robust epidemiological data will also be crucial for understanding vaccine effectiveness and guiding priorities in the research and development community, and will help to identify the areas where research and development is most needed (strategic objective 6). Disease surveillance platforms need to be strengthened to improve the quality and sharing of information. This will include strengthening laboratory capacity for microbiological confirmation of diagnosis and for tracking the spread of diseases using molecular typing techniques.

64. On rare occasions, adverse reactions can affect the health of vaccine recipients. More frequently, coincidental health events can follow immunization and may be wrongly attributed to vaccines. In both instances, it is extremely important to detect and analyse promptly serious adverse events following immunization. To support low- and middle-income countries in managing such important issues, WHO and its partners have developed the Global Vaccine Safety Blueprint. This strategic plan will enable the countries concerned to have at least minimal capacity for vaccine safety activities; it will also enhance capacity for vaccine safety assessment in countries that introduce newly developed vaccines, that introduce vaccines in settings with novel characteristics or that both manufacture and use prequalified vaccines; and it will establish a global vaccine safety support structure. Implementing the Global Vaccine Safety Blueprint strategies to build capacity for safety surveillance during the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020) will ensure that everyone everywhere receives the safest vaccines possible and that safety concerns are not a cause of hesitancy in using vaccines.

65. The increasing complexity of immunization programmes and ambitious new goals, mean that more trained health workers are needed to manage the increased burden of work, including programme managers at the national and subnational levels as well as front-line workers who deliver services and interact directly with communities. Programme managers need to be equipped with technical knowledge about vaccines and immunization, as well as with management skills. Front-line health workers, who deliver not only vaccinations but also primary health care interventions and health education, need coordinated, comprehensive and very practical pre- and in-service training, with updated, relevant curricula and post-training supervision. Health-care workers need to be able not only to explain why immunization is important, but also to give advice to individuals and communities on nutrition, create a healthier environment and recognize the danger signs when someone falls ill. Immunization programmes should ensure that this training and supervision is effectively extended to community-based health workers. Civil society organizations can help with training and coordinating such workers.

66. Health workers can only be effective if sufficient supplies (vaccines, supplements and medicines) are available when they need them. The influx of new vaccines has outstripped the capacity of the current cold-chain system in many countries. Thus, supply chains and waste
management systems urgently need to be expanded and made more efficient and reliable. They should be streamlined to maximize effectiveness. They should also take into account and make an effort to minimize the environmental impact of energy, materials and processes used for immunization both within countries and globally. The availability of new technologies provides the opportunity to innovate, not only to improve immunization supply chain management, but also to seek increased synergies with other sectors and supply systems for other health interventions. Another potential area of innovation concerns understanding the lessons learnt from private-sector practices and supply chain management. In addition, tasks that could be outsourced to private sector companies in order to create greater efficiency should be explored.

67. It will be essential to ensure that immunization supply systems are staffed with adequate numbers of competent, motivated and empowered personnel at all levels. Likewise, improvements to health information systems should also support the management of resources, helping staff to ensure that adequate quantities of vaccines are always available to meet demand. Efforts to strengthen supply chains should be implemented in such a way that they benefit both immunization programmes and broader national health efforts.

68. Developing stronger, more efficient, comprehensive approaches to disease control and immunization will require health ministries to take the lead in strengthening and coordinating immunization programmes and health systems more broadly, including engaging civil society organizations, academia and private practitioners. They can draw on the expertise of academics to help develop and deploy new tools and approaches to service delivery. Civil society organizations can contribute to the development of integrated programmes so that they are aligned with local realities and incorporate community-based human resources. Communities can ultimately hold their governments accountable by demanding integrated services. Regional and global organizations can also help by ensuring that data and best practices are shared in and across countries and that country programmes have access to analytical tools. Development partners can provide supplemental financial resources if needed.

**Table 5: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strong immunization systems that are an integral part of a well-functioning health system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop comprehensive and coordinated approaches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that global vaccine programmes focusing on eradication and elimination goals (for example, poliomyelitis and measles campaigns) are incorporated into national immunization programmes and do not operate independently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure that new vaccine deployment is accompanied by comprehensive plans to control targeted diseases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensure coordination between the public and private sectors for new vaccine introduction, reporting of vaccine-preventable diseases and administration of vaccines, and ensure quality of vaccination in the public and private sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consider the inclusion of vaccines (as appropriate to national priorities) in health programmes across the life-course.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strengthen monitoring and surveillance systems.

- Improve the quality of all administrative data concerning immunization and promote its analysis and use at all administrative levels to improve programme performance.
- Develop and promote the use of new technologies for collection, transmission and analysis of immunization data.
- Further strengthen and expand disease surveillance systems to generate information for decision-making, monitoring the impact of immunization on morbidity and mortality and changes in disease epidemiology.
- Ensure capacity for vaccine safety activities, including capacity to collect and interpret safety data, with enhanced capacity in countries that introduce newly developed vaccines.

Strengthen capacity of managers and frontline workers.

- Ensure that immunization and other primary health-care programmes have adequate human resources to schedule and deliver predictable services of acceptable quality.
- Increase levels of pre-service, in-service and post-service training for human resources, and develop new, relevant curricula that approach immunization as a component of comprehensive disease control.
- Promote coordinated training and supervision of community-based health workers.

Strengthen infrastructure and logistics.

- Innovate to improve cold-chain capacity and logistics, as well as waste management.
- Minimize the environmental impact of energy, materials and processes used in immunization supply systems, both within countries and globally.
- Staff supply systems with adequate numbers of competent, motivated and empowered personnel at all levels.
- Establish information systems that help staff to track the available supply accurately.

**Strategic objective 5: immunization programmes have sustainable access to predictable funding, quality supply and innovative technologies.**

69. To meet goals of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020), actions must be taken both within countries and globally to increase the total amount of available funding for immunization from both countries and development partners. Countries should ensure the financial sustainability of national immunization programmes through regular evaluation of resource needs; efficiency in service delivery; availability of adequate domestic financing; and resource mobilization from development partners to meet any funding gaps. Governments also need to explore alternative and innovative financing mechanisms for health and immunization. Some countries have established trust funds or use dedicated tax revenues, among other strategies. In addition, it is important to move beyond budgets and into expenditures. Governments can improve vaccine access and prevent shortages of
vaccines, immunization equipment or health workers by assuring that budgeted funds are disbursed in an ongoing and timely fashion that responds to programmes’ needs.

70. Although the financing of immunization services is first and foremost a core responsibility of governments, development partners should support national strategies through more predictable, longer-term financing, and should also explore the next generation of innovative financing mechanisms. Emphasis needs to be placed on mutual accountability between countries and their development partners in terms of immunization financing. One possible approach is to undertake annual resource tracking of immunization financing from partners and governments alike. For both countries and development partners, evidence-based advocacy and policy efforts should be focused on obtaining a renewed commitment to past funding pledges.

71. There is also a need to improve the allocation, accountability and sustainability of funding. Coordinating funding support from development partners and other external sources to target national budget priorities will ensure that funds are addressing the most pressing country needs. Funding allocation strategies should be revised periodically to confirm they are achieving goals, such as eradication and elimination of disease, as quickly and as effectively as possible. Feedback loops should be established to enhance programme sustainability, results and impact. One potential methodology to explore is a pay-for-performance funding system. However, the merits of this approach must be balanced against the importance of ensuring the predictability of funding, the risks of creating perverse incentives, and the fact that implementation of such a scheme requires high-quality data. This would include linking international, national, and local funding distribution to specific performance metrics and leveraging the resulting metrics to promote programme improvement.

72. Innovative pricing and procurement mechanisms are needed to alleviate funding pressure and to support the development and scale-up of new and existing vaccines. Innovations will be particularly important for those lower-middle-income countries that do not have access to the PAHO, UNICEF and GAVI Alliance pricing and procurement mechanisms. Mechanisms to explore include differential pricing using new approaches to define price tiers and pooled negotiation or procurement methods for lower-middle-income countries. Current pooled procurement models exist in both the vaccines and pharmaceuticals markets. One example is the PAHO revolving fund pooled procurement and short-term credit mechanism. This and other models could be assessed and modified to best suit the needs of the lower-middle-income countries and the individual vaccine markets.

73. The provision of long-term sustainable funding will be an incentive to manufacturers, thereby improving supply security. In addition, supply-side interventions are needed. A growing proportion of affordable vaccines that are used to immunize the world’s population are manufactured in middle- and lower-middle-income countries. In the coming decade, these countries will not only have a requirement to ensure the quality, safety and efficacy of vaccines used domestically, but also a growing global obligation to protect and enhance the security of the global immunization enterprise. Potential supply-side interventions to ensure quality, safety and efficacy include identifying and disseminating best practices in manufacturing and quality control, investing in research and development capabilities, and initiating technology transfers and co-development agreements.

74. A crucial but often overlooked key driver underpinning all these interventions is the quality assurance of vaccines. Good-quality assurance relies crucially on effective standardization, which ensures that each vaccine product can be manufactured consistently and also enables multiple manufacturers to make similar products of the same quality. Normative processes to achieve globally harmonized standards for vaccines already exist, including international biological reference materials, but action is needed to strengthen global standardization.
75. In addition, each country should develop the capacity to monitor and assure the safe use of vaccines, in line with the strategy defined in the WHO Global Vaccine Safety Blueprint initiative (as discussed under strategic objective 4). Action should also be taken to strengthen national regulatory systems and develop globally harmonized regulations in order to ensure that the increasing demand for regulatory reviews can be managed in an effective and timely manner. This is an issue not just for low- and middle-income countries involved in technology transfer, but also for regulatory agencies in high-income countries where expertise and resources need to be maintained. These supply-side interventions need to be based on solid business cases developed by countries to ensure the impact of these significant and long-term investments.

76. Making change happen with respect to sustainable funding will require commitments from governments and development partners to increase resources and improve programme efficiencies, as well as from additional countries joining the development partner ranks. Likewise, sustainable supply will require the multisectoral involvement of governments (for example, the science and technology, trade, industry and health sectors) in order to create an environment that helps suppliers to strengthen their capabilities. Emerging economies have a particularly important role to play in both cases, given their high rate of economic growth and the rapid expansion of the supply base there.

77. To increase alignment, activities currently performed by the UNICEF Supply Division and the GAVI Alliance to improve communication and coordination among countries, vaccine manufacturers and public-sector organizations should be further expanded. Countries need a forum where they can more clearly communicate expected demand for new vaccines and provide guidance on desired product profiles. This first-hand information would enable suppliers to make more informed product development and capacity planning decisions, thereby mitigating product development and supply risk. This information would also help development partners and other public-sector organizations to establish more defensible and reliable strategies and support plans. This forum could further be utilized to enable suppliers to accurately communicate the possible current and future range of pricing and supply to countries, and for countries to share information on and experience with vaccine procurement.

Table 6: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunization programmes have sustainable access to long-term funding and quality supply.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase total amount of funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establish a commitment for governments to invest in immunization according to their ability to pay and the expected benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Engage new potential domestic and development partners and diversify sources of funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop the next generation of innovative financing mechanisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase affordability for middle-income countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Explore differential pricing approaches to define explicit criteria for price tiers and the current and future prices to be made available to lower middle-income and middle-income countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Explore pooled negotiation or procurement mechanisms for lower-middle-income and middle-income countries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Improve allocation of funding in low- and middle-income countries. | • Strengthen budgeting and financial management in-country to better integrate financial and health care planning and priority setting.  
• Coordinate funding support from development partners and other external sources.  
• Evaluate and improve funding support mechanisms on the basis of their effectiveness in reaching disease goals.  
• Base funding on transparency and objectivity in order to ensure the sustainability of programmes.  
• Promote the use of cost and cost-benefit arguments in fund raising, decision-making, and in defence of immunization funding.  
• Explore pay-for-performance funding systems. |
|---|---|
| Secure quality supply. | • Build and support networks of regulators and suppliers to share best practices and to improve quality assurance capabilities and quality control.  
• Develop tools to strengthen global standardization of manufacturing and regulatory processes.  
• Strengthen national regulatory systems and develop globally harmonized regulations.  
• Provide a forum where countries can communicate expected demand for vaccines and technologies and provide guidance to manufacturers on desired product profiles. |

**Strategic objective 6: country, regional and global research and development innovations maximize the benefits of immunization.**

78. In the coming decade, targeted and innovative research and development efforts are needed across discovery, development and delivery. Innovative research and development efforts will lead to: (1) identification of mechanisms of protection and pathogenesis; (2) well-defined and novel antigenic targets for development of new vaccines; (3) development of bio-processing, formulation, manufacturing and delivery technologies for new and improved vaccines; and (4) development of disease-burden and cost-effectiveness data for in-country decision-making.

79. WHO has conducted a detailed study of disease prioritization and the Institute of Medicine in the United States of America is in the process of developing a model designed to assist decision-makers in prioritizing preventive vaccines based on health, economic, demographic, programmatic and social impact criteria, as well as scientific, technical and business opportunities. The Decade of Vaccines collaboration has not undertaken a vaccine or disease prioritization exercise. To complement the above efforts, a spectrum of research and development needs is presented across discovery,
development and delivery, from which stakeholders can choose to invest according to their own priorities and perceptions of the return on their investments.

80. Across all research and development activities, increased engagement and consultation with end-users is needed to ensure that technologies and innovation are prioritized according to real demand and added value. New arrangements will also be required to facilitate the transfer of technologies and access to and sharing of associated information, while acknowledging and respecting intellectual property rights. In order to support this work and maximize its effectiveness of, scientists from disciplines not previously engaged in vaccine research (systems biology, nanotechnology, structural biology and metabolomics) will need to be recruited. Chemical and mechanical engineers, chemists and information technology specialists will also have key roles to play in this endeavour.

81. In addition, capacity building and human resource development are needed in low- and middle-income countries to conduct research and development, including finding better ways to conduct operational research and evaluate immunization programmes. Research and development is being conducted in institutions of excellence in many low- and middle-income countries. This capacity is producing indigenous data, as well as fostering bilateral and multilateral collaboration in basic sciences and vaccine development. Capacity can be further strengthened through peer-to-peer training and exchanges between countries. Greater networking among research centres (from discovery to clinical trials) will facilitate the exchange of ideas and the efficient building of partnerships among institutions in high-, middle- and low-income countries.

82. Discovery and basic research will lay the groundwork for impact in future decades. Research at the interface between host and pathogen is needed to enable the development of new vaccines. Advancing knowledge of innate and adaptive immune responses will permit more rational vaccine design. Strengthening the understanding of immunologic and molecular characteristics of microbes through systems biology will permit the identification of new antigenic targets for vaccine development and effective ways of predicting protective immune responses and mechanisms of protection. Appropriate studies of host genetics and biomarkers will contribute to understanding the causes of variation in human population responses to vaccines, or susceptibility to adverse effects.

83. For the development of new and improved vaccines and vaccine technologies, the research and development community will benefit from adopting best practices in portfolio and partnership management, including the identification of early indicators of success and failure to inform milestone-based investments. The community should also consider new approaches to ensure promising vaccine candidates are advanced from discovery to development, particularly where market incentives are insufficient. This is especially important for vaccines to prevent “neglected” diseases.

84. Research is needed to accelerate development, licensing and uptake of vaccines that are currently in early development, including development of technologies for more efficacious and less expensive manufacturing of vaccines. Greater access to the technology and associated information for adjuvants and their formulation into vaccines is needed for advances in developing new and more effective vaccines. Non-syringe delivery mechanisms and vaccine packaging that best suit the needs and constraints of countries, as well as thermostable vaccines and new bioprocessing and manufacturing technologies, are priority research areas for accelerating the development of next-generation vaccines that are more effective, less expensive and easier to manufacture and deliver.

85. Additionally, the elaboration and aggressive pursuit of a global regulatory science agenda will improve manufacturing efficiency, better characterize products, improve clinical trial design and safeguard the highest standards for vaccine safety and efficacy. The challenge is considerable in achieving understanding of the adverse effects, finding ways to avoid them and yet not compromising
the known efficacy of the existing product – and without incurring the costs of developing, testing and registering a new product. In this dimension, research on animal models and in vitro systems that better predict safety and efficacy would shorten the time for developing safe and effective vaccines and for making them available to communities. Knowledge of the correlates of protection and safety will greatly help to bring these second-generation products to licensure and use.

86. With respect to delivery, priority areas to improve programme efficiency and increase vaccine coverage and impact should include research on the use of effective information through modern communication technologies and social research in order to understand the cultural, economic and organizational determinants of immunization. Health economic analysis will guide the introduction and prioritization of vaccines, and hence representative epidemiological, immunological and operational studies and studies of vaccine impact will be needed.

87. Operational research on the most effective delivery approaches is also needed in order to overcome the challenges posed by life-course immunization (newborn, infant, adolescent, pregnant women, elderly, among others) and vaccination in emergency and outbreak situations. Research on immunological interference effects and optimization of delivery schedules will be required as more new vaccines are introduced into routine programmes and immunization is extended beyond the first year of life. In the case of special populations, such as pregnant women, confirmation of safety will be particularly important. Furthermore, research is required in order to develop biomarkers for validating immunization coverage estimates and enabling better measurement of population-level immunity profiles. In addition, research to develop field-usable and cost-effective diagnostic tools for establishing etiology that are suited for use at point-of-care in low-income countries will be valuable additions to improving surveillance quality.

88. Concerted action among the research community, manufacturers, health professionals, programme managers, national immunization technical advisory groups, vaccine regulatory agencies and development partners will be needed to attain the full potential of research and development in the next decade. Methods and arguments for prioritization and allocation of scarce resources will have to be agreed upon by these groups, balancing the tensions between country-driven choices and the need for large-scale research efforts and markets in order to sustain development and commercialization. Health professionals, programme managers, vaccine regulatory agencies and national immunization technical advisory groups can help to identify areas where innovations could be made, and assess their real demand and added value. Development partners can help promote a judicious allocation of some resources for research and development, according to the agreed priorities. The research community and manufacturers will have prime responsibility for promoting innovation and pursuing the research agenda defined above.

Table 7: Summary of recommended actions for strategic objective 6

| Country, regional, and global research and development innovations maximize the benefits of immunization. | • Engage with end-users to prioritize vaccines and innovations according to perceived demand and added value.  
• Establish platforms for exchange of information on immunization research and consensus building. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand capabilities and increase engagement with end-users.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Enable the development of new vaccines.** | • Research on the fundamentals of innate and adaptive immune responses, particularly in humans.  
• Research on immunological and molecular characteristics of microbes.  
• Improve understanding of the extent and causes of variation in pathogens and human population responses to vaccines. |
| **Accelerate development, licensing and uptake of vaccines.** | • Promote greater access to technology, expertise and intellectual property for adjuvants and their formulation into vaccines.  
• Develop non-syringe delivery mechanisms and vaccine packaging that best suit the needs and constraints of national programmes.  
• Develop thermostable rotavirus and measles vaccines.  
• Develop new bioprocessing and manufacturing technologies.  
• Develop a global, regulatory science research agenda.  
• Adopt best practices in portfolio and partnership management for research and development. |
| **Improve programme efficiencies and increase coverage and impact.** | • Research the use of more effective information through modern communication technologies.  
• Conduct representative epidemiological, immunological, social and operational studies and investigations of vaccine impact to guide health economics analysis.  
• Perform operational research on improved delivery approaches for life-course immunization, and vaccination in humanitarian emergencies, so-called fragile States and countries in and emerging from conflict.  
• Perform research on interference effects and optimum delivery schedules.  
• Perform research to develop improved diagnostic tools for conducting surveillance in low-income countries. |
HEALTH RETURNS ON INVESTMENT IN IMMUNIZATION

89. The draft global vaccine action plan has outlined a set of ambitious goals and strategic objectives for the decade to broaden the impact and reach of immunization across the globe. By extending coverage for existing vaccines, introducing new vaccines and pursuing elimination and eradication for specific diseases, millions of deaths can be averted and billions of dollars in economic benefit can be generated.

90. It is projected that costs to sustain and scale up current immunization programmes, introduce new and underutilized vaccines, and conduct supplemental immunization activities to reach elimination and eradication goals in the world’s 94 low- and lower-middle-income countries will rise from between US$ 3500 million and US$ 4500 million in 2011 to between US$ 6000 million and US$ 8000 million in 2020, costing approximately between US$ 50 000 million and US$ 60 000 million cumulatively over the course of the decade (from 2011 to 2020). The following estimates all pertain to these 94 countries.1

91. An estimated US$ 42 000 million to US$ 51 000 million of these costs (roughly 85% of the total) will support expanding routine immunization coverage and introducing additional vaccines to routine immunization programmes.2 For example, pneumococcal vaccine coverage for the birth cohort in the 94 countries is projected to go from 8% in 2011 to approximately 90% by 2020. Similarly, coverage with the pentavalent vaccine (against diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis hepatitis B and Hib) is projected to move from 50% in 2011 to more than 90% by 2020. To take another example, it is anticipated that up to five additional vaccines that are currently not licensed or widely used in low- and lower-middle-income countries will be introduced across many of the countries in the analysis during the decade: vaccines against cholera, dengue and malaria, inactivated poliovirus vaccine, and typhoid Vi conjugate vaccine. Delivery programmes will need to be strengthened to ensure they meet current needs, are well-maintained over the decade, have sufficient capacity to accommodate additional vaccines that are planned to be introduced, and facilitate immunization coverage aspirations across low- and lower-middle-income countries. As a consequence, the costs of annual routine immunization will increase from approximately US$ 2500 million in 2011 to US$ 7500 million by 2020.

92. Of these costs, an estimated cumulative figure of between US$ 8000 million and US$ 9000 million (the remaining 15% of the total) will be for supplementary immunization activities for accelerated disease control and eradication and elimination efforts throughout the decade, which will complement routine immunization programmes. This analysis assumes that these efforts will be focused on measles, meningococcus A meningitis, poliomyelitis, rubella, tetanus and yellow fever.

93. The costs described above for routine and supplementary immunization activities encompass the projected costs of the acquisition of vaccines and injection supplies, as well as the delivery of those vaccines and supplies, including transportation and cold chain logistics, human resources, training, social mobilization, surveillance and programme management. These costs do not include the

---

1 Countries included in the scope of the costing analysis include 92 low- and lower-middle-income countries according to the July 2011 World Bank Classification (available at http://www.isoffice.org/Documents/DocumentsDownload.aspx?Documentid=474, accessed 11 April 2012) in addition to two upper-middle-income countries (Azerbaijan and Cuba) which receive GAVI Alliance support for existing vaccines, but which have graduated from support for future vaccines.

2 Diseases covered by the vaccines included in the scope of the costing analysis include: diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis, hepatitis B, Haemophilus influenzae type b, human papillomavirus, Japanese encephalitis, measles, meningococcus A, mumps, pneumococcus, poliomyelitis, rotavirus, rubella, tuberculosis and yellow fever.
additional costs or efficiencies that may be generated through the actions recommended in the draft global vaccine action plan where there is an insufficient evidence base for these costs at this time. Specifically, it does not include the additional cost of scaling up seasonal influenza vaccination or the additional resource needs for increased surveillance, increased civil society engagement, and current and additional technical agency support to implement the draft global vaccine action plan. Nevertheless, the costs do represent the majority of the cost of achieving the strategic objectives of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020).

94. The governments of low- and lower-middle-income countries will continue to play a pivotal role in meeting resource needs. Assuming that country funding for immunization grows in line with projected gross domestic product and all GAVI Alliance-eligible countries fully meet its co-financing requirements, it is estimated that the available funding from country governments for routine immunization and supplemental immunization activities could total approximately US$ 20 000 million over the decade. In addition, if the GAVI Alliance renews its current level of funding for the 2016–2020 period, its resources will generate an estimated additional US$ 12 000 million of funds for the decade, approximately US$ 11 000 million for routine immunization programmes and approximately US$ 1000 million for programmes involving supplementary immunization activities. Based on these assumptions, country governments and the GAVI Alliance combined could provide a total of approximately US$ 32 000 million in funding for the decade. These estimates could be considered the minimum available financing over the decade because they do not include contributions from development partners beyond that provided through the GAVI Alliance (owing to the considerable uncertainty surrounding future levels of development partner financing).

95. Meeting the estimated US$ 18 000 million to US$ 28 000 million in additional funding will require commitment from all stakeholders, with governments needing to continue making immunization a priority in resource allocation decisions; development partners needing to sustain and bolster access to funding for immunization in spite of competing priorities; and the entire community needing to continue efforts to reduce the cost of vaccine acquisition and immunization service delivery.

96. All stakeholders investing together will drive a significant health and economic impact. Work to sustain or extend coverage of existing vaccines and efforts to introduce new vaccines, if undertaken together, have the potential to avert millions of future deaths, as well as hundreds of millions of cases of disease, and generate billions of dollars in economic impact over the decade.

97. As an example of the potential impact of immunization, a sub-analysis of 10 vaccines, delivered during the decade,\textsuperscript{1} that represent an estimated US$ 42 000 million of the US$ 50 000 million to US$ 60 000 million cost for the decade, have the potential to avert in total between 24 and 26 million future deaths (Table 8) as compared with a hypothetical scenario under which these vaccines have zero coverage.\textsuperscript{2}

\begin{table}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Vaccine & Estimated Impact (M Billion) \\
\hline
Hepatitis B & 2.5 \\
Haemophilus influenzae type b & 3.0 \\
Human papillomavirus & 4.0 \\
Japanese encephalitis & 2.5 \\
Meningitis A & 1.5 \\
Pneumococcus & 3.0 \\
Rotavirus & 2.0 \\
Rubella & 1.5 \\
Yellow fever & 2.0 \\
Measles & 1.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Vaccine & Estimated Impact (M Billion) \\
\hline
Hepatitis B & 2.5 \\
Haemophilus influenzae type b & 3.0 \\
Human papillomavirus & 4.0 \\
Japanese encephalitis & 2.5 \\
Meningitis A & 1.5 \\
Pneumococcus & 3.0 \\
Rotavirus & 2.0 \\
Rubella & 1.5 \\
Yellow fever & 2.0 \\
Measles & 1.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{1} Vaccines included in health benefits analysis cover the following diseases in countries representing 99.5% of the birth cohort of the 94 countries included in the costing analysis: hepatitis B, \textit{Haemophilus influenzae} type b, human papillomavirus, Japanese encephalitis, meningitis A, pneumococcus, rotavirus, rubella, yellow fever and measles.

\textsuperscript{2} Data were insufficient to estimate morbidity averted through immunization in these countries.
Table 8: Total future deaths averted, 2011–2020, assuming no vaccination as the counterfactual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>No. of future deaths averted&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Expanded Programme on Immunization vaccine&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Measles 1st dose</td>
<td>10.6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measles 2nd dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measles supplementary immunization activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis B&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Haemophilus influenzae</em> type b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumococcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Human papillomavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow fever&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meningococcal A meningitis&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Japanese encephalitis&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rubella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total (2011–2020)</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.6–25.8M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The estimated figure for future deaths averted was developed by a working group that included staff from WHO, the GAVI Alliance, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and PATH. The estimate uses a mix of static and dynamic cohort models and various data sources across the 10 vaccines, including the Lives Saved Tool. Vaccine coverage projections are from the GAVI Strategic Demand Forecast 4.0 (4 October 2011) and from the GAVI Adjusted Demand Forecast.

<sup>b</sup> Ranges shown for estimates where alternative assumptions were considered for the scope of countries and the demand forecast.

<sup>c</sup> Data were insufficient to allow estimation of deaths averted from BCG, diphtheria, tetanus or pertussis vaccines.

<sup>d</sup> Scaled up in the decade 2001 to 2010.

<sup>e</sup> Disease burden limited to only a few regions.

<sup>f</sup> Same as above.

<sup>g</sup> Same as above.

98. The figures for future deaths averted represent the full estimated benefits that can be achieved during the decade for these 10 vaccines, through sustaining or enhancing current immunization levels and introducing additional vaccines into the national immunization programmes of the selected countries, using no vaccination as the counterfactual. They are not limited to only the incremental benefits of the additional actions undertaken during the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020).

99. The current projections of costs, available funding and health impact will evolve as additional analysis is completed and new and better data become available. Additional analysis will allow for the expansion of the scope described by this document, including increasing the number of diseases covered by the cost and health benefits analysis, quantifying impact on morbidity, quantifying economic benefits and further increasing the level of detail of costing and funding projections. Additional analysis is needed in order to better understand vaccine research and development costs and benefits, which are not included in the current projections. New and better data will, among other things, enhance the analysis with revised disease burden statistics, better vaccine price forecasts, improved population information and more consistent data across all countries. In addition, a process should be developed and maintained to allow for updates to cost, funding, and health and economic
impact estimates at the country and global levels, ideally on an annual basis. This will facilitate enhanced planning, coordination and engagement among the many stakeholders that will be required to achieve the strategic objectives and goals of the Decade of Vaccines (2011–2020).

CONTINUING MOMENTUM FOR THE DECADE OF VACCINES (2011–2020)

100. Ensuring success throughout the Decade of Vaccines requires additional focus and action beyond the development of the draft global vaccine action plan. Four critical sets of activities will be required in order to translate the action plan into actions and results: development of tools for translation of the plan; development of a complete accountability framework; securing commitments from the stakeholder community; and communicating Decade of Vaccines opportunities and challenges.

101. Tools are needed that provide the full thinking behind the draft global vaccine action plan, together with details, in order to enable implementation. The production, publication and communication of these tools will help stakeholders better understand how to translate the actions recommended in the action plan into the local context.

102. The draft global vaccine action plan lays the groundwork for an accountability framework, which will be finalized with more detailed roles and responsibilities for stakeholders, a complete set of indicators, the methodology and data sources for each indicator detailed and baselines established where required. Investments are needed to improve data quality and develop more robust in-country monitoring and evaluation systems. Regular audits should be conducted to verify data quality. Progress should be reviewed annually, beginning in 2013, by country, the WHO regional committees and the Health Assembly.

103. Commitments aligned to the draft global vaccine action plan from countries, civil society organizations, multilateral agencies, development partners and vaccine manufacturers can transform the action plan from a document to a movement. Efforts to build these commitments and a strategy for coordinating them will be required at the global, regional and country levels. Appropriate channels must be identified and targeted communications developed to ensure that Decade of Vaccines messages reach and resonate with all stakeholders.

104. The period of time immediately following the Sixty-fifth World Health Assembly will be critical for ensuring that the agenda-setting translates into effective action. Key opportunities to sustain and build on the current momentum during the remainder of 2012 include the WHO regional committee meetings, the meeting of the Board of the GAVI Alliance, the UNICEF Executive Board meeting, the GAVI Alliance Partners’ Forum and the Child Survival: A Call to Action summit.

105. The Decade of Vaccines collaboration is a time-limited effort that ends with the completion of the draft global vaccine action plan and related activities identified above. There will be no new structure to support the implementation phase of the Decade of Vaccines/global vaccine action plan. Lead stakeholders need to assume ownership to support implementation and progress monitoring.

106. WHO will play a leadership role for the action plan as the normative lead agency in global health, including the defining of norms and standards for production and quality control of vaccines, as well for strengthening immunization delivery, programme monitoring and surveillance systems. In collaboration with other stakeholders, the WHO Secretariat will also advocate for and provide technical support to Member States in promoting greater country ownership, creating synergies between immunization and other primary health-care programmes and implementing research, notably to increase programme efficiencies and impact.
ACTION BY THE HEALTH ASSEMBLY

107. The Health Assembly is invited to adopt the resolution on World Immunization Week recommended by the Executive Board in resolution EB130.R12.

108. It is further invited to consider the following draft resolution:

The Sixty-fifth World Health Assembly,

Having considered the report on the draft global vaccine action plan;¹

Recognizing the importance of immunization as one of the most cost-effective interventions in public health which should be recognized as a core component of the human right to health;

Acknowledging the remarkable progress made in immunization in several countries to ensure that every eligible individual is immunized with all appropriate vaccines, irrespective of geographic location, age, gender, disability, educational level, socioeconomic level, ethnic group or work condition;

Applauding the contribution of successful immunization programmes in achieving global health goals, in particular in reducing childhood mortality and morbidity, and their potential for reducing mortality and morbidity across the life-course;

Noting that the introduction of new vaccines targeted against several important causes of major killer diseases such as pneumonia, diarrhoea and cervical cancer can be used as a catalyst to scale up complementary interventions and create synergies between primary health care programmes; and that beyond the mortality gains, these new vaccines will prevent morbidity with resulting economic returns even in countries that have already succeeded in reducing mortality;

Concerned that, despite the progress already made, disease eradication and elimination goals such as the eradication of polio, the elimination of measles, rubella, and maternal and neonatal tetanus cannot be met without achieving and sustaining high and equitable coverage;

Concerned that low- and middle-income countries where the adoption of available vaccines has been slower may not have the opportunity to access newer and improved vaccines expected to become available during this decade;

Alarmed that globally routine immunization services are not reaching one child in five, and that substantial gaps persist in routine immunization coverage within countries;

Recalling resolutions WHA58.15 and WHA61.15 on the global immunization strategy,

1. ENDORSES the Global Vaccine Action Plan;

¹ Document A65/22.
2. **URGES Members States:**

   (1) to apply the vision and the strategies of the Global Vaccine Action Plan to develop the vaccines and immunization components of their national health strategy and plans, according to the epidemiological situation in their respective countries;

   (2) to commit themselves to allocating adequate human and financial resources to achieve the immunization goals and other relevant key milestones;

   (3) to report every year to the regional committees during a dedicated Decade of Vaccines session, on lessons learnt, progress made, remaining challenges and updated actions to reach the national immunization targets;

3. **REQUESTS the Director-General:**

   (1) to foster alignment and coordination of global immunization efforts by all stakeholders in support of the implementation of the Global Vaccine Action Plan;

   (2) to identify human and financial resources for the provision of technical support in order to implement the national plans of the Global Vaccine Action Plan and monitor their impact;

   (3) to monitor progress and report annually, through the Executive Board, to the Health Assembly, until the Seventy-first World Health Assembly, on progress towards achievement of global immunization targets, as a substantive agenda item, utilizing the proposed accountability framework to guide discussions and future actions.
ANNEX 1
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED INDICATORS

Goal-level indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>By 2015</th>
<th>By 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achieve a world free of poliomyelitis</td>
<td>• Interrupt wild poliovirus transmission globally</td>
<td>• Certification of poliomyelitis eradication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet global and regional elimination targets</td>
<td>• Neonatal tetanus eliminated in all WHO regions</td>
<td>• Measles and rubella eliminated in at least five WHO regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Measles eliminated in at least four WHO regions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rubella/congenital rubella syndrome eliminated in at least two WHO regions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet vaccination coverage targets in every region, country and community</td>
<td>• At least 80 low- and middle-income countries have introduced one or more new or underutilized vaccines</td>
<td>• Reach 90% national coverage and 80% in every district or equivalent administrative unit for all vaccines in national programmes, unless otherwise recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reach 90% national coverage and 80% in every district or equivalent administrative unit for diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and introduce new and improved vaccines and technologies</td>
<td>• Licensure and launch of vaccine or vaccines against one or more major diseases for which a vaccine does not currently exist (such as dengue, hepatitis C, cytomegalovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, leishmaniasis, hookworm and group A streptococcus)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Licensure and launch of at least one platform delivery technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceed the Millennium Development Goal 4 target for reducing child mortality</td>
<td>• Reduce under five mortality rate by two thirds (compared to 1990)</td>
<td>• Exceed the Millennium Development Goal 4 target for reducing child mortality.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic objective level indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic objective</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| All countries commit to immunization as a priority                                 | • Presence of a legal framework or legislation that guarantees immunization financing
|                                                                                    | • Presence of an independent technical advisory group that meets defined criteria                                                          |
| Individuals and communities understand the value of vaccines and demand immunization both as a right and a responsibility | • Level of public trust in immunization, measured by surveys on knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and practices<sup>1</sup>                           |
| The benefits of immunization are equitably extended to all people                  | • Percentage of districts with less than 80% coverage with 3 doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine
|                                                                                    | • Reduction in coverage gaps between lowest and highest wealth quintile (or another appropriate equity indicator)                             |
| Strong immunization systems are an integral part of a well-functioning health system | • Dropout rate between first dose of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-containing vaccine and first dose of measles-containing vaccine
|                                                                                    | • Immunization coverage data assessed as high quality by WHO and UNICEF                                                                       |
| Immunization programmes have sustainable access to predictable funding, quality supply and innovative technologies | • Percentage of routine immunization costs financed through government budgets
|                                                                                    | • Installed capacity for production of universally recommended vaccines within five years of licensure/potential demand                 |
| Country, regional and global research and development innovations maximize the benefits of immunization | • Proof of concept for a vaccine that shows greater than or equal to 75% efficacy for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, or malaria
|                                                                                    | • Phase III clinical trials of a first generation universal influenza vaccine initiated                                                        |
|                                                                                    | • Progress towards institutional and technical capacity to make vaccines and/or carry out related clinical trials, operational and organizational research |

<sup>1</sup> The working group on vaccine hesitancy of WHO’s Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization will develop a definition of vaccine hesitancy and recommend specific questions from surveys (either existing or new) to fully formulate this indicator.
ANNEX 2

STAKEHOLDER RESPONSIBILITIES

There is an opportunity to achieve real progress in the next decade. Realization of this potential is contingent upon all stakeholders having clearly defined and coordinated responsibilities. Primary responsibility is held by individuals and communities, governments and health professionals, as recipients and providers of immunization respectively. Other stakeholders also have an important role in achieving the objectives.

**Individuals and communities**, as recipients of immunization, should do the following:

- Understand the risk and benefits of vaccines and immunization, viewing this as part of being a responsible citizen.
- Demand safe and effective immunization programmes as a right from their leaders and government, and hold leaders and government accountable for providing them.
- Participate in public-health discussions and be involved in key decisions about immunization processes.
- Participate and contribute to the immunization delivery process and convey the needs and perspectives of their communities to the policy-makers.

**Governments**, as the main providers of immunization, should do the following:

- Increase support for national immunization programmes and ensure financial sustainability by 2020.
- Depending upon countries’ income and as economies grow, fund an increasing proportion of domestic immunization programmes, progressing to the full funding of domestic programmes, and then funding global immunization efforts.
- Develop and introduce laws, regulations, and policies that support immunization programmes and a secure, high-quality supply base, if necessary.
- Develop region- and country-specific plans, together with other stakeholders in region/country.
- Prioritize and assume full ownership of national immunization programmes in order to create equity-driven programmes that reach every community.
- Work with stakeholders within and outside governments.
- Respond with timely information when public concerns are raised about safety and efficacy to sustain public trust.
- Ensure immunization programmes are adequately staffed with personnel who are well trained and given appropriate incentives to manage the programme and deliver services.
• Increase awareness of the importance of immunization to improve a population’s health and its contributions to strengthening health systems and primary health care.

• Effectively convey messages on vaccines to create demand.

• Engage in dialogue with communities and media and use effective communications techniques to convey messages about vaccines and to address safety concerns.

• Encourage and support research on vaccines and vaccination issues; and encourage education at all levels on vaccines.

• Collaborate regionally and internationally in advocacy programmes, evidence sharing, and coordinated preparedness.

• Participate in open dialogues with manufacturers to ensure affordability of current and new vaccines.

Health professionals should do the following:

• Provide high-quality immunization services and information on them.

• Introduce vaccine educational courses on immunization at universities and institutions training health-care professionals as well as continuing education for all health-care providers (medical, nursing, pharmacy and public health practitioners).

• Identify areas where immunization services could be improved and innovations made.

• Serve as proactive, credible voices for the value of vaccines and recruit other advocacy voices.

• Use existing and emerging technologies to improve delivery and better capture information.

• Engage in dialogue with communities and the media and use effective communications techniques to convey messages about vaccines and to address safety concerns.

Academia should do the following:

• Promote innovation to accelerate the development of new and improved vaccines, contribute to the optimization of vaccine formulation and immunization programme logistics, and lay the groundwork for the impact of immunization in future decades.

• Pursue a multidisciplinary research agenda that focuses on transformational impact and is based on the needs of end users.

• Develop vaccines and technologies that will optimize and maximize vaccine delivery.

• Embrace new ways of working that speed up scientific progress.

• Improve dialogue with other researchers, regulators and manufacturers in order to align actions and increase effectiveness in responding to local and global immunization challenges.
• Provide the core data, methods and arguments that help drive the continued prioritization of immunization both globally and locally.

• Engage more with systematic reviews to identify areas where solid scientific evidence exists (which should be the basis of health policies) and those areas where such evidence is lacking (which would be the basis for future primary research).

• Provide evidence and outline best immunization practices.

• Support the development of manufacturing capabilities.

• Promote budget allocation for vaccine and immunization research.

Manufacturers should do the following:

• Continue to develop, produce and supply innovative and high-quality vaccines that meet countries’ needs.

• Support research and an education agenda for immunization.

• Participate in open dialogues with countries and the public sector to ensure sustainable access to current and new vaccines.

• Continue to innovate manufacturing processes and pricing structures.

• Support the media outreach for the Expanded Programme on Immunization to increase awareness.

• Support rapid scale-up and adoption as new or improved vaccines emerge.

• Develop partnerships that support the growth of manufacturing capabilities and increase vaccine supply and innovation.

• Work in coordination with other partners on vaccine and immunization advocacy.

Global agencies, such as WHO, UNICEF, the World Bank, regional development banks and the GAVI Alliance, should do the following:

• Advocate for and provide technical support to promote country ownership.

• Strengthen national capabilities and regional infrastructure.

• Continue to define norms and guidelines to improve vaccine and immunization services, striving to achieve greater equity and sensitivity to gender and subpopulation (including, among others, minorities and age groups).

• Promote synergies between immunization and other health services as well with other sectors such as, education, economic development and financing.

• Fund the provision of vaccines and immunization-related activities.
• Work with all stakeholders to improve technical support to strengthen immunization and other components of health systems.

• Encourage, share and support evidence-based decision-making across the spectrum of development, health and immunization stakeholders.

• Engage partners to generate popular demand for immunization and support programme research and improvements.

• Promote the idea of sustainable national funding and engage rapidly emerging economies as funding partners.

• Develop mechanisms for mutual accountability that hold all governments, programmes and development partners responsible for committed levels of support.

• Promote a dialogue between manufacturers and countries to align supply and demand.

• Pursue innovative financing and procurement mechanisms that reinforce country ownership, and promote equity and affordability for low- and middle-income countries.

**Development partners**, such as bilateral agencies, foundations and philanthropists, should do the following:

• Fulfil institutional mandates and missions in the health field.

• Support countries and regional entities to achieve national and regional goals, and contribute to the advancement of their priorities.

• Promote country ownership and country-led health, vaccine and immunization plans that include budgets for improving access to services and reducing the equity gap in coverage.

• Promote comprehensive, integrated packages of essential interventions and services that include vaccines and immunization and strengthen health systems.

• Provide predictable long-term funding aligned with national plans and encourage new and existing partners to fund vaccines and immunization.

• Build civil society capacity and support civil society organization activities in countries.

• Participate in international advocacy through access to open evidence that can be shared.

• Maintain transparent and coordinated funding, accompanied by performance-based evaluation.

**Civil society**, including nongovernmental organizations and professional societies, should do the following:

• Get involved in the promotion and implementation of immunization programmes at both country and global level.
• Participate in the development and testing of innovative approaches to deliver immunization services that reach the most vulnerable people.

• Follow national guidelines and regulations in the design and delivery of immunization programmes that fulfil the duty of accountability to national authorities.

• Educate, empower and engage vulnerable groups and communities on their right to health, including vaccines and immunization.

• Build grass-roots initiatives within communities to track progress and hold governments, development partners and other stakeholders accountable for providing high-quality immunization services.

• Contribute to improved evaluation and monitoring systems within countries.

• Engage in country, regional and global advocacy beyond the immunization community to ensure vaccines and immunization are understood as a right for all.

• Collaborate within and across countries to share strategies and build momentum for improved health, vaccines and immunization.

**Media** should do the following:

• Understand the benefits of, and concerns about, immunization in order to accurately report on and effectively promote immunization programmes.

• Engage in country, regional and global advocacy beyond the immunization community to ensure vaccines and immunization are understood as a right for all.

• Use effective communications techniques to convey messages about vaccines and to address safety concerns.

**The private sector** should do the following:

• Support the diversification of funding sources for immunization programmes (among others, private sector, insurance providers and patients).

• Engage in country, regional and global advocacy beyond the immunization community and serve as champions for immunization to ensure vaccines and immunization are understood as a right for all.