Report on financial and administrative implications for the Secretariat of resolutions proposed for adoption by the Executive Board or Health Assembly

1. Resolution Methods of work of the Executive Board

2. Linkage to programme budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of work</th>
<th>Expected results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governing bodies</td>
<td>1. Resolutions adopted that focus on policy and strategy and provide clear orientations to Member States and the Secretariat on their implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Governing body meetings held in all the official languages of WHO at global level and in agreed official languages for the regional committees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Briefly indicate the linkage with expected results, indicators, targets, baseline)

The resolution is consistent with the expected results noted above and will help to reach targets specified for the following indicators:

- Proportion of resolutions adopted that focus on policy and can be implemented at global, regional and national levels
- Timeliness of documentation in the official languages.

3. Financial implications

(a) Total estimated cost for implementation over the “life-cycle” of the resolution (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000, including staff and activities)

No additional cost. Rather, although they are difficult to forecast, savings could be achieved by reducing the number of resolutions that must be monitored and reported on, and by improving management of the Executive Board’s agenda and documentation.

(b) Estimated cost for the biennium 2006–2007 (estimated to the nearest US$ 10 000, including staff and activities)

No additional cost. Although they are difficult to forecast, savings could be achieved by improving management of the agenda and production of documents for the Executive Board at its session in January 2008.

(c) Of the estimated cost noted in (b), what can be subsumed under existing programmed activities?

Not applicable.
4. Administrative implications

(a) Implementation locales (indicate the levels of the Organization at which the work will be undertaken and identify the specific regions where relevant)

Headquarters

(b) Additional staffing requirements (indicate additional required staff full-time equivalents, noting necessary skills profile)

No additional staffing requirements. More lead time would facilitate planning and dispatch using existing staff.

(c) Time frames (indicate broad time frames for implementation and evaluation)

Implementation would be on a continuing basis; evaluation could take place in two years.