Maternal, infant and young child nutrition

Report by the Secretariat

1. This report describes progress in carrying out the comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and young child nutrition, endorsed by the Health Assembly in resolution WHA65.6 (2012); provides information on the status of national measures to give effect to the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes, adopted in resolution WHA34.22 (1981) and updated through subsequent related Health Assembly resolutions; summarizes progress made in developing, as requested in resolution WHA65.6, risk assessment, disclosure and management tools to safeguard against possible conflicts of interest in policy development and implementation of nutrition programmes; and provides guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children mentioned in resolution WHA63.23 (2010) on infant and young child nutrition, as requested by the Health Assembly in decision WHA67(9) (2014).

PROGRESS MADE IN CARRYING OUT THE COMPREHENSIVE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN ON MATERNAL, INFANT AND YOUNG CHILD NUTRITION

2. The following paragraphs describe progress towards the global nutrition targets set out in the comprehensive implementation plan and the steps being taken to put the plan’s constituent actions into effect.\(^1\) Data in this area are regularly collected by WHO and its partners. For example, country progress is monitored by the target tracking tool that was developed jointly by WHO, UNICEF and the European Commission.\(^2\) Overall, however, 49% of countries do not have enough nutrition data to determine whether or not they are on course for meeting the global targets.

Progress towards the global targets

3. **Global target 1 (Stunting).** In 1990, the total number of stunted children under 5 years of age worldwide was 255 million. In 2014, that figure was 159 million, of which 57% were living in Asia and 37% in Africa. The downward trend continues. Of the 114 countries for which data are available in 2015, 39 are on course to meet the global target, as compared with 24 in 2014.\(^3\)

4. **Global target 2 (Anaemia).** The most recent estimates suggest that the global prevalence of anaemia in 2011 among women of reproductive age was 29%. By applying this percentage to the

---

\(^1\) Document WHA65/2012/REC/1, Annex 2.


latest population estimates released by the United Nations, it is estimated that 533 million women of reproductive age were suffering from anaemia in 2011. The highest rates are found in central and west Africa and south Asia.

5. **Global target 3 (Low birth weight).** Global estimates on the prevalence of low birth rate are in preparation and expected to be released in 2016, pending the outcome of methodological work currently being undertaken by a group comprising representatives of UNICEF, the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Johns Hopkins University and WHO. For the time being, the global estimate for the period 2005–2010 – that 15% of neonates weighed less than 2500 gm – remains unchanged.

6. **Global target 4 (Overweight).** Globally, an estimated 41 million children under 5 years of age were overweight in 2014. Although this figure is slightly lower than that of 2013, the overall trend is increasing. There is a high prevalence of overweight among children under 5 years of age in southern Africa (14%), central Asia (11%) and northern Africa (11%).

7. **Global target 5 (Breastfeeding).** Globally, in the period 2007–2014, an estimated 36% of infants under 6 months of age were exclusively breastfed. Based on survey estimates for that period, 33 countries have breastfeeding rates of above 50% and 98 have rates that are below this threshold.

8. **Global target 6 (Wasting).** Globally, an estimated 50 million children under 5 years of age were wasted in 2014 – of which 16 million were severely wasted. Of these wasted children, 68% lived in Asia and 28% in Africa. The region of southern Asia is home to over half of the world’s wasted children.

**Steps being taken to put the plan’s constituent actions into effect**

9. **Action 1: To create a supportive environment for the implementation of comprehensive food and nutrition policies.** A major development has been the adoption by the United Nations General Assembly of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The Agenda includes a goal to end hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture. A specific target is, by 2030, to “end all forms of malnutrition, including achieving, by 2025, the internationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children under 5 years of age, and address the nutritional needs of adolescent girls, pregnant and lactating women and older persons”.

10. Several WHO regions have developed regional nutrition strategies that are aligned with the comprehensive implementation plan, for example: the PAHO Plan of action for the prevention of obesity in children and adolescents (2014–2019); the European food and nutrition action plan (2015–2020); and the Action plan to reduce the double burden of malnutrition in the Western Pacific.

---


2 Approved by the 53rd Directing Council of PAHO/66th Session of the Regional Committee for the Americas, in October 2014.

3 Adopted by the Regional Committee for Europe at its 64th Session, in September 2014.
Region (2015–2020).\(^1\) The African regional nutrition strategy (2015–2025)\(^2\) includes the six global targets set out in the WHO’s comprehensive implementation plan. A regional nutrition action plan for the South-East Asia Region is currently being developed, which will reflect the comprehensive implementation plan. The Eastern Mediterranean Region has endorsed the global targets and identified a package of nutrition interventions for immediate scale-up.

11. Since the Second International Conference on Nutrition, held in November 2014, WHO, FAO and UNICEF have provided technical support to seven countries in the central Africa subregion (Cameroon, Chad, Congo, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon and Sao Tome and Principe) with a view to developing road maps (2015–2017) for national follow-up action. Furthermore, a regional road map has been developed in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, which has been adapted for use at the country level in Morocco, Somalia and Sudan.

12. The creation of a supportive environment for nutrition policies has been a goal of the Scaling Up Nutrition movement, which now includes 56 countries. In 2015, 28 of the countries participating in the movement reported that they had established national common results frameworks and 21 that they had developed action plans. Many of the countries in the movement are experiencing significant reductions in malnutrition.\(^3\) Likewise, United Nations organizations have agreed on a United Nations global nutrition agenda to facilitate joint country support.\(^4\) Based on the data currently available in the WHO global database on the implementation of nutrition action, 76 countries have recent plans and strategies on maternal, infant and young child nutrition that comprehensively respond to nutrition challenges, span different sectors and include monitoring and evaluation. In 60 countries in the African, South-East Asia and Western Pacific regions, the targets most often covered in national policies are exclusive breastfeeding, stunting and anaemia, whereas overweight is less often addressed. About half of those countries have quantified targets and are aiming to accelerate progress beyond the current trends; in many cases, the level of ambition exceeds that of the global targets. To assist countries in setting national targets – and to chart their progress towards them – WHO’s Department of Nutrition for Health and Development and partners have developed a web-based tracking tool.\(^5\)

13. Over 50 countries have been reviewing their national food and nutrition action plans\(^6\) in 2014–2015 with WHO support, with reference to the comprehensive implementation plan and the outcomes of the Second International Conference on Nutrition (11 in the African Region; three in the Region of the Americas; seven in the South-East Asia Region; 22 in the European Region; nine in the Eastern Mediterranean Region; and six in the Western Pacific Region).

14. **Action 2: To include all required effective health interventions with an impact on nutrition in national nutrition plans.** WHO has developed, published and updated where necessary evidence-informed guidelines to support public health strategies in several areas related to nutrition

---

\(^1\) Developed in response to resolution WPR/RC63.R2 on scaling up nutrition in the Western Pacific Region, adopted by the Regional Committee for the Western Pacific at its 63rd Session, in September 2012.


\(^3\) Scaling Up Nutrition. SUN Movement Annual Progress Report; September 2015.


\(^6\) Including national nutrition and food security strategies and action plans, national strategies on infant and young child feeding, national strategies for nutrition in emergencies and road maps or action plans to prevent childhood obesity.
interventions and healthy diets.\(^1\) These include guidelines on: fortification of food-grade salt with iodine for the prevention and control of iodine deficiency disorders; optimal serum and red blood cell folate concentrations in women of reproductive age for prevention of neural tube defects; delayed umbilical cord clamping for improved maternal and infant health and nutrition outcomes; and sugars intake for adults and children. Together with UNICEF and WFP, WHO has published an interim guideline on nutritional care of children and adults with Ebola virus disease in treatment centres. WHO’s evidence-informed guidelines are available online and through the WHO e-library of evidence for nutrition actions portal. Currently, the online library contains details of 100 nutrition interventions and the website has been viewed by more than 1 million users since its launch in 2011.

15. WHO has developed policy briefs,\(^2\) linked to each of the global targets, to guide national and local policy-makers on what actions should be taken and at what scale, in order to reach the global targets by 2025 to improve maternal, infant and young child nutrition. The policy briefs consolidate the evidence around which interventions and areas of investment need to be scaled up, and guide decision-makers on what actions need to be taken in order to achieve them. The actions recommended by WHO to scale up effective priority interventions for achieving the six global targets should include both nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive investments at the policy, health system and community levels, using an intersectoral approach.

16. In 55 countries, there is evidence that stunting, wasting and anaemia are being tackled through WHO’s recommended approach. In a number of countries, effective nutrition programmes are starting to be factored into the achievement of universal health coverage, with the active support of WHO. These include programmes on: the early initiation of breastfeeding and reduction in anaemia linked to the implementation of early essential newborn care through delayed cord clamping and skin-to-skin contact;\(^3\) the national implementation and enforcement of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes;\(^4\) baby-friendly hospital initiatives;\(^5\) micronutrient supplementation;\(^6\) growth monitoring and promotion;\(^7\) and the management of acute malnutrition in stable and emergency situations.\(^8\)

---


\(^3\) Afghanistan, Cambodia, China, Colombia, Honduras, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Solomon Islands, Sudan, United Arab Emirates and Viet Nam.

\(^4\) Brazil, Cambodia, Chile, Honduras, Iraq, Jordan, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Namibia, Nepal, United Arab Emirates and Viet Nam.

\(^5\) Plurinational State of Bolivia, Brazil, Cambodia, China, Colombia, Guatemala, Honduras, Jamaica, Seychelles and United Arab Emirates.

\(^6\) Bangladesh, Bhutan, Plurinational State of Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, India, Iraq, Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, Indonesia, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Maldives, Myanmar, Nepal, Nicaragua, Peru, Sri Lanka, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Timor Leste and Yemen.

\(^7\) Bangladesh, Bahrain, Bhutan, Burkina Faso, Colombia, Ethiopia, Honduras, Indonesia, Jordan, Kuwait, Maldives, Morocco, Myanmar, Nepal, Niger, Oman, Qatar, Sierra Leone Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, United Republic of Tanzania, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Togo, Uganda and Vanuatu.

\(^8\) Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Plurinational State of Bolivia, Cambodia, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Guatemala, Iraq, Lesotho, Mauritania, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syrian Arab Republic, Swaziland, Timor-Leste, Uganda, Viet Nam and Yemen.
17. **Action 3: To stimulate development policies and programmes outside the health sector that recognize and include nutrition.** The Committee on World Food Security has established a nutrition workstream to follow up on the outcome of the Second International Conference on Nutrition and to implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, with the aim of developing healthier food systems.

18. Most Member States have taken action using a food systems approach to improve nutrition. WHO indicated the usefulness of food fortification as part of integrated actions in public health nutrition based on need or on the risk of deficiencies or insufficiencies. In September 2015, WHO provided technical support for the first Global Summit on Food Fortification in Arusha, United Republic of Tanzania. Currently, 159 countries have national plans on food fortification. WHO has provided technical support on fortification to countries in the African and Eastern Mediterranean regions, to India and to the Solomon Islands.

19. WHO has supported the development of food-based dietary guidelines in the South-East Asia, Eastern Mediterranean and Western Pacific regions. The Regional Office for Europe has developed a nutrient profile model for the purpose of restricting food marketing to children. Similar initiatives have been taken in the Region of the Americas and in the Eastern Mediterranean and Western Pacific regions to guide school feeding programmes, fiscal policies and regulations for the marketing of high-calorie low-nutrient food products and non-alcoholic beverages. Price policies to promote healthy diets have been discussed in 12 countries in the European Region and taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages have been discussed in the Philippines. In the Region of the Americas, an excise tax on sugar-sweetened beverages with the goal of preventing obesity has been enacted in Barbados, Dominican Republic and Mexico. In line with WHO’s global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013–2020, several countries (four in the Region of the Americas, seven in the European Region and 10 in the Eastern Mediterranean Region) have established policies for the reduction of trans-fats. The Eastern Mediterranean Region is also taking steps to promote the reduction of free sugars, as is Mongolia. Several countries in the Region of the Americas have developed or are developing consumer-friendly nutrition labels (Plurinational State of Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador and Peru). In the Western Pacific Region, WHO has supported countries in implementing front-of-pack labelling (Fiji) and in developing or revising labelling regulations (Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa and Tuvalu).

20. WHO supported revisions of the Social Health Insurance Law and is currently supporting work on the inclusion of nutrition services in benefit packages in Viet Nam. Data from Brazil, Colombia and Mexico show that nutrition actions included in conditional cash transfer programmes have a positive impact on nutrition outcomes.

21. **Action 4: To provide sufficient human and financial resources for the implementation of nutrition interventions.** Funding for nutrition is starting to increase, although it still does not meet global needs. Nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive investments among reporting donors increased from US$ 1.3 billion in 2010 to US$ 1.5 billion in 2012, a 15% increase.¹ In April 2015, the Power of Nutrition fund was launched, potentially leading to up to US$ 1 billion of new private and public funds. In June 2015, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation announced that US$ 776 million of new funding had been made available for nutrition. According to a recent assessment conducted with WHO technical support, US$ 42 billion – or US$ 8.50 per child – of additional financing is needed in order

¹ Scaling Up Nutrition, SUN Movement Annual Progress Report; September 2014.
for the 37 “highest burden” countries to reach the global target on stunting over the next 10 years. A more coherent use of the funding has been leveraged by the inclusion of the global targets in the strategy documents of major donors in the nutrition arena (Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and United States Agency for International Development).

22. In the context of the Accelerating Nutrition Improvements project, funded by the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada, WHO has strengthened health workers’ capacities in the area of nutrition in 11 countries (Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Mali, Mozambique, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, United Republic of Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe). More than 1800 health workers at the community, facility and district levels have received training on nutrition surveillance and a similar number have received training on the management of severe acute malnutrition, the promotion of adolescent, maternal, infant and young child nutrition, and planning and budgeting for nutrition. The training of health professionals in the area of nutrition is being supported by WHO in five countries in the South-East Asia Region (Bangladesh, Indonesia, Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, Maldives and Sri Lanka), six countries in the Western Pacific Region (China, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Philippines, Tonga and Viet Nam) and in 10 countries with emergencies in the Eastern Mediterranean Region, with priority being given to growth monitoring and promotion and to the implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes.

23. **Action 5: To monitor and evaluate the implementation of policies and programmes.** WHO and UNICEF have jointly established a Technical Expert Advisory Group on Nutrition Monitoring to support the implementation of the global nutrition monitoring framework as approved by the Sixty-seventh and Sixty-eighth World Health Assemblies. Since 2014, the Global nutrition report has brought together various stakeholders to describe progress in combating malnutrition and to identify gaps and propose ways of filling them. The 2015 report called for a nutrition data revolution and recommended that all countries, including high-income countries, should reach out to United Nations agencies to facilitate the conversion of their own data into international databases convened by the United Nations agencies.

24. Through the Accelerating Nutrition Improvements project, WHO has provided support to eight African countries for reviewing nutrition indicators and integrating them into health management and information systems (Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Mali, Mozambique, Senegal, United Republic of Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe). The Regional Office for Europe has established a WHO European childhood obesity surveillance initiative, which collects nationally representative, nationally measured and internationally comparable data on overweight and obesity among primary school children in 31 Member States. WHO has also supported nutrition surveillance activities in eight countries and territories in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (Afghanistan, Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic and the West Bank and Gaza Strip), six countries in the Western Pacific Region (China, Fiji, Kiribati, Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Solomon Islands and Tuvalu) and some countries in the Region of the Americas.

---


PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THE INTERNATIONAL CODE OF MARKETING OF BREAST-MILK SUBSTITUTES

25. In 2014, in letters sent to country focal points through the regional offices, the Secretariat invited Member States to provide updated information on the status of implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes, as part of their periodic reporting requirements under the Code. Member States were requested to provide data on legislative and other measures taken, on the establishment of functioning monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, and on capacity-building efforts in Code monitoring and implementation. As at September 2015, WHO had received information from 112 countries.

26. The implementation and enforcement by Member States of the standards and recommendations contained in the Code and in subsequent Health Assembly resolutions are critical to ensure that proper infant and young child feeding practices are in place, and that parents and other caregivers are protected from inappropriate and misleading information. Under Article 11.1 of the Code, Member States are requested to “take action to give effect to the principles and aim of this Code, as appropriate to their social and legislative framework, including the adoption of national legislation, regulation or other suitable measures”. In resolution WHA34.22 (1981), in which the Code is adopted, the Health Assembly stresses that adoption of and adherence to the Code is a minimum requirement for all countries and urges all Member States to implement it “in its entirety”. The 2011 status report on country implementation of the Code indicated that a total of 105 countries had some form of legislative measure in place. As at September 2015, according to information available from the WHO survey on the implementation of the Code, the WHO global database on the implementation of nutrition action and the UNICEF database on national implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes, this number stood at 128, with 47 of those countries having passed comprehensive legislation or other legal measures reflecting all or most of the provisions of the Code. Thirteen WHO Member States have developed or updated relevant legislation since the 2011 status report (Algeria, Brazil, Burundi, Comoros, Kenya, Kuwait, Mexico, Myanmar, Panama, South Africa, Sweden, United Republic of Tanzania and Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela). In 2015, China revised its advertisement and food safety laws, regulating the production of breast-milk substitutes and introducing a ban on advertisements for such products in the mass media and on public premises.

27. Successful implementation of the Code requires an independent and transparent monitoring and enforcement mechanism that is free from commercial influence, capable of identifying violations of national legislation and sufficiently empowered to apply sanctions. However, few countries to date have an operational monitoring and enforcement mechanism that meets all or most of these criteria. As at September 2015, information received from 50 countries indicated that a total of 27 countries have a mechanism in place. These mechanisms were reported as being transparent (23 countries); independent (22 countries); free from commercial influence (21 countries); budgeted or funded (12 countries); empowered to take administrative and legal action (21 countries); and sustainable (11 countries).

---


28. Availability of data and expertise on Code-related matters and coordination between responsible stakeholders are weak in many countries. In addition, political commitment to, and sustained funding for, national Code monitoring and enforcement remain insufficient. In response to these continuing challenges, WHO and UNICEF have established a global network of civil society organizations and experts from several countries to provide technical support to those countries in improving their efforts to monitor and enforce Code implementation (NetCode).

RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MANAGEMENT TOOLS ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN NUTRITION PROGRAMMES

29. A technical consultation was convened on 8 and 9 October 2015 to: develop definitions, criteria and indicators to help identify and prioritize conflicts of interest in the development and implementation of policies advocated by the comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and child nutrition at the country level; identify situations in which the development and implementation of policies advocated by the comprehensive implementation plan involve interactions between governments and non-State actors (with a focus on the private sector) which may lead to conflicts of interest; and identify a list of tools, methodologies and approaches that may help identify and manage conflicts of interest. Participants included experts in the area of risk assessment, disclosure and management of conflicts of interest, as well as experts in other areas, and representatives of Member States participated as observers.1

30. The consultation concluded that Member States have a duty to ensure that undue influence – either actual or perceived – is not exerted on individuals or institutions responsible for public decision-making for interests other than the public good, as such influence would affect integrity and public trust. It also concluded that conflicts of interest can be financial or non-financial and direct or indirect; that Member States also have a duty to take into account diverging interests between different actors in society, and between different government actors; and that conflicts of interest may arise at different stages in the policy process: when making a decision on the need to establish a policy or a programme; when the policy or programme is set up; when it is implemented; and when it is monitored. The second and third stages are those at which the possibility of engagement with the private sector is more common, and a set of tools is needed to identify and address conflicts of interest.

31. The consultation further concluded that, when Member States initiate a policy discussion, an initial risk assessment is required. This may involve mapping the different interests, understanding corporate tactics and also understanding the level of risk associated with different types of engagement of public and private actors. In order to prevent conflicts of interest, Member States could establish guidelines on who should participate in groups responsible for policy-setting and normative work; rules on disclosure and the transparency of interests; and policies to manage conflicts of interest (including divestment, screening, recusal, sanctions for violations, post-employment policy rules and codes of ethics). When Member States decide to establish partnerships, the definition of clear rules of engagement may mitigate conflicts of interest. These might set out clear governance structures and terms of reference; establish that a clear priority must be given to public health goals; set rules for partnership and define the roles of the different actors; and require disclosure and transparency of interests. Reference to global policies, such as the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes or the Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding, can help protect partnerships...

---

DRAFT GUIDANCE ON ENDING THE INAPPROPRIATE PROMOTION OF FOODS FOR INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN

32. In May 2010, in resolution WHA63.23, the Sixty-third World Health Assembly recognized that the promotion of breast-milk substitutes and some commercial foods for infants and young children undermines progress in optimal infant and young child feeding. In May 2012, in resolution WHA65.6, the Sixty-fifth World Health Assembly requested the Director-General “to provide clarification and guidance on the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children cited in resolution WHA63.23, taking into consideration the ongoing work of the Codex Alimentarius Commission”. Accordingly, the Secretariat established a Scientific and Technical Advisory Group on Inappropriate Promotion of Foods for Infants and Young Children, which developed a first report in 2013 providing a definition of the term “inappropriate promotion”1 and a second report in 2015, containing draft guidance to help achieve the goal of ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children.2

33. According to the reports of the Scientific and Technical Advisory Group, evidence from numerous countries has shown that foods (understood in this context to refer to both foods and beverages, including complementary foods and breast-milk substitutes) are being promoted as being suitable for infants under 6 months of age, that breast-milk substitutes are being indirectly promoted through association with commercial complementary foods, and that inaccurate claims are being made that products will improve a child’s health or intellectual performance. Furthermore, complementary foods have been shown to displace the intake of breast-milk if the amounts provided represent a substantial proportion of energy requirements. Commercial complementary foods vary widely in quality, with some improving nutrient intake by providing those nutrients which are either lacking or are present in insufficient quantities in the diets of young children, while others are of concern due to high levels of added sugars or salt. The inappropriate promotion of commercial foods for infants and young children can mislead parents and other caregivers about the nutrition and health-related qualities as well as the safe and age-appropriate use of these foods. In particular, the differences between milk products promoted for children of different ages are not well understood. Furthermore, the promotion of foods for infants under 6 months of age has been associated with earlier cessation of exclusive breastfeeding.

34. With support from the Scientific and Technical Advisory Group on Inappropriate Promotion of Foods for Infants and Young Children, the Secretariat developed a discussion paper3 containing a set of recommendations on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children. The document was made available for public comments from 20 July to 10 August 2015. In addition,

in order to develop the text further, the Secretariat convened informal dialogues with nongovernmental organizations in official relations with WHO and private sector entities on 17 August 2015 and an informal consultation with Member States and other United Nations organizations on 18 August 2015. The resulting draft guidance document, containing recommendations on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children, is provided in the Annex to the present report.

ACTION BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

35. The Board is invited to note the report and to consider the following draft resolution:

The Executive Board,

Having considered the report on maternal, infant and young child nutrition,¹

RECOMMENDS to the Sixth-ninth World Health Assembly the adoption of the following draft resolution:

The Sixty-ninth World Health Assembly,


(PP3) Recalling resolution WHA63.23 (2010) on infant and young child nutrition, in which the Health Assembly recognized that the promotion of breast-milk substitutes and some commercial foods for infants and young children undermines progress in optimal infant and young child feeding, and urged Member States to end inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children;

(PP4) Further recalling resolution WHA65.6 (2012) on maternal, infant and young child nutrition, in which the Health Assembly requested the Director-General to provide guidance on the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children cited in resolution WHA63.23;

(PP5) Recognizing that the inappropriate promotion of commercial foods for infants and young children can mislead parents and other caregivers about the nutrition- and health-related qualities of these foods and about their age-appropriate and safe use, and that the promotion of such products for infants under 6 months of age has been associated with earlier cessation of exclusive breastfeeding;

(PP6) Convinced that guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children is needed for Member States, the private sector, health systems, civil society and international organizations,

(OP) 1. ENDORSES the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children;

(OP) 2. URGES Member States:

(a) to take all necessary measures to implement the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children, while taking into account existing legislation and policies, as appropriate;

(b) to establish a system for monitoring, evaluating and enforcing the implementation of the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children, and to ensure that primary legislation is regulated and appropriate sanctions can be applied when violations occur;

(c) to implement, enact, monitor and enforce all provisions of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes and relevant subsequent Health Assembly resolutions, ensuring that any milk products in either liquid or powdered form that are marketed for feeding infants and young children, including follow-up formula and growing-up milks, are covered by those provisions, and to increase investment for this purpose;

(d) to implement, through national legislation, the Codex Guidelines on Formulated Complementary Foods for Older Infants and Young Children and other relevant Codex standards and guidelines, and to ensure that arrangements are in place and that adequate resources are available to enact, monitor and enforce such legislation;

(e) to implement the WHO set of recommendations on the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to children, and to adopt a comprehensive approach to implementation of those recommendations, including through legislation, paying particular attention to ensuring that settings where infants and young children gather are free from all forms of marketing of foods that are high in saturated fats, trans-fatty acids, free sugars, or salt;

(OP) 3. CALLS UPON manufacturers and distributors of foods for infants and young children to end all forms of inappropriate promotion by fully implementing the recommendations set forth in the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children, irrespective of whether the recommendations have been transposed into national legislation;

(OP) 4. CALLS UPON health care professionals to fulfil their essential role in providing parents and other caregivers with information and support on optimal infant and young child feeding and to implement the recommendations set forth in the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children, irrespective of whether the recommendations have been transposed into national legislation;
(OP) 5. CALLS UPON the media and creative industries to ensure that their activities across all communication channels and media outlets, in all settings and using all marketing techniques comply with the recommendations set forth in the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children;

(OP) 6. CALLS UPON civil society to engage in advocacy work for and activities to monitor the implementation of the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children;

(OP) 7. REQUESTS the Director-General:

(a) to provide technical support to Member States in implementing the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children and in monitoring and evaluating its implementation;

(b) to strengthen international cooperation with United Nations organizations, most notably FAO, UNICEF and WFP, in promoting national implementation of the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children;

(c) to report on implementation of the guidance on ending the inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children as part of the report on progress in implementing the comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and young child nutrition to the Seventy-first and Seventy-third World Health Assemblies in 2018 and 2020.
ANNEX

GUIDANCE ON ENDING THE INAPPROPRIATE PROMOTION OF FOODS FOR INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN

SCOPE

1. The term “foods” is used in this guidance to refer to both foods and beverages (including complementary foods and breast-milk substitutes).

2. This guidance applies to all commercially produced foods that are marketed as being suitable for infants and young children up to the age of 3 years. Products are considered to be marketed as being suitable for this age group if they (a) are labelled with the words “baby”, “infant,” “toddler” or “young child”; (b) are recommended for introduction at an age of less than 3 years; (c) have a label with an image of a child who appears to be younger than 3 years of age or feeding with a bottle; or (d) are in any other way presented as being suitable for children under the age of 3 years. This approach is in line with the relevant Codex guidelines and standards on foods for infants and young children that refer to young children up to the age of 3 years.¹

3. This guidance is not applicable to nutritional supplements and home-fortification products such as micronutrient powders and small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements. Although such supplements and products are often classified as foods for regulatory purposes, they are not foods per se, but fortification products. Many of the principles contained in this guidance, including those concerning adherence to national and global standards for nutrient levels, safety and quality and to prohibitions on any messages indicating their use for infants under 6 months of age, should nevertheless be applied to such products.

4. The promotion of foods for infants and young children occurs in both the non-profit and for-profit sectors. This guidance is applicable to both these sectors, as the principles it contains are important regardless of who is responsible for the promotion.

5. **Recommendation 1.** Optimal infant and young child feeding should be promoted based on the Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child² and the Guiding principles for feeding non-breastfed children 6–24 months of age.³ Emphasis should be placed on the use of suitable,

¹ Codex guidelines on formulated complementary foods for older infants and young children (CAC/GL-8-1991, revised in 2013); Codex standard for processed cereal-based foods for infants and young children (Codex/STAN 074-1981, revised in 2006); Codex standard for canned baby foods (CODEX STAN 73-1981); and Codex standard for follow-up formula (CODEX STAN 156-1987).


nutrient-rich, home-prepared, culturally acceptable and locally available foods that are prepared and fed safely.¹

6. **Recommendation 2.** Products that function as breast-milk substitutes should not be promoted. A breast-milk substitute should be understood to include any milk products (or products that could be used to replace milk, such as fortified soy milk), in either liquid or powdered form, that are marketed for feeding infants and young children up to the age of 3 years (including follow-up formula and growing-up milks). It should be clear that the implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes and subsequent relevant Health Assembly resolutions covers all these products.

7. **Recommendation 3.** Other foods for infants and young children should be promoted only if they meet all the relevant national, regional and global standards for composition, safety, quality and nutrient levels. National governments should introduce legislation and implement the relevant Codex standards and guidelines² to define which products are appropriate for infants and young children, with a particular focus on limiting the added sugars and salt content.

8. **Recommendation 4.** The messages used to promote foods for infants and young children should support optimal feeding and inappropriate messages should be avoided. Messages about commercial products may be conveyed in multiple forms, through advertisements, promotion and sponsorship, including brochures, online information and package labels. Specifically, messages should always:

   • include a statement on the importance of exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months and of continued breastfeeding for up to two years or beyond;

   • include the appropriate age of introduction (this must not be less than 6 months) and a statement on the importance of not introducing complementary feeding until about 6 months of age;

   • be easily understood by parents and other caregivers, with all required label information being visible and legible.

9. **Messages should not:**

   • include any image, text or other representation that might suggest use for infants under the age of 6 months (including references to milestones and stages and images of bottles or teats);

   • include any image, text or other representation that is likely to undermine or discourage breastfeeding, makes a comparison to breast-milk, or that suggests that the product is nearly equivalent or superior to breast-milk;

---


² Codex Guidelines on formulated complementary foods for older infants and young children (CAC/GL-8-1991, revised in 2013); Codex standard for processed cereal-based foods for infants and young children (Codex/STAN 074-1981, revised in 2006); Codex standard for canned baby foods (Codex/STAN 73-1981, revised in 1989); Codex advisory list of vitamin components for use in foods for infants and children (CAC/GL 10-1979, revised in 2009).
• recommend feeding the product in a bottle or otherwise promote bottle feeding;

• convey an endorsement or anything that may be construed as an endorsement by a professional or other body, unless this has been specifically approved by the national or international regulatory authorities.

10. **Recommendation 5.** There should be no cross-promotion to promote breast-milk substitutes indirectly via the promotion of foods for infants and young children.

   • The packaging design, labelling and materials used for the promotion of complementary foods must be different from those used for breast-milk substitutes so that they cannot be used in a way that also promotes breast-milk substitutes (for example, different colour schemes, designs, names, slogans and mascots other than company name and logo should be used).

   • Companies that market breast-milk substitutes should refrain from engaging in the direct or indirect promotion of their other food products for infants and young children by establishing relationships with parents and other caregivers (for example through baby clubs, social media groups, childcare classes and contests).

11. **Recommendation 6.** Companies that market foods for infants and young children should avoid creating conflicts of interest in health facilities or throughout health systems. Health workers, health systems, health professional associations and nongovernmental organizations should likewise avoid such conflicts of interest. Such companies, or their representatives, should not:

   • provide free products, samples or reduced-price foods for infants or young children to families through health workers or health facilities, except:
     – in emergencies, in conformity with national legislation and guidelines;
     – in officially sanctioned health programmes. Products distributed in such programmes should not display company brands;

   • donate or distribute equipment or services to health facilities;

   • give gifts or incentives to health care staff;

   • use health facilities to host events, contests or campaigns;

   • give any gifts or coupons to parents, caregivers and families;

   • directly or indirectly provide education to parents and other caregivers on infant and young child feeding in health facilities;

   • provide any information for health workers other than that which is scientific and factual;

   • sponsor meetings of health professionals and scientific meetings.
12. Likewise, health workers, health systems, health professional associations and nongovernmental organizations should not:

- accept free products, samples or reduced-price foods for infants or young children from companies, except:
  - in emergencies, in conformity with national legislation and guidelines;
  - in officially sanctioned health programmes. Products distributed in such programmes should not display company brands;
- accept equipment or services from companies that market foods for infants and young children;
- accept gifts or incentives from such companies;
- allow health facilities to be used for commercial events, contests or campaigns;
- allow companies that market foods for infants and young children to distribute any gifts or coupons to parents, caregivers and families through health facilities;
- allow such companies to directly or indirectly provide education in health facilities to parents and other caregivers;
- allow such companies to sponsor meetings of health professionals and scientific meetings.

13. **Recommendation 7.** The WHO set of recommendations on the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to children\(^1\) should be fully implemented, with particular attention being given to ensuring that settings where infants and young children gather are free from all forms of marketing of foods high in saturated fats, *trans*-fats, free sugars or salt. While foods marketed to children may not be specifically intended for infants and young children, they may, nevertheless, be consumed by them. A range of strategies should be implemented to limit the consumption by infants and young children of foods that are unsuitable for them.