Counterfeit medical products

International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce

Report by the Secretariat

1. In January 2009 the Executive Board at its 124th session requested the Director-General to prepare an information document about the International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce (IMPACT), including information about the role, function and public health interests of the members of the Taskforce.\(^1\) The Sixty-second World Health Assembly in May 2009 decided to postpone consideration of the subject to the current Health Assembly,\(^2\) and this document provides an updated response to the Board’s request.

2. The Secretariat’s activities to support Member States in strengthening their medicines regulatory authorities and avoiding the negative impact of substandard medicines on their populations are described in a separate report.\(^3\) That document also relates WHO’s activities in response to the previous Health Assembly resolutions that specifically mention combating counterfeit medical products.\(^4\)

3. The complex issues raised by the counterfeiting of medicines have necessitated the involvement of various parties and international organizations outside the health sector, including the police, customs and judiciary. It is against this background that the Taskforce was launched by WHO in 2006. The intent was that the partner and member organizations of the Taskforce could, despite their different individual mandates, identify and work towards a common goal under WHO’s leadership, namely to defend public health principles and counter those whose actions put patients in danger.

4. The Declaration of Rome adopted by the 160 participants attending the WHO international conference on Combating Counterfeit Drugs (Rome, 16–18 February 2006) stated that WHO should take the lead in establishing the Taskforce. The participants represented 57 national medicines regulatory authorities, seven international organizations, and 12 international associations of patients, health professionals, pharmaceutical manufacturers and wholesalers. The Declaration also contained a

---

\(^1\) Document EB124/2009/REC/2, summary record of the ninth meeting.


\(^3\) Document A63/23.

\(^4\) Resolutions WHA41.16 (Rational use of drugs), WHA47.13 (Rational use of drugs; and the WHO Action Programme on Essential Drugs), WHA52.19 (Revised drug strategy), and WHA57.14 (Scaling up treatment and care within a coordinated and comprehensive response to HIV/AIDS).
set of principles and the conceptual framework for the Taskforce’s work in order to ensure that public health interests were met.¹

5. The 12th International Conference of Drug Regulatory Authorities (Seoul, 3–6 April 2006) welcomed the establishment of the Taskforce and WHO’s provision of secretariat functions.

6. The participants in a meeting on making IMPACT operational (Rome, 25–26 July 2006), representing seven national medicines regulatory authorities, six international organizations, and 14 international associations of patients, health professionals, pharmaceutical manufacturers and wholesalers, adopted the Taskforce’s terms of reference.² Most importantly, these terms of reference defined participants who can be collaborating parties in the Taskforce as follows:

   (a) intergovernmental organizations and institutions, such as WHO, the European Commission, the Commonwealth Secretariat and the ASEAN Secretariat;

   (b) governmental institutions and agencies;

   (c) WHO collaborating centres competent in combating counterfeit medical products;

   (d) international nongovernmental organizations, with an active involvement in combating counterfeit medical products;

   (e) international associations or umbrella organizations representing health professionals such as physicians, pharmacists, nurses and dentists;

   (f) international associations or umbrella organizations representing patients and consumers;

   (g) international associations or umbrella organizations representing pharmaceutical manufacturers, the medical product supply chain, and other parties concerned with medical products (including technology and service providers).

7. On 21 August 2006 the Director-General wrote to all Regional Directors about establishing a consultative mechanism and seeking advice on attendance by Member States at the Taskforce’s first general meeting in Bonn (Germany) in November 2006.

8. Later that year, the Director-General wrote to all Member States and Associate Members to announce the establishment of the Taskforce. The Director-General also invited them to express interest in joining as participants and in participating in one or more working groups (by nominating experts) and to nominate individuals who could be considered by the general meeting for the roles of Chair and Vice-Chairs of the Taskforce and Chairs of each working group. A senior WHO staff member was elected Chair of two general meetings.³ Currently, five working groups have been established.

¹ See WHO web site (www.who.int) under Programmes and projects, International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce.

² For details see WHO web site, under Health topics, IMPACT and WHA background document.

³ For details of officers of the Taskforce, see web site: http://www.who.int/impact/resources/IMPACTthirdgeneralmeeting_%20report.pdf.
9. All WHO's Member States are eligible to become collaborating parties in the Taskforce on a voluntary basis. Currently, the parties include some 30–40 Member States, representatives of the International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL), World Customs Organization, WIPO, WTO, OECD, the European Commission, Council of Europe, the Commonwealth Secretariat, the ASEAN Secretariat, and numerous nongovernmental organizations. In line with WHO's mandate, WHO's main role in the Taskforce is to ensure focus on patients' safety and public health, and several departments and regional offices contribute.

10. There is clear consensus among the Taskforce's partners that “counterfeit” medicines should not be confused with issues relating to medicines that are not authorized for marketing in a given country, nor with patents violations or disputes. The word “counterfeit” is also commonly used in relation to goods that infringe trademarks. Falsified or counterfeit medical products may infringe intellectual property rights, but whether a good is considered counterfeit from a public health perspective is independent of whether the product infringes intellectual property rights. According to its mandate, WHO is working on the issue of counterfeit medical products from a public health perspective. The other aspects, including the enforcement of intellectual property rights, come under the mandates of other bodies or international organizations.

11. The Taskforce is seen as a unique forum for discussion of measures to combat the counterfeiting of medical products. It is an alliance of partners who might not necessarily otherwise come together, with the goal of protecting patients from buying and taking dangerous and potentially fatal medicines. At an open forum held in Geneva on 26 March 2010, Member States and interested nongovernmental organizations discussed the Taskforce and its activities. Members of the Taskforce's Planning Group were present.

Funding sources

12. In 2006–2009 the collaborative work of the Taskforce and its secretariat was funded (nearly US$ 2.6 million) mainly by specified contributions from WHO’s Member States through the European Commission and the Governments of Australia, Germany, Italy and the Netherlands (altogether 68%) and by WHO (28%). WHO’s financing of, and fundraising for, the Taskforce are governed by WHO’s established policies and principles and subject to WHO’s administrative procedures and practices. The costs for participants from developing countries and invited experts to attend Taskforce meetings have been funded by the Taskforce. It should be noted that not all the funds have been transferred to WHO. For some sources (e.g. the International Criminal Police Organization and the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers & Associations), funding may also include direct contributions for the Taskforce’s meetings or activities. Numerous Member States and other stakeholders have also contributed through expertise, for instance by sending their representatives to meetings of the Taskforce, by collaborative efforts in various working groups, and through in-kind funding when organizing general meetings and/or working groups.

Medical devices

13. Participants at the third General Meeting in 2008 reiterated the need to involve experts from the medical devices area in all the Taskforce’s existing working groups. Although the real extent of counterfeit medical devices is little known, some of the documented cases are serious enough to justify action. The victims are patients or users of medical devices who either suffer direct harm or lose the opportunity to be diagnosed and treated properly in the case of devices used in diagnostic procedures.
Meetings

14. In line with the procedure for other alliances and partnerships, participation in meetings of the Taskforce has not required declarations of interests because participants are clearly identified by their affiliation and thus represent the views of their respective organizations. Participation by Member States in the three general meetings since 2006 has been good (from 28 to 36 countries), with more than half the participants being representatives of medicines regulatory authorities and other governmental institutions and agencies. Participants also included representatives of international organizations and international associations of patients, health professionals, pharmaceutical manufacturers and wholesalers. The Taskforce has five working groups, and their major outcomes are summarized in the Annex to this report.1

15. In addition to the three annual meetings and the meetings of individual working groups, several joint meetings of working groups have been held, including one on regulatory and technology issues (Singapore, February 2008) and two on regulatory and enforcement matters (Abuja, 2008, and Johannesburg, South Africa, 2009).

16. A survey was conducted in preparation of the two regional meetings in Africa using a questionnaire designed by the Taskforce in order to collect data for the review of national situations concerning counterfeit medicines. A summary of these data is being prepared for publication.

External review

17. Following the discussions at the Executive Board at its 124th session in January 2009, the WHO Secretariat commissioned an external review of the activities, organization and potential conflicts of interest within the Taskforce. The findings revealed, among other things, that WHO’s role was, in accordance with the current terms of reference, identified as being one of the participants in the Taskforce, in addition to serving as the executive secretariat. There is currently no provision made for WHO to check outcomes from the Taskforce with its standing operating procedures and established policies. Further advice is being sought about good governance in accordance with the current terms of reference of the Taskforce. The Secretariat will consider the conclusions and practical recommendations arising from both these exercises, and will report in due course to the Executive Board.

1 For details see web site: http://www.who.int/impact/activities/meet_reports/en/index.html.
ANNEX

Activities and outcomes of the International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce’s working groups

WORKING GROUP ON LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY INFRASTRUCTURE

Principles and elements for national legislation against counterfeit medical products

1. The principles, which focus on public and personal health implications in relation to counterfeit medical products to be appropriately addressed in legislation, have been set out in a draft document, which was endorsed at the International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce’s second General Meeting (Lisbon, 10–14 December 2007). The document contains tools that may be used in drafting or improving national or regional legislation. The text has subsequently been revised to meet concerns raised at the Sixty-first World Health Assembly in May 2008 and to reflect the specific situation of medical devices.1 This draft was posted on the WHO web site in order to elicit further comments and input from a broad constituency and in November 2009 the Director-General wrote to Member States inviting comments.

Comparative study on existing legislation used to combat counterfeiting of medical products

2. The Max Planck Institute for International and Foreign Criminal Law (Germany) is leading this comparison of current forms of legal instruments in countries that can be used to sanction crimes relating to counterfeit medical products. Final results of the analysis are expected during 2010.

Review of responsibilities of other stakeholders in the distribution chain, for example, the Internet (and other) service providers

3. This analytical review of existing documents and activities (e.g. Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime, Council of Europe guidelines on distribution of medicines through the Internet, and self-regulating standards by industry) is a work in progress. The initiative will be led by the Council of Europe with assistance from the working group, where necessary.

WORKING GROUP ON REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION

A data collection tool to identify regulatory and legislative gaps in national situations

4. An assessment tool was designed in order to assure a unified approach to assessing the problem of counterfeit medicines in a particular country or subregional or regional setting. The tool was field tested in 2009 in eight countries (Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Mali, Morocco, Niger, Senegal, Uganda and United Republic of Tanzania). A draft version is expected to be ready for circulation for comment by mid-2009 and for broader use in 2010.

1 Document WHA61/2008/REC/3, summary record of the tenth meeting of Committee A.
Sampling strategy guidelines

5. Guidance and recommendations are being prepared on key issues for developing an efficient strategy for sampling suspect products. The guidelines will also contain elements of a procedure for collection and testing of samples for the purpose of detecting counterfeit medical products. A new draft should be ready for circulation for comments by mid-2009.

Guidelines for rapid response plan for national medicines regulatory authorities in the event of a report of a suspect counterfeit product

6. The guidelines being drafted are intended to provide the basis for actions that may be followed by national medicines regulatory authorities in the event of suspect counterfeit medicines in national distribution channels. The first draft should be ready for circulation for comments by mid-2009. These guidelines are expected to be completed in 2010.

Good security practices for printed packaging material for pharmaceutical products

7. Guidelines are also being prepared to ensure the security of printed packaging materials used for pharmaceutical products in order to prevent counterfeiters from accessing these materials. It is expected that guidelines will be included as an annex to an updated version of WHO’s 1999 guidelines on measures to combat counterfeit medicines, which is in preparation.

Guidance document for combating online trade of counterfeit medical products

8. Work has started on preparing a document with points to consider on measures that national regulatory authorities, supply-chain stakeholders and consumers can take to combat trade in counterfeit medical products.

Counterfeit-oriented revision of the WHO good distribution guidelines

9. WHO’s Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations recommended in October 2009 the revision of the WHO guidelines on good distribution practices for pharmaceutical products with input from the Taskforce’s working group on regulatory implementation in order to strengthen measures to combat infiltration of counterfeit medical products into the distribution chain.  

10. Further areas to be covered by this working group will be: reviewing national strategies regarding exporting pharmaceuticals and developing guiding principles for national regulatory authorities; drawing up guidance for adapting current pharmacovigilance systems for counterfeit reporting; and updating WHO’s 1999 guidelines on measures to combat counterfeit medicines. 

---

1 See document EB127/10.
WORKING GROUP ON ENFORCEMENT

Guide to investigate counterfeit medical products and pharmaceutical crime

11. The guide has been prepared by members of the Permanent Forum on International Pharmaceutical Crime, a network of enforcement officers from 15 countries, established in 1998, aimed at protecting public health and safety through the exchange of information and ideas to foster mutual cooperation in combating pharmaceutical crime. The guide aims to provide countries that wish to have the capacity to combat pharmaceutical crime information on investigative processes and techniques.

A model for a network of single points of contact

12. The initiative aims to design a standard model for operational collaboration at the international level and streamlining collaboration among the different national institutions and other parties involved in investigating and taking proper timely action when confronted with a case of counterfeit medical product. It builds on the work done by the Council of Europe’s Ad hoc Group on Counterfeit Medicines.

Training courses

13. Through the International Criminal Police Organization, the working group on enforcement organized specific training sessions for a total of 640 officers from police, customs and medicines regulatory agencies in Africa, South-East Asia and the Middle East.

Operations

14. The working group on enforcement organized and coordinated six international operations combining the efforts of police, customs and regulatory authorities in eastern Africa and South-East Asia as well as on illicit Internet-related activities. In 2009, these operations, conducted in collaboration with WHO’s Member States, led to the closure of illicit retail outlets, the seizure of large quantities of counterfeit and illicit medical products, the arrest of individuals, and the identification of 1200 web sites engaged in illegal activities.

WORKING GROUP ON COMMUNICATION

Communications strategy

15. The communications strategy has two main objectives: to increase awareness of risk and to promote policy remedies proposed by the Taskforce. The strategy was endorsed at the Taskforce’s third General Meeting (Hammamet, Tunisia, 3–5 December 2008), with provision for further expansion and periodic revision. Improvements in internal communication between the working groups are planned, with a view to ensuring consistent and regular information sharing as the basis for dissemination of timely and accurate information about counterfeit medicines and the means by which

---

1 Australia, Belgium, Canada, Germany, Ireland, Israel, Italy, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and United States of America.
to combat them to international audiences. It was strongly recommended that the Taskforce’s secretariat should have a full-time communications officer.

**Communications campaigns focusing on governments, patients, health professionals, enforcement agencies and officers, the media, international nongovernmental organizations and civil society groups**

16. The main messages for these campaigns are “Only get your medicines from known and reliable sources” and “Counterfeit medicines are a threat to personal and public health worldwide”, and supporting tool kits and fact sheets have been prepared. Two short films were produced in 2008, one in Mali and one in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. A short video has been made in collaboration with the International Criminal Police Organization in order to explain the role of the Taskforce and to highlight the dangers of the counterfeit medical products and their illicit supply. In 2010, a communications campaign to strengthen advocacy for appropriate investments in the education and capability of health professionals to detect, report and prevent counterfeit medical products will be spearheaded by the World Health Professions Alliance. Further work on a network of national communications contact persons is under way.

**WHO’s revised Rapid Alert System**

17. WHO’s Rapid Alert System allows Member States to report cases of counterfeit medical products and to receive alerts when new cases are reported. Based on the experience of the WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific in the use of this system, it is being expanded for global use.

**The International Medical Products Anti-Counterfeiting Taskforce’s web site**

18. The web site\(^1\) provides useful resources and information about the Taskforce, including a section on frequently asked questions. This online resource will be periodically updated.

**WORKING GROUP ON TECHNOLOGY**

**Anti-counterfeit technologies for the protection of medical products**

19. A document has been prepared that assesses existing technologies for preventing, deterring or helping to detect counterfeit medical products. The working group on technology will regularly update the text in the light of new trends and lessons learnt from implementation of technologies in countries.

**Workshops bringing together regulators and technology developers**

20. Two workshops for regulators were conducted in Prague (2007) and Singapore (2008) with the objective of strengthening regulators’ capabilities for making decisions about anti-counterfeiting technologies.

\(^1\) http://www.who.int/impact/en/.